#### **Response to reviewer 2**

We would like to thank the reviewer 2 for his meaningful comments that will significantly help us to improve the quality of the paper. Our stepwise response to the reviewer's comments is written in bold italic in the following text, the reviewer's comments are in regular text format. All substantial modifications that were included in the revisited version of the manuscript are written in blue.

1. General comments.

The first comment addresses the structure of the article.

We assume that the first submitted version was following our reasoning but may not appear very clear for external readers. Therefore, the article structure was modified to better fit a "classical" article plan. It is now more clearly stated (1) what comes from bibliographic review and (2) what is the original contribution of this work.

The second comment refers to the figure 6 and the methodology employed to build the network graph based on the geomorphological map.

We added a dedicated sub-section in the methodology. Please see section 3.4 "implementation" on page 8, lines 15 to 23. This paragraph was originally integrated in the "case study" section. We assume it is now clearer for the reader.

The third general comment suggest an edition of the English grammar and insists on the problem of structuration of the paper.

The paper has been proofread by a professional English editing service. The structure of the article was modified to better articulate the novelty of this work and provide a more complete description of the application

2. Detailed manuscript comments

P 1, L 6: "To understand the sedimentary signal: authors refer to the concept of connectivity." Author's do not refer to connectivity to understand the sedimentary signal. Instead they may refer to connectivity to \*describe\* the sedimentary signal. Or they may \*apply\* the concept of connectivity to understand the sedimentary signal.

### This was modified according to the reviewer's comment. We used the term "describe" instead of "understand".

P 1, L 7: I am not sure what "filiations" refers to.

#### The term "filiations" is currently used in French to describe the cascading interactions of processes. We switched to the term of "local links" which may sound clearer in English in the sentence.

P 1, L 20: In what way are these indices robust? I do not recall reading this in the main text? "and may lead to simulations" in what way lead to simulations? Akin to work by Czuba and Foufoula-Georgiou (2014) and Schmitt et al. (2016) or something else? Please more fully discuss.

The concerned sentence: "We demonstrate that these indices are robust, and may lead to simulations", was modified to be more accurate and more in accordance with the discussion of the paper: i.e. we now state that "We demonstrate that these indices may lead to simulations of sediment transfer and help in identifying the hotspots of geomorphic change." Which is now clearly addressed in the discussion (please see the discussion P 14 L 20 to P15 L 5)

P 1, L 24-25: Are you saying here that connectivity was first defined by ecologists or by Bennet (2004) specifically? Be certain and careful if saying the latter.

### We stated here that the use of connectivity for spatial analysis was first addressed by ecologists and cited bennet 2004 which is among the most accepted references on the topic.

P 3, L 4: I suggest using subscripts for "h" and "o" in "Vh" and "Vo". And elsewhere, see P 3, L 25 also.

### All concerned formulas and indices were written using subscripts. Additionally, formulas were retyped in a formula editor.

P 5, L 8: "whithin" should be "within".

#### This was corrected accordingly

P 8, L 25: The work of Czuba and Foufoula (2014) and Schmitt et al. (2016) (and their subsequent work) are relevant here as they both explicitly take steps, under several assumptions including that the sediment remains in the channel, to assess sediment connectivity with time as the important quantity for transfer though a link.

### References to the suggested articles have been integrated in the revisited version and the associated discussions have been included

P 11, L 28: What specifically is original about this work? It seems to me that much of the graph theory work for describing sediment connectivity that is presented here has its origins elsewhere.

## We actually used 2 existing indices in connectivity analysis (i.e. Potential flow and accessibility). They have been combined to create the IC (index of connectivity). This is now clearly addressed in the conclusion (please see P 15 L 9 to 12).

References

The two proposed references have been integrated in the text and in the reference list.

#### **Response to reviewer 1**

We would like to thank the reviewer 1 for his meaningful comments that will significantly help us to improve the quality of the paper. We first reply the reviewer's general comments integrated in bold italic along the text. Then, we added a step by step response to the specific comments that were integrated in the pdf by the reviewer in a separate document. All substantial modifications that were included in the revisited version of the manuscript are written in blue.

#### 1. General comments

This is an original and innovative paper; to my knowledge it is the first manuscript submitted to a peer-reviewed journal that describes the use of a network representation of sediment cascades derived from a geomorphological map, and its analysis using tools of graph theory. Such analysis has been suggested in the literature, and there are very few studies along these lines that have been presented at scientific meetings. The authors make use of a didactic example for the computation of graph theoretic centrality and accessibility measures, and develop a connectivity index that is based on the two measures. The approach is then applied to a case study of sediment cascades in a catchment in the French Alps. The topic is highly interesting for the scientific community investigating sediment budgets, cascades and connectivity. However, I have several comments and concerns that should be addressed before the manuscript can be recommended for publication.

My major concerns are (1) The structure of the paper. It does not follow the 'normal' scheme; therefore, the introductory/review part and the development part plus the case study need to be better separated in my opinion. Graph theory, a central topic of this paper, is introduced in the state-of-the art section, together with the Borselli-Cavalli index in 2.1, and then more specifically with respect to undirected graphs in 2.2. Chapter 3 is termed "methods to assess structural connectivity" (Borselli's IC is one, right?), followed by specific analyses related to directed graphs in 3.1 and 3.2 before you propose your own index in 3.3.

My suggestion would be to cut down on the review part and to write a more specific introduction to graph theoretical methods related to connectivity, both in the undirected and directed case. In my opinion, the analysis of potential flows goes beyond structural connectivity, and recent modelling studies using graphs as the 'spatial backbone' to model sediment fluxes through a catchment should be addressed, c.f. Rafael's comments. Generally, the graph theoretic measures such as centrality, accessibility etc. should be accompanied by references. The Borselli or Cavalli index could be described in the introduction to section 2, and with less detail unless more references to this index is made in the remaining text, for example by highlighting similarities and differences, or by discussing amendments to the proposed graph-theoretic index along the lines of parameters contained in the Borselli-Cavalli index. The main section could then be devoted to the development of 'your' index (and should be termed accordingly).

### We agree with this comment and we recognize that the initial structure of the paper may have been confusing for the reader.

The balance between section 2, 3 and 4 was reconsidered. The section 2 "state of the art" is now splitted in 2 sub-sections: 2.1. "Assessment of structural sediment connectivity" were we introduce the Borselli-Cavalli indices and 2.2. "Graph theory applications to structural connectivity" that concerns both undirected and directed applications of graph theory. The section 3 "Methodology: the IC index" is specifically devoted our IC index and its major components: 3.1 potential flow and 3.2. Accessibility. Then the IC index in 3.3 and finally the sub-section 3.4 that presents the method

used for the spatial segmentation of the geomorphological map. The section 3 is now only methodological, so that the reference to both virtual sediment cascade and the Alpine case study were moved to the results section. Additionally, a new figure (now fig. 1) was added to summarize and to be more explicit on the combination of indices that lead to ours: the IC.

(2) A poor linkage between the text, tables 1-3 and figures 1+2; this is evident in the flow index (Fig. 1C, Tab. 2) not being mentioned in the text, and in an error in Fig 1B (see specific comments).

### The references to tables and figures in the concerned section have been improved. The error you noticed in the figure (thank you!) has been corrected accordingly.

(3) The didactic example does not account for divergent flow; transferred to the real case study, I think it is doubtful whether a landscape element in the order of 100 m (the discretisation applied to the geomorphological map) can always be linked exclusively to one single downslope neighbour, thus producing a network that is entirely convergent. Consider, for example, a talus cone whose one half is connected to the channel network through undercutting, and the other is buffered through a fluvial terrace. Then there would have to be two linkages from the cone, one to the fluvial system and one 'dead end' on the terrace; a single linkage would suggest in your model that all the material is transferred to the fluvial system. This issue needs to be discussed, if not accounted for at least in the didactic example. In case you choose to stick to the network representation with exclusively convergent pathways, this assumption needs to be stated and discussed.

# In a classical procedure of "flow analysis" in graph theory (Gleyze, 2008), fluxes can be either divergent or convergent. Here, we used a complex-reduced simulation to exhibit the role of the confluences within the network and fluxes are only convergent in our case study. Please refer to section 3.1 (page 7); you will find a more detailed description and justification of this choice.

(4) Finally, there are several English language issues that I feel need to be corrected because they obscure the points being made.

## All specific comments on English language and spelling errors you mentioned in the attached pdf have been addressed. Additionally, the paper has been proofread by a professional English editing service.

#### 2. Specific comments

The step by step reply to the specific comments can be found in the following pdf file. Additionally, we join the list of the reviewer's comments numbered in the original pdf to help linking comments and replies with the original document.

#### Summary of the comments on: esurf-2016-55-RCI-supplement-l.pdf

The reviewer's comments are listed page by page and numbered, based on the first manuscript (please see the attached document). Our responses are written in bold following each comment.

