Final author response – manuscript esurf-2015-43.

Please find below our final response to all referee comments for this manuscript. We first respond to each set of referee comments and explain how we have addressed their concerns in the revised manuscript. A marked-up, revised version of the original manuscript follows our response to the reviewer's comments, and clearly displays where all changes have been made. We hope that the manuscript is now acceptable for publication in *Earth Surface Dynamics*.

Response to comments from anonymous referee #1

Referee comments appear in *italics*. Author response and changes made to the revised manuscript appear in **bold**.

This is really an interesting paper. The authors analysed the inter-annual surface evolution of an Antarctic blue-ice moraine using multi-temporal DEMs. These were obtained from different sources of data and remote sensing techniques: terrestrial lidar and structure-frommotion. The authors considered the three-dimensional "cloud-to-cloud" distance calculations to quantify the moraine surface evolution.

I haven't major issues to provide, the paper is really well written. I have just minor points. The paper, because of the lack of a detailed discussion on processes, it looks like a technical note paper (in the hands of the Editor and Guest Editor the decision on the format of the paper).

We thank the reviewer for their very positive general comments on the manuscript. We have significantly expanded the discussion of glaciological process analysis, which now places our results into their wider glaciological context and with direct reference to existing studies at the Patriot Hills site and further afield (see new line 429 onwards). We believe that the addition of this text ensures that the paper now stands on its own as a full-length research article.

The authors highlighted the fact that "A comprehensive analysis of the evolution of the Patriot Hills blue-ice moraine and its relationships to ablation and underlying ice structure" is not the main purpose of the present work. They just provided few sentences on Earth surface changes interpretation. Why not restructure a little this final section of the discussion highlighting the real addressed value (and application in other contexts) of such inter-annual analysis for understanding the Earth surface processes in a glaciated landscape? How can such analysis be used? For which specific process? Under which environmental forcing?

As suggested, we have restructured and significantly expanded our discussion of the glaciological process analysis at the Patriot Hills study site, which now places our results in the wider context of their real value for understanding inter-annual development of the moraine system (see new line 429 onwards). We now also conclude this new section with a brief discussion of the wider merits of fully 3-D topographic differencing in some other environmental contexts.

Response to comments from anonymous referee #2

General comments:

The paper presents results from two techniques measuring the elevation of a blue ice moraine in very high resolution. The results are interesting, and demonstrate the use of the two techniques in a challenging environment. The results are discussed in a very descriptive way, however, with little analysis and discussion of the results. The discussion of the results

is dismissed slightly off-handedly to another paper. As Reviewer 1 suggests, this is probably a stylistic matter to be flagged up for the Editor.

We thank the reviewer for their positive general comments on the manuscript, and also note the request for an expanded discussion of the results by reviewer #1. To this end, we have substantially expanded our discussion of the results from a glaciological process analysis perspective (see new section 5). Here, we compare the results of our topographic change analysis of blue-ice moraine evolution to previous observations of the Patriot Hills moraine complex (e.g. Fogwill et al., 2012; Vieira et al., 2012), and blue-ice moraines elsewhere.

The paper is well written and clear; however, I have one structural issue with it. The TLS and SfM results are used together straightaway in the presentation of the results in Fig. 3, with no consideration of the potential for error/bias between the two techniques. This was my first question when I saw Fig. 3 - I would like to have this discussed first and a difference figure (TLS vs SfM) presented for the whole dataset. I suggest moving the discussion of the differences.

As per the reviewer's suggestion, we have moved the discussion of the difference on page 1328 to before the presentation and discussion of the intra-/inter-annual differences. As requested, we have also produced a figure (new Fig. 3) to accompany this discussion and which displays TLS vs. SfM differences as an absolute vertical error (Z_{diff}).

Specific comments:

I found the description of the plots on p1326, line 24 quite difficult to follow. The authors refer to striking similarities, but I found it didn't strike me immediately!

We agree that the description of the 3D differencing plots could do with a little work to improve its clarity. To this end, we have re-written sections of this discussion and hope that it is now easier to follow. All references to 'left', 'right' have been removed and replaced with the appropriate cardinal directions (i.e. north, south, east, west etc). Revision of this text and re-orientation of the plan-view figures should now make interpretation more straightforward.

Figure 1: I found it hard to get my head round this figure, even knowing a little bit about the area, I couldn't orient myself or visualise where the moraine was. Part of this comes from the fact that plots B&C are plotted upside down with respect to plot A. I would suggest putting a broader map of the Patriot Hills with respect to the rest of the Ellsworth Mountains and state clearly in the text that the main plots are oriented differently. Can you not plot B&C the other way up so North is upwards, is there a reason you plot it this way up? This would help with the discussion of Easts and Wests in the main text. If the plots are in a Polar Stereographic projection then is it appropriate to put a North arrow on the plot anyway? If B&C were in the same orientation as A, and A had lines of latitude and longitude, then it is easier to work out which direction is which.

We agree with the reviewer that the different orientations and scales of the various panels which comprise Figure 1 may be difficult to interpret. In line with the referee's suggestions, we have re-organised the figure by doing the following:

- added latitude and longitude grid to panel A (now inset in larger panel A)
- include a new panel (A) which shows the broader geographical context of the Heritage Range area

- re-orientate panels B and C so that north is now at the top of the page
- remove the north arrow in panel A

We note that all plan-view figures have also been rotated to match the orientations of panel B and C in Figure 1 and references to 'left' and 'right' in the interpretation of the results have been removed and replaced with the relevant cardinal directions.

Figure 2. This figure is not referred to in the text as far as I can see?

This is a simple oversight on our part. We have added a reference to this figure at new line 113 in the revised manuscript, following a brief description of the site geomorphology.

Figure 5. Can these lines be plotted in colour? I find it very difficult to tell which is which.

Yes – the lines on this graph now appear in different colours to aid interpretation.

1 Inter-annual surface evolution of an Antarctic blue-ice moraine using multi-temporal DEMs 2 3 M. J. Westoby¹, S. A. Dunning², J. Woodward¹, A. S. Hein³, S. M. Marrero³, K. Winter¹ 4 and D. E. Sugden³ 5 6 7 [1]{Department of Geography, Engineering and Environment, Northumbria University, 8 Newcastle upon Tyne, UK} 9 [2]{School of Geography, Politics and Sociology, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon 10 Tyne, UK} 11 12 [3]{School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK} 13 14 15 Correspondence to: M. J. Westoby (matt.westoby@northumbria.ac.uk) 16 17 Abstract 18 19 Multi-temporal and fine resolution topographic data products are being increasingly 20 used to quantify surface elevation change in glacial environments. In this study, we 21 employ 3D digital elevation model (DEM) differencing to quantify the topographic

22 evolution of a blue-ice moraine complex in front of Patriot Hills, Heritage Range, 23 Antarctica. Terrestrial laser scanning (TLS) was used to acquire multiple topographic 24 datasets of the moraine surface at the beginning and end of the austral summer 25 season in 2012/2013 and during a resurvey field campaign in 2014. A 26 complementary topographic dataset was acquired at the end of season 1 through the 27 application of Structure-from-Motion (SfM) photogrammetry to a set of aerial photographs taken from an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). Three-dimensional 28 cloud-to-cloud differencing was undertaken using the Multiscale Model to Model 29 Cloud Comparison (M3C2) algorithm. DEM differencing revealed net uplift and 30 31 lateral movement of the moraine crests within season 1 (mean uplift ~0.10 m), with lowering of a similar magnitude in some inter-moraine depressions and close to the 32 33 current ice margin. Our results indicate net uplift across the site between seasons 1 and 2 (mean 0.07 m). This research demonstrates that it is possible to detect 34 dynamic surface topographical change across glacial moraines over short (annual to 35 intra-annual) timescales through the acquisition and differencing of fine-resolution 36 topographic datasets. Such data offer new opportunities to understand the process 37 38 linkages between surface ablation, ice flow, and debris supply within moraine ice.

