1	Bed and width oscillations form coherent patterns in a partially confined,
2	regulated gravel-cobble bedded river adjusting to anthropogenic disturbances
3	
4	
5	Rocko A. Brown* <sup>1,2</sup> and Gregory B. Pasternack <sup>1</sup>
6	
7	1-University of California, Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA, USA.
8	2-Environmental Science Associates, 2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA
9	USA
10	* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 510-333-5131; E-mail: rokbrown@ucdavis.edu.
11	
12	
13	

#### 15 Abstract

16 Understanding the spatial organization of river systems in light of natural and 17 anthropogenic change is extremely important, because it can provide information to 18 assess, manage and restore them to ameliorate worldwide freshwater fauna declines. 19 For gravel and cobble bedded alluvial rivers studies spanning analytical, empirical and 20 numerical domains suggest that at channel-forming flows there is a tendency for 21 covarying bankfull bed and width undulations amongst morphologic units such as pools 22 and riffles whereby relatively wide areas have relatively higher minimum bed elevations 23 and relatively narrow areas have relatively lower minimum bed elevations. The goal of 24 this study was to determine whether minimum bed elevation and flow-dependent 25 channel top width are organized in a partially confined, incising gravel-cobbled bed river 26 with multiple spatial scales of anthropogenic and natural landform heterogeneity across 27 a range of discharges. A key result is that the test river exhibited covaring oscillations 28 of minimum bed elevation and channel top width across all flows analyzed. These 29 covarying oscillations were found to be quasi-periodic at channel forming flows, scaling 30 with the length scales of bars, pools and riffles. Thus it appears that alluvial rivers 31 organize their topography to have quasi-periodic shallow and wide and narrow and 32 deep cross section geometry, even despite ongoing, centennial-scale incision. 33 Presumably these covarying oscillations are linked to hydrogeomorphic mechanisms 34 associated with alluvial river channel maintenance. The biggest conclusion from this 35 study is that alluvial rivers are defined more so by variability in topography and flow, 36 than mean conditions. Broader impacts of this study are that the methods provide a 37 framework for characterizing longitudinal and flow dependent variability in rivers for

assessing geomorphic structure and aquatic habitat in space, and if repeated, throughtime.

40

#### 41 **1. Introduction**

42 Understanding the spatial organization of river systems in light of natural and 43 anthropogenic change is extremely important, because it can provide information to 44 assess, manage and restore them to ameliorate worldwide freshwater fauna declines 45 (Frissell et al., 1986; Richter et al., 1997). Alluvial rivers found in transitional upland-46 lowland environments with slopes < 0.02 and median diameter bed sediments ranging 47 from 8 to 256 mm can exhibit scale dependent organization of their bed sediments 48 (Milne, 1982), bed elevation profile (Madej, 2001), cross section geometry (Rayberg and 49 Neave, 2008) and morphological units (Keller and Melhorn, 1978; Thomson et al., 50 2001). For these rivers a plethora of studies spanning analytical, empirical and 51 numerical domains suggest that at channel-forming flows there is a tendency for 52 covarying bankfull bed and width undulations amongst morphologic units such as pools 53 and riffles (Brown et al., 2016). That is, relatively wide areas have higher relative bed 54 elevations and relatively narrow areas have lower relative bed elevations. While 55 covarying bed and width undulations have been evaluated in field studies using cross 56 section data (Richards, 1976a,b), in models of sediment transport and water flow 57 (Repetto and Tubino, 2001), flume studies (Nelson et al., 2015) and in theoretical 58 treatments (Huang et al., 2004), this idea has never been evaluated in a 59 morphologically dynamic river corridor for which a meter-scale digital elevation model is 60 available across a wide range of discharges, from a fraction of to orders of magnitude

61 more than bankfull. The goal of this study was to understand if and how bed elevation 62 and flow-dependent channel width are organized in a partially confined, incising, 63 regulated gravel-cobble bed river with multiple spatial scales of landform heterogeneity 64 across a range of discharges. The analysis of geometric organization was accomplished 65 through a suite of spatial series analyses using a 9km reach of the lower Yuba River 66 (LYR) in California, USA as a testbed. Our central hypothesis is that the test river reach 67 will have covarying and quasi-periodic bed and width oscillations. Due to the test river 68 corridor's variability (White et al., 2010), , past history (James et al., 2009), and having a 69 Mediterranean climate(Wolman and Gerson, 1978) these patterns may be dominant in a 70 range of flows. Knowledge of spatial patterns are commonly used to infer the 71 geomorphic processes that yielded those patterns (Davis, 1909; Thornbury, 1954) 72 and/or what future processes will be driven by the current spatial structure of landforms 73 (Leopold and Maddock, 1953; Schumm, 1971; Brown and Pasternack, 2014). However, 74 such inferences rarely include transparent, objective spatial analysis of topographic 75 structure, so this study demonstrates a new methodology accessible to most 76 practitioners to substantiate the ideas behind the process-morphology linkages they 77 envision to be driven by variability in topography. The results of the study contribute to 78 basic knowledge by showing multiple layers of coherent structure between width and 79 bed undulations, which alerts geomorphologists to the need to prioritize future research 80 on the cause and consequences of structured channel variability as opposed to further 81 work on the central tendency of morphological metrics.

82

83 1.1 Background

84 A multitude of numerical, field, and theoretical studies have shown that gravel 85 bed rivers have covarying oscillations between bed elevation and channel width related 86 to riffle-pool maintenance processes. The joint periodicity in oscillating thalweg and 87 bankfull width series for pool-riffle sequences in gravel bed rivers was identified by 88 Richards (1976b) who noted that riffles have widths that are on average greater than 89 those of pools, and he attributed this to flow deflection over riffles into the channel banks. Since then, many studies related to processes that rejuvenate or maintain the 90 91 relief between bars and pools (i.e., "maintenance" or "self-maintenance") have implied a 92 specific spatial correlation of width and depth between the pool and riffle at the bankfull 93 or channel forming discharge (e.g. Wilkinson et al. 2004; MacWilliams et al., 2006; 94 Caamano et al., 2009; Thompson, 2010). For example, Caamano et al. (2009) derived a 95 criterion for the occurrence of a mean reversal in velocity (Keller, 1971) that implies a 96 specific correlation of the channel geometry of alluvial channels with undulating bed 97 profiles. Specifically, for a reversal in mean velocity at the bankfull or channel forming 98 discharge (holding substrate composition constant), the riffle must be wider than the 99 pool and the width variation should be greater than the depth variation between the riffle 100 and residual pool depth. Milan et al. (2001) evaluated several riffle-pool couplets, from 101 a base flow to just over the bankfull discharge. They found that convergence and 102 reversals in section-averaged velocity and shear stress were complex and non-uniform, 103 which suggests that different morphologic units may be maintained at different 104 discharges. Wilkinson et al. (2004) explicitly showed that phase shifts in shear stress 105 from the riffle to the pool between high and low discharge required positively covarying

106 bed and width undulations. White et al. (2010) showed how valley width oscillations 107 influence riffle persistence despite larger channel altering floods and interdecadal valley 108 incision. Sawyer et al (2010) used two-dimensional (2D) hydrodynamic modeling and 109 digital elevation model (DEM) differencing to illustrate how variations in wetted width 110 and bed elevation can modulate regions of peak velocity and channel change at a pool-111 riffle-run sequence across a range of discharges from 0.15 to 7.6 times bankfull 112 discharge. DeAlmeida and Rodriguez (2012) used a 1D morphodynamic model to 113 explore the evolution of riffle-pool bedforms from an initially flat bed, while maintaining 114 the channel width variability. The resulting simulations were in close agreement to the 115 actual bed profile in their model. Thus, their study is another example that channel 116 width can exert controls on the structure of the bed profile. The flows at which the above 117 processes are modulated vary in the literature.

118 From a system perspective, bed and width undulations, both jointly and in 119 isolation, are a means of self-adjustment in alluvial channels that minimize the time rate 120 of potential energy expenditure per unit mass of water in accordance with the law of 121 least time rate of energy expenditure (Langbein and Leopold, 1962; Yang, 1971; 122 Cherkauer, 1973; Wohl et al., 1999). For bed profiles, Yang (1971) and Cherkauer 123 (1973) showed that undulating bed relief is a preferred configuration of alluvial channels 124 that minimize the time rate of potential energy expenditure. Using field, flume, and 125 numerical methods Wohl et al. (1999) showed that valley wall oscillations also act to 126 regulate flow energy analogous to bedforms. In analyzing reach scale energy 127 constraints on river behavior Huang et al. (2004) quantitatively showed that 128 wide/shallow sections and deep/narrow sections are two end member cross sectional

129 configurations necessary for efficiently expending excess energy for rivers, so these two 130 types of cross sections imply covarying bed and width undulations as a means of 131 expending excess energy. Therefore the above studies suggest that both bed and 132 width oscillations are a means to optimize channel geometry for the dissipation of 133 excess flow energy. The question now is the extent to which this well-developed theory 134 plays out in real rivers, especially now that meter-scale river DEMs are available.

135 Flows that drive channel maintenance in Western U.S. rivers, such as the test 136 river in this study (described in detail in Section 3 below), are thought to typically have 137 recurrence intervals ranging from 1.2 to 5 years (Williams, 1978; Andrews, 1980; Nolan 138 et al., 1987). Most of the literature investigating riffle-pool maintenance discussed above 139 report bedform sustaining flow reversals occurring at or near bankfull, often with no 140 specificity to the frequency of these events (Lisle, 1979; Wilkinson et al., 2004). Studies 141 that do report recurrence intervals have ranged from the 1.2 to 7.7 year recurrence 142 flows (Keller, 1971; Sawyer et al., 2010). However, many rivers exhibit multiple scales 143 of freely formed and forced landscape heterogeneity that should influence fluvial 144 geomorphology when the flow interacts with them, no matter the magnitude (Church, 145 2006; Gangodagamage et al., 2007). For example, Strom and Pasternack (2016) 146 showed that the geomorphic setting can influence the stage at which reversals in peak 147 velocity occur. In their study an unconfined anastomizing reach experienced velocity 148 reversals at flows ranging from 1.5 to 2.5 year recurrence flows, compared to 2.5 to 4.7 149 year recurrence flows for a valley-confined reach. Given that river geometry can record 150 memory from past floods (Yu and Wolman, 1987), and the presence of multiple layers 151 of topographic variability (Brown and Pasternack, 2014), it is hypothesized that

152 covarying bed and width undulations could also be present at discharges other than153 bankfull.

154

155 1.2 Study Objectives

156 The primary objectives of this study were to determine if there are covarying bed 157 and width oscillations in the test reach, if they exhibit any periodicity, and how they vary 158 with discharge. Based on the literature review above, we hypothesize there will be 159 covarying bed and width oscillations that form quasi-periodic patterns, with the strongest 160 relationship occurring for a broad range of channel forming flows. A secondary objective 161 is to demonstrate how a geomorphic covariance structure (GCS) analysis of minimum 162 bed elevation and wetted width, as defined below, can be generated from high-163 resolution topography and hydraulic models to assess flow-dependent spatial 164 organization of river corridor topography. The study site was a 6.4-km section of the 165 lower Yuba River (LYR), an incising and partially confined self-formed gravel-cobble 166 bedded river (Figure 1; described in Section 3). Several statistical tests were used on the serial correlation of minimum bed elevation, Z, channel top width,  $W^{j}$ , and their 167 168 geomorphic covariance structure,  $C(Z, W^{j})$ , where j indexes the flow discharge. The 169 novelty of this study is that it provides the first assessment of covarying bed and width 170 oscillations in a partially confined, self-maintained alluvial river across a wide array of 171 flows. The broader impact is that it provides a framework for analyzing the flow 172 dependent topographic variability of river corridors, without differentiating between 173 discrete landforms such as riffles and pools. Further, an understanding of the flow 174 dependent spatial structure of bed and width GCS would be useful in assessing their

utility in applied river corridor analysis and synthesis for river engineering, managementand restoration.