Page: 1		
Auteur: reviewer	Subject: No	tiz Date: 06/12/2016 10:05:29
this is a bit of	cryptic, especially "fil	iations";please stick to the terminology accepted systems- and connectivity-related literature
his was corrected ac	cordingly: Filiation	ıs has been replaced by "links" – It was a mistranslation from French
Page 2		
Number: 1	Author: reviewer	Subject: Durchstreichen Date : 06/12/2016 10:03:31
his was corrected ad Number: 2	cordingly Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 10:04:02
A his was corrected ad	cordingly	
Number: 3	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:08:23
Measurements do	n't lead to processe	s!
Suggestion:how his was corrected ac	v erosion and sedim cordingly	ent transfer on a small spatial scale interact to form broad-scale geomorphic patterns and processes.
Number: 4	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:21:16
I don't get what th interpretation of a I think there is a n properties of sedir As Heckmann et a	at means. An invent geomorphological r eed for a (numerica nent cascades. Gra al. (2015) put it: "wit	<ul> <li>iory of local linkages can be "drawn" (acquired?), as in your case study, from the expert-based nap.</li> <li>I) framework to appraise local (single landform, single linkage) and global (components, overall structure) iph theory represents such a framework.</li> <li>b) theory represents such a framework.</li> </ul>
analytically tractable.	(2010) parti. 114	
s suggested, the sen nks") and global (i.e.	ntence has been re . "catchment") sca	phrased to highlight that graph theory is an efficient framework to appraise both local (i.e; "local les
Number: 5 Assess	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 10:16:05
his was corrected ac	cordingly	
Number: 6	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 10:22:38
using the classific	ation proposed here	, your study represents a spatially explicit analysis, as your nodes and edges are defined by spatial
nis was corrected ac	cordinaly a role.	
Author: reviewer	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervornebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:08:26
formed?	Subject. No	112 Date: 00/12/2010 10:00:55
nis was corrected ac	cordingly It was a	mistranslation from French
Number: 8	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:12:24
at first, these indic efficiency of sedim transport rates imp theoretically.	es address structur nent transfer through plemented as edge	e only. In theory, structure is surely related to "behaviour" (i.e. reaction to and propagation of change, n the syste,), but how remains to be shown ! Especially as long as functional aspects (e.g. different attributes, c.f. comment by Rafael) are not accounted for, the effect on "behaviour" can be assessed only
ou're right, this indi	ces do not actually	assess the behavior but the "skeleton of the sedimentary cascade in both space and time". The
entence was modifie		pojectives of the paper.
Number: 9 interpret monitorin be more like "prec e agree. This was co	Author: reviewer g? In my opinion, i licting" rather than " prrected according	Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:14:28 ndices could help to assess consequences of what we monitor, e.g. the delivery of sediments. This would interpreting". Iy
Number: 10 we propose such	Author: reviewer a connectivity index	Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:15:41
Number: 11	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 10:23:56
you could specify		
Number: 12 the proposed	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingerügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 10:29:15

#### The last paragraph of the introduction was re-written to address comments 10, 11 and 12

### Page 3

Number: 1         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 10:25:46           was that really a study of connectivity ? To me, it's a study that dealt with a phenomenon emerging from (dis-)connectivity, or a consequence									
of (dis-)connectivity. This was corrected as you suggested: "pointed out a problem that arises from (dis)connectivity"									
Number: 2 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 10:29:48									
not always and everywhere ! The first paragraph was rephrased to address comments 1 and 2									
Number: 3         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:28:11									
In Baartman et al. (2013), for example, the SDR is sort of a proxy measure for connectivity, not connectivity itself.									
Hoffmann (2015) wrote that "In its easiest form the SDR represents a black box model, without any further information on the processes that take place between the source and the outlet. In this respect, the SDR has been interpreted as a simple 'performance' factor to relate measured erosion at the plot scale to observed sediment yields at the larger scale. Its usefulness has been critically discussed during the past decades" suggest to implement Hoffmann's discussion/terminology here, there is also criticism of the SDR concept.									
Number: 4 Author: reviewer Subject: Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 10:28:55									
whose / the quantification of which This was corrected accordingly									
Number: 5         Author: reviewer         Subject: Durchstreichen         Date : 06/12/2016 10:29:58									
This was corrected accordingly									
Number: 6 Author: reviewer Subject: Durchstreichen Date : 06/12/2016 10:29:49									
Number: 7 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016									
Moreover, I am not sure whether it is necessary to report Borselli's/Cavalli's index at such detail here. If you decide to do so, you should point out the similarities/differences compared to your index (that also relates to up- and downslope linkages), and about potential amendments to your index (by accounting for slope and/or roughness). This would justify the degree of detail here. We decided to keep such a degree of detail here since we now refer a bit more on this index in the discussion of the manuscript (please see discussion on P 14 & 15)									
Number: 8 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:35:22 subscript, like in eq.2									
corrected									
Number: 9 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:36:13 place on the sigma, not behind it									
I'd like to suggest using a formula editor (or formula notation in tex) here We modified using the formula editor									
Page 4									
Number: 1         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:37:50									
high roughness is seen as impeding sediment transfer. This was corrected accordingly									
Number: 2 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 10:38:57									
This was corrected accordingly and a new reference suggested by Marco Cavalli was added									
Number: 3         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:38:31									
subscript This was corrected accordingly Number: 4 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:38:23									
subscript									
Number: 5         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 10:41:30									
nodes and links are already graph theoretical terms. Consider rephrasing: to model the network structure as nodes (representing sediment sources, stores, and the outlet) connected by edges (representing linkages by a geomorphic process) We rephrased accordingly									

Number: 6	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 06/1	2/2016 10:42:07	
T don't think th We agree. "Simpl	e" was removed.	ple in order to be	tractable with i	network analysis	
pls specify wh	nat that means	Subject: Hervor	nepenDate : 06	0/12/2010 10:42:33	
We rephrased to	be clearer				
Number: 8	Author: reviewer	Subject: Hervor	hebenDate : 06	6/12/2016 10:42:57	
only possible True, which is no	when fluxes are quantif t the case here. We ch	ied, right ? anged "influence	e the net contr	ibution" to "estimate	e the potential influence"
Number: 9	Author: revie	wer	Subie	ect: Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 10:43:23
export ?					, _,,
corrected to tran	sport				
Number: 10	Author: revie	wer	Subje	ect: Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 10:43:31
S corrected					
Number: 11	Author: revie	wer	Subje	ect: Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 10:43:43
s					
Number: 12	Author: revie	wer	Subi	ect: Fingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 10:43:53
Whose		WCI	505)(		Date : 00/12/2010 10.45.55
Corrected					
Number: 13	Author: revie	wer	Subje	ect: Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 11:02:04
and/or (degr	ee can be specified as	total degree, or i	in- and out-de	gree, respectively)	
corrected					
Number: 14	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 06/1	2/2016 13:57:34	
decoupling th The connected co subcascade'	e lower section from the omponent has been de '.	e upper. Etc fined in page 6 li	ine 24. Here w	e have rephrased the	e sentence to evoke an "independent
Number: 15	Author: reviewer	Subject: Hervor	hebenDate : 06	6/12/2016 13:26:29	
what is the "p I think that bo sediment deli We rephrased as	referential location" of a th the spatial/topologica very at the outlet. suggested to be clear	type of nodes, e. I configuration of er	.g. of a sink ? the network ar	nd the fluxes associate	ed with the respective edges are responsible for
Number: 16	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 06/1	2/2016 13:28:28	
as Rafael alre the timing of s Examples:	eady pointed out, there a sediment waves.	are several studie	s that make us	e of a network structu	re to model sediment fluxes, investigating e.g.
Czuba, J.A., Fo	oufoula-Georgiou, E., 2014	. A network-based	framework for id	entifying potential synchi	ronizations and amplifications of sediment delivery in
Czuba, J.A., Fo	ater Resour. Res. 50, 382 oufoula-Georgiou, E., 2015	. Dynamic connecti	vity in a fluvial n	etwork for identifying hot	spots of geomorphic change. Water Resour. Res. 51,
1401-1421. Gran K B Czi	uba J.A. 2017 Sediment	pulse evolution and	the role of netw	ork structure Geomorph	ology 277 17-30
These references	have been integrated	to the paper and	l we now discu	uss the timing of sed	iment waves
Number: 17	Author: reviewer S	ubject: Durchstrei	ichen Date	e : 06/12/2016 13:32:3	0
Corrected		,			
Number: 18	Author: reviewer S	ubject: Durchstre	ichen Date	e : 06/12/2016 13:32:2	1
Corrected					
Number: 19	Author: reviewer S	ubject: Hervorheb	oenDate : 06/12	2/2016 13:51:57	
Please define the what is meant by	e network effect; / "it" ?				
The network effe	ct describes how?				
	Author and an a		Dete - 00/40/2	046 42.50.00	
this is an assur	Author: reviewer S	alistic in a deomo	orphic system w	here storage landform	ns are built up - may be true though on the verv
long time scale. Hoffmann, T., 2015	See discussion in Hoffm 5. Sediment residence time	ann (2015): and connectivity ir	n non-equilibrium	and transient geomorph	nic systems. Earth-Science Reviews.

While unrealistic, it is a hypothesis for a further simulation. But as in all simulation procedure, a simplification is required. Here, such a simplification seeks at exhibiting the specific role of spatial structure of the network (Gran & Czuba, 2015). It is now mentioned in the text.