39 1. Introduction

40

55

Fine-resolution topographic data products are now routinely used for the 41 42 geomorphometric characterisation of Earth surface landforms (e.g. Passalacqua et 43 al., 2014, 2015; Tarolli, 2014). Recent decades have seen the advent and uptake of a range of surveying technologies for characterising the form and evolution of Earth 44 45 surface topography at the macro- (landscape; kilometres), meso- (landform; metres) 46 and micro-scales (patch-scale; centimetre-millimetre). These technologies have 47 included, amongst others, the use of satellite remote sensing techniques (e.g. Kääb, 2002; Smith et al., 2006; Farr et al., 2007; Stumpf, 2014; Noh and Howat, 2015), as 48 well as field-based surveying platforms such as electronic distance meters (total 49 50 station; e.g. Keim et al., 1999; Fuller et al., 2003), differential global positioning systems (dGPS; e.g. Brasington et al., 2000; Wheaton et al., 2010), terrestrial laser 51 scanning (TLS; e.g. Rosser et al., 2005; Hodge et al., 2009), airborne light detection 52 53 and ranging (LiDAR; e.g. Bollmann et al., 2011) and softcopy or digital photogrammetry (e.g. Micheletti et al., 2015). 54

- More recently, geoscientists are increasingly adopting low-cost Structure-from-56 Motion with multi-view stereo (SfM-MVS) methods, which employ computer vision 57 58 and multi-view photogrammetry techniques to recover surface topography using 59 optical (e.g. James and Robson, 2012; Westoby et al., 2012; Javernick et al., 2014; Micheletti et al., 2014; Woodget et al., 2015; Smith and Vericat, 2015) or thermal 60 imagery (e.g. Lewis et al., 2015). Concomitant developments in lightweight 61 62 unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) technology, specifically decreasing system costs, increased portability, and improvements in the accessibility of flight planning 63 software have encouraged the acquisition of repeat, fine-resolution 64 (metre to centimetre) topographic data products from low-altitude aerial photography platforms 65 (e.g. Niethammer et al., 2010; Ouédraogo et al., 2014; Bhardwaj et al., 2016). 66 67 Furthermore, the differencing of topographic datasets acquired at different times is now an established method for quantifying the transfer of mass and energy through 68 69 landscapes at the spatial scales of observation at which many processes operate 70 (Passalacqua et al., 2015).
- 71

To date, fine-resolution topographic datasets produced using airborne or groundbased light detection and ranging (LiDAR), or terrestrial or low-altitude aerial digital photogrammetry have been used for a diverse range of applications in various glacial, proglacial, and periglacial environments at a range of scales, including: the quantification of ice surface evolution (e.g. Baltsavias et al., 2001; Pitkänen and Kajuutti, 2004; Keutterling and Thomas, 2006; Schwalbe and Maas, 2009;

Immerzeel et al., 2014; Pepin et al., 2014; Whitehead et al., 2014; Gabbud et al., 78 2015; Kraaijenbrink et al., 2015; Piermattei et al., 2015; Ryan et al., 2015); mapping 79 the redistribution of proglacial sediment (e.g. Milan et al., 2007; Irvine-Fynn et al., 80 2011; Dunning et al., 2013; Staines et al., 2015) and moraine development 81 82 (Chandler et al., 2015); the characterisation of glacier surface roughness (e.g. Sanz-83 Ablanedo et al., 2012; Irvine-Fynn et al., 2014), sedimentology (Westoby et al., in press2015), and hydrology (Rippin et al., 2015); as well as input data for surface 84 85 energy balance modelling (e.g. Arnold et al., 2006; Reid et al., 2012); and for characterising glacial landforms in formerly glaciated landscapes (e.g. Smith et al., 86 2009; Tonkin et al., 2014; Hardt et al., 2015). 87

In this study, we utilise fine-resolution topographic datasets to quantify the surface 89 evolution of a blue-ice moraine complex in a remote part of Antarctica. Blue-ice 90 91 areas cover approximately 1% of Antarctica's surface area (Bintanja, 1999), yet they 92 remain relatively understudied. Relict blue-ice moraines preserved on nunataks are 93 key indicators of ice sheet elevation changes; however, limited data exist on rates and patterns of surface reorganisation, which may be of use for contextualising the 94 results of, for example, cosmogenic nuclide dating and geomorphological mapping. 95 This research seeks to quantify the short-term surface evolution of a moraine 96 97 complex in Patriot Hills, Heritage Range, Antarctica (Fig. 1), through the differencing and analysis of multi-temporal topographic datasets acquired using TLS and the 98 99 application of SfM-MVS photogrammetry to optical imagery acquired from a lowaltitude UAV sortie. 100

102 2. Study site

88

101

103

The study site is a blue-ice moraine complex, located on the northern flank of the 104 105 Patriot Hills massif at the southern-most extent of Heritage Range, West Antarctica 106 (Fig. 1). Blue-ice moraine formation is hypothesised to be the result of preferential ablation of marginal ice by katabatic winds, which in turns prompts the modification 107 108 of ice flow and englacial sediment transport pathways such that basal sediment is 109 brought to the ice surface, where it is deposited (e.g. Bintanja, 1999; Sinisalo and Moore, 2010; Fogwill et al., 2012; Spaulding et al., 2012; Hein et al., 2016). The site 110 comprises a series of broadly east-west oriented moraine ridges and inter-moraine 111 112 troughs, as well as an area of subdued moraine topography immediately adjacent to 113 the ice margin (Fig. 2). At this location, the active blue-ice moraines occupy an 114 altitudinal range of 60-70 m above the ice margin (~730 m a.s.l.), and extend for a 115 distance of up to 350 m into a bedrock embayment. The blue-ice moraines can be 116 traced for a distance of >4 km to the east and north-east, parallel to the range front,

and fill ice-marginal embayments. The site is geomorphologically and 117 sedimentologically complex (e.g. Vieira et al., 2012; Westoby et al., in press2015), 118 and, along with moraine ridges and troughs, includes areas of subdued ice-marginal 119 topography with thermokarst melt ponds, local gullying and crevassing on ice-120 121 proximal and distal moraine flanks, as well as solifluction deposits at the base of the 122 surrounding hillslopes. The bedrock hillslopes are overlain by a till drape with rare, 123 large exotic sandstone boulder erratics which have some evidence of periglacial 124 reworking. Field observations suggest that the blue-ice moraines are dynamic 125 features which are undergoing localised surface changes. It is these short-term, changes which are the subject of investigation in this paper. 126

128 3. Methods and data products

This research employs two methods for reconstructing moraine surface topography, 130 specifically TLS and SfM-MVS photogrammetry. Two field campaigns at Patriot Hills 131 132 were undertaken with a 12-month survey interval. Briefly, TLS data were acquired at the beginning and end of austral summer season 1 (December 2012 and January 133 134 2013, respectively), and in a short resurvey visit in season 2 (January 2014). Low-135 altitude aerial optical photography was acquired from a UAV at the end of season 1 136 and was used as the primary input to SfM-MVS processing. The following sections 137 detail the two methods of topographic data acquisition, data processing, and 138 subsequent analysis using 'cloud-to-cloud' differencing.

139

127

129

140 **3.1. Topographic data acquisition**

141

142 3.1.1. Terrestrial Laser Scanning

143

144 TLS data were acquired using a Riegl LMS-Z620 time-of-flight laser scanner, set to acquire ~11,000 points per second in the near-infrared band at horizontal and 145 vertical scanning increments of 0.031°, equivalent to a point spacing of 0.05 m at a 146 distance of 100 m and with a beam divergence of 15 mm per 100 m. -Data were 147 acquired from six locations across the site at the beginning of season 1 (7th -11th 148 December 2012; Fig. 1; Table 1). Two of these positions were re-occupied at the 149 end of season 1 (9th January 2013) and three positions were reoccupied in season 2 150 (Fig. 1; 14th January 2014). Following manual editing and the automated removal of 151 isolated points to improve data quality, each set of scans were co-registered in Riegl 152 RISCAN PRO software (v. 1.5.9) using a two-step procedure employing coarse 153 manual point-matching followed by the application of a linear, iterative, least-squares 154 155 minimisation solution to reduce residual alignment error. Individual scans were then merged to produce a single 3D point cloud for each scan date. Merged scan data
from the end of seasons 1 and 2 were subsequently registered to the scan data from
the beginning of season 1 using the methods described above (Table 1).

159

161

160 **3.1.2.** Structure-from-Motion with Multi-View Stereo photogrammetry

Low-altitude aerial photographs of the study site were acquired using a 10-Megapixel 162 Panasonic Lumix DMC-LX5 compact digital camera with a fixed focal length (8 mm) 163 and automatic exposure settings, mounted in a fixed, downward-facing (nadir) 164 165 perspective on a sub-5 kg fixed-wing UAV. Photographs were acquired in a single 166 sortie lasting ~5 minutes. A total of 155 photographs were acquired at a 2-second 167 interval at an approximate ground height of 120 m, producing an average image 168 overlap of 80%, and an approximate ground resolution of 0.07 m² per pixel. Mean point density was ~300 points per m², compared to a mean of 278 points per m² for 169 170 the TLS datasets. Motion blur of the input images was negligible due to favourable 171 image exposure conditions and an appropriate UAV flying height and speed.

172

173 UAV photographs were used as input to SfM reconstruction using the proprietary Agisoft PhotoScan Professional Edition (v. 1.1.6) software. Unique image tie-points 174 175 which are stable under variations in view perspective and lighting are identified and 176 matched across input photographs, similar to Lowe's (2004) Scale Invariant Feature 177 Transform (SIFT) method. An iterative bundle adjustment algorithm is used to solve for internal and external camera orientation parameters and produce a sparse 3D 178 179 point cloud. The results of the first-pass camera pose estimation were scrutinised 180 and only 3D points which appear in a minimum of 3 photographs and possessed a 181 reprojection error of <1.0 were retained. A two-phase method of UAV-SfM data 182 registration was employed: 1) ground control was obtained by identifying common 183 features in the UAV-SfM photographs and TLS data from the end of season 1 184 (acquired 4 days after the SfM data; Table 1), such as the corners of large, well-185 resolved boulders. GCP data were used to optimise the initial camera alignment and transform the regenerated UAV-SfM data to the same object space as the TLS data, 186 producing an xyz RMS error of 0.23 m. 2) following dense reconstruction, 3D point 187 data were exported to RiSCAN PRO (v. 1.5.9) software, and a linear, iterative, least-188 189 squares minimisation employing surface plane matching was used to improve the 190 alignment and reduce the xyz RMS error to 0.03 m.