177

# 178 2. Experimental Design

179 To evaluate covarying bed and width undulations, the concepts and methods of 180 geomorphic covariance structures were used (Brown, 2014; Brown and Pasternack, 181 2014). A GCS is a bivariate spatial relationship amongst or between variables along a 182 pathway in a river corridor. It is not a single metric as in statistical covariance, but a 183 spatial series, and hence can capture spatially explicit geomorphic structure. Variables 184 assessed can be flow-independent measures of topography (e.g., bed elevation, 185 centerline curvature, and cross section asymmetry) and sediment size as well as flow-186 dependent hydraulics (e.g., top width, depth, velocity, and shear stress; Brown, 2014), 187 topographic change, and biotic variables (e.g., biomass and habitat utilization). 188 Calculation of a GCS from paired spatial series is straightforward by the product 189  $x_{std,i} * y_{std,i}$ , where the subscript *std* refers to standardized and possibly detrended 190 values of two variables x and y at location i along the centerline, creating the serial data 191 set C(X, Y). Since this study is concerned with bed and flow dependent top width 192 undulations, the GCS at each flow *j* is denoted as  $C(Z, W^j)$ . More information on GCS 193 theory is provided in section 4.2 below. GCS series were generated for eight flows ranging from 8.50 to 3.126 m<sup>3</sup>/s, spanning a broad range of flow frequency (Table 1). 194 195 The range of selected flows spans a low flow condition up to the flow of the last large 196 flood in the river.-These flows were selected to provide enough resolution to glean flow-197 dependent effects, while not producing redundant results.

198 The first question this study sought to answer was whether there was a tendency for 199 covarying Z and  $W^{j}$  and how it changed with discharge. If Z and  $W^{j}$  covary then the 200 sign of the residuals of both variables will both be positive or negative yielding a 201 positive  $C(Z, W^j) > 0$ . Therefore, to determine if there are covarying bed and width 202 oscillations a histogram was generated for each flow dependent series of  $C(Z, W^{j})$ . The 203 second question was whether each flow dependent series of  $C(Z, W^{j})$  was random, 204 constant, periodic or quasi-periodic. Quasi-periodicity in this setting is defined as a 205 series with periodic and random components, as opposed to purely random or purely 206 periodic (Richards, 1976a). Quasi-periodicity differs from periodic series in that there 207 are elements of randomness blended in (Newland, 1993). To answer this question 208 autocorrelation function (ACF) and power spectral density (PSD) analyses of each  $C(Z, W^{j})$  series were used to determine if there were statistically significant quasi-209 210 periodic length scales (sensu Carling and Orr, 2002) at which  $C(Z, W^{j})$  covary and how 211 that changes with discharge.

212 Based on the studies listed above (Section 1.1), we hypothesize that gravel-cobble 213 bedded rivers capable of rejuvenating their riffle-pool relief should exhibit a topography 214 (at any instant in time) with a tendency for guasi-periodic and covarying bed and width 215 oscillations. The basis for covarying and guasi-periodic bed and width oscillations is 216 founded on the idea that, on average, channel geometry is maintained during bankfull 217 (e.g. geometric bankfull) discharge and that locally channels are shaped by riffle-pool 218 maintenance mechanisms (Wilkinson et al. 2004; MacWilliams et al., 2006; Caamano et 219 al., 2009; Thompson, 2010). Based on the literature reviewed in Section 1.1 we 220 hypothesize that the  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS will, on average, become more positive with

221 increasing flow until approximately the bankfull discharge, where the channel overtops 222 its banks and non-alluvial floodplain features exert control on cross-sectional mean 223 hydraulics. At that point there may not be a tendency for positive or negative residuals. 224 if the topographic controls at that flood stage are not important enough to control 225 channel morphology. For example, smaller events might occur frequently enough to 226 erase the in-channel effects of the large infrequent events, especially in a temperate 227 climate (Wolman and Gerson, 1978). On the other hand, if a system is dominated by the 228 legacy of a massive historical flood and lacks the capability to recover under more 229 frequent floods, then the  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS will continue to increase until the discharge that 230 carved out the existent covarying bed and width oscillations for the current topography 231 is revealed. Note that we do not expect a clear threshold where organization in the 232  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS is a maximum, but rather a range of flows near the bankfull discharge. 233 The effect of a particular flow on a channel is dependent not just on that flow, but the 234 history of flow conditions that led to the channel's condition (Yu and Wolman, 1987). 235 Therefore, it should not be expected that the observed patterns will be associated with a 236 singular flow value. Also, this study looked at a river in a Mediterranean climate, and 237 thus it may be more prone to exhibiting a wider range of positive  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS than a 238 temperate or tropical river, as the number and frequency of recovery processes is 239 reduced (Wolman and Gerson, 1978). With this logic, it is hypothesized that the 240  $\mathcal{C}(Z, W^{j})$  GCS will be quasi-periodic for flows near the bankfull discharge, due to the 241 presence of bar and pool topography, and that the ACF and PSD will yield length scales 242 commensurate with the average spacing of these topographic features. For flows 243 above the bankfull discharge, a river corridor has many local alluvial landforms, bedrock

244 outcrops and artificial structures on its floodplain and terraces. These features influence 245 bed adjustment during floods that engage them, and hence impact the GCS. It is 246 unknown how GCS length scales will change in response to the topographic steering 247 these features induce causing changes to bed elevation, but investigating that is a novel 248 and important aspect of this study. In addition to performing these tests we also present 249 two ~ 1.4-km sections of the  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS, Z, W and the detrended topography for 250 three representative flows to discuss specific examples of how these patterns change 251 with landforms in the river corridor across a wide array of discharges.

252 Limitations to this study (but not the GCS approach) for worldwide generalization 253 include not considering other variables relevant to how alluvial rivers adjust their shape, 254 such as grain size, channel curvature and vegetation, to name a few. Some of these 255 limitations were not study oversights, but reflected the reality that the study reach used 256 had relatively homogenous sediments (Jackson et al., 2013), low sinuosity, and limited 257 vegetation (Abu-Aly et al., 2014). This yielded an ideal setting to determine how much 258 order was present for just bed elevation and channel width, but does not disregard the 259 importance of these other controls, which can be addressed in future studies at suitable 260 sites. Also, this study is not a direct test of the response to or drivers of morphodynamic 261 change. The extent to which GCS can be used as an indicator of change to greatly 262 simply geomorphic analysis instead of doing morphodynamic modeling remains 263 unknown, but finding metrics that link landforms, the agent that shape them, and the 264 responses they induce has always been the goal of geomorphology (Davis, 1909). 265

#### 266 3. Study Area

## 267 3.1 River context

268 The study area was the 6.4-km Timbuctoo Bend Reach of the lower Yuba River 269 (LYR) in northeastern California, USA. The reach begins at the outlet of a bedrock 270 canyon that is dammed ~ 3-km upstream, and the watershed above the dam drains 271 3480 km<sup>2</sup> of dry summer subtropical mountains. Little is known about the pre-European 272 Yuba River, but in this reach it is confined by valley hillsides and bedrock outcrops, and 273 these are evident in some photos from early European settlers panning the river for gold in the late 1840s. During the mid to late 19<sup>th</sup> century there was a period of extensive 274 275 hydraulic gold mining of hillside alluvial deposits in the upper Yuba watershed that 276 delivered an overwhelming load of heterogeneous sediment to the lowland river valley 277 (James et al., 2009). Geomorphologist G. K. Gilbert photo documented the LYR around the time of its worst condition in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century and provided foundational 278 279 thinking related to how the river would evolve in time (Gilbert, 1917). In 1941 280 Englebright Dam was built to hold back further sediment export from the mountains, and 281 that allowed the river valley to begin a process of natural recovery, which was reviewed 282 by Adler (1980) and more recently by Ghoshal et al. (2010). However, this process was interfered with by widespread dredger mining in the early to mid 20<sup>th</sup> century. In two 283 284 locations of the study reach there are wide relict dredger tailings piles on the inside of 285 the two uppermost meander bends that the river has been gradually eroding.

The hydrology of the regulated LYR is complex and quite different from the usual story of significantly curtailed flows below a large dam. Englebright Dam primarily serves as a sediment barrier and it is kept nearly full. As a result, it is operated to

overtop when outflow is > 127.4  $m^3$ /s long enough to fill its small remaining capacity, so 289 290 flood hydrology is still seasonal and driven by rainfall and snowmelt in the watershed. 291 Two of three sub catchments do not have large dams, so winter floods and spring 292 snowmelt commonly cause spill over Englebright sufficient to exceed the bankfull 293 channel in Timbuctoo Bend. The one regulated sub catchment does have a large dam, 294 New Bullards Bar (closed in 1970), and this reduces the frequency and duration of 295 floodplain inundation compared to the pre-dam record (Escobar-Arias and Pasternack, 296 2011; Cienciala and Pasternack, in press), but not like other rivers where the entire 297 upstream watershed is regulated. Sawyer et al. (2010) reported the 1.5 year recurrence 298 interval for the post Englebright, pre New Bullards Bar period as 328.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s and then for 299 post New Bullards Bar as 159.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s. California has long been known to exhibit a 300 roughly decadal return period for societally important major floods that change river 301 courses (Guinn, 1890), though the magnitude of those floods is not necessarily a 10-302 year recurrence interval scientifically. Since major flow regulation in 1970, the three 303 largest peak annual daily floods came roughly 10 years apart, in the 1986, 1997, and 304 2006 water years. The flood of 1997 was the largest of the post-dam record. The 2006 peak flood event had a recorded peak 15-minute discharge of 3126.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s entering the 305 306 study reach.

Wyrick and Pasternack (2012) analyzed LYR inundation patterns in a highresolution DEM of the river produced after the 2006 wet season, and they considered how channel and floodplain shapes change dramatically through the study reach. Their findings apply to the Timbuctoo Bend Reach. Different locations exhibited spillage out of the channel into low-lying peripheral swales and onto lateral and point bars at flows 312 from ~ 84.95-141.6 m<sup>3</sup>/s. When the water stage rises to 141.6 m<sup>3</sup>/s. relatively flat active 313 bar tops become inundated and the wetted extents line up with the base of willows 314 along steeper banks flanking the channel. These and other field indicators led to the consideration of 141.6 m<sup>3</sup>/s as representative of the bankfull discharge adjusted to the 315 316 modern regulated flow regime since 1970. By a flow of 198.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s, banks are all 317 submerged and water is spilling out to various degrees onto the floodplain. The floodplain is considered fully inundated when the discharge reaches 597.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s. Above 318 319 that flow stage exist some terraces, bedrock outcrops, and soil-mantled hillsides that 320 become inundated. For the two relict dredger tailings piles mentioned earlier, they 321 interact with the flows ranging from 597.5-1,195 m<sup>3</sup>/s. Apart from these piles, the flow 322 width interacts predominately with the valley walls for discharges at 1,195  $m^3$ /s and 323 above. Given the estimate of bankfull discharge for the LYR, the instantaneous peak 324 flow during the 2006 flood was ~ 23 times that, so guite substantial compared to those 325 commonly investigated in modern geomorphic studies.