Number: 1			
	Author: reviewer	Subject: Durchstreicher	Date : 06/12/2016 13:57:52
orrected			
Number: 2	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date	e : 06/12/2016 13:52:26
and in geomorp	hology - see the Czub	a & Foufoula-Georgiou p	apers 1014 and 2015
Number 2		Cubic et: Durch etroich er	
orrected	Author. reviewer	Subject. Durchstreicher	1 Date : 06/12/2016 13:58:30
Number: 4	Author: roviowor	Subject: Notiz Date	0. 06/12/2016 12:50:22
Number. 4	Author: reviewer	Subject. Notiz Date	6.00/12/2010 13.39.32
examples ? car	n these be used for ge	omorphological research	problems ? Especially considering that sediment cascades form directed
Ve added the refer	ence Rodrigue (2017	). Undirected graph tool	s can be adapted to directed graphs. (see P 5 L 3/5) Geographers who
worked on und	lirected graphs were	some pioneers and it is	of prime importance to cite their work. If we well understand how they formalization of spatial networks
Number: 5	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervorhebenD	ate $\cdot 06/12/2016 14:00.12$
what is "signific	ation"		
was a mistransla	tion from French. Thi	s was corrected accord	ingly
Number: 6	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter Te	xt Date : 06/12/2016 13:58:40
orrected			
Number: 7	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer	Author: reviewer for the indices you pr ence Rodrigue (2017	Subject: Notiz Date esent here	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer Number: 8	Author: reviewer for the indices you pr ence Rodrigue (2017 Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date esent here Subject: HervorhebenDa	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23 ate : 06/12/2016 14:03:05
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer Number: 8 I don't think so from one node You are right, every Gleyze, 2008). It is onvergent).	Author: reviewer for the indices you pr ence Rodrigue (2017 Author: reviewer - unless you assume t to more than one dow y path is considered a a complex-reduced s	Subject: Notiz Date esent here Subject: HervorhebenDa hat every path has the sa nslope neighbour. to have the same flux. T simulation to exhibit the	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23 ate : 06/12/2016 14:03:05 me flux, and that there is only convergent flow, i.e. fluxes are not dispersed his corresponds to classical procedure of "flow analysis" in graph theory role of the confluences within the network (fluxes are here only
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer Number: 8 I don't think so from one node You are right, every Gleyze, 2008). It is onvergent). Number: 9	Author: reviewer for the indices you pr ence Rodrigue (2017 Author: reviewer - unless you assume t to more than one dow y path is considered a complex-reduced s Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Data esent here J. Subject: HervorhebenDa hat every path has the sa haslope neighbour. to have the same flux. T simulation to exhibit the Subject: HervorhebenDa	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23 ate : 06/12/2016 14:03:05 ime flux, and that there is only convergent flow, i.e. fluxes are not dispersed his corresponds to classical procedure of "flow analysis" in graph theory role of the confluences within the network (fluxes are here only ate : 06/12/2016 14:04:00
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer Number: 8 I don't think so from one node You are right, every Gleyze, 2008). It is convergent). Number: 9 ? don't understa t has been rephras	Author: reviewer for the indices you pr ence Rodrigue (2017 Author: reviewer - unless you assume t to more than one dow y path is considered to a complex-reduced s Author: reviewer and what that means sed	Subject: Notiz Data esent here ). Subject: HervorhebenDa hat every path has the sa nslope neighbour. to have the same flux. T simulation to exhibit the Subject: HervorhebenDa	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23 ate : 06/12/2016 14:03:05 ame flux, and that there is only convergent flow, i.e. fluxes are not dispersed his corresponds to classical procedure of "flow analysis" in graph theory role of the confluences within the network (fluxes are here only ate : 06/12/2016 14:04:00
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer Number: 8 I don't think so from one node You are right, every Gleyze, 2008). It is convergent). Number: 9 ? don't understa t has been rephras Number: 10	Author: reviewer for the indices you pr ence Rodrigue (2017 Author: reviewer - unless you assume t to more than one dow y path is considered a complex-reduced s Author: reviewer and what that means sed Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date esent here J. Subject: HervorhebenDa hat every path has the sa haslope neighbour. to have the same flux. T simulation to exhibit the Subject: HervorhebenDa Subject: Notiz Date	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23 ate : 06/12/2016 14:03:05 me flux, and that there is only convergent flow, i.e. fluxes are not dispersed his corresponds to classical procedure of "flow analysis" in graph theory e role of the confluences within the network (fluxes are here only ate : 06/12/2016 14:04:00
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer Number: 8 I don't think so from one node You are right, every Gleyze, 2008). It is convergent). Number: 9 ? don't understa t has been rephras Number: 10 give a reference Ve added Rodrigue	Author: reviewer for the indices you pr ence Rodrigue (2017 Author: reviewer - unless you assume t to more than one dow y path is considered a complex-reduced s Author: reviewer and what that means sed Author: reviewer e for this criticism e (2017)	Subject: Notiz Data esent here J. Subject: HervorhebenDa hat every path has the sa nslope neighbour. to have the same flux. T simulation to exhibit the Subject: HervorhebenDa Subject: Notiz Data	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23 ate : 06/12/2016 14:03:05 ame flux, and that there is only convergent flow, i.e. fluxes are not dispersed his corresponds to classical procedure of "flow analysis" in graph theory e role of the confluences within the network (fluxes are here only ate : 06/12/2016 14:04:00 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:18
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer Number: 8 I don't think so from one node You are right, every Gleyze, 2008). It is onvergent). Number: 9 ? don't understa thas been rephras Number: 10 give a reference Ve added Rodrigue Number: 11	Author: reviewer for the indices you prence Rodrigue (2017) Author: reviewer - unless you assume to to more than one dow y path is considered a a complex-reduced s Author: reviewer and what that means sed Author: reviewer e for this criticism e (2017) Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz     Date       esent here        Subject: HervorhebenD:       hat every path has the sanslope neighbour.       to have the same flux. T       simulation to exhibit the       Subject: HervorhebenD:       Subject: Notiz     Date       Subject: Notiz     Date	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23 ate : 06/12/2016 14:03:05 me flux, and that there is only convergent flow, i.e. fluxes are not dispersed his corresponds to classical procedure of "flow analysis" in graph theory role of the confluences within the network (fluxes are here only ate : 06/12/2016 14:04:00 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:18 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:47
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer Number: 8 I don't think so from one node You are right, every Gleyze, 2008). It is convergent). Number: 9 ? don't understa thas been rephras Number: 10 give a reference Ve added Rodrigue Number: 11 in what respect the term is ambigu	Author: reviewer for the indices you pr ence Rodrigue (2017 Author: reviewer - unless you assume t to more than one dow y path is considered a complex-reduced s Author: reviewer and what that means aed Author: reviewer e for this criticism e (2017) Author: reviewer should eccentric node logus. We have rephra	Subject: Notiz Data esent here ). Subject: HervorhebenDa hat every path has the sa nslope neighbour. to have the same flux. T simulation to exhibit the Subject: HervorhebenDa Subject: Notiz Data Subject: Notiz Data	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23 ate : 06/12/2016 14:03:05 ime flux, and that there is only convergent flow, i.e. fluxes are not dispersed his corresponds to classical procedure of "flow analysis" in graph theory role of the confluences within the network (fluxes are here only ate : 06/12/2016 14:04:00 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:18 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:47 ng the influence of eccentric nodes"
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer Number: 8 I don't think so from one node You are right, every Gleyze, 2008). It is onvergent). Number: 9 ? don't understa thas been rephras Number: 10 give a reference Ve added Rodrigue Number: 11 in what respect the term is ambigue	Author: reviewer for the indices you pr ence Rodrigue (2017) Author: reviewer - unless you assume t to more than one dow y path is considered a a complex-reduced s Author: reviewer and what that means sed Author: reviewer e for this criticism e (2017) Author: reviewer should eccentric node ious. We have rephra	Subject: Notiz     Date       esent here        Subject: HervorhebenD:       hat every path has the sanslope neighbour.       to have the same flux. T       simulation to exhibit the       Subject: HervorhebenD:       Subject: Notiz     Date	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23 ate : 06/12/2016 14:03:05 me flux, and that there is only convergent flow, i.e. fluxes are not dispersed his corresponds to classical procedure of "flow analysis" in graph theory orole of the confluences within the network (fluxes are here only ate : 06/12/2016 14:04:00 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:18 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:47 ng the influence of eccentric nodes" Date : 06/12/2016 14:05:51
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer Number: 8 I don't think so from one node You are right, every Gleyze, 2008). It is convergent). Number: 9 ? don't understa thas been rephras Number: 10 give a reference Ve added Rodrigue Number: 11 in what respect the term is ambigue Number: 12	Author: reviewer for the indices you pr ence Rodrigue (2017 Author: reviewer - unless you assume t to more than one dow y path is considered a complex-reduced s Author: reviewer and what that means ed Author: reviewer e for this criticism e (2017) Author: reviewer should eccentric node ious. We have rephra Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz       Date         esent here       .         Subject: HervorhebenDat         hat every path has the same flux. T         shale every path has the same flux. T         simulation to exhibit the         Subject: HervorhebenDat         Subject: HervorhebenDat         Subject: Notiz       Date         Subject: Durchstreicher       Subject: Durchstreicher	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23 ate : 06/12/2016 14:03:05 me flux, and that there is only convergent flow, i.e. fluxes are not dispersed his corresponds to classical procedure of "flow analysis" in graph theory role of the confluences within the network (fluxes are here only ate : 06/12/2016 14:04:00 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:18 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:47 ng the influence of eccentric nodes" Date : 06/12/2016 14:05:51
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer Number: 8 I don't think so from one node You are right, every Gleyze, 2008). It is sonvergent). Number: 9 ? don't understa t has been rephras Number: 10 give a reference Ve added Rodrigue Number: 11 in what respect The term is ambigu Number: 12 Number: 13	Author: reviewer for the indices you prence Rodrigue (2017) Author: reviewer - unless you assume to to more than one dow y path is considered a a complex-reduced s Author: reviewer and what that means sed Author: reviewer e for this criticism e (2017) Author: reviewer should eccentric node tous. We have rephra Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz       Date         esent here          Subject: HervorhebenDat         hat every path has the same flux. T         simulation to exhibit the         Subject: HervorhebenDat         Subject: HervorhebenDat         Subject: Notiz       Date         Subject: HervorhebenDate       Subject:         Subject: Notiz       Date	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23 ate : 06/12/2016 14:03:05 me flux, and that there is only convergent flow, i.e. fluxes are not dispersed his corresponds to classical procedure of "flow analysis" in graph theory role of the confluences within the network (fluxes are here only ate : 06/12/2016 14:04:00 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:18 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:47 ng the influence of eccentric nodes" Date : 06/12/2016 14:05:51 ate : 06/12/2016 16:02:06
Number: 7 give references Ve added the refer Number: 8 I don't think so from one node You are right, every Gleyze, 2008). It is onvergent). Number: 9 ? don't understa thas been rephras Number: 10 give a reference Ve added Rodrigue Number: 11 in what respect 'he term is ambigu Number: 12 Number: 13 ?? Do you mea	Author: reviewer for the indices you pr ence Rodrigue (2017) Author: reviewer - unless you assume t to more than one dow y path is considered a a complex-reduced s Author: reviewer and what that means acd Author: reviewer e for this criticism e (2017) Author: reviewer should eccentric node ious. We have rephra Author: reviewer Author: reviewer and they should be rank	Subject: Notiz       Date         esent here          Subject: HervorhebenD:         hat every path has the same flux. T         shape neighbour.         to have the same flux. T         simulation to exhibit the         Subject: HervorhebenD:         Subject: Notiz       Date         Subject: HervorhebenD:       Subject: HervorhebenD:         Subject: HervorhebenD:       Subject: HervorhebenD:	e : 06/12/2016 14:00:23 ate : 06/12/2016 14:03:05 me flux, and that there is only convergent flow, i.e. fluxes are not dispersed his corresponds to classical procedure of "flow analysis" in graph theory role of the confluences within the network (fluxes are here only ate : 06/12/2016 14:04:00 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:18 e : 06/12/2016 14:04:47 ng the influence of eccentric nodes" Date : 06/12/2016 14:05:51 ate : 06/12/2016 16:02:06 nportance or something like that ? memotic 14:12 and 12

We agree, and it is now mentioned in the text.