191

192 3.2. Cloud-to-cloud differencing

194 Three-dimensional 'cloud-to-cloud' distance calculations were used to quantify moraine surface evolution (e.g. Lague et al., 2013). Since the dominant direction of 195 196 surface evolution across the study site was unknown a priori, the application of an algorithm that is capable of detecting fully three-dimensional topographic change 197 198 was deemed to be the most appropriate method in this context. To this end, we 199 employ the Multiscale Model to Model Cloud Comparison (M3C2) algorithm (Lague et al., 2013; Barnhart and Crosby, 2013), implemented in the open-source 200 201 CloudCompare software (v. 2.6.1) for change detection.

203 The M3C2 algorithm implements two main processing steps to calculate 3D change between two point clouds: 1) estimation of surface normal orientation at a scale 204 205 consistent with local surface roughness, and 2) quantification of the mean cloud-tocloud distance (i.e. surface change) along the normal direction (or orthogonal 206 207 vector), which includes an explicit calculation of the local confidence interval. A point-208 specific normal vector is calculated by fitting a plane to neighbouring 3D points that are contained within a user-specified search radius. To avoid the fluctuation of 209 normal vector orientations and a potential overestimation of the distance between 210 211 two point clouds, the radius, or scale, used for normal calculation needs to be larger than the topographic roughness, which is calculated as the standard deviation of 212 213 local surface elevations (σ). The orientation of the surface normal around a point, *i*, is 214 therefore dependent on the scale at which it is computed (Lague et al., 2013). A trial-215 and-error approach was employed to reduce the estimated normal error, $E_{norm}(\%)$, 216 through refinement of a re-scaled measure of D, ξ , where:

202

218 $\xi(i) = \frac{D}{\sigma_i(D)}$

Eq. (1)

219

Using this re-scaled measure of *D*, ξ can be used as an indicator of estimated normal orientation accuracy, such that where ξ falls in the range ~20-25, the estimated normal error is *E*_{norm} < 2% (Lague et al., 2013). A fixed normal scaling of 2 m was found to be sufficient to ensure that ξ >20 for >98% of points in each topographic dataset.

225

The radius of the projection cylinder, *d*, within which the average surface elevation of each cloud is calculated, was specified as 2 m. This scaling ensured that the number of points sampled in each cloud was ≥30, following guidance provided by Lague et al. (2013). M3C2 execution took ~0.3 h for each differencing task on a desktop computer operating with 32 GB of RAM, and a 3.4 GHz CPU. Cloud-to-cloud distances and statistics were projected onto the original point cloud. M3C2 output was subsequently masked to exclude points where change is lower than level of detection threshold for a 95% confidence level, $LoD_{95\%}(d)$, which is defined as:

235
$$LoD_{95\%}(d) = \pm 1.96 \left(\frac{\sigma_1(d)^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2(d)^2}{n_2} + reg \right)$$
 Eq. (2)
236

where *d* is the radius of the projection cylinder, reg is the user-specified registration error, for which we substitute the propagated root mean square alignment error for point clouds n_1 and n_2 (Table 2; Eq. (1)) and assume that this error is isotropic and spatially uniform across the dataset.

To calculate the total propagated error for each differencing epoch, σ_{DoD} , the estimates of errors in each point cloud (i.e. the sum of the average scan-scan RMS error and a project-project RMS error, where applicable) were combined using:

246
$$\sigma_{DoD} = \sqrt{\sigma_{C_1}^2 + \sigma_{C_2}^2}$$
 Eq. (3)

A, and between 22-30 m in profile B; Fig. 4). Given that the SfM data were optimised

247

249

269

245

241

234

where $\sigma_{c_1}^2$ and $\sigma_{c_2}^2$ are the RMS errors associated with point clouds C_1 and C_2 .

250				
251	3.3. Data intercomparison: SfM vs. TLS		Formatted: Font: Bold	
252			Formatted: Font: Bold	
253	Whilst the UAV-SfM dataset acquired at the end of season 1 significantly improves			
254	on the spatial coverage afforded by the use of TLS across the moraine embayment,			
255	an analyses of the relative accuracy of the reconstructed surface topography of the			
256	former is desirable. To this end, Fig. 3 shows the results of vertical differencing of the	_	Formatted: Font color: Auto	
257	UAV-SfM and TLS data, complemented by a series of surface elevation profiles (Fig.			
258	4). These results reveal that 83% of the UAV-SfM data are within ±0.1 m of the		Formatted: Font color: Auto	
259	equivalent TLS data, with a number of outliers at the northernmost margin of the			
260	dataset, where the UAV-SfM data typically underestimate the TLS surface elevation.			
261	Similarly, the UAV-SfM data underestimate the surface elevation of the ice-proximal			
262	flank of the main moraine crest by, on average, ~0.13 m. UAV-SfM data			
263	overestimate the moraine surface elevation in the north-western sector of the site by			
264	~0.12 m, with some outliers which exceed ~0.3 m.			
265				
266	Transect data also highlight areas of inconsistency, specifically often considerable			
267	offsets between the TLS and SfM data which were collected at the end of season 1			
268	and which, in places, approach 0.5 m in magnitude (e.g. at ~27 m distance in profile			

270 and georegistered using features extracted from the corresponding TLS dataset, one might expect that deviations between the two would be barely discernible. However, 271 the SfM data variously over- and underestimate the TLS-derived surface elevation 272 with little apparent systematicity (Fig. 4). One potential explanation for these 273 inconsistencies could be the evolution of moraine surface topography in the 4-day 274 interval which separated the acquisition of the TLS and SfM data at the end of 275 276 season 1 (Table 1), with the implication that features used as GCPs in the TLS data and their counterparts in the UAV-SfM data were not static, thereby affecting the 277 georeferencing and SfM optimisation solution. However, as we observe no clustering 278 of large GCP errors in areas of activity, this factor is unlikely to account for these 279 topographic inconsistencies. 280

282 An additional, and equally viable explanation for these inconsistencies might include 283 the near-parallel and largely nadir view directions of the UAV imagery, which represent a largely 'non-convergent' mode of photograph acquisition that has 284 285 elsewhere been found to result in the deformation, or 'doming' of SfM-derived surface topography (e.g. James and Robson, 2014; Rosnell and Honkavaara, 2012; 286 287 Javernick et al., 2014). Topographic mismatches between the TLS and UAV-SfM data appear to be the most prominent in areas of steep topography (Fig. 3; Fig. 4). 288 289 These areas were generally well-resolved in the TLS data (where not topographically occluded), but may have been resolved in less detail and with less accuracy in the 290 291 UAV-SfM data, where the fixed camera angle promotes the foreshortening of these 292 steep slopes in the aerial photography. Model deformations can be countered to some degree through the inclusion of additional, oblique imagery, and use of suitable 293 294 GCPs (James and Robson, 2014). However, although the latter were relatively evenly spaced across our study site, the inclusion of these data and subsequent use 295 for the optimisation of the SfM data prior to dense point cloud reconstruction does 296 not appear to have altogether eliminated these model deformations. 297

Formatted: Font color: Auto

299 300 301

298

281

4. Short-term topographic evolution of blue-ice moraines

The results of 3D cloud--to-cloud differencing are summarised in Figures 35 to 5. 302 303 Threshold levels of change detection ranged from 0.094 - 0.103 m. The upper (i.e. most conservative) bound of this range was applied to the results from all 304 differencing epochs, so that only 3D surface changes greater than 0.103 m were 305 considered in the subsequent analysis. The horizontal (xy) and vertical (z)306 307 components of 3D surface change were separated to aid the analysis and 308 interpretation of moraine surface evolution. Vertical surface changes for a range of epochs, encompassing intra-annual and annual change, are displayed in Fig. 53, 309

310 whilst the illustrative horizontal components of 3D change are shown in Fig. 46. The longest differencing epoch, representing a period of ~400 days (Fig. 53b) shows a 311 312 broad pattern of net uplift across the moraine of the order of 0.074 m. Locally, uplift exceeds 0.2 m across parts of the moraine complex, and, whilst on first glance these 313 314 elevation gains appear to be largely randomly distributed across the site, on closer 315 inspection they occur predominantly on or adjacent to the main, central moraine 316 ridge and close to the current ice margin. The large central moraine ridge exhibits a 317 mean uplift of 0.11 m, whilst specific ice-marginal areas to the bottom-right (west), and an area of moraine to the in the top-right (south-west) of the embayment also 318 exhibit uplift of a similar magnitude (Fig. 35b). In contrast, an area at the centre-top 319 320 in the (southernmost) extent-sector of the basin and an ice-marginal area to the 321 centre-west exhibit a net reduction in moraine surface elevation, up to a maximum of 322 -0.354 m.

