Inter-annual surface evolution of an Antarctic blue-ice moraine using multi-temporal DEMs
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Abstract

Multi-temporal and fine resolution topographic data products are being increasingly used to quantify surface elevation change in glacial environments. In this study, we employ 3D digital elevation model (DEM) differencing to quantify the topographic evolution of a blue-ice moraine complex in front of Patriot Hills, Heritage Range, Antarctica. Terrestrial laser scanning (TLS) was used to acquire multiple topographic datasets of the moraine surface at the beginning and end of the austral summer season in 2012/2013 and during a resurvey field campaign in 2014. A complementary topographic dataset was acquired at the end of season 1 through the application of Structure-from-Motion (SfM) photogrammetry to a set of aerial photographs taken from an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). Three-dimensional cloud-to-cloud differencing was undertaken using the Multiscale Model to Model Cloud Comparison (M3C2) algorithm. DEM differencing revealed net uplift and lateral movement of the moraine crests within season 1 (mean uplift ~0.10 m), with lowering of a similar magnitude in some inter-moraine depressions and close to the current ice margin. Our results indicate net uplift across the site between seasons 1 and 2 (mean 0.07 m). This research demonstrates that it is possible to detect dynamic surface topographical change across glacial moraines over short (annual to intra-annual) timescales through the acquisition and differencing of fine-resolution topographic datasets. Such data offer new opportunities to understand the process linkages between surface ablation, ice flow, and debris supply within moraine ice.
1. Introduction

Fine-resolution topographic data products are now routinely used for the geomorphometric characterisation of Earth surface landforms (e.g. Passalacqua et al., 2014, 2015; Tarolli, 2014). Recent decades have seen the advent and uptake of a range of surveying technologies for characterising the form and evolution of Earth surface topography at the macro- (landscape; kilometres), meso- (landform; metres) and micro-scales (patch-scale; centimetre-millimetre). These technologies have included, amongst others, the use of satellite remote sensing techniques (e.g. Kääb, 2002; Smith et al., 2006; Farr et al., 2007; Stumpf, 2014; Noh and Howat, 2015), as well as field-based surveying platforms such as electronic distance meters (total station; e.g. Keim et al., 1999; Fuller et al., 2003), differential global positioning systems (dGPS; e.g. Brasington et al., 2000; Wheaton et al., 2010), terrestrial laser scanning (TLS; e.g. Rosser et al., 2005; Hodge et al., 2009), airborne light detection and ranging (LiDAR; e.g. Bollmann et al., 2011) and softcopy or digital photogrammetry (e.g. Micheletti et al., 2015).

More recently, geoscientists are increasingly adopting low-cost Structure-from-Motion with multi-view stereo (SfM-MVS) methods, which employ computer vision and multi-view photogrammetry techniques to recover surface topography using optical (e.g. James and Robson, 2012; Westoby et al., 2012; Javernick et al., 2014; Micheletti et al., 2014; Woodget et al., 2015; Smith and Vericat, 2015) or thermal imagery (e.g. Lewis et al., 2015). Concomitant developments in lightweight unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) technology, specifically decreasing system costs, increased portability, and improvements in the accessibility of flight planning software have encouraged the acquisition of repeat, fine-resolution (metre to centimetre) topographic data products from low-altitude aerial photography platforms (e.g. Niethammer et al., 2010; Ouédraogo et al., 2014; Bhardwaj et al., 2016). Furthermore, the differencing of topographic datasets acquired at different times is now an established method for quantifying the transfer of mass and energy through landscapes at the spatial scales of observation at which many processes operate (Passalacqua et al., 2015).

To date, fine-resolution topographic datasets produced using airborne or ground-based light detection and ranging (LiDAR), or terrestrial or low-altitude aerial digital photogrammetry have been used for a diverse range of applications in various glacial, proglacial, and periglacial environments at a range of scales, including: the quantification of ice surface evolution (e.g. Baltsavias et al., 2001; Pitkänen and Kajuutti, 2004; Keutterling and Thomas, 2006; Schwalbe and Maas, 2009;
Immerzeel et al., 2014; Pepin et al., 2014; Whitehead et al., 2014; Gabbud et al., 2015; Kraaijenbrink et al., 2015; Piermattei et al., 2015; Ryan et al., 2015; mapping the redistribution of proglacial sediment (e.g. Milan et al., 2007; Irvine-Fynn et al., 2011; Dunning et al., 2013; Staines et al., 2015) and moraine development (Chandler et al., 2015); the characterisation of glacier surface roughness (e.g. Sanz-Ablanedo et al., 2012; Irvine-Fynn et al., 2014), sedimentology (Westoby et al., 2015), and hydrology (Rippin et al., 2015); as well as input data for surface energy balance modelling (e.g. Arnold et al., 2006; Reid et al., 2012); and for characterising glacial landforms in formerly glaciated landscapes (e.g. Smith et al., 2009; Tonkin et al., 2014; Hardt et al., 2015).