But why expand on these measures in undicrected graphs, when it is clear that we need directed graphs to represent sediment cascades ? As previously written, geographers who worked on undirected graphs were some pioneers and it is of prime importance to cite their

work. If we well understand how the worked, then we can avoid many difficulties regarding the formalization of spatial network.

	Number: 15	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:00:41	
<b>T</b> 1 -	Ecentricity is a n the definition), so	ode property (precise o I wonder how a noc	ely the distance from a node to the farthest other node in the network, please give reference for de can minimise (= make as small as possible) or generate its own property !?	
The	term eccentricit rephrased.	y is also sometimes	s used to characterize the whole network. To avoid any ambiguity, the sentence has been	
	Number: 16	Author: reviewer	Subject: Durchstreichen Date : 06/12/2016 16:01:05	
corr	rected			
	Number: 17	Author: reviewer	Subject: Durchstreichen Date : 06/12/2016 16:01:12	
corr	rected			
	Number: 18	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016	
corr	16:02:40 s			
	Page 6			
L				
	Number: 1 consider rephras	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 16:04:38	
We	a system (a caso way to conceptua rephrased to add	cading system in term alise such a system. <b>dress the comment</b>	ns of Chorley & Kennedy) is a model representation of processes in nature. A network is a	
	Number: 2	Author: reviewer	Subject: Durchstreichen Date : 06/12/2016 16:04:43	
corr	rected		· · ·	
	Number: 3 Its adjacency ma	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:06:00	
Sen	tence added			
	Number: 4	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:06:55	
	to assess how	?		
The	I think the "network effect is	ork effect" should be s now defined (see l	explained, can't be assumed to be well known among readers. P 5 L 8/9/10)	
	Number: 5	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:06:16	
	Number: 6	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:12:24	
repł	what is meant by Equation 7 is equ You need to exp proportion of flux hrased	/ "extent" ? ual to Equation 5, wit lain the difference, sj kes passing through i	th n replaced by F, and k by o. pecifically what is F (flux rate ?). If F is a flux rate, Fijo is not "the extent to which i lies on paths" b i related to all fluxes reaching o.	out the
	Number: 7	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:07:59	
	Number: 8 i.e.	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 16:12:29	
corr	rected			
	Number: 9	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 16:13:00	
The	missing reference reference Gran	es here as well and Czuba (2015) w	vas added.	
	Number: 10	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 16:13:49	
We	unit packet ? added unit			
	Number: 11	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:26:24	
	you need to give	references for these	ð	

equations. For example:

Schwanghart, W., Kuhn, N.J., 2010. TopoToolbox: A set of Matlab functions for topographic analysis. Environmental Modelling & Software 25, 770-781. Sorry but the reference is not well suited as we didn't used this tool.

I don't think we need eq. 8 as eq. 9 is the generalised form that implies that it is applied iteratively (until all sediment is evacuated). We kept only eq. 9 as you suggested

	Number: 12	Author: reviewer	Subject: Hervorhe	ebenDate	: 06/12/2016 16:18:34
	Number: 13	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : (	06/12/2016 16:19:17
We	why not just write iteratively ? "S." remaining text kept only eq. 9 as	e that eq.9 is applied does not show up in s you suggested	the		
	Number: 14	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : (	06/12/2016 16:26:24
We	really ? I think that (for each node), s precised the emp	at the graph itself sh so whether there is a <b>bloyed terminology</b>	ows the potential flo a concentration or a as it seems that c	ows; with depletion onfusion	the representation of Sn you can show where the sediment is located arise from an improper use of the term "flow" instead of "flux".
	Number: 15	Author: reviewer	Subject: Hervorhe	ebenDate	: 06/12/2016 16:20:32
	Number: 16	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : (	06/12/2016 16:21:08
Thi	please specify w in the discussion s has been move	hat that means, and section. <b>d to the results sec</b> :	give an example. F	ersonally	I would prefer to see this discussed (with examples and references)
	Number: 17	Author: reviewer	Subject: Hervorhe	ebenDate	: 06/12/2016 16:40:25
Use	specify what that eful for defining a	means ! local monitoring st	rategy please see	section 4	l.1.1
	Number: 18	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefüg	ter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:21:23
	or corrected	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefüg	ter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:21:33
	Of corrected				
	Number: 20	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 0	6/12/2016 16:21:59
Thi	and there's only s is the main hype	y converging flow ! othesis. Please see	response to com	ment P5 N	18
	Number: 21	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefüg	ter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:22:18
at <b>c</b>	orrected				
	Number: 22	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefüg	ter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:24:27
the	? corrected (one)	)			
	Number: 23	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 0	6/12/2016 16:24:01
Thi	You need to bette 1C is not even m s has been addre	er connect this text to entioned in the text. <b>ssed</b>	o Fig. 1, referring al	so to the	Fig. parts A, B, and C ! The "flow index" that is mentioned in the caption for
F	Page : 7				
	Number: 1	Author: reviewer	Subject: Einaefüa	ter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:33:52

S corrected		
Number: 2	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter Text
these ?		

corrected

Number: 3 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:26:21

I don't understand that - if you cut off the last node before the outlet (which is far from sources), you cut off almost the whole catchment... One main criticism expressed by geomorphologists is that connectivity does not directly reflect and explain the amount of sediments delivered at the outlet: the zone of highest connectivity is not the zone that contributes at maximum to the sediment delivery at the outlet. The connectivity indeed reveals more complex mutual interferences between all components of the system and consequently the

Date : 06/12/2016 16:25:29

potential of geomorphic system to react. In other terms, the connectivity framework does not focus on the absolute values of sediment discharge but on signals. Studies on connectivity may predict how various signals (e.g. climatic signals, sedimentary signals at various points within catchment) can be propagated throughout the system. While it seems to divert geomorphologists from an important issue (assessing sediment balance), such a perspective is stimulating. Indeed, we can understand why sedimentary signals may reveal a "sedimentological anarchy". We particularly discussed that point in the discussion.