323

339

324 Intra-annual change detection mapping was undertaken using TLS-TLS and TLS-325 SfM differencing (Fig. 53c, d). Key similarities between these two datasets, which 326 represent vertical topographic change over a ~31 and ~27 day period, respectively, 327 include uplift at the centre-left (south-eastern) southern extent of the embayment 328 (mean 0.081 m and 0.123 m for the TLS-TLS and TLS-SfM differencing, 329 respectively). Similarly, both datasets reveal surface lowering at south-eastern, or 330 true rear, of the basin to the -centre-rear of the site (mean -0.106 m and -0.112 m for 331 TLS-SfM and TLS-TLS differencing, respectively), and, in the TLS-SfM data, on the ice-distal (southern) side of the central moraine ridge (Fig. 35c; -0.092 m). However, 332 the large area of ice-marginal surface lowering (-0.095 - -0.373 m) that is detected in 333 the TLS-SfM differencing results is not mirrored in the equivalent TLS-TLS 334 335 differencing data (Fig. 35d). This stems in large part from the reduced spatial 336 coverage of the usable TLS scan data acquired at the end of season 1, which comprised data from only two scan positions (Fig. 1c) and which omits the ice-337 338 marginal zone.

340 The results of vertical change detection using both SfM-TLS and TLS-TLS 341 approaches also display striking similarities for differencing undertaken between the 342 end of season 1, and season 2 (Fig. 35e,f), including a largely continuous area of 343 uplift across the central portioncentre of the site, as well as areas of surface lowering 344 along to the eastern centre-left (eastern) extent edge of the site. Whilst widespread uplift characterises the entire western (right) edge of the study area in the TLS-TLS 345 346 data (Fig. 53f), the equivalent SfM-TLS data instead report the occurrence of surface 347 lowering at the base of the hillslope spur which forms the western boundary of the site (Fig. 35e). Furthermore, an area of considerable (mean 0.218 m) uplift 348

characterises the ice-marginal zone in the SfM-TLS differencing data for this epoch,
but, once again, the reduced spatial coverage of the TLS datasets mean that no
differencing data are available to verify or contest this pattern. However, we note that
vertical change at the ice-marginal (northern) limit of the TLS-TLS data for both intraannual and annual differencing epochs do not correspond with the equivalent SfMTLS / TLS-SfM results.

Examples of horizontal displacement, calculated here as the xy component of the 356 357 orthogonal distance between two point clouds acquired at separate times, and 358 gridded to represent the average xy displacement within 10 m² grid cells, are shown in Fig. 46 for intra- (Fig. 46a) and inter-annual epochs (Fig. 64b). Within season 1, a 359 360 range of xy displacement orientations are detected, and range from sub-centimetre to >0.2 m in magnitude. These displacements include extensive southern (or 361 'inward') movement of the moraine surface in the ice-marginal zone, which is 362 associated with surface lowering, and which grades into a largely western-oriented 363 364 displacement signal on the ridgeline of the main moraine crest and across the 365 centre-right (western) sector of the moraine complex (Fig. 4a6a). Total xy 366 displacement over a >1 year period (Fig. 4b6b) appears to be less uniform and comparatively chaotic. However, a number of local and largely consistent patterns of 367 horizontal displacement are discernible, such as predominantly westward movement 368 along the central moraine ridge, and north- to north-eastern motion along the 369 western edge of the site (Fig. 46b), which also occurs within season 1 (Fig. 64ca). 370 371 Both trends are associated with net surface uplift. In contrast, isolated patches of 372 surface lowering are generally characterised by southern or south-westerly xy 373 displacement.

The analysis of a series of surface profile transects which bisect the moraines shed 375 further light on their topographic evolution (Fig. 54). These data are particularly 376 useful for examining the interplay between vertical and lateral moraine surface 377 378 displacement, which is alluded to in Fig. 46. For example, a combination of surface uplift and lateral displacement between the start and end of season 1 is -visible 379 380 between 28-40 m in profile A (Fig. 54, inset 1). Similarly, lateral (southern) translation 381 of the moraine surface between 15-22 m in profile C (Fig. 54, inset 2) is visible for the same differencing epoch. 382

383

374

355

These transect data also highlight areas of inconsistency, specifically often
 considerable offsets between the TLS and SfM data which were collected at the end
 of season 1 and which, in places, approach 0.5 m in magnitude (e.g. at ~27 m
 distance in profile A, and between 22-30 m in profile B; Fig. 5). Given that the SfM

388 data were optimised and georegistered using features extracted from the corresponding TLS dataset, one might expect that deviations between the two would 389 390 be barely discernible. However, the SfM data variously over- and underestimate the 391 TLS-derived surface elevation with little apparent systematicity (Fig. 5). One potential explanation for these inconsistencies could be the evolution of moraine surface 392 topography in the 4-day interval which separated the acquisition of the TLS and SfM 393 394 data at the end of season 1 (Table 1), with the implication that features used as GCPs in the TLS data and their counterparts in the UAV-SfM data were not static. 395 396 thereby affecting the georeferencing and SfM optimisation solution. However, as we observe no clustering of large GCP errors in areas of activity, this factor is unlikely to 397 398 account for these topographic inconsistencies.

399

416

An additional, and equally viable explanation for these inconsistencies might include 400 the near-parallel and largely nadir view directions of the UAV imagery, which 401 represent a largely 'non-convergent' mode of photograph acquisition that has 402 403 elsewhere been found to result in the deformation, or 'doming' of SfM-derived surface topography (e.g. James and Robson, 2014; Rosnell and Honkavaara, 2012; 404 Javernick et al., 2014). Topographic mismatches between the TLS and UAV-SfM 405 406 data appear to be the most prominent in areas of steep topography (Fig. 5). These areas were generally well-resolved in the TLS data (where not topographically 407 occluded), but may have been resolved in less detail and with less accuracy in the 408 UAV-SfM data, where the fixed camera angle promotes the foreshortening of these 409 410 steep slopes in the aerial photography. Model deformations can be countered to 411 some degree through the inclusion of additional, oblique imagery, and use of suitable GCPs (James and Robson, 2014). However, although the latter were relatively 412 evenly spaced across our study site, the inclusion of these data and subsequent use 413 for the optimisation of the SfM data prior to dense point cloud reconstruction does 414 415 not appear to have altogether eliminated these model deformations (Fig. 5).

417 The above shortcomings notwithstanding, this research nevertheless represents the 418 first successful application of a combination of high resolution surveying methods for 419 auantifying the topographic evolution of ice-marginal topography in this environment. 420 This study has demonstrated that, whilst a number of operational considerations, such as the requirement for multiple TLS station positions to acquire satisfactory 421 spatial coverage across a topographically complex site of this size, and the 422 423 necessary deployment of an independent set of dedicated GCPs for accurate UAV-424 SfM georegistration or the acquisition of additional, oblique aerial photographs, must 425 be taken into account, these technologies are appropriate for reconstructing blue-ice

Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.3

128	←		Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.3
429	5. Implications for glaciological process analysis		li
430		\bigwedge	Formatted: Font: Bold
431	A comprehensive analysis of the evolution of the Patriot Hills blue-ice moraine and		Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold
132	its relationships to ablation and underlying ice structure is the focus of another study.		Left: 0 cm, Hanging: 0.5 cm, Outline
133	but Here we it is worth highlighting some implications arising from the measurement		Style: 1, 2, 3, + Start at: 1 +
434	of these short-term changes in surface morphology. Topographically, the Patriot Hills		Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.63 cm + Indent at: 1.27 cm
435	blue-ice moraine confirms the morphological observations of the embayment.		
436	described by Fogwill et al. (2012) as comprising sloping terraces and blocky, pitted		
437	boulder moraine ridges. These ridges are thought to be fed from beneath by steeply		
438	dipping debris bands coming from depth, driven by ice-flow compensating for		
439	katabatic wind ablation of the glacier. Vieira et al. (2012) classify what we term blue-		
440	ice moraines as 'supraglacial moraine', and the debris bands in the blue ice outside		
441	of the basin as blue-ice moraines. It is from clasts emerging from these bands that		
442	Fogwill et al. (2012) have produced their model of blue-ice moraine formation in the		
443	basin. The supraglacial moraines of Vieira et al. (2012) are described as slightly		
444	creeping debris-mantled slopes – both Fogwill et al. (2012) and Vieira et al. (2012)		
445	consider the features in the basin as active, but without measurements of		
446	observations of rates, or the nature of change. Our differencing results confirm the		
447	hypothesis that these features are active, and develops this idea further to		
448	demonstrate that moraine slope evolution is active over annual to intra-annual		
449	timescales.		
450			
451	Hättestrand and Johansen (2005) discussed the evolution of blue-ice moraine		Formatted: Left
452	complexes in Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica, and hypothesised that, following ice-		
453	marginal deposition of debris when the adjacent ice surface was higher, the		
454	subsequent lowering of the exposed ice surface would produce a slope 'outwards'		
455	from an embayment, followed by gradual movement of material towards the ice-		
456	margin in a manner similar to that exhibited by active rock glaciers - features that		
457	Vieira et al. (2012) interpret in the next basin along the Patriot Hills range. However,		
458	whilst the former holds true as an explanation for the general gradient of the Patriot		
459	Hills moraine complex (e.g. Fig. 4), our results suggest that the short-term evolution		
460	of the moraines does not necessarily conform to the latter hypothesis of such as		
461	simple process of consistent downslope movement, and in fact exhibits far more		
162	dynamic complexity.		Formatted: Font color: Background 1