326

#### 327 3.2 Timbuctoo Bend details

A lot is known about the geomorphology of Timbuctoo Bend, and this information helps inform this study to substantiate the possibility that the river's topography is organized in response to differential topographic steering as a function of flow stage. According to Wyrick and Pasternack (2012), the reach has a mean bed slope of 0.002, a thalweg length of 6337 m, a mean bankfull width of 84 m, a mean floodway width of 134 m, an entrenchment ratio of 2.1 (defined per Rosgen, 1996), and a weighted mean substrate size of 164 mm. Using the system of Rosgen (1996), it classifies as a B3c 335 stream, indicating moderate entrenchment and bed slope with cobble channel material. 336 A study of morphological units revealed that its base flow channel area consists of 20% 337 pool, 18% riffle, and then a mix of six other landform types. More than half of the area of 338 the riverbank ecotone inundated between base flow and bankfull flow is composed of 339 lateral bars, with the remaining area containing roughly similar areas of point bars, 340 medial bars, and swales (Wyrick and Pasternack, 2012). A study of bankfull channel 341 substrates found that they are differentiated by morphological unit type, but the median 342 size of all units is in the cobble range (Jackson et al., 2013), even depositional barsthat 343 are often thought of as relatively fine in other contexts. Vegetated cover of the river 344 corridor ranged from 0.8 to 8.1% of the total wetted area at each flow, with more 345 inundated vegetation at higher flows.

346 White et al. (2010) used a sequence of historical aerial photos, wetted channel 347 polygons, repeat long profiles from 1999 and 2006, and a valley width series to 348 conclude that even though Timbuctoo Bend has incised significantly since 1942 in 349 response to many floods, there are several riffles and pools that persist in the same 350 wide and constricted valley locations, suggesting that valley width oscillations maintain 351 those positions and drive morphodynamic response. This suggests that it may not 352 matter exactly which instant topography one might analyze to look at the effect of 353 topographic variability in controlling or responding to large flood processes, as they all 354 should reflect the same topographic steering regime induced by the valley walls.

Two studies have been done to look at the hydraulic processes associated with different flood stages in Timbuctoo Bend. Sawyer et al. (2010) found that one of the pool-riffle-run units in this reach experienced flow convergence routing between 358 baseflow, bankfull flow, and a flow of roughly eight times bankfull discharge that 359 maintained riffle relief. Strom et al. (2016) assessed the hydraulics of the whole reach 360 over the same range of flows in this study, and they reported that the reach exhibits a 361 diversity of stage-dependent shifts in the locations and sizes of patches of peak velocity. 362 The spatial persistence of such patches decreased with discharge until flows exceeded 363  $\sim$  1000 m<sup>3</sup>/s, at which point valley walls sustained their location for flows up to the peak 364 of 3,126 m<sup>3</sup>/s. Also, peak-velocity patches resided preferentially over chute and riffle 365 landforms at within-bank flows, several morphological unit types landforms for small floods, and pools for floods > 1000  $m^3/s$ . These studies corroborate the process 366 367 inferences made by White et al. (2010) in that hydraulics were found to be stage-368 dependent in ways that were consistent with the mechanism of flow convergence 369 routing.

370 Finally, Carley et al. (2012), Wyrick and Pasternack (2015), and Pasternack and 371 Wyrick (in press) used DEM differencing, uncertainty analysis, scale-stratified sediment 372 budgeting, and topographic change classification to analyze how the LYR changed from 373 1999-2008, including Timbuctoo Bend. These studies took advantage of the repeated 374 mapping of the LYR in 1999 and 2006-2008, with Timbuctoo Bend mapped entirely in 375 2006. They found large amounts of erosion and deposition, strong differential rates of 376 change among different landforms at three spatial scales, and topographic changes 377 driven by 19 different geomorphic processes. For Timbuctoo Bend, the dominant 378 topographic change processes found were in-channel downcutting (including knickpoint 379 migration) and overbank (i.e., floodplain) scour, with noncohesive bank migration a 380 distant third. Thus, the river appears to change through adjustments to its bed elevation

far more than changes to its width in this reach. This finding will come into play ininterpreting the results of this study later on.

383 In summary, even with modern technology it is impossible to monitor the 384 hydrogeomorphic mechanics of fluvial change in a large river for flows up to 22 times 385 bankfull discharge, so recent studies have tried to get at the mechanisms during such 386 events with a range of strategies. Historical river analysis, hydrodynamic modeling, and 387 topographic change detection and analysis have been used together to reveal a picture 388 of a river that is changing in response to multiple scales of landform heterogeneity that 389 drive topographic steering. Even though the river has changed through time, there has 390 been a persistence of nested landforms, and thus it would be useful to understand how 391 topographic features are organized purely through an analysis of the DEM per the 392 methods developed in this study. This study exclusively uses the 2006 map made 393 during the dry season that followed the dramatic 2006 wet season, which included the 394 large flood, two other notable peaks, and a total of 18 days of floodplain filling flow. 395 Thus it addresses the topography as it existed after that river-altering wet season and 396 how it will in turn influence the dynamics of the next one.

397

#### 398 **4. Methods**

The meter-scale topographic map of Timbuctoo Bend produced from
echosounder and robotic total station ground surveys were used for extraction of *Z*(Carley et al., 2012; see Supplemental Materials), while a corresponding meter-scale
2D hydrodynamic model was used to generate data sets for *W<sup>j</sup>* for each discharge.
Details about the 2D model are documented in the Supplemental Materials and

404 previous publications (Abu-Aly et al., 2013; Wyrick and Pasternack, 2014; Pasternack et
405 al., 2014); it was thoroughly validated for velocity vector and water surface elevation
406 metrics, yielding outcomes on par or better than other publications using 2D models.

407 4.1 Data Extraction

408 A first step was to extract Z and  $W^{j}$  spatial series from the digital elevation model 409 and 2D model outputs. This required having a sample pathway along which bed 410 elevation could be extracted from the DEM and top width from the wetted extents from 411 the 2D model. Sampling river widths was done using cross sections generated at even 412 intervals perpendicular to the sample pathway and then clipped to the 2D model derived 413 wetted extent for each flow. Because of this, the pathway selected can have a 414 significant bearing on whether or not sample sections represent downstream oriented 415 flow or overlap where pathway curvature is high. There are several options in 416 developing an appropriate pathway for sampling the river corridor. The thalweg is 417 commonly used in flow-independent geomorphic studies, but the thalweg is too tortuous 418 within the channel to adhere to a reasonable definition of top width. Further, as flow 419 increases, central flow pathway deviates from the deepest part of the channel due to 420 higher flow momentum and topographic steering from submerged and partially 421 submerged topography (Abu-Aly et al., 2014). Therefore, in this study we manually 422 developed flow-dependent sample pathways using 2D model hydraulic outputs of depth, 423 velocity and wetted area. The effect of having different sample pathways for each flow is 424 that it accounts for flow steering by topographic features in the river corridor. For each flow a grid of kinetic flow energy  $(d_i * v_i^2)$  was generated in ARCGIS®, 425

426 where  $d_i$  is the depth and  $v_i$  is the velocity at node *i* in the 2D model hydraulics rasters.

427 Then a sample pathway was manually digitized using the momentum grid, following the 428 path of greatest kinetic energy. For flow splits around islands, if the magnitude of 429 energy in one channel was more than twice as great as the other it was chosen as the 430 main pathway. If they were approximately equal then the pathway was centered 431 between the split. Once a sample pathway was developed it was then smoothed using 432 a Bezier curve approach over a range of 100 m, or approximately a bankfull channel 433 width to help further minimize section overlaps. For each sample pathway cross 434 sections were generated at 5 m intervals and clipped to the wetted extent of each flow, 435 with any partially disconnected backwater or non downstream oriented areas manually 436 removed.

437 Despite smoothing there were areas of the river where the river has relatively 438 high curvature in the sample pathway causing sample section overlaps to occur. These 439 were manually edited by visually comparing the sample sections with the kinetic flow 440 energy grid and removing overlapped sections that did not follow the downstream flow 441 of water. This was more prevalent at the lower discharges than the higher ones due to 442 the effects topographic steering creating more variable sample pathways.

To provide a constant frame of spatial reference for comparison of results between flows, while preserving flow-dependent widths, sections were mapped to the lowest flow's sample pathway using the spatial join function in ARCGIS®. The lowest flow was used, because that had the longest path. This insures no multiple-to-one averaging of data would happen, as that would otherwise occur if data were mapped from longer paths to shorter ones. To create evenly spaced spatial series the data was linearly interpolated to match the original sampling frequency of 5 m. For *Z* the minimum 450 bed elevation along each section was sampled from the DEM using the same sections451 for measuring width for the lowest flow sample pathway.

452

# 453 4.2 Developing geomorphic covariance structures

454 To generate GCS series for bed and flow-dependent width undulations the two variables, Z and  $W^{j}$  were first detrended and standardized. Detrending is not always 455 456 needed for width in GCS analysis, but some analyses in this study did require it. A linear 457 model was used for Z, (Table 2) as is common in many studies that analyze reach scale 458 bed variations (Melton, 1962, Richards, 1976a; McKean et al., 2008). Similarly, each 459  $W^{j}$  series was linearly detrended, but the trends were extremely small, with a consistent 460 slope of just 0.002 (Table 2). Finally, each series was standardized by the mean and 461 variance of the entire detrended series (Salas et al., 1980) to achieve second order 462 stationarity, which is a prerequisite for spectral analysis (described in the following 463 section). Second order stationarity of a series means that the mean and variance across 464 the domain of analysis are constant (Newland, 1983). Removal of the lowest frequency 465 of a signal, which can often be visually assessed, has little impact upon subsequent 466 spectral analyses (Richards, 1979). A linear trend was used over other options such as 467 a polynomial, because a linear trend preserves the most amount of information in the 468 bed series, while a polynomial can filter out potential oscillations. After detrended and 469 standardized series of Z and  $W^{j}$  were generated, then the GCS between them was 470 computed by taking the product of the two at each centerline station, yielding a spatially 471 explicit measure of how the two covary (Figure 2). The GCS is the whole series of 472  $C(Z, W^{j})$  values and not a single metric such as the traditional statistical definition of

473 covariance. Interpretation of a GCS is based on the sign, which in turn is driven by the 474 signs of contributing terms. For  $C(Z, W^{j})$ , if both Z and  $W^{j}$  are positive or negative then  $C(Z, W^j) > 0$ , but if only one is negative then  $C(Z, W^j) < 0$ . For  $C(Z, W^j)$  these 475 476 considerations vield four sub-reach scale landform end members that deviate from 477 normative conditions (Figure 3). Normal conditions in this context refer to areas where both variables are close to the mean and thus  $C(Z, W^j) \sim 0$ . Note that the signs of Z and 478  $W^{j}$  are not only important, but the magnitude is, too. Since  $C(Z, W^{j})$  is generated by 479 480 multiplication, if either Z or  $W^{j}$  is within the range of -1 to 1, then it serves to discount the other. If Z or  $W^j$  is > 1 or < -1 it amplifies  $C(Z, W^j)$ . We did not assess the statistical 481 482 significance of coherent landform patterns, but one could do so following Brown and 483 Pasternack (2014).

484

#### 485 4.3 Data Analysis

486 Before any statistical tests were performed we first visually assessed the data in two approximately 1.4-km long sections to illustrate how  $C(Z, W^{j})$  is affected by flow 487 488 responses to landforms. For these two examples only three discharges were selected to illustrate flow dependent changes in Z,  $W^{j}$ , and  $C(Z, W^{j})$  with fluvial landforms. The 489 490 lowest and highest flows, i.e. 8.50 and 3,126 m<sup>3</sup>/s, were selected to bracket the range of flows investigated. The intermediate flow selected was 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s based on the shifts in 491 492  $C(Z, W^{j})$  observed in the histogram, ACF and PSD tests as shown below in the results. 493 For these examples the exact magnitudes of  $C(Z, W^{j})$  are not as important as the 494 patterns and how they relate to visually discernible landforms.