In this study, we utilise fine-resolution topographic datasets to quantify the surface evolution of a blue-ice moraine complex in a remote part of Antarctica. Blue-ice areas cover approximately 1% of Antarctica's surface area (Bintanja, 1999), yet they remain relatively understudied. Relict blue-ice moraines preserved on nunataks are key indicators of ice sheet elevation changes; however, limited data exist on rates and patterns of surface reorganisation, which may be of use for contextualising the results of, for example, cosmogenic nuclide dating and geomorphological mapping. This research seeks to quantify the short-term surface evolution of a moraine complex in Patriot Hills, Heritage Range, Antarctica (Fig. 1), through the differencing and analysis of multi-temporal topographic datasets acquired using TLS and the application of SfM-MVS photogrammetry to optical imagery acquired from a low-altitude UAV sortie.

2. Study site

The study site is a blue-ice moraine complex, located on the northern flank of the Patriot Hills massif at the southern-most extent of Heritage Range, West Antarctica (Fig. 1). Blue-ice moraine formation is hypothesised to be the result of preferential ablation of marginal ice by katabatic winds, which in turns prompts the modification of ice flow and englacial sediment transport pathways such that basal sediment is brought to the ice surface, where it is deposited (e.g. Bintanja, 1999; Sinisalo and Moore, 2010; Fogwill et al., 2012; Spaulding et al., 2012; Hein et al., 2016). The site comprises a series of broadly east-west oriented moraine ridges and inter-moraine troughs, as well as an area of subdued moraine topography immediately adjacent to the ice margin (Fig. 2). At this location, the active blue-ice moraines occupy an altitudinal range of 60-70 m above the ice margin (~730 m a.s.l.), and extend for a distance of up to 350 m into a bedrock embayment. The blue-ice moraines can be traced for a distance of >4 km to the east and north-east, parallel to the range front,
and fill ice-marginal embayments. The site is geomorphologically and sedimentologically complex (e.g. Vieira et al., 2012; Westoby et al., 2015), and, along with moraine ridges and troughs, includes areas of subdued ice-marginal topography with thermokarst melt ponds, local gullying and crevassing on ice-proximal and distal moraine flanks, as well as solifluction deposits at the base of the surrounding hillslopes. The bedrock hillslopes are overlain by a till drape with rare, large exotic sandstone boulder erratics which have some evidence of periglacial reworking. Field observations suggest that the blue-ice moraines are dynamic features which are undergoing localised surface changes. It is these short-term, changes which are the subject of investigation in this paper.

3. Methods and data products

This research employs two methods for reconstructing moraine surface topography, specifically TLS and SfM-MVS photogrammetry. Two field campaigns at Patriot Hills were undertaken with a 12-month survey interval. Briefly, TLS data were acquired at the beginning and end of austral summer season 1 (December 2012 and January 2013, respectively), and in a short resurvey visit in season 2 (January 2014). Low-altitude aerial optical photography was acquired from a UAV at the end of season 1 and was used as the primary input to SfM-MVS processing. The following sections detail the two methods of topographic data acquisition, data processing, and subsequent analysis using 'cloud-to-cloud' differencing.

3.1. Topographic data acquisition

3.1.1. Terrestrial Laser Scanning

TLS data were acquired using a Riegl LMS-Z620 time-of-flight laser scanner, set to acquire ~11,000 points per second in the near-infrared band at horizontal and vertical scanning increments of 0.031°, equivalent to a point spacing of 0.05 m at a distance of 100 m and with a beam divergence of 15 mm per 100 m. Data were acquired from six locations across the site at the beginning of season 1 (7th-11th December 2012; Fig. 1; Table 1). Two of these positions were re-occupied at the end of season 1 (9th January 2013) and three positions were reoccupied in season 2 (Fig. 1; 14th January 2014). Following manual editing and the automated removal of isolated points to improve data quality, each set of scans were co-registered in Riegl RiSCAN PRO software (v. 1.5.9) using a two-step procedure employing coarse manual point-matching followed by the application of a linear, iterative, least-squares minimisation solution to reduce residual alignment error. Individual scans were then
merged to produce a single 3D point cloud for each scan date. Merged scan data from the end of seasons 1 and 2 were subsequently registered to the scan data from the beginning of season 1 using the methods described above (Table 1).