465 axes of activity and uplift (Fig. 53c), despite initial field observations suggesting that the ridges most distant from the exposed ice surface were older and less active.-466 Fogwill et al. (2012) suggest that once upcoming debris is at a sufficient thickness, 467 468 wind-driven ablation shuts off. Our observations suggest that if this is the case, these 469 ridges are not left stagnant at this point. The interplay between ice flow and surface 470 elevation lowering by wind, but reduced by thicker debris, continues despite the 471 possible ages of the surface debris relative to ridges closer to the contemporary 472 blue-ice margin. This activity is not simply confined to 'inward' or 'outward' 473 movement of moraines within the embayment, but also involves a lateral component. Secondly, the surface lowering is the result of ablation and ilt is notable that most 474 475 lowering occurred near the ice margin where the debris layer is typically thinnest and less than ~0.15 m. Surface lowering in this area exceeds 0.3 m-within season 1 (Fig. 476 477 5c), and may be the result of sub-debris ice ablation, which promotes terrain 478 relaxation and has been widely reported in other ice-proximal landscapes (e.g. Krüger and Kjær, 2000; Schomacker, 2008; Irvine-Fynn et al., 2011; Staines et al., 479 480 2015). 481

482 Lateral movement within the moraine ridges (Fig. 6) may reflect lateral extension or 483 stretching' of the ridges as they encroach into the embayment. Such lateral 484 movement is corroborated from the orientation of crevasse-based grooves in the 485 moraine (Fig. 2c). The apparent inward encroachment of the Patriot Hills moraines 486 may be the product of the pressure exerted on the moraines by glacier ice flow into the embayment in compensation for preferential ice ablation by katabatic winds, 487 488 which is consistent with blue-ice moraine formation theory (Fogwill et al., 2012). 489 Finally, the close match of inter-season surface elevation cross-profiles between 490 seasons (Fig. 5) points to medium-term stability of the moraine system. This 491 conclusion will be investigated through the application of cosmogenic isotope 492 evidence to assess change since the Holocene.

494	More broadly, this study has demonstrated the potential for the combination of	Formatted: Font: 12 pt
495	different high-resolution surveying technologies and advanced, 3D topographic	Formatted: Normal, Left, Line spacing: Multiple 1.3 li, No bullets or
496	differencing methods for elucidating the short-term evolution of glaciated landscapes.	numbering
497	Whilst this study has focused exclusively on the surface evolution of Antarctic blue-	Formatted: Font: 12 pt
498	ice moraines, the application of 3D differencing methods to quantify change between	Formatted: Font: 12 pt
499	repeat, accurate topographic surveys has a wide range of potential glaciological	
500	applications, which cryospheric researchers have already begun to capitalise on	
501	(e.g. Piermattei et al., 2015, Gabbud et al., 2015; Kraaijenbrink et al., 2016). A key	
502	contribution of this study to the wider Earth surface dynamics community is the	
503	demonstration of truly 3D differencing methods to reveal not only vertical surface	Formatted: Font: 12 pt

504	change, but also the magnitude and direction of any lateral component to surface	
505	movement. Such methods may have particular value for quantifying the 3D surface	
506	evolution of, for example, rock glaciers, degrading ice-cored moraines, or slope	
507	instabilities in permafrost regions, where information regarding both vertical and	
508	lateral components of landscape development may be both of scientific interest and	
509	practical application.	
510		•

Formatted: Font: 12 pt Formatted: Font: 12 pt Formatted: Font: 12 pt

-	Formatted: Font: 12 pt				
Formatted: Left Formatted: Font: Bold					
Formatted: Left, Line spacing: Multiple 1.3 li					
	Formatted: Normal, Left, Line spacing: Multiple 1.3 li, No bullets or numbering				

5. Summary

511

512 513

514

527

<u>6.</u>

This research has employed a combination of TLS and UAV-based SfM-MVS 515 photogrammetry and 3D differencing methods to quantify the topographic evolution 516 517 of an Antarctic blue-ice moraine complex over annual and intra-annual timescales. 518 Segmentation of lateral and vertical surface displacements reveal site- and local-519 scale patterns of geomorphometric moraine surface evolution beyond a threshold 520 level of detection (95% confidence), including largely persistent vertical uplift across 521 key moraine ridges, both within a single season, and between seasons. This persistent uplift is interspersed with areas (and periods) of surface downwasting 522 523 which is largely confined to the rear of the moraine basin for both differencing epochs, and in ice-marginal regions within season 1. Analysis of lateral displacement 524 525 vectors, which are generally of a much smaller magnitude than vertical 526 displacements, provide further insights into moraine surface evolution.

528 A number of methodological shortcomings are highlighted. Briefly, these relate to the incomplete spatial coverage afforded by the use of TLS in a topographically complex 529 environment, and issues associated with obtaining suitable ground control for SfM-530 531 MVS processing and potential implications for the accuracy of SfM-derived 532 topographic data products. The research represents the first successful application 533 of these techniques in such a remote environment. This research represents the first 534 successful application of a combination of high-resolution surveying methods for 535 guantifying the topographic evolution of ice-marginal topography in this environment. Furthermore, we have demonstrated that, whilst a number of operational 536 537 considerations must be taken into account at the data collection stage, these technologies are highly appropriate for reconstructing moraine surface topography 538 539 and for quantifying Earth surface evolution in glaciated landscapes more generally.

- 540 541
- 542

543 Author contribution

544

545

S. A. Dunning, J. Woodward, A. Hein, K. Winter, S. M. Marrero and D. E. Sugden collected field data. TLS and SfM data processing and differencing were undertaken 546 by M. J. Westoby. Data analysis was performed by M. J. Westoby, S. A. Dunning 547 and J. Woodward. Manuscript figures were produced by M. J. Westoby. All authors 548 549 contributed to the writing and revision of the manuscript.

550

Acknowledgements 551

552

553 The research was funded by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (Research Grants NE/I027576/1, NE/I025840/1, NE/I024194/1, NE/I025263/1). We 554

thank the British Antarctic Survey for logistical support. 555

556 **References**

564

574

579

588

593

597

602

557
558 Agisoft: Agisoft PhotoScan Professional Edition v.1.1.6. Available:
559 http://www.agisoft.com, 2014.
560

Arnold, N. S., Rees, W. G., Hodson, A. J., and Kohler, J.: Topographic controls on
the surface energy balance of a high Arctic valley glacier. Journal of Geophysical
Research, 111, F02011, doi: 10.1029/2005JF000426, 2006.

- Baltsavias, E. P., Favey, E., Bauder, A., Bösch, H., and Pateraki, M.: Digital surface
 modelling by airborne laser scanning and digital photogrammetry for glacier
 monitoring. Photogrammetric Record, 17, 243-273, doi: 10.1111/0031-868X.00182,
 2001
- 570 Barnhart, T. B. and Crosby, B. T.: Comparing two methods of surface change 571 detection on an evolving thermokarst using high-temporal-frequency terrestrial laser 572 scanning, Selawik River, Alaska. Remote Sensing, 5, 2813-2837, doi: 573 10.3390/rs5062813, 2013.
- Bhardwaj, A., Sam, L., Akanksha, Martín-Torres, F.J., and Kumar, R.: UAVs as
 remote sensing platform in glaciology: Present applications and future prospects.
 Remote Sensing of Environment, 175, 196-204, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2015.12.029,
 2016.
- Bintanja, R.: On the glaciological, meteorological, and climatological significance of
 Antarctic blue ice areas. Reviews of Geophysics, 37, 337-359, doi:
 10.1029/1999RG900007, 1999.
- Bollmann, E., Sailer, R., Briese, C., Stotter, J., and Fritzmann, P.: Potential of
 airborne laser scanning for geomorphologic feature and process detection and
 quantifications in high alpine mountains. Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie, 55, 83-104,
 doi: 10.1127/0372-8854/2011/0055S2-0047, 2011.
- Brasington, J., Rumsby, B. T., and McVey, R. A.: Monitoring and modelling
 morphological change in a braided gravel-bed river using high resolution GPS-based
 survey. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 25, 973-990, doi: 10.1002/10969837(20008)25:9<973::AID-ESP111>3.0.CO;2-Y, 2000.
- Chandler, B. M. P., Evans, D. J. A., Roberts, D. H., Ewertowski, M., and Clayton, A.
 I.: Glacial geomorphology of the Skálafellsjökull foreland, Iceland: A case study of
 'annual' moraines. Journal of Maps, doi: 10.1080/17445647.2015.1096216, 2015.
- Dunning, S. A., Large, A. R. G., Russell, A. J., Roberts, M. J., Duller, R., Woodward,
 J., Mériaux, A-S., Tweed, F. S., and Lim, M.: The role of multiple glacier outburst
 floods in proglacial landscape evolution: The 2010 Eyjafjallajökull eruption, Iceland.
 Geology, 796 41(10), 1123-1136, doi: 10.1130/G34665, 2013.
- Farr, T. G., Rosen, P. A., Caro, E., Crippen, R., Duren, R., Hensley, S., Kobrick, M.,
 Paller, M., Rodriguez, E., Roth, L., Seal, D., Shaffer, S., Shimada, J., Umland, J.,
 Werner, M., Oskin, M., Burbank, D., and Alsdorf, D.: The shuttle radar topography

606 mission. Reviews of Geophysics, 45(2), RG2004, doi: 10.1029/2005RG000183, 607 2007.