495 A Mann-Whitney U-test was performed between each  $C(Z, W^{j})$  dataset to

496 determine if they were statistically different at the 95% level. Histograms were then 497 computed for each  $C(Z, W^{j})$  dataset to evaluate whether there was a tendency for the 498 data to be positively covarying and how that changes with discharge. Two histograms 499 were developed, one based on the quadrant classification of  $C(Z, W^{j})$  for each flow and 500 another showing the  $C(Z, W^{j})$  magnitude. This was done so that the distribution of both 501 the type of  $C(Z, W^{j})$  and magnitudes could be assessed. Additionally, the bivariate Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) were computed between Z and  $W^{j}$  to assess their 502 503 potential interdependence. Bivariate Pearson's correlation coefficients were also 504 computed each series of  $W^{j}$ . Statistical significance was assessed for (r) using a white 505 noise null hypothesis at the 95% level.

506 Next, ACF and PSD analyses were used to determine if  $C(Z, W^{j})$  was quasi-507 periodic or random, as it was visually evident that it was not constant or strictly periodic. 508 If a series is quasi-periodic this will be reflected in statistically significant periodicity in 509 the ACF (Newland, 1993; Carling and Orr, 2000). Because the PSD is derived from the 510 ACF the two tests show the same information, but in different domains, with the ACF in 511 the space domain and the PSD in the frequency domain. So while the ACF analysis 512 reveals periodicity in the signal (if present), the PSD analysis presents the associated 513 frequencies. Both are shown to visually reinforce the results of the PSD analysis. This is 514 helpful because spectral analysis can be very sensitive to the algorithm used and 515 associated parameters such as window type and size. Showing the ACF allows a visual 516 check of dominant length scales that may have quasi-periodicity (e.g. as in Carling and 517 Orr, 2000). The ACF analysis was performed for each flow dependent series of 518  $C(Z, W^{j})$  and then these were compared among flows to characterize stage dependent

519 variability and to analyze how spatial structure changed with discharge. This test 520 essentially determines the distances over which  $C(Z, W^{j})$  are similar. An unbiased 521 estimate of autocorrelation for lags was used:

$$R_{k} = \frac{n}{n-k} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} (x_{i} - \bar{x})(x_{i+k} - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}}$$
(1)

where  $x_i$  is a value of a GCS series at location i,  $\bar{x}$  is the mean value of the GCS (zero 523 due to standardization process) and the terms  $\frac{1}{n-k}$  and  $\frac{1}{n}$  account for sample bias (Cox, 524 1983; Shumway and Stoffer, 2006). Each  $R_k$  versus lag series was plotted against 525 526 discharge for a maximum of 640 lags (3.2 km, or approximately half the study length), 527 creating a surface that shows how ACF evolves with flow. Lag intervals are equal to 528 sample interval for the datasets (e.g. 5 m). Statistical significance was assessed relative 529 to both white and red noise autocorrelations. White noise is associated with random 530 processes that are uncorrelated in space, while red noise is associated with data that has properties of 1<sup>st</sup> order autocorrelation (Newland, 1993). The benefit of this approach 531 532 is that (i) many fluvial geomorphic spatial series display autoregressive properties 533 (Melton, 1962; Rendell and Alexander, 1979; Knighton, 1983; Madej, 2001) and (ii) it 534 provides further context for interpreting results beyond assuming white noise properties. The 95% confidence limits for white noise are given by  $-\frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}$  (Salas et al., 1980). 535 536 For red noise, a first order autoregressive (AR1) model was fit to the standardized 537 residuals for each spatial series of bed elevation and channel width. For comparison, 538 first order autoregressive (AR1) models were produced for 100 random spatial series 539 (each with the same number of points as the flow width spatial series) and averaged. 540 Each averaged AR1 flow width series was then multiplied against the AR1 bed elevation 541 series to create an AR1 model for each  $C(Z, W^j)$ . The red noise estimate was then 542 taken as the average of all AR1 models of  $C(Z, W^j)$ . The ACF plots were made so that 543 values not exceeding the white noise significance are not shown, along with a reference 544 contour for the AR1 estimate. Frequencies can be gleaned from the ACF analysis by 545 taking the inverse of the lag distance associated repeating peaks following Carling and 546 Orr (2002).

547 Power spectral density was estimated for each  $C(Z, W^{j})$  series using a modified 548 periodogram method (Carter et al., 1973). The periodogram is the Fourier transform of 549 the biased estimate of the autocorrelation sequence. The periodogram is defined as:

$$P(f) = \frac{\Delta x}{N} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} h_n x_n e^{-i2\pi f n} \right|^2$$
(2)

551 where P(f) is the power spectral density of x,  $h_n$  is the window,  $\Delta x$  is the sample rate, 552 and N is the number of data data points (Trauth et al., 2006). While the raw 553 periodogram can exhibit spectral leakage, a window can reduce this effect. A hamming 554 window was used with a length equal to each data set. Since samples were taken every 555 5 m, this resulted in a sampling frequency of 0.2 cycles/m, and a Nyquist frequency, or 556 cutoff of 0.1 cycles/m. The number of data points used for the analysis was roughly half 557 the largest data set, resulting in a bandwidth of 0.00016 cycles/m. For PSD estimates a 558 modified Lomb-Scargle confidence limit for white noise at the 95% level was used as 559 recommended by Hernandez (1996). Since this study was concerned with changes in 560 PSD with flow, estimates were plotted relative to the standard deviation of all PSD 561 results for all series. This was done instead of using the standard deviation of each 562 series, because that inflates power within a series without context for the variance of 563 adjacent flows.

564

#### 565 **5. Results**

#### 566 5.1 Relating $C(Z, W^{j})$ patterns to landforms

567 The first example is located at the lower end of the study area and transitions from a 568 valley meander to a straighter valley section with several valley corridor oscillations 569 (Figure 4). Starting upstream there is a large point bar on river left with a pool (i.e., -Z) 570 that transitions to a broad riffle with a 200 m long zone with Z > 1. Downstream the river 571 channel impinges on the valley walls creating two forced pools with localized negative 572 spikes in Z (Figure 4A,B). Downstream of this the low flow channel is steered to the left 573 of the valley, being bounded by two bars. In this zone Z values are positive and  $\sim 1$ . 574 Past this there is an inset anabranch that transitions to a constricted pool with a broad 575 terrace on river left. In this lower zone Z fluctuates between 0 and -1.

576 Given that bed elevation is held fixed for this type of analysis, changes in  $W^{j}$  act to modulate the sign and magnitude of the  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS with increasing flow. In 577 578 particular, when Z is near a value of 1, the relative flow W modulates the sign and strength of the GCS signal, with several possible changes including persistence, 579 580 shifting, reversal, and emergence. For example, a persistent positive W oscillation 581 occurs near station 1500, where this zone is always relatively wide regardless of flow. 582 The anabranch zone however, shows the positive peak in  $W^{j}$  shift downstream from station 900 to 600 from 8.5 to 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s. Two reversals in  $W^{j}$  occur from low to high 583 584 flow near stations 350 and 1100, which also create reversals in the GCS, but with different signs. Near station 400 Z and  $W^{j}$  are negative at 8.5 and 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s creating 585 586 a positive GCS. However,  $W^{j}$  increases with flow discharge with an emergent positive 587 peak in W at 3,126 m<sup>3</sup>/s, that yields a negative GCS.

588 The other example area occurs at a transition from a valley bend to a straighter 589 section where the river transitions from a broad point bar on river left and eventually 590 crosses over between two smaller inset point bars (Figure 5A, B). Starting at the 591 upstream extent a large point bar is located on river left with two forced pools in the 592 channel at approximately 3500 and 3600 that have the strongest negative spikes in Z 593 (Figure 5C,D). Downstream where the point bar ends the bed profile increases with a 594 over a broad riffle with Z > 1 located above station 3000. As mentioned above in 595 Section 3, this pool-riffle-run sequence was studied in great detail by Sawyer et al. 596 (2010), who confirmed the occurrence of naturally rejuvenating riffle-pool topography. 597 Immediately below the broad riffle is a localized zone where Z < 1 adjacent to a small 598 bedrock outcrop. Within the alternate bars the bed profile is between 0 and 1 for  $\sim$  300 599 m, followed by a localized negative peak in Z around station 2300. For the first 200 m  $W^{j}$  is < 0 for all three flows, but gradually increases downstream 600 601 with increasing flow (Figure 5C). Since the two deep pools in this initial zone have Z < 1, the GCS is >1 for all flows but reaches a maximum magnitude of 6 at 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s. 602 603 Beyond this area  $W^{j}$  increases for all flows, but the relative peak broadens and shifts downstream with increasing discharge. At 8.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s the peak is centered near station  $\sim$ 604 605 3000 where it appears a backwater increases flow widths upstream of station 2900. For 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s the peak shifts downstream ~ 150 m as the anabranch becomes activated 606 and begins to spread water out. At 3126  $m^3$ /s the peak is shifted another ~ 300 m 607

608 downstream as the bounding point bars are inundated. These shifts in relative  $W^{j}$  act

609 with the bed profile to create a sharper positive peak in  $C(Z, W^{j})$  near the riffle at low

610 flows, but then this peak dampens and shifts downstream with increasing flow. This is a 611 similar phase shifting reported for a mixed alluvial-bedrock riffle-pool unit reported by 612 Brown and Pasternack (2014), associated with a corresponding phasing of peak 613 velocity from the riffle to the pool with increased flow. Given that the lower ~ 500 m of 614 this example area have  $Z \sim 0$  the  $C(Z, W^j)$ , GCS is also ~ 0.

Overall both examples show that zones where *Z* was either > 1 or < -1 were associated with large pools and riffles in the study area, and were characterized by strong peaks (e.g. >1) in  $C(Z, W^{j})$ . Patterns of  $W^{j}$  can work with *Z* to create a variety of flow dependent response including emergence, reversals, amplification and shifting. An interesting result is that most of the locations where *Z* <1 were short in length, whereas areas where *Z* > 1 tended to be broader in length.

621

### 622 5.2 Is there a tendency for positively covarying bed and width oscillations?

623 The histogram of  $\mathcal{C}(Z, W^{j})$  showed that regardless of discharge, there was a 624 tendency for positive values (e.g. where both Z and  $W^{j}$  covary), and that this changed with stage (Figure 6A). At least 55% of the data always had  $C(Z, W^{j}) > 0$ , increasing to 625 626 68% at 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s, and then slightly declining beyond this flow and stabilizing around 627 60% (Figure 6). There were at most 5% of values < -1, with an average and standard 628 deviation of 3% and 2%, respectively. Contrasting this, values > 1 peaked at 35% at 629 141.6 m<sup>3</sup>/s and declined with increasing discharge. So out of the two extremes, the data 630 exhibited a tendency for positive values, with negative values < -1 being very rare. 631 The Mann Whitney U-test showed interesting flow dependent aspects of the 632  $C(Z, W^{j})$  data sets, where some ranges of flows were significantly different from each

other, and others being similar (Table 3). For example, the 8.50 m<sup>3</sup>/s  $C(Z, W^{j})$  had pvalues that were all significant at the 95% level for each other flow, indicating differences in their distributions. For flows between 28.32-597.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s, the p values indicated that the series were statistically similar, but not for higher flows. The p values for 1,195, 2,390, and 3,126 m<sup>3</sup>/s were statistically similar at the 95% level, but not for lower flows.