3.1.2. Structure-from-Motion with Multi-View Stereo photogrammetry

Low-altitude aerial photographs of the study site were acquired using a 10-Megapixel Panasonic Lumix DMC-LX5 compact digital camera with a fixed focal length (8 mm) and automatic exposure settings, mounted in a fixed, downward-facing (nadir) perspective on a sub-5 kg fixed-wing UAV. Photographs were acquired in a single sortie lasting ~5 minutes. A total of 155 photographs were acquired at a 2-second interval at an approximate ground height of 120 m, producing an average image overlap of 80%, and an approximate ground resolution of 0.07 m² per pixel. Mean point density was ~300 points per m², compared to a mean of 278 points per m² for the TLS datasets. Motion blur of the input images was negligible due to favourable image exposure conditions and an appropriate UAV flying height and speed.

UAV photographs were used as input to SfM reconstruction using the proprietary Agisoft PhotoScan Professional Edition (v. 1.1.6) software. Unique image tie-points which are stable under variations in view perspective and lighting are identified and matched across input photographs, similar to Lowe’s (2004) Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) method. An iterative bundle adjustment algorithm is used to solve for internal and external camera orientation parameters and produce a sparse 3D point cloud. The results of the first-pass camera pose estimation were scrutinised and only 3D points which appear in a minimum of 3 photographs and possessed a reprojection error of <1.0 were retained. A two-phase method of UAV-SfM data registration was employed: 1) ground control was obtained by identifying common features in the UAV-SfM photographs and TLS data from the end of season 1 (acquired 4 days after the SfM data; Table 1), such as the corners of large, well-resolved boulders. GCP data were used to optimise the initial camera alignment and transform the regenerated UAV-SfM data to the same object space as the TLS data, producing an xyz RMS error of 0.23 m. 2) following dense reconstruction, 3D point data were exported to RiSCAN PRO (v. 1.5.9) software, and a linear, iterative, least-squares minimisation employing surface plane matching was used to improve the alignment and reduce the xyz RMS error to 0.03 m.

3.2. Cloud-to-cloud differencing
Three-dimensional ‘cloud-to-cloud’ distance calculations were used to quantify moraine surface evolution (e.g. Lague et al., 2013). Since the dominant direction of surface evolution across the study site was unknown a priori, the application of an algorithm that is capable of detecting fully three-dimensional topographic change was deemed to be the most appropriate method in this context. To this end, we employ the Multiscale Model to Model Cloud Comparison (M3C2) algorithm (Lague et al., 2013; Barnhart and Crosby, 2013), implemented in the open-source CloudCompare software (v. 2.6.1) for change detection.

The M3C2 algorithm implements two main processing steps to calculate 3D change between two point clouds: 1) estimation of surface normal orientation at a scale consistent with local surface roughness, and 2) quantification of the mean cloud-to-cloud distance (i.e. surface change) along the normal direction (or orthogonal vector), which includes an explicit calculation of the local confidence interval. A point-specific normal vector is calculated by fitting a plane to neighbouring 3D points that are contained within a user-specified search radius. To avoid the fluctuation of normal vector orientations and a potential overestimation of the distance between two point clouds, the radius, or scale, used for normal calculation needs to be larger than the topographic roughness, which is calculated as the standard deviation of local surface elevations ($\sigma$). The orientation of the surface normal around a point, $i$, is therefore dependent on the scale at which it is computed (Lague et al., 2013). A trial-and-error approach was employed to reduce the estimated normal error, $E_{\text{norm}}(\%)$, through refinement of a re-scaled measure of $D$, $\xi$, where:

$$\xi(i) = \frac{D}{\sigma(iD)}$$

Eq. (1)

Using this re-scaled measure of $D$, $\xi$ can be used as an indicator of estimated normal orientation accuracy, such that where $\xi$ falls in the range ~20-25, the estimated normal error is $E_{\text{norm}} < 2\%$ (Lague et al., 2013). A fixed normal scaling of 2 m was found to be sufficient to ensure that $\xi > 20$ for >98% of points in each topographic dataset.

The radius of the projection cylinder, $d$, within which the average surface elevation of each cloud is calculated, was specified as 2 m. This scaling ensured that the number of points sampled in each cloud was $\geq 30$, following guidance provided by Lague et al. (2013). M3C2 execution took ~0.3 h for each differencing task on a desktop computer operating with 32 GB of RAM, and a 3.4 GHz CPU. Cloud-to-cloud distances and statistics were projected onto the original point cloud. M3C2 output
was subsequently masked to exclude points where change is lower than level of
detection threshold for a 95% confidence level, \( LoD_{95\%}(d) \), which is defined as:

\[
LoD_{95\%}(d) = \pm 1.96 \left( \frac{\sigma_1(d)}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2(d)}{n_2} + reg \right)
\]

Eq. (2)

where \( d \) is the radius of the projection cylinder, \( reg \) is the user-specified registration
error, for which we substitute the propagated root mean square alignment error for
point clouds \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) (Table 2; Eq. (1)) and assume that this error is isotropic and
spatially uniform across the dataset.