Initial           Number: 5         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:28:01           I can't understand how a node can minimise (i.e. 'make small') a distance. Either it IS far away or it IS close         This has been claffied: 'The control hypothesis is that a node whose distance between the sediment sources and the outlet is minimal has a greater influence on the overall sediment cascade.''           Number: 6         Author: reviewer         Subject: Eingefügter Text         Date : 06/12/2016 16:33:52           please re-phrase that: sorry for not being able to follow.         Do you meres         Do you meres           Do you meres         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:33:52           please re-phrase that: sorry for not being able to follow.         Do you meres         Do you meres           Do you meres         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:30:35           Number: 8         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:30:35           Number: 9         Author: reviewer         Subject: Eingefügter Text         Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41           of the         or the         Subject: Eingefügter Text         Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41           of the         or the         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41           of the         or the         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/201		Number: 4	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:24:45					
Number: 5         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:28:01           Leant understand how a node can minimise (i.e. 'make small') a distance. Either it IS far away or it IS close         This has been clarified: 'The central hypothesis is that a node whose distance between the sediment sources and the outlet is minimal has a grader influence on the overall sediment cascade.''           Number: 6         Author: reviewer         Subject: Eingefügter Text         Date : 06/12/2016 16:27:00           (discentingue)         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 16:33:52           please re-phrase that: sorry for not being able to follow.         Do you mean a node that is BOTH close to the outlet and close to sources is most significant for sedment transfer ? If so, why ?           Please see PT 5.56.         Number: 7         Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:30:35           Number: 9         Author: reviewer         Subject: Eingefügter Text         Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:45           Ve agreet It is more consistent with these terms         Number: 9         Author: reviewer         Subject: Eingefügter Text           Number: 9         Author: reviewer         Subject: Eingefügter Text         Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41           Of the         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41           Of the         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 16:31:41 <td></td> <td>the</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		the								
Number: 3         Author: reviewer         Subject: Encyclone for a single and the output is and the output is a single and the output is a single and the output is a si	corr	Number C		Cubic et alle such about Det	- 00/40/0040 40:00:04					
It can't understand how a node can minimise (i.e. "make small") a distance between the sediment sources and the outlet is minimal has a greater influence on the overall sediment cascade." Number: 6 Author: reviewer Subject: Eingelügter Text Date: 06/12/2016 16:27:00 (discriminated) Assessed Corrected Number: 7 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date: 06/12/2016 16:33:52 please re-phrase that; sorry for not being able to follow. Do you mean and oth tait SOTH close to the outlet and close to sources is most significant for sediment transfer ? If so, why ? Please sop PT L 5%. Number: 8 Author: reviewer Subject: Horiz Date: 06/12/2016 16:33:52 please re-phrase that; sorry for not being able to follow. Do you mean and that is BOTH close to the outlet and close to sources is most significant for sediment transfer ? If so, why ? Please sop PT L 5%. Number: 8 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate: 06/12/2016 16:30:35 Number: 9 Author: reviewer Subject: Eingelügter Text Date: 06/12/2016 16:30:41 or the degree rephrase that; sorry for not being table to an edge between two nodes. In graph theory papers experimenting with changes in system structure, either nodes are removed, or edges. In the geomorphological case, it makes sense to disrupt an edge (when two adjacent nodes are no longer coupled) We agree! It is more consistent with these terms Number: 9 Author: reviewer Subject: Eingelügter Text Date: 06/12/2016 16:31:41 Or the Corrected Number: 10 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date: 06/12/2016 16:31:41 Detter use other symbol. Because in 3, you used A for the adjacency matrix. Schwanghart & Kuhn, for example, use M as the symbol for the (flow direction)/adjacency matrix. We changed and now use "Shif" Number: 1 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date: 06/12/2016 16:36:28 I don't think it is agood is too use full stops as symbols. Please try to use the same notation as i, for example, at text book (and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments) We kept this format as it is commonly used for distance matrices in		Number: 5	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervornebenDate	3: 06/12/2016 16:28:01					
Number: 6         Author: reviewer         Subject: Eingefügter Text         Date: 06/12/2016 16:27:00           Identification         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date: 06/12/2016 16:33:52           Please rephrase that; sorry for not being able to follow. Do you mean a node that is BOTH close to the outlet and close to sources is most significant for sediment transfer ? If so, why ?           Please see P 15.88.         Number: 8         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate: 06/12/2016 16:30:35           Number: 9         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate: 06/12/2016 16:30:41         Or edges. In the geomorphological case, it makes ense to disrup an edge Wehn two odgesent nodes are no longer coupled)           We agree! It is more consistent with these terms         Number: 9         Author: reviewer         Subject: Eingefügter Text         Date: 06/12/2016 16:30:41           Of the         Corrected         Number: 10         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date: 06/12/2016 16:30:41           Mumber: 10         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date: 06/12/2016 16:30:41           Of the         Corrected         Number: 10         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date: 06/12/2016 16:30:41           Ident think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols.         Please try to use the same notation as, for example, a text book (and add the corresponding reference, see eariler comments)     <	This	I can't understand how a node can minimise (i.e. "make small") a distance. Either it IS far away or it IS close This has been clarified: "The central hypothesis is that a node whose distance between the sediment sources and the outlet is minimal has a greater influence on the overall sediment cascade."								
Identificated) Assessed corrected Number: 7 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:33:52 please re-phrase that; sorry for not being able to follow. Do you mean a node that is BOTH close to the outlet and close to sources is most significant for sediment transfer ? If so, why ? Please see PT L 5%. Number: 8 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:30:35 nodes can't disrupt I think. What can be disrupted is an edge between two nodes. In graph theory papers experimenting with changes in system structure, either nodes are removed, or edges. In the geomorphological case, it makes sense to disrupt an edge (when two adjacent nodes are no longer coupled) We agree! It is more consistent with these terms Number: 9 Author: reviewer Subject: Elingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41 of the corrected Number: 10 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:31:41 better use other symbol, because in 3, you used A for the adjacency matrix We changed and now use "Shi" Number: 11 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:36:28 I don't think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols. Please to this grant andiation as, for example, use M as the symbol for the (flow direction)/adjacency matrix. We kept this format as it is commonly used for distance matrices in the literature Number: 12 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:34:14 Write Text as a till is commonly used for distance matrices in the literature Number: 12 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:34:14 Write this format as it is commonly used for distance matrices in the literature Number: 12 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:34:14 Write Text as a till commonly used for distance matrices in the literature Number: 13 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:43:41 Write Text as a till commonly used for distance matrices in the literature Number: 13 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:43:41 Write Text as a till comment used on thing accessibi		Number: 6	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:27:00					
Number: 7         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date: 06/12/2016 16:33:52           please re-phrase that; sorry for not being able to follow. Do you mean a node that is BOTH close to the outlet and close to sources is most significant for sediment transfer ? If so, why ?           Please see P 7 1 59.         Number: 8         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate: 06/12/2016 16:30:35           nd account disrupt to ink. What can be disrupted is an edge between two nodes. In graph theory papers experimenting with changes in system structure: either nodes are removed, or edges. In the geomorphological case, it makes sense to disrupt an edge (when two adjacent nodes are no longer coupled)           We agree! It is more consistent with these terms         Number: 9         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date: 06/12/2016 16:30:41           Of the         Of the         Corrected         Number: 10         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date: 06/12/2016 16:30:41           Ident: 11         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date: 06/12/2016 16:36:28         Number: 10         Author: reviewer           Number: 12         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date: 06/12/2016 16:33:41         Number: 10         Number: 10         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date: 06/12/2016 16:33:41         Number: 10         Number: 10         Number: 10         Subject: Notiz         Date: 06/12/2016 16:33:41 <t< td=""><td>corr</td><td>(discriminated) Assessed rected</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	corr	(discriminated) Assessed rected								
please re-phrase that; sory for not being able to follow.       Do you mean a node that is BOTH close to the outlet and close to sources is most significant for sediment transfer ? If so, why ?         Please see P 7 L 5/8.       Number: 8       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:30:35         nodes can't disrupt 1 think. What can be disrupted is an edge between two nodes.       In graph theory papers experimenting with changes in system structure, either nodes are removed, or edges. In the geomorphological case, it makes sense to disrupt an edge (when two adjacen nodes are no longer coupled)         We agree! It is more consistent with these terms       Number: 9       Author: reviewer       Subject: Eingefügter Text       Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41         of the       or the       Ver changed and now use "Shi"       Number: 10       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date : 06/12/2016 16:31:41         better use other symbol, because in 3, you used A for the adjacency matrix       Schwanghart & Kuhn, for example, use M as the symbol for the (flow direction)/adjacency matrix.         We changed and now use "Shi"       Number: 11       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date : 06/12/2016 16:36:28         I don't think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols.       Please try to use the same notation as, for example, a text book (and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments)         We kept this format as it is commonity used for distance matrices in the littereature       Number: 12       Author: reviewer		Number: 7	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date :	06/12/2016 16:33:52					
Number: 8         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:30:35           nodes can't disrupt I think. What can be disrupted is an edge between two nodes. In graph theory papers experimenting with changes in system structure, either nodes are removed, or edges. In the geomorphological case, it makes sense to disrupt an edge (when two adjacent nodes are no longer coupled)           We agree! It is more consistent with these terms         Number: 9         Author: reviewer         Subject: Eingefügter Text         Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41           of the         of the         Number: 10         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41           corrected         Number: 10         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 16:31:41           better use other symbol, because in 3, you used A for the adjacency matrix         Schwanghart & Kuhn, for example, use M as the symbol for the (flow direction)/adjacency matrix.           We charged and now use "Shi"         Number: 11         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 16:36:28           I don't think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols.         Please try to use the same notation as, for example, a text book (and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments)           We key this format as it is commontive added the following sentence on P6 L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility ?           Number: 12         Author	Plea	please re-phrase Do you mean a n ase see P 7 L 5/8.	that; sorry for not be ode that is BOTH cl	eing able to follow. ose to the outlet and close t	o sources is most significant for sediment transfer ? If so, why ?					
nodes can't disrupt I think. What can be disrupted is an edge between two nodes. In graph theory papers experimenting with changes in system structure, either nodes are removed, or edges. In the geomorphological case, it makes sense to disrupt two adjacent nodes are no longer coupled) We agree! It is more consistent with these terms Number: 9 Author: reviewer Subject: Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41 of the corrected Number: 10 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:31:41 better use other symbol, because in 3, you used A for the adjacency matrix Schwanghart & Kuhn, for example, use M as the symbol for the (flow direction)/adjacency matrix. We changed and now use "Shi" Number: 11 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:36:28 I don't think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols. Please try to use the same notation as, for example, a text book (and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments) We kept this format as it is commonly used for distance matrices in the literature Number: 12 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:43:41 with 'smalates into connectivity-related properties. To address this comment we added the following sentence on PE L13/14 'Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility ' Please define what accessibility is and how Ai is interpreted. And especially discuss how 'accessibility' translates into connectivity-of the nodes: while counterintuitive, this feature is now well accepted within the scientific community (Rodrigue, 2017)." Number: 13 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:43:50 Number: 14 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:46:50 Number: 14 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:46:50 Number: 15 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:46:50 Number: 14 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:46:50 Number: 14 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:46:50 Number: 14 Author: re		Number: 8	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervorhebenDate	e : 06/12/2016 16:30:35					
Number: 9         Author: reviewer         Subject: Eingefügter Text         Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41           of the          Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 16:31:41           better use other symbol, because in 3, you used A for the adjacency matrix         Schwanghart & Kuhn, for example, use M as the symbol for the (flow direction)/adjacency matrix.           We changed and now use "Shi"         Number: 11         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 16:36:28           I don't think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols.         Please try to use the same notation as, for example, a text book (and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments)           We kept this format as it is commonly used for distance matrices in the literature         Number: 12         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:43:41           Why "ennance" 7 'nightpiffs" 7         Whore D.i is zero, Ai will be small, And for i close to the outlet, Di. will be small as well.         Is at supposed to increase for high accessibility of the condectivity related properties.           To address this comment we added the following sentence on P6 L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility (and thus the connectivity) of the nodes: while counterintuitive, this feature is now well accepted within the scientific community (Rodrigue, 2017)."           Number: 13         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 22:15:35	We	nodes can't disrup In graph theory pa makes sense to d agree! It is more	ot I think. What can apers experimenting lisrupt an edge (whe consistent with the	be disrupted is an edge beto with changes in system str two adjacent nodes are n two adjacent nodes are n	ween two nodes. ucture, either nodes are removed, or edges. In the geomorphological case, it o longer coupled)					
of the         corrected         Number: 10       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date : 06/12/2016 16:31:41         better use other symbol, because in 3, you used A for the adjacency matrix       Schwanghart & Kuhn, for example, use M as the symbol for the (flow direction)/adjacency matrix.         We changed and now use "Shi"       Number: 11       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date : 06/12/2016 16:36:28         I don't think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols.       Please try to use the same notation as, for example, a text book (and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments)         We kept this format as it is commonly used for distance matrices in the literature         Number: 12       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:43:41         why "enhance" 7' mightphsts"       Vhere D. is zero, Ai will be small. And for i close to the outlet, Di. will be small as well.         Is A supposed to increase for high accessibility ? Please define what accessibility is and how Ai is interpreted. And especially discuss how "accessibility" translates into connectivity-related properties.         To address this comment we added the following sentence on PE L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, right ?         Number: 13       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 22:15:35         so by smaller Ai, right ?       If the order stimated ? What is ther one of the outlet (except being the outlet) ?		Number: 9	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41					
Number: 10       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date : 06/12/2016 16:31:41         better use other symbol, because in 3, you used A for the adjacency matrix       Schwanghart & Kuhn, for example, use M as the symbol for the (flow direction)/adjacency matrix.         We changed and now use "Shi"       Number: 11       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date : 06/12/2016 16:36:28         I don't think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols.       Please try to use the same notation as, for example, a text book (and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments)         We kept this format as it is commonly used for distance matrices in the literature       Number: 12       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:43:41         why "enhance" ? "highlights" ?       Where to lis zero, Ai will be small. And for i close to the outlet, Di. will be small as well.       Is A is supposed to increase for high accessibility ? Please define what accesibility is and how Ai is interpreted. And especially discuss how "accessibility" translates into connectivity-related properties.         To address this comment we added the following sentence on PE L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility (and thus the connectivity) of the nodes: while counterintuitive, this feature is now well accepted within the scientific community (Rodrigue, 2017)."         Number: 13       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 22:15:35       So by smaller Ai, right ?         It so, the interpretation of Ai is contraintuit	corr	of the								
better use other symbol, because in 3, you used A for the adjacency matrix         Schwanghart & Kuhn, for example, use M as the symbol for the (flow direction)/adjacency matrix.         We changed and now use "Shi"         Number: 11       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date : 06/12/2016 16:36:28         I don't think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols.       Please try to use the same notation as, for example, a text book (and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments)         We kept this format as it is commonly used for distance matrices in the literature         Number: 12       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:43:41         why 'enhance' 7 "highinghts'?         Where D is zero, Ai will be small. And for i close to the outlet, Di. will be small as well.       Is Ai supposed to increase for high accessibility? Please define what accesibility is and how Ai is interpreted. And especially discuss how "accessibility" translates into connectivity-related properties.         To address this comment we added the following sentence on P6 L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility (Addrigue, 2017)."         Number: 13       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date : 06/12/2016 22:15:35         so by smaller Air, right ?       It soo, the interpretation of Ai is contraintuitive (higher accessibility signified by lower Ai) Needs to be checked and stated explicitly if correct.         Please see previous reply       Number: 14		Number: 10	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date :	06/12/2016 16:31:41					
better use other symbol, because in 3, you used A for the adjacency matrix         Schwanghart & Kuhn, for example, use M as the symbol for the (flow direction)/adjacency matrix.         We changed and now use "Shi"         Number: 11       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date : 06/12/2016 16:36:28         I don't think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols.       Please try to use the same notation as, for example, a text book (and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments)         We kept this format as it is commonly used for distance matrices in the literature         Number: 12       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:43:41         why "enhance" ? Thighlights" ?         Where D. is zero, Ai will be small. And for i close to the outlet, Di. will be small as well.         Is A supposed to increase for high accessibility? Please define what accesibility is and how Ai is interpreted. And especially discuss how "accessibility" translates into connectivity-related properties.         To address this comment we added the following sentence on P6 L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility (and thus the connectivity) of the nodes: while counterintuitive, this feature is now well accepted within the scientific community (Rodrigue, 2017)."         Number: 13       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date : 06/12/2016 22:15:35         so by smaller Al, right ?       Is an other accessibility signified by lower Ai) Needs to be checked and stated explicitly i										
Schwanghart & Kuhn, for example, use M as the symbol for the (flow direction)/adjacency matrix. We changed and now use "Shi" Mumber: 11 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:36:28 I don't think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols. Please try to use the same notation as, for example, a text book (and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments) We kept this format as it is commonly used for distance matrices in the literature Number: 12 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:43:41 why "enhance"? "highlights"? Where D. is zero, Ai will be small. And for i close to the outlet, Di. will be small as well. Is Ai supposed to increase for high accessibility? Please define what accesibility is and how Ai is interpreted. And especially discuss how "accessibility" translates into connectivity-related properties. To address this comment we added the following sentence on P6 L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility (Rodrigue, 2017)." Number: 13 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 22:15:35 so by smaller Ai, right ? If so, the interpretation of Ai is contraintuitive (higher accessibility signified by lower Ai) Needs to be checked and stated explicitly if correct. Please see previous reply Number: 14 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:46:50 why is the role of the outlet, Di. is very small, and 1 understood that small Ai translates into "high accessibility" In terms of connectivity, the outlet is far from the sediment sources. Consequently D. is at its maximum, and the accessibility is quite low. In the sole by "the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluence of multiple domenter one of the outlet one of the outlet is earnel for and/or chice an example.		better use other s	ymbol, because in a	3, you used A for the adjace	ncy matrix					
Number: 11       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date: 06/12/2016 16:36:28         I don't think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols.       Please try to use the same notation as, for example, a text book (and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments)         We kept this format as it is commonly used for distance matrices in the literature         Number: 12       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate: 06/12/2016 16:43:41         why "enhance"? "highlights"?       Where D.i is zero, Ai will be small. And for i close to the outlet, Di. will be small as well.         Is Ai supposed to increase for high accessibility ? Please define what accessibility is and how Ai is interpreted. And especially discuss how "accessibility" translates into connectivity-related properties.         To address this comment we added the following sentence on P6 L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility (and thus the connectivity) of the nodes: while counterintuitive, this feature is now well accepted within the scientific community (Rodrigue, 2017)."         Number: 13       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date: 06/12/2016 22:15:35         so by smaller Ai, nght ?       If so contraintuitive (higher accessibility signified by lower Ai) Needs to be checked and stated explicitly if correct.         Please see previous reply       Number: 14       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate: 06/12/2016 16:46:50         why is the role of the outlet underestimated ? What is the role of the outlet (except	We	Schwanghart & K changed and nov	uhn, for example, u v use "Shi"	se M as the symbol for the (	flow direction)/adjacency matrix.					
I don't think it is a good idea to use full stops as symbols. Please try to use the same notation as, for example, a text book (and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments) We kept this format as it is commonly used for distance matrices in the literature Number: 12 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:43:41 why "enhance" ? "highlights" ? Where D.i is zero, Ai will be small. And for i close to the outlet, Di. will be small as well. Is Ai supposed to increase for high accessibility ? Please define what accesibility is and how Ai is interpreted. And especially discuss how "accessibility" translates into connectivity-related properties. To address this comment we added the following sentence on P6 L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility (and thus the connectivity) of the nodes: while counterintuitive, this feature is now well accepted within the scientific community (Rodrigue, 2017)." Number: 13 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 22:15:35 so by smaller Ai, right ? If so, the interpretation of Ai is contraintuitive (higher accessibility signified by lower Ai) Needs to be checked and stated explicitly if correct. Please see previous reply Number: 14 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:46:50 why is the role of the outlet underestimated ? What is the role of the outlet (except being the outlet) ? For nodes close to the outlet, Di. is very small, and I understood that small Ai translates into "high accessibility" In terms of connectivity, the outlet is far from the sediment sources. Consequently D. is at its maximum, and the accessibility is quite low. I am also confused by "the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluence of multiple nathware? 2 Divergence from a single pode to multiple downelone paintbours? 2 Divergence form a single pode to multiple downelone paintbours?		Number: 11	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date :	06/12/2016 16:36:28					
Number: 12       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:43:41         why "enhance"? "highlights"?       Where D.i is zero, Ai will be small. And for i close to the outlet, Di. will be small as well.         Is Ai supposed to increase for high accessibility? Please define what accesibility is and how Ai is interpreted. And especially discuss how "accessibility" translates into connectivity-related properties.         To address this comment we added the following sentence on P6 L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility (and thus the connectivity) of the nodes: while counterintuitive, this feature is now well accepted within the scientific community (Rodrigue, 2017)."         Number: 13       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date : 06/12/2016 22:15:35         so by smaller Ai, right?       If so, the interpretation of Ai is contraintuitive (higher accessibility signified by lower Ai) Needs to be checked and stated explicitly if correct.         Please see previous reply       Number: 14       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:46:50         why is the role of the outlet underestimated ? What is the role of the outlet (except being the outlet) ?       For nodes close to the outlet, Di. is very small, and I understood that small Ai translates into "high accessibility"         In terms of connectivity, the outlet is far from the sediment sources. Consequently D.i is at its maximum, and the accessibility is quite low.       I am also confused by "the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluenc	We	I don't think it is a Please try to use <b>kept this format</b> a	good idea to use fu the same notation a as it is commonly u	Ill stops as symbols. Is, for example, a text book Ised for distance matrices	(and add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments) in the literature					
<ul> <li>Where D. is zero, Ai will be small. And for i close to the outlet, Di. will be small as well. Is Ai supposed to increase for high accessibility ? Please define what accesibility is and how Ai is interpreted. And especially discuss how "accessibility" translates into connectivity-related properties.</li> <li>To address this comment we added the following sentence on P6 L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility (and thus the connectivity) of the nodes: while counterintuitive, this feature is now well accepted within the scientific community (Rodrigue, 2017)."</li> <li>Number: 13 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 22:15:35</li> <li>so by smaller Ai, right ?</li> <li>If so, the interpretation of Ai is contraintuitive (higher accessibility signified by lower Ai) Needs to be checked and stated explicitly if correct.</li> <li>Please see previous reply</li> <li>Number: 14 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:46:50</li> <li>why is the role of the outlet underestimated ? What is the role of the outlet (except being the outlet) ?</li> <li>For nodes close to the outlet, Di. is very small, and I understood that small Ai translates into "high accessibility"</li> <li>In terms of connectivity, the outlet is far from the sediment sources. Consequently D.i is at its maximum, and the accessibility is quite low.</li> <li>I am also confused by "the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluence of multiple pathways ? Divergence from a single node to multiple downslope paidbours ? Please be more specific and/or give an example.</li> </ul>		Number: 12	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervorhebenDate	9 : 06/12/2016 16:43:41					
To address this comment we added the following sentence on P6 L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility (and thus the connectivity) of the nodes: while counterintuitive, this feature is now well accepted within the scientific community (Rodrigue, 2017)."           Number: 13         Author: reviewer         Subject: Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 22:15:35           so by smaller Ai, right ?         If so, the interpretation of Ai is contraintuitive (higher accessibility signified by lower Ai) Needs to be checked and stated explicitly if correct.           Please see previous reply         Number: 14         Author: reviewer         Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:46:50           why is the role of the outlet underestimated ? What is the role of the outlet (except being the outlet) ?         For nodes close to the outlet, Di. is very small, and I understood that small Ai translates into "high accessibility"           In terms of connectivity, the outlet is far from the sediment sources. Consequently D.i is at its maximum, and the accessibility is quite low.           I am also confused by "the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluence of multiple pathways ? Divergence from a single node to multiple downsloop neighbours ? Please he more specific and/or give an example	_	Where D.i is zero Is Ai supposed to "accessibility" tran	, Ai will be small. Ar increase for high ac nslates into connect	d for i close to the outlet, Di ccessibility ? Please define ivity-related properties.	. will be small as well. what accesibility is and how Ai is interpreted. And especially discuss how					
Number: 13       Author: reviewer       Subject: Notiz       Date : 06/12/2016 22:15:35         so by smaller Ai, right ?       If so, the interpretation of Ai is contraintuitive (higher accessibility signified by lower Ai) Needs to be checked and stated explicitly if correct.         Please see previous reply       Number: 14       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:46:50         why is the role of the outlet underestimated ? What is the role of the outlet (except being the outlet) ?       For nodes close to the outlet, Di. is very small, and I understood that small Ai translates into "high accessibility"         In terms of connectivity, the outlet is far from the sediment sources. Consequently D.i is at its maximum, and the accessibility is quite low.         I am also confused by "the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluence of multiple nathways ? Divergence from a single node to multiple downslope neighbours ? Please he more specific and/or give an example	10 a	index, the highe within the scient	ment we added the r the accessibility ( tific community (Ro	and thus the connectivity odrigue, 2017)."	L13/14 "Nevertheless, we should point out that the lower the Shimbel of the nodes: while counterintuitive, this feature is now well accepted					
If so, the interpretation of Ai is contraintuitive (higher accessibility signified by lower Ai) Needs to be checked and stated explicitly if correct. Please see previous reply Number: 14 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:46:50 why is the role of the outlet underestimated ? What is the role of the outlet (except being the outlet) ? For nodes close to the outlet, Di. is very small, and I understood that small Ai translates into "high accessibility" In terms of connectivity, the outlet is far from the sediment sources. Consequently D.i is at its maximum, and the accessibility is quite low. I am also confused by "the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluence of multiple nathways ? Divergence from a single node to multiple downslope neighbours ? Please he more specific and/or give an example.		Number: 13	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz Date :	06/12/2016 22:15:35					
Please see previous reply         Number: 14       Author: reviewer       Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:46:50         why is the role of the outlet underestimated ? What is the role of the outlet (except being the outlet) ?       For nodes close to the outlet, Di. is very small, and I understood that small Ai translates into "high accessibility"         In terms of connectivity, the outlet is far from the sediment sources. Consequently D.i is at its maximum, and the accessibility is quite low.         I am also confused by "the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluence of multiple nathways ? Divergence from a single node to multiple downslope peighbours ? Please he more specific and/or give an example.		If so, the interpret	ation of Ai is contrai	ntuitive (higher accessibility	signified by lower Ai) Needs to be checked and stated explicitly if correct.					
why is the role of the outlet underestimated ? What is the role of the outlet (except being the outlet) ? For nodes close to the outlet, Di. is very small, and I understood that small Ai translates into "high accessibility" In terms of connectivity, the outlet is far from the sediment sources. Consequently D.i is at its maximum, and the accessibility is quite low. I am also confused by "the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluence of multiple pathways ? Divergence from a single node to multiple downslope peighbours ? Please he more specific and/or give an example.	Plea	<b>ase see previous</b> Number: 14	reply Author: reviewer	Subject: HervorhebenDate	9 : 06/12/2016 16:46:50					
In terms of connectivity, the outlet is far from the sediment sources. Consequently D.i is at its maximum, and the accessibility is quite low. I am also confused by "the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluence of multiple pathways ? Divergence from a single node to multiple downslope peighbours ? Please he more specific and/or give an example.		why is the role of For nodes close t	the outlet underesting of the outlet. Di. is very	mated ? What is the role of erv small, and I understood	the outlet (except being the outlet) ? that small Ai translates into "high accessibility"					
low. I am also confused by "the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluence of multiple pathways ? Divergence from a single node to multiple downslope peighbours ? Please be more specific and/or give an example	In te	erms of connectiv	rity, the outlet is fa	r from the sediment source	es. Consequently D.i is at its maximum, and the accessibility is quite					
Yes, confluence of multiple pathways	Yes	low. I am also confuse multiple pathways , confluence of m	d by "the coupling b ? Divergence from ultiple pathways	petween various pathways ir a single node to multiple do	nside the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluence of wonslope neighbours ? Please be more specific and/or give an example.					
Number: 15 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 16:47:26 what does "exhibited" mean in this context ?		Number: 15 what does "exhibi	Author: reviewer ted" mean in this co	Subject: HervorhebenDate	9 : 06/12/2016 16:47:26					