639 The guadrant-based histogram reveals further insight into the distribution of river 640 geometry with flow (Figure 6B). The average percentage of  $C(Z, W^{j})$  for each guadrant 641 across all flows was  $30\% \{+W, +Z\}$ ,  $14\% \{+W, -Z\}$ ,  $25\% \{-W, +Z\}$ , and 31%642  $\{-W, -Z\}$ , with standard deviations ranging from 2-3%. Percentages of positive  $C(Z, W^{j})$  were relatively evenly distributed between  $\{+W, +Z\}$  and  $\{-W, -Z\}$ , although 643 644 the latter was slightly more prevalent. The percent of the data in the  $\{+W, +Z\}$  guadrant increased from 26% at 8.50 m<sup>3</sup>/s, peaked at 34% at 597.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s, decreased to 30% at 645 646 1195 m<sup>3</sup>/s and stabilized near this value for higher flows. Meanwhile, the percent of the data in the  $\{-W, -Z\}$  guadrant increased from 29% at 8.50 m<sup>3</sup>/s and peaked at 35% at 647 141.6 - 283.2  $m^3$ /s flow, and then decreased to 30% at 597.5  $m^3$ /s. After that it 648 increased to 33% and stabilized at and beyond 1,195 m<sup>3</sup>/s. Both the  $\{+W, -Z\}$  and 649 650  $\{+W, -Z\}$  guadrants followed a similar but opposite trend, reaching a minimum at 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s. 651

Further insights into the positive nature of  $C(Z, W^j)$  can be inferred from bivariate Pearsons correlation coefficients of *Z* and  $W^j$  (Figure 7). Similar to  $C(Z, W^j)$  the flow dependent response was that the correlation between *Z* and  $W^j$  increased with flow until 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s and then subsequently declined. To further reinforce these results one can also inspect the plot of  $Z, W^j$  and  $C(Z, W^j)$  for 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s, visually showing the synchronous nature of Z and  $W^j$  (Figure 2) The correlations between combinations of  $W^j$  show that each series is significantly correlated to the next highest flow, but there is an interesting flow dependent pattern (Figure 8). Correlations between series decrease with increasing flow, reaching a minimum between 597.5 and 1195 m<sup>3</sup>/s, and then increasing again.

662

# 663 5.3 Are bed and width oscillations quasi-periodic?

664 The ACF of  $C(Z, W^{j})$  also showed similar changes with discharge as the above 665 analyses with increases in the presence and magnitude of autocorrelation from 8.50 to 666 597.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s and then subsequent decline with increasing flow (Figure 9A). At the lowest 667 discharge there are approximately two broad bands of positive autocorrelation that 668 exceeded both the white noise and AR1 threshold at lag distances of 1400 and 2100 m. 669 At 28.32 m<sup>3</sup>/s these three peaks broaden and the highest correlation was found at lag 670 distance 1400 m, which increased from ~0.4 to 0.7. At the bankfull discharge of 141.6 671  $m^{3}$ /s the peak at 1400m diminishes, while the peak near 2100 m increased in strength (e.g. correlation magnitude). At 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s there are still peaks near 1400 and 2100 672 673 mthat exceed both white noise and the AR1 threshold, but two other significant peaks 674 emerge near 700 and 2800 m. Similar statistically significant correlations are found at 675 596.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s, albeit narrower bands of correlation. The correlation distances at 283.2 and 676 596.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s average  $\sim$ 700 m, and this would have a frequency of approximately 0.0014 677 cycles/m. Beyond 596.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s the ACF diminishes rapidly with no peaks that are 678 statistically significant compared to red noise. Overall, the ACF results show that

679  $C(Z, W^{j})$  is quasi-periodic from 8.50 m<sup>3</sup>/s to 141.6-597.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s, but then the periodicity 680 decreases in strength as flow increased.

681 Similar to ACF analysis, PSD analysis showed quasi-periodic components of  $C(Z, W^{j})$  exhibiting flow dependent behavior (Figure 9B). For 8.50-283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s there is a 682 683 high power band (e.g. PSD/ $\sigma$  ~12-16) centered on 0.0014 cycles/m, which is confirmed from the ACF analysis above. For 8.50 -141.6 m<sup>3</sup>/s there are also smaller magnitude 684 685 peaks ranging from 3-8, spread out over several frequencies. There's also a high 686 magnitide component at the lowest frequency band that emerges at 28.32 and declines by 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s. These low frequency components are commonly associated with first 687 order auto-regressive behavior in the data (Shumway and Stoffer, 2010). At 597.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s 688 689 power is still associated on 0.0014 cycles/m, albeit with a ~50% reduction in magnitude. 690 Beyond this flow the frequency range and magnitude of statistically significant values 691 declines with discharge. Overall, both ACF and PSD results show that  $C(Z, W^{j})$  is guasi-periodic from 8.50 m<sup>3</sup>/s to 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s but then decreased in strength as flow 692 693 increased. Further, the PSD results show that the  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS is flow dependent and 694 multiscalar, being characterized by a range of statistically significant frequencies.

695

#### 696 6. Discussion

# 697 6.1 Coherent undulations in cobble-gravel bed river topography

The primary result of this study is that in an incising, partly confined, regulated cobble-gravel river whose flow regime is dynamic enough to afford it the capability to rejuvenate its landforms, there was a tendency for positive  $C(Z, W^j)$  and thus covarying Z and  $W^j$  amongst all flows analyzed. Based on the ACF and PSD analyses the  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS undulations are quasi-periodic. The results of this study associated channel organization across a range of recurrence intervals frequencies within the range of commonly reported channel forming discharges for Western U.S. rivers (e.g., 1.2-2.5 years) as well as substantially larger flows. These conclusions are obviously limited to the study reach, but this should not prohibit discussing possible mechanisms that could lead to these observed patterns, as well as the role of variable flows and incision.

709 Most notably, the test river exhibited a dominance of covarying values of Z and 710  $W^{j}$  across all flows, being characterized by an quasi-periodic pattern of wide and 711 shallow or narrow and deep cross sections. This supports the idea that alluvial river 712 reaches have a tendency for adapting wide and shallow and narrow and deep cross 713 sections to convey water flow (Huang et al., 2004). Rather than select a single type of 714 cross section to maximize energy dissipation to create a uniform cross section geometry 715 at a single channel maintaining flow, commonly referred to as bankfull, it appears that 716 alluvial rivers adjust their channel topography to have cross sections that roughly 717 alternate between those that are wide and shallow and narrow and deep (Figure 6B: 718 Huang et al., 2004), with some locations having a prismatic channel form indicative of 719 normative conditions, particularly in transition zones. Whether this is attributed to 720 minimizing the time rate of potential energy expenditure per unit mass within a reach 721 (Langbein and Leopold, 1962; Yang, 1971; Cherkauer, 1973; Wohl et al., 1999) or 722 channel unit scale mechanisms associated with riffle-pool maintenance (Wilkinson et al. 723 2004; MacWilliams et al., 2006; Caamano et al., 2009; Thompson, 2010;) remains to be 724 determined. Given that extremal hypotheses and riffle-pool maintenance act at different,

725 yet interdependent scales, it is likely that both play an intertwined and inseparable role 726 in channel form. That said, extremal theories are limited to predicting mean channel 727 conditions within a reach (Huang et al., 2014), with no models that can yet fully predict 728 sub-reach scale alluvial river topography, so we turn our attention to more tractable 729 hydrogeomorphic processes related to the maintenance of riffle and pool topography. 730 Presumably, the quasi-oscillatory  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS pattern is also linked to flow 731 dependent patterns of convective acceleration and deceleration zones (Marguis and 732 Roy, 2011; MacVicar and Rennie, 2012), as the length scales of the GCS were aligned 733 with the spacing of erosional and depositional landforms such as bars and pools. This 734 aspect is supported by ACF and PSD results as well as other two studies on the test 735 reach. First, it appears that the quasi-periodicity of the  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS is related to the 736 pool-riffle oscillation in the river corridor. The PSD analysis showed that the dominant 737 frequency of  $C(Z, W^{j})$  was ~ 0.0014 cycles/m, which equates to a length scale of ~ 700 738 m (Figure 9). Three of the morphologic units (MUs) studied by Wyrick and Pasternack 739 (2014) can be used for context including pools, riffles, and point bars. In their results for 740 the Timbuctoo Bend Reach, pools, riffles, and point bars had an average frequency of 741 0.0029, 0.0028, and 0.001 cycles/m. Considering that pools and riffles are defined as 742 two end-members of positive  $C(Z, W^{j})$ , then the frequency of riffles and pools should be 743 twice that of the  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS as found herein. That is, a single oscillation of  $C(Z, W^{j})$ 744 GCS would include both a narrow and deep (e.g. pool) and a wide and shallow (e.g. 745 riffle) cross section geometry, although transitional forms are possible within a cycle, too 746 (Figure 3). Therefore, it appears that the quasi-periodicity of the  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS is related 747 to the pool-riffle oscillation in the river corridor. This is in agreement with studies based

on field investigations and numerical models that relate this observation to quasi-

749 periodic bed and width variations associated with bar-pool topography (Richards,

1976b; Repetto and Tubino, 2001; Carling and Orr, 2002).

Second, Sawyer et al. (2010) showed that stage dependent flow convergence maintained bed relief by topographically mediated changes in peak velocity and shear stress at the central riffle in second example (Figure 5). Interestingly, the flow width series phases relative to bed elevations in accordance with theory (Wilkinson et al., 2004) and field and numerical studies (Brown and Pasternack, 2014). This supports an already reported relationship between the  $C(Z, W^j)$  GCS and the process of flow convergence routing (Brown and Pasternack, 2014 Brown et al., 2016).

758 Lastly, Strom and Pasternack (2016) showed that peak zones of velocity undergo 759 variable changes in their location with discharge, with most velocity reversals occurring after 597.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s. In this case the zones of peak velocity patches underwent complex 760 761 changes from being associated with narrow topographic high points at base flows 762  $(-W^{j}, +Z)$  to topographic low points where flow width is constricted at high flows 763  $(-W^{j}, -Z)$ . Overall, the presence of oscillating wide and shallow and narrow and deep 764 cross sections appears to be linked to hydrogeomorphic processes of riffle-pool 765 maintenance.

766

767 6.2 Hierarchical nesting, variable flows and the role of incision

This study quantitatively supports the idea that river morphology in partially confined valleys is hierarchically nested with broader exogenic constraints such as the bedrock valley walls, as well as channel width scale alluvial controls such as point bars and 771 islands. Our study quantitatively characterized interesting shifts in the amount of 772 correlation amongst flow width series and in the presence of quasi-periodic oscillations 773 in  $C(Z, W^{j})$  with changes in flow. Each series of  $W^{j}$  were significantly correlated with 774 the next highest flow, but this was lowest between 597.5 and 1195 m<sup>3</sup>/s, where the 775 valley walls begin to be engaged (Figure 7). Further, both the ACF and PSD show that 776 quasi-periodicity in  $C(Z, W^{j})$  declines after 597.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s (Figure 9). In addition, Strom and 777 Pasternack (2016) showed that reversals in peak velocity occur when flows exceed 778 597.5 m<sup>3</sup>/s. While results show that statistically significant correlations between Z and 779  $W^{j}$  occur for a range of flows, the greatest magnitude is not when the valley walls are 780 inundated, but for the 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s channel and incipient floodplain. Given that 781 correlations were still significant for the flows that inundate the valley walls, this does 782 not refute the role of valley width oscillations in potentially controlling riffle persistence 783 (White et al., 2010), but rather adds new insight to the morphodynamics of rivers 784 incising in partially confined valleys. This suggests that the incision process may be 785 decoupling the organization of the riverbed away from being controlled by the valley 786 walls and instead phased towards reshaping channel topography within the inset bars 787 that are nested within the valley walls. As the riverbed incises further down through 788 knickpoint migration (Carley et al., 2012) this may act to shift zones of high and low 789 wetted width upstream unless lateral erosion can keep pace.