To calculate the total propagated error for each differencing epoch, \( \sigma_{DoD} \), the
estimates of errors in each point cloud (i.e. the sum of the average scan-scan RMS
error and a project-project RMS error, where applicable) were combined using:

\[
\sigma_{DoD} = \sqrt{\sigma_{C_1}^2 + \sigma_{C_2}^2}
\]

Eq. (3)

where \( \sigma_{C_1}^2 \) and \( \sigma_{C_2}^2 \) are the RMS errors associated with point clouds \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \).

3.3. Data intercomparison: SfM vs. TLS

Whilst the UAV-SfM dataset acquired at the end of season 1 significantly improves
on the spatial coverage afforded by the use of TLS across the moraine embayment,
an analyses of the relative accuracy of the reconstructed surface topography of the
former is desirable. To this end, Fig. 3 shows the results of vertical differencing of the
UAV-SfM and TLS data, complemented by a series of surface elevation profiles (Fig.
4). These results reveal that 83% of the UAV-SfM data are within \( \pm 0.1 \) m of the
equivalent TLS data, with a number of outliers at the northernmost margin of the
dataset, where the UAV-SfM data typically underestimate the TLS surface elevation.
Similarly, the UAV-SfM data underestimate the surface elevation of the ice-proximal
flank of the main moraine crest by, on average, \(~0.13\) m. UAV-SfM data
overestimate the moraine surface elevation in the north-western sector of the site by
\(~0.12\) m, with some outliers which exceed \(~0.3\) m.

Transect data also highlight areas of inconsistency, specifically often considerable
offsets between the TLS and SfM data which were collected at the end of season 1
and which, in places, approach 0.5 m in magnitude (e.g. at \(~27\) m distance in profile
A, and between 22-30 m in profile B; Fig. 4). Given that the SfM data were optimised
and georegistered using features extracted from the corresponding TLS dataset, one might expect that deviations between the two would be barely discernible. However, the SfM data variously over- and underestimate the TLS-derived surface elevation with little apparent systematicity (Fig. 4). One potential explanation for these inconsistencies could be the evolution of moraine surface topography in the 4-day interval which separated the acquisition of the TLS and SfM data at the end of season 1 (Table 1), with the implication that features used as GCPs in the TLS data and their counterparts in the UAV-SfM data were not static, thereby affecting the georeferencing and SfM optimisation solution. However, as we observe no clustering of large GCP errors in areas of activity, this factor is unlikely to account for these topographic inconsistencies.

An additional, and equally viable explanation for these inconsistencies might include the near-parallel and largely nadir view directions of the UAV imagery, which represent a largely ‘non-convergent’ mode of photograph acquisition that has elsewhere been found to result in the deformation, or ‘doming’ of SfM-derived surface topography (e.g. James and Robson, 2014; Rosnell and Honkavaara, 2012; Javernick et al., 2014). Topographic mismatches between the TLS and UAV-SfM data appear to be the most prominent in areas of steep topography (Fig. 3; Fig. 4). These areas were generally well-resolved in the TLS data (where not topographically occluded), but may have been resolved in less detail and with less accuracy in the UAV-SfM data, where the fixed camera angle promotes the foreshortening of these steep slopes in the aerial photography. Model deformations can be countered to some degree through the inclusion of additional, oblique imagery, and use of suitable GCPs (James and Robson, 2014). However, although the latter were relatively evenly spaced across our study site, the inclusion of these data and subsequent use for the optimisation of the SfM data prior to dense point cloud reconstruction does not appear to have altogether eliminated these model deformations.