Fogwill, C. J., Hein, A. S., Bentley, M. J., and Sugden, D. E.: Do blue-ice moraines in
the Heritage Range show the West Antarctic ice sheet survived the last interglacial?
Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 335-336, 61-70, doi:
10.1016/j.palaeo.2011.01.027, 2012.

Fuller, I. C., Large, A. R. G., and Milan, D.: Quantifying channel development and
sediment transfer following chute cutoff in a wandering gravel-bed river.
Geomorphology, 54, 307-323, doi: 10.1016/S0169-555X(02)00374-4, 2003.

Gabbud, C., Micheletti, N., and Lane, S. N.: Lidar measurement of surface melt for a
temperate Alpine glacier at the seasonal and hourly scales. Journal of Glaciology,
61(229), 963-974, doi: 10.3189/2015JoG14J226, 2015.

Hardt, J., Hebenstreit, R., Lüthgens, C., and Böse, M.: High-resolution mapping of
ice-marginal landforms in the Barnim region, northeast Germany. Geomorphology,
250, 41-52, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.07.045, 2015.

Hättestrand, C., and Johansen, N.: Supraglacial moraines in Scharffenbergbotnen,
Heimafrontfjella, Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica – significance for reconstructing
former blue ice areas. Antarctic Science, 17(2), 225-236, doi:
10.1017/S0954102005002634, 2005.

Hein, A.S., Woodward, J., Marrero, S.M., Dunning, S.A., Steig, E.J., Freeman,
S.P.H.T., Stuart, F.M., Winter, K., Westoby, M.J., and Sugden, D.E.: Evidence for
the stability of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet divide for 1.4 million years. Nature
Communications, 7, 10325, doi: 10/1038/ncomms10325, 2016.

Hodge, R., Brasington, J., and Richards, K.: In-situ characterisation of grain-scale
fluvial morphology using Terrestrial Laser Scanning. Earth Surface Processes and
Landforms, 34, 954-968, doi: 10.1002/esp.1780, 2009.

Immerzeel, W. W., Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Shea, J. M., Shrestha, A. B., Pellicciotti,
F., Bierkens, M. F. P., and de Jong, S. M.: High-resolution monitoring of Himalayan
glacier dynamics using unmanned aerial vehicles. Remote Sensing of Environment,
150, 93-103, doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2014.04.025, 2014.

Irvine-Fynn, T. D. L., Sanz-Ablanedo, E., Rutter, N., Smith, M. W., and Chandler, J.
H.: Measuring glacier surface roughness using plot-scale, close-range digital
photogrammetry. Journal of Glaciology, 60(223), 957-969, doi:
10.3189/2014JoG14J032, 2014.

James, M. R., and Robson, S.: Straightforward reconstruction of 3D surfaces and
topography with a camera: accuracy and geoscience application. Journal of
Geophysical Research, 117, F03017, doi: 10.1029/2011JF002289, 2012.

653

625

630

- James, M. R. and Robson, S.: Mitigating systematic error in topographic models
 derived from UAV and ground-based image networks. Earth Surface Processes and
 Landforms, 39, 1413-1420, doi: 10.1002/esp.3609, 2014.
- James, M. R., Robson, S., Pinkerton, H., and Ball, M.: Oblique photogrammetry with
 visible and thermal images of active lava flows. Bulletin of Volcanology, 69, 105-108,
 doi: 10.1007/200445-006-0062-9, 2006.
- Javernick, L., Brasington, J., and Caruso, B.: Modelling the topography of shallow
 braided rivers using Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry. Geomorphology, 213,
 116-182, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.01.006, 2014.
- Kääb, A.: Monitoring high-mountain terrain deformation from repeated air- and
 spaceborne optical data: examples using digital aerial imagery and ASTER data.
 ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 57(1-2), 39-52, doi:
 10.1016/S0924-2716(02)00114-4, 2002.
- Kääb, A., Girod, L., and Berthling, L.: Surface kinematics of periglacial sorted circles
 using structure-from-motion technology. The Cryosphere, 8, 1041-1056, doi:
 10.5194/tc-8-1041-2014, 2014.
- Keim, R. F., Skaugset, A. E., and Bateman, D. S.: Digital terrain modelling of small
 stream channels with a total-station theodolite. Advances in Water Resources, 23,
 41-48, doi: 10.1016/S0309-1708(99)00007-X, 1999.
- Keutterling, A. and Thomas, A.: Monitoring glacier elevation and volume changes
 with digital photogrammetry and GIS at Gepatschferner glacier, Austria. International
 Journal of Remote Sensing, 27(19), 4371-4380, doi: 10.1080/01431160600851819,
 2006.
- Kraaijenbrink, P., Meijer, S. W., Shea, J. M., Pellicciotti, F., de Jong, S. M., and
 Immerzeel W. W.: Seasonal surface velocities of a Himalayan glacier derived by
 automated correlation of unmanned aerial vehicle imagery. Annals of Glaciology,
 57(71), 103-113, doi: 10.3189/2016AoG71A072, 2016.
- Krüger, J., and Kjær, K.H.: De-icing progression of ice-cored moraines in a humid,
 subpolar climate, Kötlujökull, Iceland. The Holocene, 10(6), 737-747, doi:
 10.1191/09596830094980, 2000.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold

- Lague, D., Brodu, N., and Leroux, J.: Accurate 3D comparison of complex
 topography with terrestrial laser scanner: Application to the Rangitikei canyon (N-Z).
 ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 82, 10-26, doi:
 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2013.04.009, 2013.
- Lewis, A., Hilley, G. E., and Lewicki, J. L.: Integrated thermal infrared imaging and
 structure-from-motion photogrammetry to map apparent temperature and radiant
 hydrothermal heat flux at Mammoth Mountain, CA, USA. Journal of Volcanology and
 Geothermal Research, 303, 16-24, doi: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2015.07.025, 2015.
- 702

657

665

670

674

678

683

688

Lowe, D. G.: Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. International
Journal of Computer Vision, 60(2), 91-110, doi:
10.1023/B%VISI.0000029664.99615.94, 2004.

Micheletti, N., Chandler, J. H., and Lane, S. N.: Investigating the geomorphological
potential of freely available and accessible structure-from-motion photogrammetry
using a smartphone. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 40(4), 473-486, doi:
10.1002/esp.3648, 2014.

- Micheletti, N., Lane, S. N., and Chandler, J. H.: Application of archival aerial
 photogrammetry to quantify climate forcing of Alpine landscapes. The
 Photogrammetric Record, 30(150), 143-165, doi: 10.1111/phor.12099, 2015.
- Milan, D. J., Heritage, G. L., and Hetherington, D.: Application of a 3D laser scanner
 in the assessment of erosion and deposition volumes and channel change in a
 proglacial river. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 32, 1657-1674, doi:
 10.1002/esp.1592, 2007.

Niethammer, U., Rothmund, S., James, M. R., Traveletti, J., and Joswig, M.: UAVbased remote sensing of landslide. International Archives of the Photogrammetry,
Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, 38(5), 496-501, doi:
10.1016/j.enggeo.2011.03.012, 2010.

Noh, M-J. and Howat, I. M.: Automated stereo-photogrammetric DEM generation at
high latitudes: Surface Extraction with TIN-based Search-space Minimization
(SETSM) validation and demonstration over glaciated regions. GIScience and
Remote Sensing, 52(2), doi: 10.1080/15481603.2015.1008621, 198-217, 2015.

Ouédraogo, M. M., Degré, A., Debouche, C., and Lisein, J.: The evaluation of
unmanned aerial system-based photogrammetry and terrestrial laser scanning to
generate DEMs of agricultural watersheds. Geomorphology, 214, 339-355, doi:
10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.02.016, 2014.

Passalacqua, P., Hillier, J., and Tarolli, P.: Innovative analysis and use of highresolution DTMs for quantitative interrogation of Earth-surface processes. Earth
Surface Processes and Landforms, 39, 1400-1403, doi: 10.1002/esp.3616, 2014.

Passalacqua, P., Belmont, P., Staley, D. M., Simley, J. D., Arrowsmith, J. R., Bode,
C. A., Crosby, C., DeLong, S. B., Glenn, N. F., Kelly, S. A., Lague, D., Sangireddy,
H., Schaffrath, K., Tarboton, D. G., Wasklewicz, T., and Wheaton, J. M.: Analyzing
high resolution topography for advancing the understanding of mass and energy
transfer through landscapes: A review. Earth-Science Reviews, 148, 174-193, doi:
10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.05.012, 2015.

Pepin, N. C., Duane, W. J., Schaefer, M., Pike, G., and Hardy, D. R.: Measuring and
modeling the retreat of the summit ice fields on Kilimanjaro, East Africa. Arctic,
Antarctic and Alpine Research, 46(4), 905-917, doi: 10.1657/1938-4246-46.4.905,
2014.