790

791 6.3 Broader Implications

This study quantified relationships between flow width and minimum bed elevation ina partly confined and incising gravel-cobble bedded river, as well as for the first time

how they change with stage. While study results are currently limited to rivers similar to
the study reach, there are several key results of this study that may have broader
relevance to river restoration and management.

797 First, a key result of this study was that channel geometry was organized into 798 covarying Z and  $W^{j}$  undulations across all flows analyzed, alternating between wide and 799 shallow and narrow and deep cross sections. This is a very different view from the 800 classical definition of singular and modal bankfull channel geometry often used to guide 801 river and stream restoration (Shields et al., 2003). Instead, our study found that channel 802 geometry at all flows had a relatively even mixture of wide and shallow and narrow and 803 deep cross sections. Studies that deconstruct the complexity of river channel geometry 804 to modal ranges of channel width and depth have always shown scatter, which has 805 mostly been attributed to measurement uncertainty and/or local conditions (Park, 1977; 806 Philips and Harman, 1984; Harman et al., 2008; Surian et al., 2009). Our study 807 suggests that this variability is a fundamental component of alluvial river geometry. 808 While this concept was proposed by Hey and Thorne (1983) over two decades ago, few 809 studies have integrated these ideas into river engineering and design (e.g. see Simon et 810 al., 2007). Thus, this study further supports a needed shift away from designing rivers 811 with modal conditions to designing rivers with quasi-oscillatory and structured variations 812 in channel topography. An example of this is the form-process synthesis of channel 813 topography that experience flow reversals using GCS theory (Brown et al., 2016) 814 Second, this study has implications to restoration design and flow reregulation in that 815 a wide array of discharges beyond a single channel forming flow are presumably 816 needed for alluvial channel maintenance (Parker et al., 2003). Commonly singular

817 values of channel forming discharge, usually either bankfull or effective discharge, are 818 used in stream and river restoration designs (Shields et al., 2007; Doyle et al., 2007). 819 This study refutes this concept for rivers such as studied herein, as supported by the 820 results that show gradual changes in channel organization within a band of discharges 821 with recurrence intervals ranging from 1.2-5 years, and four fold range in absolute 822 discharges. Instead, stream and river restoration practitioners should analyze ranges of 823 flow discharges and the potential topographic features (existing or designed) that could 824 invoke stage-dependent hydrodynamic and geomorphic processes associated with 825 complex, self maintaining natural rivers.

Third, while the length scales of covarying Z and  $W^{j}$  undulations are approximate to 826 827 the spacing of bars and pools in the study area, they are guite complex and lack explicit 828 cutoffs that illustrate power in a singular frequency band. Thus, river restoration efforts 829 that specify modal values of bedforms may overly simplify the physical structure of 830 rivers with unknown consequences to ecological communities and key functions that are 831 the focus of such efforts. River restoration designs need to mimic the multiscalar nature 832 of self-formed topography by incorporating GCS into river engineering (Brown et al., 833 2014) or somehow insure that simpler uniscalar designs will actually evolve into 834 multiscaler ones given available flows and anthropogenic boundary constraints. 835 Fourth, this study has potential implications for analyzing the effect of flow 836 dependent responses to topography and physical habitat in river corridors. Valley and 837 channel widths have shown to be very predictive in predicting the intrinsic potential of 838 salmon habitat (Burnett et al., 2007). Further, the role of covarying bed and width 839 undulations in modulating velocity signals and topographic change has implications to

840 the maintenance of geomorphic domains used by aquatic organisms. As one example, 841 consider that adult salmonids use positively covarying zones such as riffles (e.g. 842  $+W^{j}$ , +Z) for spawning and pools (e.g.  $-W^{j}$ , -Z) for holding (Bjorn and Reiser, 1991). In 843 the study reach Pasternack et al. (2014) showed that 77% of spawning occurred in 844 riffles and chute morphologic units, which are at or adjacent to areas where  $C(Z, W^{j}) > 1$ 845 (Figure 4, Figure 5), supporting this idea. The presence and structure of covarying bed 846 and width undulations is also thought to be important indirectly for juvenile salmonids 847 that require shallow and low velocity zones for refugia during large floods. For example, 848 the expansions that occur at the head of riffles would presumably provide lateral zones 849 of shallow depths and moderate velocities needed for flood refugia. In the absence of 850 positive bed relief, and zones of +W, +Z, flow refugia zones would be hydrologically 851 disconnected from overbank areas, impacting the ability of juvenile salmon to utilize 852 these areas as refugia during floods and potentially leading to population level declines 853 (Nickelson et al., 1992). Future work should better constrain the utility of GCS concepts 854 in assessing aquatic habitat.

855 Lastly, it is possible that the  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS could be used as a comparative proxy in 856 remote sensing applications to determine how the topographic structure of rivers 857 change with flow, and how that may also change though time. The zoomed examples 858 of  $C(Z, W^{j})$  and the detrended river topography highlight how this type of GCS can be 859 used to characterize the topographic influence on wetted width and bed elevation 860 variability in river corridors. The  $C(Z, W^{j})$  GCS may be used diagnostically to assess 861 riverine structure and hydraulic function in a continuous manner within a river across an 862 array of flows. While not studied herein, prior work (Brown and Pasternack, 2014)

showed that the magnitude of  $C(Z, W^j)$  can also be related to flow velocity, though lagged effects do occur. Since the magnitudes can be linked to both unique landforms and flow velocity they may have utility in assessing topographic and hydraulic controls in river corridors.

867 LiDAR and analytical methods for developing bed topography in rivers has improved 868 considerably (McKean et al, 2009). For example, Gessese et al. (2011) derived an 869 analytical expression for determining bed topography from water surface elevations, 870 which can be obtained from LiDAR (Magirl et al, 2005). Assuming one has an adequate 871 topographic data set, whether numerical flow modeling is needed to generate wetted 872 width data sets places a considerable constraint on performing this type of analysis. 873 This could potentially be relaxed, especially at flows above bankfull, using a constant 874 water slope approximation for various flow stages. At smaller discharges in rivers there 875 are typically defects in the water surface elevation, where the bed topography exerts a 876 strong control on bed elevations (e.g. Brown and Pasternack, 2008). However, many 877 studies suggest that on large alluvial rivers bankfull and flood profiles show that they 878 generally flatten and smoothen once bed forms and large roughness elements such as 879 gravel bars are effectively submerged. In this case, one can then detrend the river 880 corridor and take serial width measurements associated at various heights above the 881 riverbed (Gangodagamage et al., 2007). The height above the river then can then be 882 related to estimates of flow discharge and frequency, so that the change GCS structure 883 can be related to watershed hydrology (Jones, 2006). There's also the obvious option of 884 using paired aerial photography with known river flows by correlating discharge with 885 imagery dates and widths. Future work should constrain whether similar conclusions

can be reached using field and model derived estimates of wetted width as opposed tomodeled solutions.

888

## 889 **7. Conclusions**

890 A key conclusion is that the test river exhibited covaring oscillations of minimum bed 891 elevation and channel top width across all flows analyzed. These covarying oscillations 892 were found to be quasi-periodic at channel forming flows, scaling with the length scales 893 of pools and riffles. Thus it appears that alluvial rivers organize their topography to 894 have oscillating shallow and wide and narrow and deep cross section geometry, even 895 despite ongoing incision. Presumably these covarying oscillations are linked to 896 hydrogeomorphic mechanisms associated with alluvial river channel maintenance. As 897 an analytical tool, the GCS concepts in here treat the topography of river corridors as 898 system, which is thought of as an essential view in linking physical and ecological 899 processes in river corridors at multiple scales (Fausch et al., 2002; Carbonneau et al., 900 2012). While much research is needed to validate the utility of these ideas to these 901 broader concepts and applications in ecology and geomorphology, the idea of GCS's, 902 especially for width and bed elevation, holds promise.

903

#### 904 8. Data Availability

905 Each  $C(Z, W^{j})$  dataset is available from either author by request.

906

## 907 9. Acknowledgements

Although not directly funded by any source, this study used data and models

- 909 from studies previously sponsored by Pacific Gas & Electric Company, the U.S. Fish
- 910 and Wildlife Service Anadromous Fish Restoration Program, Yuba County Water
- 911 Agency, and the Yuba Accord River Management Team. Co-author G.B. Pasternack
- 912 received support from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch
- 913 project number #CA-D-LAW-7034-H.
- 914

# 915 10. References

- Abu-Aly TR, Pasternack GB, Wyrick JR, Barker R, Massa D, Johnson T. 2014. Effects
   of LiDAR-derived, spatially distributed vegetation roughness on two-dimensional
- 918 hydraulics in a gravel-cobble river at flows of 0.2 to 20 times bankfull.
- 919 Geomorphology 206: 468-482. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.10.017
- Adler, LL. 1980. Adjustment of Yuba River, California, to the influx of hydraulic mining
   debris, 1849–1979. M.A. thesis, Geography Department, University of California,
   Los Angeles.
- Andrews ED. 1980. Effective and bankfull discharges of streams in the Yampa River
   basin, Colorado and Wyoming. Journal of Hydrology 46: 311-330.
- Bjorn TC, Reiser DW. 1991 Habitat Requirements of Salmonids in Streams. In:
  Influences of Forest and Rangeland Management on Salmonid Fishes and Their
  Habitats. Edited by W.R. Meehan. Special Publication 19. American Fisheries
  Society. Bethesda, MD. pp. 83-138.
- Brown RA. 2014. The Analysis and Synthesis of River Topography (Doctoral
  Dissertation) University Of California, Davis. 187 pages.
- Brown RA, Pasternack, GB. 2008. Engineered channel controls limiting spawning
  habitat rehabilitation success on regulated gravel-bed rivers. Geomorphology 97:
  631–654.
- Brown RA, Pasternack GB. 2014. Hydrologic and Topographic Variability Modulate
   Channel Change in Mountain Rivers. Journal of Hydrology 510: 551–564.DOI:
   10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.12.048
- Brown, R.A., Pasternack, G.B., Wallender, W.W., 2014. Synthetic River Valleys:
  Creating Prescribed Topography for Form-Process Inquiry and River
  Rehabilitation Design. Geomorphology 214.