4. Short-term topographic evolution of blue-ice moraines

The results of 3D cloud-to-cloud differencing are summarised in Figure 5. Threshold levels of change detection ranged from 0.094 – 0.103 m. The upper (i.e. most conservative) bound of this range was applied to the results from all differencing epochs, so that only 3D surface changes greater than 0.103 m were considered in the subsequent analysis. The horizontal (xy) and vertical (z) components of 3D surface change were separated to aid the analysis and interpretation of moraine surface evolution. Vertical surface changes for a range of epochs, encompassing intra-annual and annual change, are displayed in Fig. 5, whilst illustrative horizontal
components of 3D change are shown in Fig. 6. The longest differencing epoch, representing a period of ~400 days (Fig. 5b) shows a broad pattern of net uplift across the moraine of the order of 0.074 m. Locally, uplift exceeds 0.2 m across parts of the moraine complex, and, whilst on first glance these elevation gains appear to be largely randomly distributed across the site, on closer inspection they occur predominantly on or adjacent to the main, central moraine ridge and close to the current ice margin. The large central moraine ridge exhibits a mean uplift of 0.11 m, whilst specific ice-marginal areas to the west and an area of moraine to the south-west of the embayment also exhibit uplift of a similar magnitude (Fig. 5b). In contrast, an area in the southernmost sector of the basin and an ice-marginal area to the centre-west exhibit a net reduction in moraine surface elevation, up to a maximum of -0.354 m. 

Intra-annual change detection mapping was undertaken using TLS-TLS and TLS-SfM differencing (Fig. 5c, d). Key similarities between these two datasets, which represent vertical topographic change over a ~31 and ~27 day period, respectively, include uplift at the southern extent of the embayment (mean 0.081 m and 0.123 m for the TLS-TLS and TLS-SfM differencing, respectively). Similarly, both datasets reveal surface lowering at south-eastern, or true rear, of the basin (mean -0.106 m and -0.112 m for TLS-SfM and TLS-TLS differencing, respectively), and, in the TLS-SfM data, on the ice-distal (southern) side of the central moraine ridge (Fig. 5c; -0.092 m). However, the large area of ice-marginal surface lowering (-0.095 - -0.373 m) that is detected in the TLS-SfM differencing results is not mirrored in the equivalent TLS-TLS differencing data (Fig. 5d). This stems in large part from the reduced spatial coverage of the usable TLS scan data acquired at the end of season 1, which comprised data from only two scan positions (Fig. 1c) and which omits the ice-marginal zone.

The results of vertical change detection using both SfM-TLS and TLS-TLS approaches also display similarities for differencing undertaken between the end of season 1, and season 2 (Fig. 5e,f), including a largely continuous area of uplift across the centre of the site, as well as areas of surface lowering along the eastern edge of the site. Whilst widespread uplift characterises the entire western edge of the study area in the TLS-TLS data (Fig. 5f), the equivalent SfM-TLS data instead report the occurrence of surface lowering at the base of the hillslope spur which forms the western boundary of the site (Fig. 5e). Furthermore, an area of considerable (mean 0.218 m) uplift characterises the ice-marginal zone in the SfM-TLS differencing data for this epoch, but, once again, the reduced spatial coverage of the TLS datasets mean that no differencing data are available to verify or contest
this pattern. However, we note that vertical change at the ice-marginal (northern) limit of the TLS-TLS data for both intra-annual and annual differencing epochs do not correspond with the equivalent SfM-TLS / TLS-SfM results.

Examples of horizontal displacement, calculated here as the xy component of the orthogonal distance between two point clouds acquired at separate times, and gridded to represent the average xy displacement within 10 m² grid cells, are shown in Fig. 6 for intra- (Fig. 6a) and inter-annual epochs (Fig. 6b). Within season 1, a range of xy displacement orientations are detected, and range from sub-centimetre to >0.2 m in magnitude. These displacements include extensive southern (or ‘inward’) movement of the moraine surface in the ice-marginal zone, which is associated with surface lowering, and which grades into a largely western-oriented displacement signal on the ridgeline of the main moraine crest and across the western sector of the moraine complex (Fig. 6a). Total xy displacement over a >1 year period (Fig. 6b) appears to be less uniform and comparatively chaotic. However, a number of local and largely consistent patterns of horizontal displacement are discernible, such as predominantly westward movement along the central moraine ridge, and north- to north-eastern motion along the western edge of the site (Fig. 6b), which also occurs within season 1 (Fig. 6a). Both trends are associated with net surface uplift. In contrast, isolated patches of surface lowering are generally characterised by southern or south-westerly xy displacement.

The analysis of a series of surface profile transects which bisect the moraines shed further light on their topographic evolution (Fig. 4). These data are particularly useful for examining the interplay between vertical and lateral moraine surface displacement, which is alluded to in Fig. 6. For example, a combination of surface uplift and lateral displacement between the start and end of season 1 is visible between 28-40 m in profile A (Fig. 4, inset 1). Similarly, lateral (southern) translation of the moraine surface between 15-22 m in profile C (Fig. 4, inset 2) is visible for the same differencing epoch.