751

706

711

715

720

730

735

- Piermattei, L., Carturan, L., and Guarnieri, A.: Use of terrestrial photogrammetry
 based on structure-from-motion for mass balance estimation of a small glacier in the
 Italian alps. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 40, 1791-1802, doi:
 10.1002/esp.3756, 2015.
- Pitkänen, T. and Kajuutti, K.: Close-range photogrammetry as a tool in glacier
 change detection. International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing
 and Spatial Information Sciences (ISPRS), 35, 769-773, 2004.
- Reid, T. D., Carenzo, M., Pellicciotti, F., and Brock, B. W.: Including debris cover
 effects in a distributed model of glacier ablation. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Atmospheres, 117, D18105, doi: 10.1029/2012JD017795, 2012.

764

769

777

786

790

- Rippin, D. M., Pomfret, A., and King, N.: High resolution mapping of supra-glacial
 drainage pathways reveals links between micro-channel drainage density, surface
 roughness and surface reflectance. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms,
 40(10), 1279-1290, doi: 10.1002/esp.3719, 2015.
- Rosnell, T. and Honkavaara, E.: Point cloud generation from aerial image data
 acquired by a quadrocopter type micro unmanned aerial vehicle and a digital still
 camera. Sensors, 12, 453-480, doi: 10.3390/s120100453, 2012.
- Rosser, N. J., Petley, D. N., Lim, M., Dunning, S. A., and Allison, R. J.: Terrestrial
 laser scanning for monitoring the process of hard rock coastal cliff erosion. Quarterly
 Journal of Engineering Geology & Hydrogeology, 38, 363-375, 2005.
- Ryan, J. C., Hubbard, A. L., Box, J. E., Todd, J., Christoffersen, P., Carr, J. R., Holt,
 T. O., and Snooke, N.: UAV photogrammetry and structure from motion to assess
 calving dynamics at Store Glacier, a large outlet draining the Greenland ice sheet.
 The Cryosphere, 9, 1-11, doi: 10.5194/tc-9-1-2015, 2015.
- Sanz-Ablanedo, E., Chandler, J. H., and Irvine-Fynn, T. D. L.: Studying glacial melt
 processes using sub-centimeter DEM extraction and digital close-range
 photogrammetry. ISPRS Archives, 39(B5), 435-440, 2012.
- 787 Schomacker, A.: What controls dead-ice melting under different climate conditions?
 788 A discussion. Earth-Science Reviews, 90, 103-113, doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.08.003, 2008.
- Schwalbe, E. and Maas, H. G.: Motion analysis of fast flowing glaciers from multitemporal terrestrial laser scanning. Photogrammetrie Fernerkundung
 Geoinformation, 1, 91-98, doi: 10.1127/0935-1221/2009/0009, 2009.
- Sinisalo, A. and Moore, J. C.: Antarctic blue ice area towards extracting
 paleoclimate information. Antarctic Science, 22(2), 99-115, doi:
 10.107/S0954102009990691, 2010.
- Smith, M. J., Rose, J., and Booth, S.: Geomorphological mapping of glaciallandforms from remotely sensed data: An evaluation of the principal data sources

and an assessment of their quality. Geomorphology, 76(1-2), 148-165, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2005.11.001, 2006.

803

812

818

823

828

832

837

846

Smith, M. J., Rose, J., and Gousie, M. B.: The Cookie Cutter: A method for obtaining
a quantitative 3D description of glacial bedforms. Geomorphology, 108, 209-218, doi:
10.1016/j.geomorph.2009.01.006, 2009.

Smith, M. W. and Vericat, D.: From experimental plots to experiment landscapes:
topography, erosion and deposition in sub-humid badlands from Structure-fromMotion photogrammetry. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, doi:
10.1002/esp.3747, 2015.

Spaulding, N. E., Spikes, V. B., Hamilton, G. S., Mayewski, P. A., Dunbar, N. W., 813 Harvey, R. P., Schutt, J., and Kurbatov, A. V.: Ice motion and mass balance at the 814 815 Allan Hills blue-ice area, Antarctica, with implications for paleoclimate reconstructions. Journal Glaciology, 58(208), 399-406, doi: 816 of 10.3189/2012JoG11J176, 2012. 817

Staines, K. E. H., Carrivick, J. L., Tweed, F. S., Evans, A. J., Russell, A. J.,
Jóhannesson, T., and Roberts, M.: A multi-dimensional analysis of pro-glacial
landscape change at Sólheimajökull, southern Iceland. Earth Surface Processes and
Landforms, 40, 809-822, doi: 10.1002/esp.3662, 2015.

Stumpf, A., Malet, J-P., Allemand, P., and Ulrich, P.: Surface reconstruction and
landslide displacement measurements with Pléiades satellite images. ISPRS Journal
of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 95, 1-12, doi:
10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2014.05.008, 2014.

Tarolli, P.: High-resolution topography for understanding Earth surface processes:
Opportunities and challenges. Geomorphology, 216, 295-312, doi:
10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.03.008, 2014.

Tonkin, T. N., Midgley, N. G., Graham, D. J., and Labadz, J. C.: The potential of
small unmanned aircraft systems and structure-from-motion for topographic surveys:
a test of emerging integrated approaches at Cwm Idwal, North Wales.
Geomorphology, 226, 35-43, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.07.021, 2014.

Vieira, R., Hinata, S., da Rosa, K. K., Zilberstein, S., and Simoes, J. C.: Periglacial
features in Patriot Hills, Ellsworth Mountains, Antarctica. Geomorphology, 155-156,
96-101, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2011.12.014, 2012.

Westoby, M. J., Brasington, J., Glasser, N. F., Hambrey, M. J., and Reynolds, J. M.:
'Structure-from-Motion' photogrammetry: A low-cost, effective tool for geoscience
applications. Geomorphology, 179, 300-314, doi: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.08.021,
2012.

Westoby, M. J., Dunning, S. A., Woodward, J., Hein, A. S., Marrero, S. M., Winter,
K., and Sugden, D. E.: Sedimentological characterisation of Antarctic moraines
using UAVs and Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry. Journal of Glaciology, in
press 61(230), 1088-1102, doi: 10.3189/2015JoG15J086, 2015.

Wheaton, J. M., Brasington, J., Darby, S. E., and Sear, D. A.: Accounting for
uncertainty in DEMs from repeat topographic surveys: improved sediment budgets.
Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 35, 136-156, doi: 10.1002/esp.1886, 2010.

Whitehead, K., Moorman, B., and Wainstein, P.: Measuring daily surface elevation and velocity variations across a polythermal arctic glacier using ground-based photogrammetry. Journal of Glaciology, 60(224), 1208-1220, doi: 10.3189/2014JoG14J080, 2014.

Woodget, A. S., Carbonneau, P. E., Visser, F., and Maddock, I. P.: Quantifying
submerged fluvial topography using hyperspatial resolution UAS imagery and
structure from motion photogrammetry. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms,
40(1), 47-64, doi: 10.1002/esp.3613, 2015.

865

860

866 Table 1

Field survey	Scan position	Scan date	Scan-scan registration error (RMS; m)	Project-project registration error (RMS; m)
Season 1 start (TLS)	1	07 Dec 2012	Static	Static
	2	08 Dec 2012	0.0327	
	3	08 Dec 2012	0.0391	
	5	09 Dec 2012	0.0301	
	6	01 Dec 2012	0.0258	
	7	11 Dec 2012	0.0258	
Season 1 end (TLS)	1	09 Jan 2013	Static	0.0145
	2	09 Jan 2013	0.0145	
Season 1 end (UAV-SfM)	-	05 Jan 2013	-	0.0306
Season 2 (TLS)	1	14 Jan 2014	Static	0.0149
	2	14 Jan 2014	0.0205	
	3	14 Jan 2014	0.0255	

867 Table 2

Differencing epoch	Propagated error (RMS: m)	M3C2 <i>LoD</i> ₀₅∞ (m)
S1 start (TLS) - S1 end (TLS)	0.049	0.098
S1 start (TLS) - S1 end (SfM)	0.050	0.103
S1 end (TLS) - S2 end (TLS)	0.048	0.098
S1 end (SfM) - S2 end (TLS)	0.049	0.102
S1 start (TLS) - S2 end (TLS)	0.050	0.099

