



## Corrigendum to “A photogrammetry-based approach for soil bulk density measurements with an emphasis on applications to cosmogenic nuclide analysis” published in Earth Surf. Dynam., 8, 995–1020, 2020

Joel Mohren<sup>1</sup>, Steven A. Binnie<sup>1</sup>, Gregor M. Rink<sup>1,a</sup>, Katharina Knödgen<sup>1</sup>, Carlos Miranda<sup>3</sup>,  
Nora Tilly<sup>2</sup>, and Tibor J. Dunai<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Geology and Mineralogy, University of Cologne, Zùlpicher Str. 49b, 50674 Cologne, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Geography, University of Cologne, Otto-Fischer-Str. 4, 50674 Cologne, Germany


<sup>3</sup>Departamento de Ciencias Geológicas, Universidad Católica del Norte,  
Avenida Angamos 0610, Antofagasta, Chile

<sup>a</sup>present address: Department of Geosciences, University of Tübingen,  
Hölderlinstr. 12, 72074 Tübingen, Germany

Correspondence: Joel Mohren (joel.mohren@uni-koeln.de)

Published: 4 January 2022

We have noted that the colouring of some of the data points in Fig. 10 on p. 1011 does not match the classification colour code of the legend used to separate different classes of soil bulk density measurements. This mistake is purely cosmetic and affects neither our data nor how they are interpreted. The corrected figure is shown below. The original figure caption still applies.



**Figure 10.** TanDEM-X WorldDEM DTM (digital terrain model)-derived profiles of AT17–18 sampling transects and field-state soil bulk densities calculated from SfM-MVS photogrammetry-based volume derivations and in-field excavation mass weighing. NFSs generally tend to show greater values for  $\rho_{B,f}$  than their south-facing counterparts. Contrasts are pronounced in the downslope areas close to the thalwegs.