5. Implications for glaciological process analysis

Here we highlight some implications arising from the measurement of these short-term changes in surface morphology. Topographically, the Patriot Hills blue-ice moraine confirms the morphological observations of the embayment, described by Fogwill et al. (2012) as comprising sloping terraces and blocky, pitted boulder moraine ridges. These ridges are thought to be fed from beneath by steeply dipping debris bands coming from depth, driven by ice-flow compensating for katabatic wind
ablation of the glacier. Vieira et al. (2012) classify what we term blue-ice moraines as 'supraglacial moraine', and the debris bands in the blue ice outside of the basin as blue-ice moraines. It is from clasts emerging from these bands that Fogwill et al. (2012) have produced their model of blue-ice moraine formation in the basin. The supraglacial moraines of Vieira et al. (2012) are described as slightly creeping debris-mantled slopes – both Fogwill et al. (2012) and Vieira et al. (2012) consider the features in the basin as active, but without measurements of observations of rates, or the nature of change. Our differencing results confirm the hypothesis that these features are active, and develops this idea further to demonstrate that moraine slope evolution is active over annual to intra-annual timescales.

Hättestrand and Johansen (2005) discussed the evolution of blue-ice moraine complexes in Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica, and hypothesised that, following ice-marginal deposition of debris when the adjacent ice surface was higher, the subsequent lowering of the exposed ice surface would produce a slope 'outwards' from an embayment, followed by gradual movement of material towards the ice-margin in a manner similar to that exhibited by active rock glaciers – features that Vieira et al. (2012) interpret in the next basin along the Patriot Hills range. However, whilst the former holds true as an explanation for the general gradient of the Patriot Hills moraine complex (e.g. Fig. 4), our results suggest that the short-term evolution of the moraines does not necessarily conform to the latter hypothesis of such as simple process of consistent downslope movement, and in fact exhibits far more dynamic complexity.

The moraine ridges both close to, and far from the ice margin emerge as axes of activity and uplift (Fig. 5c), despite initial field observations suggesting that the ridges most distant from the exposed ice surface were older and less active. Fogwill et al. (2012) suggest that once upcoming debris is at a sufficient thickness, wind-driven ablation shuts off. Our observations suggest that if this is the case, these ridges are not left stagnant at this point. The interplay between ice flow and surface elevation lowering by wind, but reduced by thicker debris, continues despite the possible ages of the surface debris relative to ridges closer to the contemporary blue-ice margin. This activity is not simply confined to ‘inward’ or ‘outward’ movement of moraines within the embayment, but also involves a lateral component. It is notable that most lowering occurred near the ice margin where the debris layer is typically thinnest and less than ~0.15 m. Surface lowering in this area exceeds 0.3 m within season 1 (Fig. 5c), and may be the result of sub-debris ice ablation, which promotes terrain relaxation and has been widely reported in other ice-proximal landscapes (e.g.
Lateral movement within the moraine ridges (Fig. 6) may reflect lateral extension or ‘stretching’ of the ridges as they encroach into the embayment. Such lateral movement is corroborated from the orientation of crevasse-based grooves in the moraine (Fig. 2c). The apparent inward encroachment of the Patriot Hills moraines may be the product of the pressure exerted on the moraines by glacier ice flow into the embayment in compensation for preferential ice ablation by katabatic winds, which is consistent with blue-ice moraine formation theory (Fogwill et al., 2012). Finally, the close match of inter-season surface elevation cross-profiles (Fig. 5) points to medium-term stability of the moraine system. This conclusion will be investigated through the application of cosmogenic isotope evidence to assess change since the Holocene.

More broadly, this study has demonstrated the potential for the combination of different high-resolution surveying technologies and advanced, 3D topographic differencing methods for elucidating the short-term evolution of glaciated landscapes. Whilst this study has focused exclusively on the surface evolution of Antarctic blue-ice moraines, the application of 3D differencing methods to quantify change between repeat, accurate topographic surveys has a wide range of potential glaciological applications, which cryospheric researchers have already begun to capitalise on (e.g. Piermattei et al., 2015, Gabbud et al., 2015; Kraaijenbrink et al., 2016). A key contribution of this study to the wider Earth surface dynamics community is the demonstration of truly 3D differencing methods to reveal not only vertical surface change, but also the magnitude and direction of any lateral component to surface movement. Such methods may have particular value for quantifying the 3D surface evolution of, for example, rock glaciers, degrading ice-cored moraines, or slope instabilities in permafrost regions, where information regarding both vertical and lateral components of landscape development may be both of scientific interest and practical application.