869	Figure captions	Formatted: Font: Bold
870		
871 872	Figure 1. Blue-ice moraine embayment, Patriot Hills, Heritage Range, Antarctica. (a) Geographical context of Patriot Hills within the Heritage Range, southern Ellsworth	
873	Mountains. Antarctica context map. Red star is location of the Heritage Range. Black	
874	dot indicates location of the geographic south pole. (b) The Patriot Hills massif. The	
875	location of the study embayment and area displayed in (c) is highlighted in red. (c):	
876	Detailed study site overview map. Contours and underlying hillshade are derived	
877	from a UAV-SfM-derived DEM. TLS scanning positions for the start of season 1 are	
878	shown in red, blue and yellow. The two scan positions re-occupied at the end of	
879	season 1 are shown in blue, whilst the three scan positions reoccupied in season 2	
880	are shown in blue and red. Background to (ba) is © U.S. Geological Survey, (b) 2015	Formatted: Font: Bold
881	DigitalGlobe, both extracted from Google Earth (imagery date: 03/10/2009).	
882		
883	Figure 2. Field photographs of the Patriot Hills blue-ice moraine study site. (a)	
884	Panoramic photograph of the moraine embayment – view north-east towards the ice	
885	margin from the rear of the embayment. Area shown in (c) and position and view	
886	direction of camera (b) shown for reference. (b) View to the north-west with moraine	
887	crest in foreground and subdued, ice-marginal moraine surface topography in	
888	middle-ground. (c) Close-up of moraine topography, highlighting ridges and furrows	
889	on moraine crests and in inter-moraine troughs.	
890		
891	Figure 3. Results of vertical (Z _{diff} : m) differencing of the UAV-SfM and TLS datasets	
892	acquired at the end of season 1, represented as the mean difference within 10 m^2	
893	grid cells. 83% of the UAV-SfM data were found to be within ± 0.1 m of the equivalent	
894	TLS data. Profiles A-C are displayed in Fig. 4.	
895		
896	Figure 3. Vertical component of 3D topographic change (Z _{diif}) overlain on a UAV-	
897	SfM-derived hill-shaded DEM of the Patriot Hills blue-ice meraine complex.	
898	Topographic evolution was quantified using the Multiscale Model to Model Cloud	
899	Comparison (M3C2) algorithm in CloudCompare software. (a) UAV-SfM	
900	orthophotograph of the study site. Panels (b) to (f) cover specific differencing epochs	
901	using a combination of TLS and SfM data (see panel headings). Dashed line in (b) to	
902	(t) indicates locations of primary moraine ridge crest.	
903		
904	Figure 45. Moraine surface elevation profiles, extracted from gridded (0.2 m ²) digital	
905	elevation models of TLS- and StM-derived topographic datasets. Profile locations are	
906	shown in Figures, 43 and 6. Profiles A and B bisect the main central moraine crest,	
907	whilst profile C is located on moraine deposits at the back of the embayment. Inset	
908	numbered boxes in profiles A and C snow areas referred to in the text.	
909	Eigure 25 Martial component of 2D tonographic change (7) available of (14)	
910	Figure 30. Venical component of 3D topographic change (Zdiff) ovenain on a UAV-	
911	<u>Silvi-derived filli-Shaded DElvi of the Pathot Hills blue-ice moraine complex.</u>	
912	Topographic evolution was quantified using the Multiscale Model to Model Cloud	

913 914 915 916 917 918	Comparison (M3C2) algorithm in CloudCompare software. (a) UAV-SfM orthophotograph of the study site. Panels (b) to (f) cover specific differencing epochs using a combination of TLS and SfM data (see panel headings). Dashed line in (b) to (f) indicates locations of primary moraine ridge crest.
919 920	Figure 4<u>6</u>. Change detection mapping for (a) intra-annual (season 1 start to season 1 end) and (b) annual (season 1 start to season 2) differencing epochs. Horizontal
921 922	difference vectors (XY _{diff}) are scaled by magnitude and oriented according to the direction of change. The vertical component of 3D change (Z_{diff}) is shown in the
923 924	background. Transects A-C denote the location of moraine surface profiles displayed in Fig. <u>45</u> . Red dashes on both panels show approximate location of primary moraine rideo exact
925 926	ndge crest.
927	Figure 5. Moraine surface elevation profiles, extracted from gridded (0.2 m ²) digital
928	elevation models of TLS- and SfM-derived topographic datasets. Profile locations are
929	shown in Fig. 4. Profiles A and B bisect the main central moraine crest, whilst profile
930	C is located on meraine deposits at the back of the embayment. Inset numbered
931	boxes in profiles A and C show areas referred to in the text.
932	
933 934 935 936 937 938 939 939	Table 1. Terrestrial laser scanning and UAV-SfM survey dates and registration errors. Within each season, individual scans were registered to a single static position to produce a single, merged point cloud (scan-scan registration error). TLS data from the end of season 1 and for season 2 were subsequently registered to TLS data acquired at the start of season 1, producing a project-project registration error. The UAV-SfM data (season 1 end) were registered to TLS data from the end of season 1 end) were registered to TLS data from the end of season 1.
941 942 943 944 945 946 947	Table 2. Registration error propagation for specific differencing epochs. The propagated error for each differencing epoch is calculated using Eq. 3. The 95% level of detection, or detection threshold is calculated in M3C2 as the product of the propagated error and a measure of local point cloud roughness (Lague et al., 2013). The results of 3D differencing were filtered in CloudCompare so that only differences largest than the most conservative (largest) $LoD_{95\%}$ (i.e. 0.103 m) were considered to represent significant change.



Figure 1. Blue-ice moraine embayment, Patriot Hills, Heritage Range, Antarctica. (a) Geographical context of Patriot Hills within the Heritage Range, southern Ellsworth Mountains. (b) The Patriot Hills massif. The location of the study embayment and area displayed in (c) highlighted in red. (c): Detailed study site overview map. Contours and underlying hillshade are derived from a UAV-SfM-derived DEM. TLS positions for the start of season 1 are shown in red, blue and yellow. The two scan positions re-occupied at the end of season 1 are shown in blue, whilst the three scan positions reoccupied in season 2 are shown in blue and red. Background imagery: (a) © U.S. Geological Survey; (b) © 2015 DigitalGlobe, both extracted from Google Earth.



Figure 2. Field photographs of the Patriot Hills blue-ice moraine study site. (a) Panoramic photograph of the moraine embayment – view north-east towards the ice margin from the rear of the embayment. Area shown in (c) and position and view direction of camera (b) shown for reference. (b) View to the north-west with moraine crest in foreground and subdued, ice-marginal moraine surface topography in middle-ground. (c) Close-up of moraine topography, highlighting ridges and furrows on moraine crests and in inter-moraine troughs.



Figure 3. Results of vertical (Z_{diff} ; m) differencing of the UAV-SfM and TLS datasets acquired at the end of season 1, represented as the average difference within 10 m² grid cells. 83% of the UAV-SfM data were found to be within ±0.1 m of the equivalent TLS data. Profiles A-C are displayed in Fig. 4.



Figure 4. Moraine surface elevation profiles, extracted from gridded (0.2 m²) digital elevation models of TLS- and SfM-derived topographic datasets. Profile locations are shown in Fig. 4. Profiles A and B bisect the main central moraine crest, whilst profile C is located on moraine deposits at the back of the embayment. Inset numbered boxes in profiles A and C show areas referred to in the text.



Figure 5. Vertical component of 3D topographic change (Z_{diff}) overlain on a UAV-SfM-derived hillshaded DEM of the Patriot Hills blue-ice moraine complex. Topographic evolution was quantified using the Multiscale Model to Model Cloud Comparison (M3C2) algorithm in CloudCompare software. (a) UAV-SfM orthophotograph of the study site. Panels (b) to (f) cover specific differencing epochs using a combination of TLS and SfM data (see panel headings). Dashed line in (b) to (f) indicates locations of primary moraine ridge crest.



Figure 6. Change detection mapping for **(a)** intra-annual (season 1 start to season 1 end) and **(b)** annual (season 1 start to season 2) differencing epochs. Horizontal difference vectors (XY_{diff}) are scaled by magnitude and oriented according to the direction of change. The vertical component of 3D change (Z_{diff}) is shown in the background. Transects A-C denote the location of moraine surface profiles displayed in Fig. 5. Red dashes on both panels show approximate location of primary moraine ridge crest.

Table 1. Terrestrial laser scanning and UAV-SfM survey dates and registration errors. Within each season, individual scans were registered to a single static position to produce a single, merged point cloud (scan-scan registration error). TLS data from the end of season 1 and for season 2 were subsequently registered to TLS data acquired at the start of season 1, producing a project-project registration error. The UAV-SfM data (season 1 end) were registered to TLS data from the end of season 1.

Field survey	Scan position	Scan date	Scan-scan registration error (RMS; m)	Project-project registration error (RMS; m)
Season 1 start (TLS)	1	07 Dec 2012	Static	Static
	2	08 Dec 2012	0.0327	
	3	08 Dec 2012	0.0391	
	5	09 Dec 2012	0.0301	
	6	01 Dec 2012	0.0258	
	7	11 Dec 2012	0.0258	
Season 1 end (TLS)	1	09 Jan 2013	Static	0.0145
	2	09 Jan 2013	0.0145	
Season 1 end (UAV-SfM)	-	05 Jan 2013	-	0.0306
Season 2 (TLS)	1	14 Jan 2014	Static	0.0149
	2	14 Jan 2014	0.0205	
	3	14 Jan 2014	0.0255	

Table 2. Registration error propagation for specific differencing epochs. The propagated error for each differencing epoch is calculated using Eq. 3. The 95% level of detection, or detection threshold is calculated in M3C2 as the product of the propagated error and a measure of local point cloud roughness (Lague et al., 2013). The results of 3D differencing were filtered in CloudCompare so that only differences largest than the most conservative (largest) $LoD_{95\%}$ (i.e. 0.103 m) were considered to represent significant change.

	Propagated	
Differencing epoch	error (RMS; m)	M3C2 <i>LoD</i> _{95%} (m)
S1 start (TLS) - S1 end (TLS)	0.049	0.098
S1 start (TLS) - S1 end (SfM)	0.050	0.103
S1 end (TLS) - S2 end (TLS)	0.048	0.098
S1 end (SfM) - S2 end (TLS)	0.049	0.102
S1 start (TLS) - S2 end (TLS)	0.050	0.099