- Brown, R.A., Pasternack, G.B., Lin, T., 2016. The topographic design of river channels
  for form-process linkages. Environmental Management, 57(4), 929-942.
- 942 Burnett KM, Reeves GH, Miller DJ, Clarke S, Vance-Borland K, and Christiansen K.
- 943 2007. Distribution Of Salmon-Habitat Potential Relative To Landscape
- 944 Characteristics And Implications For Conservation. Ecological Applications
- 945 17:66–80.http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/10510761(2007)017[0066:DOSPRT]2.0.CO;2
- Caamaño D, Goodwin P, Buffington JM. 2009. Unifying criterion for the velocity reversal
   hypothesis in gravel-bed rivers. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering 135: 66–70.
- Carbonneau P, Fonstad MA, Marcus WA, Dugdale SJ. 2012. Making riverscapes real.
  Geomorphology. 137:74-86. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.09.030
- Carley JK, Pasternack GB, Wyrick JR, Barker JR, Bratovich PM., Massa D, Reedy G, ,
  Johnson TR. 2012. Significant decadal channel change 58–67years post-dam
  accounting for uncertainty in topographic change detection between contour
  maps and point cloud models. Geomorphology 179: 71-88. DOI:
- 954 10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.08.001
- Carling PA, Orr HG. 2000. Morphology of riffle-pool sequences in the River Severn,
  England. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 2 25: 369–384. DOI:
  10.1002/(SICI)1096-9837(200004)25:4<369::AID-ESP60>3.0.CO;2-M
- Carter G, Knapp C, Nuttall A. 1973. Estimation of the magnitude-squared coherence
   function via overlapped fast Fourier transform processing. IEEE Transactions on
   Audio and Electroacoustics 21: 337 344. DOI: 10.1109/TAU.1973.1162496
- 961 Cherkauer DS. 1973. Minimization of power expenditure in a riffle-pool alluvial channel.
   962 Water Resources Research 9: 1613–1628.
- 963 Cienciala P, Pasternack, GB. in press. Floodplain Inundation Response to Climate,
  964 Valley Form, and Flow Regulation on a Gravel-Bed River in a Mediterranean965 Climate Region. Geomorphology.
- 966 . Church, M, 2006. Multiple scales in rivers, In: Helmut Habersack, Hervé Piégay and
  967 Massimo Rinaldi, Editor(s), Developments in Earth Surface Processes, Elsevier,
  968 2007, Volume 11, Pages 3-28, ISSN 0928-2025, ISBN 9780444528612,
- 969 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0928-2025(07)11111-
- 970 1.(http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S092820250711111)
- 971 Colombini M, Seminara G, Tubino M. 1987. Finite-amplitude alternate bars. Journal of
  972 Fluid Mechanics 181: 213-232. DOI: 10.1017/S0022112087002064

- 973 Cox N, J. 1983. On the estimation of spatial autocorrelation in geomorphology. Earth
   974 Surface Processes and Landforms 8: 89–93. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3290080109
- Davis, W.M., 1909. The Geographical Cycle, Chapter 13, Geographical Essays. Ginnand Co., New York.
- 977 DeAlmeida GAM, Rodriguez JF. 2012. Spontaneous formation and degradation of pool 978 riffle morphology and sediment sorting using a simple fractional transport model.
   979 Geophysical Research Letters 39, L06407, doi:10.1029/2012GL051059.
- Dolan R, Howard A, Trimble D. 1978. Structural control of the rapids and pools of the
  Colorado River in the Grand Canyon. Science 10: 629-631. DOI:
  10.1126/science.202.4368.629
- Doyle MW, Shields D, Boyd KF, Skidmore PB, Dominick D. 2007. Channel-Forming
  Discharge Selection in River Restoration Design. Journal of Hydraulic
  Engineering 133(7):831-837.
- 986 Escobar-Arias MI, Pasternack G.B. 2011. Differences in River Ecological Functions Due
  987 to Rapid Channel Alteration Processes in Two California Rivers Using the
  988 Functional Flows Model, Part 2- Model Applications. River Research and
  989 Applications 27, 1–22, doi: 10.1002/rra.1335.
- Frissell CA, Liss WJ, Warren CE, Hurley MD. 1986. A hierarchical framework for stream
   habitat classification: Viewing streams in a watershed context. Environmental
   Management 10(2): 199-214.
- Gangodagamage, C, Barnes, E, Foufoula Georgiou, E. 2007. Scaling in river corridor
  widths depicts organization in valley morphology, Geomorphology, 91, 198–215,
  doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.04.014.
- Gessese AF, Sellier M, Van Houten E, Smart, G. 2011. Reconstruction of river bed
  topography from free surface data using a direct numerical approach in onedimensional shallow water flow. Inverse Problems 27.
- Gilbert GK, 1917. Hydraulic-mining debris in the Sierra Nevada. United StatesGeological Survey Professional Paper 105.
- Ghoshal S, James LA, Singer MB, Aalto R. 2010. Channel and Floodplain Change
  Analysis over a 100-Year Period: Lower Yuba River, California. Remote Sensing,
  2(7): 1797.
- Guinn JM. 1890. Exceptional years: a history of California floods and drought. Historical
   Society of Southern California 1 (5): 33-39.

- Harman C, Stewardson M, DeRose R. 2008. Variability and uncertainty in reach
  bankfull hydraulic geometry. Journal of Hydrology 351(1-2):13-25, ISSN 00221694, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.11.015.
- Harrison LR, Keller EA. 2007. Modeling forced pool–riffle hydraulics in a boulder-bed
  stream, southern California. Geomorphology 83: 232–248. DOI:
  10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.02.024
- Hernandez G. 1999. Time series, periodograms, and significance, J. Geophys. Res.,
   104(A5), 10355–10368, doi:10.1029/1999JA900026.
- Hey RD, Thorne CR. 1986. Stable channels with mobile gravel beds. Journal of
   Hydraulic Engineering 112: 671–689.
- Huang HQ, Chang HH, Nanson GC.2004. Minimum energy as the general form of
   critical flow and maximum flow efficiency and for explaining variations in river
   channel pattern, Water Resour. Res., 40, W04502, doi:10.1029/2003WR002539.
- Huang HQ, Deng C, Nanson GC, Fan B, Liu X, Liu T, Ma Y. 2014. A test of equilibrium
  theory and a demonstration of its practical application for predicting the
  morphodynamics of the Yangtze River. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 39: 669–
  675.
- Jackson JR, Pasternack GB, Wyrick JR. 2013. Substrate of the Lower Yuba River.
   Prepared for the Yuba Accord River Management Team. University of California, Davis, CA, 61pp.
- James LA, Singer MB, Ghoshal S. 2009. Historical channel changes in the lower Yuba
   and Feather Rivers, California: Long-term effects of contrasting river management strategies. Geological Society of America Special Papers 451:57 81. DOI: 10.1130/2009.2451(04
- Keller E. 1971. Areal Sorting of Bed-Load Material: The Hypothesis of Velocity
   Reversal. Geological Society of America Bulletin 82: 753-756.
- Keller EA, Melhorn WN. 1978. Rhythmic spacing and origin of pools and riffles: GSA
   Bulletin 89: 723-730. DOI: 10.1130/0016-7606(1978)89<723:RSAOOP>2.0.CO;2
- 1034 Knighton A. 1983. Models of stream bed topography at the reach scale. Journal of1035 Hydrology 60.
- Lisle, T 1979. A Sorting Mechanism For A Riffle-Pool Sequence. Geological Society ofAmerica Bulletin, Part 11. 90: 1142-1157.

- Leopold LB, Maddock T. 1953. The Hydraulic Geometry of Stream Channels and Some
   Physiographic Implications. Geological Survey Professional Paper 252, United
   States Geological Survey, Washington, D.C.
- Leopold, LB and Langbein, WB. 1962. The Concept of Entropy in Landscape Evolution,
   U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 500-A, 20p.
- MacWilliams, ML, Jr, Wheaton, JM, Pasternack, GB, Street, RL, Kitanidis, PK. 2006.
   Flow convergence routing hypothesis for pool–riffle maintenance in alluvial rivers.
   Water Resources Research 42, W10427. doi:10.1029/2005WR004391.
- Madej MA. 2001. Development of channel organization and roughness following
  sediment pulses in single-thread, gravel bed rivers. Water Resources Research
  37: 2259-2272. DOI: 10.1029/2001WR000229
- Magirl CS, Webb RH, Griffiths PG. 2005. Changes in the water surface profile of the
   Colorado River in Grand Canyon, Arizona, between 1923 and 2000, Water
   Resour. Res., 41, W05021, doi:10.1029/2003WR002519.
- 1052MacVicar BJ, Rennie CD. 2012. Flow and turbulence redistribution in a straight artificial1053pool. Water Resources Research 48, W02503, doi:10.1029/2010WR009374
- Marquis GA, Roy AG. 2011. Bridging the gap between turbulence and larger scales of
   flow motions in rivers. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 36: 563–568.
   doi:10.1002/esp.2131
- McKean JA, Isaac DJ, Wright CW. 2008. Geomorphic controls on salmon nesting
   patterns described by a new, narrow-beam terrestrial–aquatic lidar. Frontiers in
   Ecology and the Environment 6: 125-130. DOI: 10.1890/070109
- McKean J, Nagel D, Tonina D, Bailey P, Wright CW, Bohn,C, Nayegandhi A, 2009.
  Remote sensing of channels and riparian zones with a narrow-beam aquaticterrestrial lidar. Remote Sensing, 1, 1065-1096; doi:10.3390/rs1041065.
- Melton MA. 1962. Methods for measuring the effect of environmental factors on channel
   properties. Journal of Geophysical Research 67: 1485-1490. DOI:
   10.1029/JZ067i004p01485
- 1066Milan DJ, Heritage GL, Large ARG, Charlton ME. 2001. Stage dependent variability in1067tractive force distribution through a riffle-pool sequence. Catena 44: 85-109.

# 1068Milne JA. 1982. Bed-material size and the riffle-pool sequence. Sedimentology 29: 267-1069278. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3091.1982.tb01723.x

- 1070 Nelson PA, Brew AK, Morgan, JA. 2015. Morphodynamic response of a variable-width
   1071 channel to changes in sediment supply. Water Resources Research 51: 5717–
   1072 5734, doi:10.1002/2014WR016806.
- 1073 Newland DE. 1993. An introduction to random vibrations, spectral and wavelet analysis.1074 Dover Publications.
- Nickelson TA, Rodgers J, Steven L. Johnson, Mario F. Solazzi. 1992. Seasonal
   Changes in Habitat Use by Juvenile Coho Salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) in
   Oregon Coastal Streams. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences,
   1992, 49:783-789, 10.1139/f92-088
- Nolan KM, Lisle TE, Kelsey HM. 1987. Bankfull discharge and sediment transport in
  northwestern California. In: R. Beschta, T. Blinn, G. E. Grant, F. J. Swanson, and
  G. G. Ice (ed.), Erosion and Sedimentation in the Pacific Rim (Proceedings of the
  Corvallis Symposium, August 1987). International Association of Hydrological
  Sciences Pub. No. 165, p. 439-449.
- Parker G., Toro-Escobar CM, Ramey M, Beck S, 2003. The effect of floodwater
  extraction on the morphology of mountain streams. Journal of Hydraulic
  Engineering, 129(11): 885-895.
- Pasternack GB, Tu D, Wyrick JR. 2014. Chinook adult spawning physical habitat of the
  lower Yuba River. Prepared for the Yuba Accord River Management Team.
  University of California, Davis, CA, 154pp.
- Pasternack GB, Wyrick JR. in press. Flood-driven topographic changes in a gravel cobble river over segment, reach, and unit scales. Earth Surface Processes and
   Landforms
- Park CC. 1977. World-wide variations in hydraulic geometry exponents of stream
  channels: An analysis and some observations, Journal of Hydrology 33(1): 133146, ISSN 0022-1694, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(77)90103-2.
- Phillips PJ, Harlin JM.1984. Spatial dependency of hydraulic geometry exponents in a
  subalpine stream, Journal of Hydrology 71(3): 277-283. ISSN 0022-1694,
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(84)90101-X.
- Pike RJ, Evans I, Hengl T. 2008. Geomorphometry: A Brief Guide. In: Geomorphometry
   Concepts, Software, Applications, Hengl, T. and Hannes I. Reuter (eds.), Series
   Developments in Soil Science vol. 33, Elsevier, pp. 3-33, ISBN 978-0-12-374345 9