6. Summary

This research has employed a combination of TLS and UAV-based SfM-MVS photogrammetry and 3D differencing methods to quantify the topographic evolution of an Antarctic blue-ice moraine complex over annual and intra-annual timescales. Segmentation of lateral and vertical surface displacements reveal site- and local-scale patterns of geomorphometric moraine surface evolution beyond a threshold
level of detection (95% confidence), including largely persistent vertical uplift across key moraine ridges, both within a single season, and between seasons. This persistent uplift is interspersed with areas (and periods) of surface downwasting which is largely confined to the rear of the moraine basin for both differencing epochs, and in ice-marginal regions within season 1. Analysis of lateral displacement vectors, which are generally of a much smaller magnitude than vertical displacements, provide further insights into moraine surface evolution.

A number of methodological shortcomings are highlighted. Briefly, these relate to the incomplete spatial coverage afforded by the use of TLS in a topographically complex environment, and issues associated with obtaining suitable ground control for SfM-MVS processing and potential implications for the accuracy of SfM-derived topographic data products. This research represents the first successful application of a combination of high-resolution surveying methods for quantifying the topographic evolution of ice-marginal topography in this environment. Furthermore, we have demonstrated that, whilst a number of operational considerations must be taken into account at the data collection stage, these technologies are highly appropriate for reconstructing moraine surface topography and for quantifying Earth surface evolution in glaciated landscapes more generally.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field survey</th>
<th>Scan position</th>
<th>Scan date</th>
<th>Scan-scan registration error (RMS; m)</th>
<th>Project-project registration error (RMS; m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Season 1 start (TLS)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>07 Dec 2012</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>Static</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>08 Dec 2012</td>
<td>0.0327</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>08 Dec 2012</td>
<td>0.0391</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>09 Dec 2012</td>
<td>0.0301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>01 Dec 2012</td>
<td>0.0258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11 Dec 2012</td>
<td>0.0258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season 1 end (TLS)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>09 Jan 2013</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>09 Jan 2013</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season 1 end (UAV-SfM)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>05 Jan 2013</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season 2 (TLS)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14 Jan 2014</td>
<td>Static</td>
<td>0.0149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14 Jan 2014</td>
<td>0.0205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14 Jan 2014</td>
<td>0.0255</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differencing epoch</th>
<th>Propagated error (RMS; m)</th>
<th>M3C2 LoD&lt;sub&gt;95&lt;/sub&gt; (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1 start (TLS) - S1 end (TLS)</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 start (TLS) - S1 end (SfM)</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 end (TLS) - S2 end (TLS)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 end (SfM) - S2 end (TLS)</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 start (TLS) - S2 end (TLS)</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure captions

Figure 1. Blue-ice moraine embayment, Patriot Hills, Heritage Range, Antarctica. (a) Geographical context of Patriot Hills within the Heritage Range, southern Ellsworth Mountains. (b) The Patriot Hills massif. The location of the study embayment and area displayed in (c) is highlighted in red. (c): Detailed study site overview map. Contours and underlying hillshade are derived from a UAV-SfM-derived DEM. TLS positions for the start of season 1 are shown in red, blue and yellow. The two scan positions re-occupied at the end of season 1 are shown in blue, whilst the three scan positions reoccupied in season 2 are shown in blue and red. Background to (a) © U.S. Geological Survey, (b) 2015 DigitalGlobe, both extracted from Google Earth.

Figure 2. Field photographs of the Patriot Hills blue-ice moraine study site. (a) Panoramic photograph of the moraine embayment – view north-east towards the ice margin from the rear of the embayment. Area shown in (c) and position and view direction of camera (b) shown for reference. (b) View to the north-west with moraine crest in foreground and subdued, ice-marginal moraine surface topography in middle-ground. (c) Close-up of moraine topography, highlighting ridges and furrows on moraine crests and in inter-moraine troughs.

Figure 3. Results of vertical ($Z_{\text{diff}}$, m) differencing of the UAV-SfM and TLS datasets acquired at the end of season 1, represented as the mean difference within 10 m$^2$ grid cells. 83% of the UAV-SfM data were found to be within ±0.1 m of the equivalent TLS data. Profiles A-C are displayed in Fig. 4.

Figure 4. Moraine surface elevation profiles, extracted from gridded (0.2 m$^2$) digital elevation models of TLS- and SfM-derived topographic datasets. Profile locations are shown in Figures 3 and 6. Profiles A and B bisect the main central moraine crest, whilst profile C is located on moraine deposits at the back of the embayment. Inset numbered boxes in profiles A and C show areas referred to in the text.