	Number: 16	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 06/12/20	016 16:48:13	
Plea	again, a node ca Its distance to sc ase see P9 L 27	n't minimise a distan ources/sinks can be s	ce in my opinion. mall, and maybe b	e the smallest co	npared to the other	nodes. Is that what you mean ?
	Number: 17	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 06/12/20	)16 16:49:16	
Plea	now explicitly dis ase see P 8 L 7/1	cuss the implications 2	of Fi and Ai for co	nnectivity, and de	duce why you comp	oute Fi/Ai as a connectivity index.
	Number: 18	Author: reviewer	Subject: Hervorh	ebenDate : 06/12/	2016 16:49:55	
Cor	with distance from	m sources ?				
	Nombre: 19 Aute	eur: reviewer	Sujet: Einge	fügter Text	Date :06/12/2016	6 16:50:01
Cor	include ? rected		·			
	Nombre: 20 Aute	eur: reviewer	Sujet: Einge	fügter Text	Date :06/12/2016	3 16:50:13
con Cor	tributing ? rected Nombre: 21 be more specific. What I don't und volume. Please e	Auteur: reviewer Make clear(er) that erstand now is why o xplain !	Sujet:Notiz you use the "flow ir lividing Fi by Ai allo	Date:06/12/20 ndex" (?) to estimat ws you to estimat	16 16:55:27 ate the "expected" ir e whether Fi under-	ncrease of discharge with distance from sources. or overestimates the potential sediment
Plea	Page 8	tions on section 3.3				
	i age o					
The	Number: 1 Is that something are corrected, D errors in Fig. 1E not have to be o Number: 2 you address D a	Author: reviewer g we did not already and E will have the <b>B corresponded to a</b> <b>corrected.</b> Author: reviewer and E as conductors/l	Subject: Notiz know (i) from lookin greatest values drawing mistake Subject: Notiz ink nodes. Is the el	Date : 06/12/20 ng at the graph ar when we edited Date : 06/12/20 rosion rate on D a	016 16:58:25 Ind (ii) from routing th the figures: the cal 016 17:04:42 Ind E so important th	ne sediment downslope ? If the error(s) in Fig 1B culations were right, and the other results do nen ? Or is it the erosion rate within their
Cor not inte	upslope contribution nectivity does n the zone that co rferences betwee	ting area !? ot directly reflect an ntributes at maximu en all components of	nd explain the amo im to the sedimen of the system and	ount of sediment t delivery at the consequently th	s delivered at the c outlet. The connect e potential of geon	outlet: the zone of highest connectivity is tivity indeed reveals more complex mutual norphic system to react.
	Number: 3	Author: reviewer	Subject: Durchst	reichen Date	: 06/12/2016 17:04:	44
cor	Number: 4	Author: reviewer	Subiect: Notiz	Date : 06/12/2	016 16:59:50	
	so connectivity	would change funda	amentally, and the	change for nodes	downstream of the	outlet would be the same, right ?
Yes	i					
	Number: 5	Author: reviewer	Subject: Durchst	reichen Date	: 06/12/2016 17:05:	05
cor	rected					
	Number: 6 Explain why this	Author: reviewer is the case (in the m	Subject: Notiz odel vs. in reality).	Date : 06/12/2 In reality, the long	016 17:01:45 ger the distance, the	e longer the time, and the higher the
Yes	probability of inte <b>Consequently,</b> rephrased.	ermediate storage. G is very close to tl	ne outlet: a geomo	orphic event in G	would directly crea	ate an impact at the outlet. We have
	Number: 7	Author: re	viewer	Subject	Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 17:02:39
	The					
cor	Number: 8	Author: re	viewer	Subject	Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 17:02:53
cor	and the rected					
	Number: 9	Author: re	viewer	Subject	Durchstreichen	Date : 06/12/2016 17:05:57
cor	rected Number: 10	Author: re	viewer	Subject	Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 17:05:55
	Particular					
cor	rected					