- 1103 Rayburg SC, Neave M. 2008. Assessing morphologic complexity and diversity in river
  1104 systems using three-dimensional asymmetry indices for bed elements, bedforms
  1105 and bar units. River Research and Applications 24: 1343–1361. DOI:
- 1106 10.1002/rra.1096
- Rendell H, Alexander D. 1979. Note on some spatial and temporal variations in
   ephemeral channel form. Geological Society of America Bulletin 9: 761-772. DOI:
   10.1130/0016-7606(1979)90<761:NOSSAT>2.0.CO;2
- 1110 Repetto R, Tubino M, 2001. Topographic Expressions of Bars in Channels with Variable1111 Width. Phys. Chem. Earth (B), Vol. 26:71-76.
- 1112 Richards KS. 1976a. The morphology of riffle-pool sequences. Earth Surface Processes
  1113 1: 71-88. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3290010108
- 1114 Richards KS. 1976b. Channel width and the riffle-pool sequence. Geological Society of1115 America Bulletin 87: 883-890.
- 1116 Richards KS. 1979. Stochastic processes in one dimension: An introduction. Concepts1117 and Techniques In Modern Geography No. 23. 30 pages.
- 1118Richter BD, Braun DP, Mendelson MA, Master LL. 1997. Threats to Imperiled1119Freshwater Fauna. Conservation Biology 11: 1081–1093.
- 1120 Rosgen D, 1996. Applied River Morphology (Wildland Hydrology, Pagosa Springs,
   1121 Colorado). Wildland Hydrology, Pagosa Springs, CO.
- Salas JD. 1980. Applied modeling of hydrologic time series. Applied modeling of
   hydrologic time series. Water Resources Publications. Littleton, Colorado.
- Sawyer, AM, Pasternack GB, Moir HJ, Fulton AA. 2010. Riffle-pool maintenance and
  flow convergence routing confirmed on a large gravel bed river. Geomorphology,
  1126 114: 143-160
- Schumm SA. 1971. Fluvial geomorphology: channel adjustment and river
  metamorphosis. In: Shen, H.W. (Ed.), River Mechanics. H.W. Shen, Fort Collins,
  CO, pp. 5-1–5-22.
- Shields D, Copeland R., Klingeman P, Doyle M, and Simon A. 2003. Design for Stream
  Restoration. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering 10.1061/(ASCE)07339429(2003)129:8(575), 575-584.
- Shumway RH, Stoffer DS. 2010. Time series analysis and its applications: with R
  examples. Time series analysis and its applications: with R examples. 505
  pages. Springer US.

- Simon AM, Doyle M, Kondolf M, Shields FD, Rhoads B, and McPhillips M. 2007. Critical
   Evaluation of How the Rosgen Classification and Associated "Natural Channel
   Design" Methods Fail to Integrate and Quantify Fluvial Processes and Channel
   Response. Journal of the American Water Resources Association 43(5):1117-
- 1140 1131. DOI: 10.1111 / j.1752-1688.2007.00091.x
- Strom MA, Pasternack GB, Wyrick JR. 2016. Reenvisioning velocity reversal as a
  diversity of hydraulic patch behaviors. Hydrologic Processes, doi:
  10.1002/hyp.10797.
- Surian N, Mao L, Giacomin M, and Ziliani L. 2009. Morphological effects of different
   channel-forming discharges in a gravel-bed river. Earth Surface Processes and
   Landforms 34: 1093–1107. doi:10.1002/esp.1798
- Thomson JR, Taylor MP, Fryirs KA, Brierley GJ. 2001. A geomorphological framework
   for river characterization and habitat assessment. Aquatic Conservation-Marine
   and Freshwater Ecosystems, 11(5), 373-389.
- 1150Thompson DM. 2010. The velocity-reversal hypothesis revisited. Progress in Physical1151Geography 35: 123–132. DOI: 10.1177/0309133310369921
- 1152 Thornbury WD. 1954. Principles of geomorphology. John Wiley, New York.
- 1153Trauth MH, Gebbers R, Marwan N, Sillmann E. 2006. MATLAB recipes for earth1154sciences. Springer
- Wolman MG, Gerson R. 1978. Relative Scales of Time and Effectiveness of Climate in
  Watershed Geomorphology. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 3(2): 189208.
- White JQ, Pasternack GB, Moir HJ. 2010. Valley width variation influences riffle–pool
  location and persistence on a rapidly incising gravel-bed river. Geomorphology
  121: 206–221. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.04.012
- Wilkinson SN, Keller RJ, Rutherfurd ID. 2004. Phase-shifts in shear stress as an
   explanation for the maintenance of pool–riffle sequences. Earth Surface
   Processes and Landforms 29: 737–753. DOI: 10.1002/esp.1066
- Williams GP. 1978. Bank-full discharge of rivers, Water Resources Research 14:1141–
  1154. doi:10.1029/WR014i006p01141.
- Wohl EE, Thompson DM, Miller AJ. 1999. Canyons with undulating walls, GeologicalSociety of America Bulletin 111, 949–959.

- Wolman MG, Gerson R 1978 Relative scales of time and effectiveness of climate in
   watershed geomorphology. Earth Surface. Processes and Landforms 3: 189–
   208. doi:10.1002/esp.3290030207
- 1171 Wyrick JR, Pasternack GB. 2012. Landforms of the lower Yuba River. University of1172 California, Davis.
- 1173 Wyrick JR, Pasternack GB. 2014. Geospatial organization of fluvial landforms in a
   1174 gravel–cobble river: Beyond the riffle–pool couplet. Geomorphology 213: 48-65.
   1175 DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.12.040
- 1176 Wyrick JR, Pasternack GB. 2015. Revealing the natural complexity of topographic
  1177 change processes through repeat surveys and decision-tree classification. Earth
  1178 Surface Processes and Landforms, doi: 10.1002/esp.3854.
- 1179 Yalin, MS. 1977. Mechanics of sediment transport. Elsevier
- 1180 Yang CT. 1971. Potential Energy and Stream Morphology. Water Resources Research1181 7. DOI: 10.1029/WR007i002p00311
- 1182Yu B, Wolman MG. 1987. Some dynamic aspects of river geometry, Water Resources1183Research 23(3): 501–509. doi:10.1029/WR023i003p00501.
- 1184 **11.List of Figures**
- 1185 Figure 1. Regional and vicinity map of the lower Yuba River (A) and extent of study
- 1186 segment showing inundation extents predicted by the 2D model (B).
- 1187
- 1188 Figure 2. Raw bed profile (A) and flow width (B) series for 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s. After detrending
- and standardizing, values of Z (black line in C) and W (blue line in C) are multiplied
- 1190 together to compute  $C(Z, W^j)$  (red line in C). The whole series of  $C(Z, W^j)$  is the GCS
- 1191
- 1192 Figure 3. Conceptual key for interpreting  $C(Z, W^j)$  geomorphic covariance structures
- 1193 (A). For quadrant 1 Z and  $W^{j}$  are both relatively high, so that implies wide and shallow
- areas associated with deposition. Conversely, in quadrant 2 Z is relatively low, but and

1195  $W^{j}$  is relatively high, which implies deep and wide cross areas, which implies that these 1196 areas may have been scoured at larger flows. In quadrant 3 *Z* and  $W^{j}$  are both 1197 relatively low, so that implies narrow and deep areas associated with erosion. Finally, in 1198 quadrant 4 *Z* is relatively high and  $W^{j}$  is relatively low, so that implies narrow and 1199 topographically high areas. Prototypical channels and GCS with positive (B), and 1200 negative (C)  $C(Z, W^{j})$  colored according to (A).

1201

Figure 4. Example section in the middle of the study area showing inundation extents (A). Below are plots of minimum bed elevation (B), flow widths for 8.50 m<sup>3</sup>/s, 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s, and 3,126 m<sup>3</sup>/s (C), and  $C(Z, W^{j})$  for the same flows. The aerial image is for a flow of 21.29 m<sup>3</sup>/s on 9/28/2006.

1206

Figure 5. Example section at the lower extent of the study area showing inundation extents (A). Below are plots of minimum bed elevation (B), flow widths for 8.50 m<sup>3</sup>/s, 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s, and 3,126 m<sup>3</sup>/s (C), and  $C(Z, W^{j})$  for the same flows. The aerial image is for a flow of 21.29 m<sup>3</sup>/s on 9/28/2006.

1211

Figure 6. Histogram of  $C(Z, W^{j})$  classified by positive and negative values as well as > and < 1 (A). Also shown is a histogram classified by quadrant (B). Both illustrate an overall tendency for  $C(Z, W^{j}) > 0$  with increasing discharge and also illustrating an increasing tendency for positive values of  $C(Z, W^{j}) > 1$  up until 283.2 m<sup>3</sup>/s after which it declines. Colors represent bin centered values.

1217

1218 Figure 7. Pearson's correlation coefficient for Z and  $W^{j}$  between each flow.

1219

Figure 8. Pearson's correlation coefficient for sequential pairs of flow dependent wettedwidth series.

1222

1223 Figure 9. Autocorrelation (A) and PSD (B) of  $C(Z, W^{j})$  with increasing flow. For the

1224 ACF plot (A), only values exceeding white noise at the 95% level are shown and the red

1225 countor demarcates the 95% level for an AR1 process( red noise). For the PSD plot (B)

1226 only values exceeding white noise at the 95% level are shown.

1227

1228 Table 1. Flows analyzed and their approximate annual recurrence intervals.

1229

1230 Table 2. Linear trend models and  $R^2$  for Z and  $W^j$  used in detrending each series.

1231

1232 Table 3. Mann Whitney U-test p values amongst all combinations of *Z* and  $W^{j}$  at the 1233 95% level.

1234

1235





Station (m)

















Q (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Approximate Recurrence Interval
8.50	1
28.32	1.03
141.6	1.2
283.2	1.5
597.5	2.5
1195	4.7
2390	12.7
3126	20

Table 1. Flows analyzed and their approximate annual recurrence intervals

	Top width	Bed elevation			
Discharge (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Linear trend model	R <sup>2</sup>	Linear trend model	R <sup>2</sup>	
8.50	y = -0.0016x + 193.03	0.0231	y = 0.002x + 194.2	0.8727	
28.32	y = -0.0025x + 234.27	0.0429	y = 0.002x + 194.26	0.8713	
141.6	y = -0.003x + 301.61	0.0423	y = 0.0021x + 194.04	0.8731	
283.2	y = -0.0002x + 332.87	0.0002	y = 0.0021x + 194.23	0.8710	
597.5	y = -0.0101x + 528.6	0.2286	y = 0.0021x + 194.16	0.8711	
1,195	y = -0.0133x + 665.02	0.3037	y = 0.0021x + 194.29	0.8703	
2,390	y = -0.012x + 710.57	0.2420	y = 0.0022x + 193.92	0.8736	
3,126	y = -0.0121x + 733.12	0.2437	y = 0.0022x + 193.94	0.8733	

Table 2.Linear trend models and R2 for Z and W^j used in detrending each series

	8.50	28.32	141.6	283.2	597.5	1,195	2,390	3,126
8.50		0.0002	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0008	0.0498	0.0403
28.32			0.0126	0.0001	0.0262	0.6152	0.0865	0.1009
141.6				0.125	0.7627	0.0015	0.0000	0.0000
283.2					0.0859	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
597.5						0.0033	0.0000	0.0001
1195							0.2673	0.3129
2390								0.9487
3126								

Table 3.Mann Whitney U-test p values amongst all combinations of Z and W^j at the 95% level