Figure 5. Vertical component of 3D topographic change ($Z_{\text{diff}}$) overlain on a UAV-SfM-derived hill-shaded DEM of the Patriot Hills blue-ice moraine complex. Topographic evolution was quantified using the Multiscale Model to Model Cloud Comparison (M3C2) algorithm in CloudCompare software. (a) UAV-SfM orthophotograph of the study site. Panels (b) to (f) cover specific differencing epochs using a combination of TLS and SfM data (see panel headings). Dashed line in (b) to (f) indicates locations of primary moraine ridge crest.

Figure 6. Change detection mapping for (a) intra-annual (season 1 start to season 1 end) and (b) annual (season 1 start to season 2) differencing epochs. Horizontal difference vectors ($X_{\text{diff}}$) are scaled by magnitude and oriented according to the direction of change. The vertical component of 3D change ($Z_{\text{diff}}$) is shown in the
background. Transects A-C denote the location of moraine surface profiles displayed in Fig. 4. Red dashes on both panels show approximate location of primary moraine ridge crest.

**Table 1.** Terrestrial laser scanning and UAV-SfM survey dates and registration errors. Within each season, individual scans were registered to a single static position to produce a single, merged point cloud (scan-scan registration error). TLS data from the end of season 1 and for season 2 were subsequently registered to TLS data acquired at the start of season 1, producing a project-project registration error. The UAV-SfM data (season 1 end) were registered to TLS data from the end of season 1.

**Table 2.** Registration error propagation for specific differencing epochs. The propagated error for each differencing epoch is calculated using Eq. 3. The 95% level of detection, or detection threshold is calculated in M3C2 as the product of the propagated error and a measure of local point cloud roughness (Lague et al., 2013). The results of 3D differencing were filtered in CloudCompare so that only differences largest than the most conservative (largest) $LoD_{95\%}$ (i.e. 0.103 m) were considered to represent significant change.
Figure 1. Blue-ice moraine embayment, Patriot Hills, Heritage Range, Antarctica. (a) Geographical context of Patriot Hills within the Heritage Range, southern Ellsworth Mountains. (b) The Patriot Hills massif. The location of the study embayment and area displayed in (c) highlighted in red. (c): Detailed study site overview map. Contours and underlying hillshade are derived from a UAV-SfM-derived DEM. TLS positions for the start of season 1 are shown in red, blue and yellow. The two scan positions re-occupied at the end of season 1 are shown in blue, whilst the three scan positions reoccupied in season 2 are shown in blue and red. Background imagery: (a) © U.S. Geological Survey; (b) © 2015 DigitalGlobe, both extracted from Google Earth.
Figure 2. Field photographs of the Patriot Hills blue-ice moraine study site. (a) Panoramic photograph of the moraine embayment – view north-east towards the ice margin from the rear of the embayment. Area shown in (c) and position and view direction of camera (b) shown for reference. (b) View to the north-west with moraine crest in foreground and subdued, ice-marginal moraine surface topography in middle-ground. (c) Close-up of moraine topography, highlighting ridges and furrows on moraine crests and in inter-moraine troughs.
Figure 3. Results of vertical ($Z_{\text{diff}}$; m) differencing of the UAV-SfM and TLS datasets acquired at the end of season 1, represented as the average difference within 10 m$^2$ grid cells. 83% of the UAV-SfM data were found to be within ±0.1 m of the equivalent TLS data. Profiles A-C are displayed in Fig. 4.
Figure 4. Moraine surface elevation profiles, extracted from gridded (0.2 m²) digital elevation models of TLS- and SfM-derived topographic datasets. Profile locations are shown in Fig. 4. Profiles A and B bisect the main central moraine crest, whilst profile C is located on moraine deposits at the back of the embayment. Inset numbered boxes in profiles A and C show areas referred to in the text.
Figure 5. Vertical component of 3D topographic change ($Z_{\text{diff}}$) overlain on a UAV-SfM-derived hill-shaded DEM of the Patriot Hills blue-ice moraine complex. Topographic evolution was quantified using the Multiscale Model to Model Cloud Comparison (M3CC) algorithm in CloudCompare software. (a) UAV-SfM orthophotograph of the study site. Panels (b) to (f) cover specific differencing epochs using a combination of TLS and SfM data (see panel headings). Dashed line in (b) to (f) indicates locations of primary moraine ridge crest.
Figure 6. Change detection mapping for (a) intra-annual (season 1 start to season 1 end) and (b) annual (season 1 start to season 2) differencing epochs. Horizontal difference vectors (XY\text{diff}) are scaled by magnitude and oriented according to the direction of change. The vertical component of 3D change (Z\text{diff}) is shown in the background. Transects A-C denote the location of moraine surface profiles displayed in Fig. 5. Red dashes on both panels show approximate location of primary moraine ridge crest.