	Number: 11	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 0	6/12/2016 17:06:28
-	by changing th	he non-zero values to			
Sorr	ry, but we do no	t understand this co	mment.		
	Number: 12	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 0	6/12/2016 17:09:35
	I don't agree. Di	istance and friction in distance, the longer w	luence the travel ti	me indepe	ndently: at to reach the next node. The friction on that edge influences the "travel
	velocity" of the s	sediment. pufoula-Georgiou (201	4.2015). Moreover	. one could	d argue that friction promotes (intermediate) storage, what will decrease the
	SDR.				
Yes,	, we agree and i travel time.	t has been rephrase	d and discussed i	n the disc	ussion section. The distance is here estimated to approximate the
	Number: 13	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 0	6/12/2016 22:03:00
Cori	coefficient; Baar computed; they rected	rtman et al. (2013) us use a very simple rou	ed a much coarser ighness index (slop	DEM (30 r DEM soe_max-slo	m resolution), on which a comparable roughness index cannot be ppe_min within a 300x300 m moving window)
	Number: 14	Author: reviewer	Subject: Durchsti	reichen	Date : 06/12/2016 22:03:15
corr	ected				
	Page 9				
	Numbor: 1	Author: roviowor	Subject: Herverb	obonData :	06/12/2016 22:00:02
	write "B" in subs	script to better indicate	e that it is F for nod	le	. 00/ 12/2010 22:09:02
	B (FD, FE, FF ir paragraph)	n line 2f, and through	out the following		
corr	ected				
	Number: 2	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefüg	gter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 22:04:23
corr	ected	uence of which			
	Number: 2	Author: roviowor	Subject: Herverh	ohonData :	06/12/2016 22:07:30
	can you really s	ay if an increase by 0	.03 is significant ?	An increas	e of 0.02 (from 0.05 to 0.07) was not called
	significant I th	ink such a statement	can only be made	if a more th	norough sensitivity analysis is conducted.
Yes,	, a sensitivity an	alysis would be rele	vant but would re	equire a sp	ecific paper. To be clearer and more simple here we differentiate
	nodes whose c	connectivity increase	es and nodes who	se connec	tivity decreases.
	Number: 4	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefüg	gter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 22:04:38
corr	whose / the infi ected	uence of which			
	Number: 5	Author: reviewer	Subiect: Eingefür	oter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 22:09:53
	S			gior rost	
corr	ected				
	Number: 6	Author: reviewer	Subject: Hervorh	ebenDate :	06/12/2016 22:10:46
	Does that mean	that Ai decreases (m	eaning higher acce	essibility !?	) or that accessibility decreases (which would be signified by increasing Ai
This	boint has been	clarified: "The acce	ssibility coefficie	nt decreas	es significantly" please see P11 L2
	Numbor: 7	Author: roviowor	Subject: Notiz	Data : 0	6/12/2016 22:17:48
	but that's almos	t trivial considering th	at the topological d	listance be	tween A and F is shorter (by one) than that between B and F
Whi	le trivial, this as	sertion is needed to	fully interpret the	results of	the indices.
	Number: 8	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefüg	gter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 22:18:08
corr	S ected				
	Number: 9	Author: reviewer	Subject: Hervorh	ebenDate :	06/12/2016 22:25:11
	why does a nod The distance ma Consider rephra	le property (great cen ay control this propert asing please.	trality) minimise a c y, not the other wa	distance ? y round, rig	ght ?
This	has been reph	rased			
	Number: 10	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefüg	gter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 22:23:50
corr	tnat are (?)				
	Number 11	Authors to form	Cubicoti Llamante	ohonData	06/12/2016 22:26:16
	this is only for th	ne didactic example, r	ight ? In nature, the	e distance	would not increase (roughness/impedance could, increase of course)

Yes of course! You are right.

	Number: 12	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter	Text	Date : 06/12/2016 22:26:28
corre	S ected				
	Number: 13	Author: reviewer	Subject: Hervorhebe	nDate : (	06/12/2016 22:27:35
	see above comm	ents a node will no	ot make a distance small	aller. It h	as a small or great distance.
Yes,	we rephrased to	be less ambiguou	s: "D here appears as	s a node	of high connectivity as it is close to two main sources and to the
	Number: 14	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter	Text	Date : 06/12/2016 22:29:18
corr	Increases				
com		A			
	here vou could di	scuss the similarity /	difference related to E	Jate : 06 Borselli's	index, that would justify the detailed description of the latter in chapter 2.
As tl	he manuscript st	ructure has change	ed, the comparison w	ith bros	elli's index in now evoked in the discussion section.
	Number: 16 22:39:17	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Dat	e : 06/12/2016
lt ha	it could be discust similar edge prop was strongly corre s been added wit	ssed whether an inco perties, or sediment elated to SDR (that i thin the discussion	dex reflecting more pro availability as a node is seen as a proxy/perf , and a reference (Re	ocess-re property ormance ulier et a	lated properties (e.g. by including roughness, traveltimes, slopes or ) may at least partially adress functional connectivity; especially if it e measure of functional connectivity). al., 2016) is cited.
	Number: 17	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter	Text	Date : 06/12/2016 22:39:36
	whose / the func	tioning of which			
corr	ected				
	Number: 18	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz E	Date : 06	/12/2016 22:41:18
We a	added a short ov	erview of the geom	orphological context	of the s	tudy area please see 4.2.1
	Number: 19	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter	Text	Date : 06/12/2016 22:39:53
corr	ected				
	Page 10				
L	Number: 1 the two scenarios justify your choice	Author: reviewer are most significant of scenarios along	Subject: Notiz E t where the system is o these lines.	Date : 06 close to s	/12/2016 22:45:05 such changes, i.e. where such changes are likely to happen. You could
The	choice of scenar	ios is now better ex	xplained. They corres	spond to	the most significant drop in terms of connectivity
	Number: 2	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 0	6/12/2016:
	for some adjacen has been shown	n of these nodes is a it nodes the distance to be important in th	arranged in a way that e is 141 m (diagonal n e calculation of the IC.	the dista ieighbou	nce between nodes is not always 100m (only for cardinal neighbours), rs). Has this been accounted for ? It should, I think, because distance
No, i	it was not accour	nted see p9 I1/3			
	Number: 3	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz E	Date : 06	/12/2016 22:51:50
_	(related to landfor features to identif	rm types rather than y coupling in each c	specific cases), i.e. th ase ?	e fact the	at a lateral moraine forms a buffer ? Did you use diagnostic
As e	2016).	ext, it refers to a ge	omorphic expertise,	aiready	published in peer-review journals (Cossart and Fort, 2008; Cossart,
	, Number: 4	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter	Text	Date : 06/12/2016 22:49:59
	ological		, , ,		
corr	ected				
	Number: 5 Here you could in	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz E er and size of connected	Date : 06 ed comp	/12/2016 22:53:08 onents, i.e. groups of nodes that form a sediment cascade but
This	is now presente	from other cascade	s. new version of fig 7.		
	Number: 6	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date · 06	/12/2016 23:04:39
	(1) check the defi while buffers refe	nition of barriers and r to lateral connectiv	buffers. In my opinior ity (by decoupling hills	n barriers lope-bou	s refer to longitudinal (i.e. along the channel network) connectivity, urne sediment fluxes from the channel network). It might not apply to
The	definition have h	orms you name here een changed and r	noraines can be buffe	ers or ba	arriers. Frontal moraines are good examples of barriers. It has been
	rephrase to avoi	d any ambiguity.			
	(2) a morainic ride (it is dissected by Is there a single r upslope of the mo	ge surely is a buffer fluvial incision and node connected both prainic ridge?	to lateral sediment flux debris flows). How is th to upslope and downs	k. On the hat dealt slope lar	e other hand, the same morainic ridge can be a source of sediment with ? ndforms ? Is the upslope cascade interrupted on the landform just

es,	the node that on now specified.	corresponds to the	upper part of the mo	aine is a	sink, the	node co	orrespondi	ng to the dow	nslope part	is a source. It i
	Number: 7	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016	23:06:00				
is	Fig 5B only clear figure was rev	arly shows moraines visited and integrate	as buffers (and as sou d to the figure 7. We	irces - at l assume t	east it co his is no	uld be int w cleare	terpreted fro r for the re	om the arrow	with "22")	
2	Number 8	Author: reviewor	Subject: Notiz	Date · 06	/12/2016	23.08.26		-		
	important literat	ture that also refers to	connectivity:		12/2010	23.00.30				
	Fryirs, K.A., 2016	6. River sensitivity. A los	t foundation concept in	luvial geom	norphology	. Earth Si	urf. Process.	Landforms, n/a	ı-n/a.	
ef.	added		Quibia et: Ein nofüete		Data : 00	2/40/0040	00.00.40			
	number: 9	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingerügte	riext	Date : 06	0/12/2016	5 23:09:13			
rre	ected									
	Number: 10	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016	23:11:18	i i			
-	this conclusion	is comparatively trivia	al and is not derived fr	om the IC	computa	tion but o	directly fron	n the graph st	ucture that h	nas been set up
e	by a geomorph	ologist. The IC is use	d for ranking connecti	vity, not to	or assess	ng an "oi iving wh	n-on"-state.	 nections occ	ur and then	graph theory
3	assesses the s	size of the created c	onnected componen	ts. As voi	a mentio	ned befo	re. the des	cription of co	onnected co	mponents is of
	prime importa	nce to predict the in	fluence of the struct	ure on po	tential se	diment	fluxes.			• • • • • • •
	Number: 11	Author: reviewer	Subiect: Eingefügte	er Text	Date : 06	6/12/2016	5 23:11:39			
	subcatchment									
re	ected									
	Number: 12	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016	23:12:30	I			
	predicting the d	lownstream transfer a	and delivery of sedime	nt fluxes r	neasured	at one p	oint?			
re	ected									
	March 12	A		Det at	40/00 15	00.44.4-				
	Number: 13	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016	23:14:40	theoretical	understandin		rphic
	system. Validat	tion of such an index	would require the ass	essment c	of the read	tion to / i	propagation	of change in	relation to th	ne index
กร	sitivity analysis	s is forecasted and v	vill be the topic of a s	single par	per. At pr	esent we	e interpret	more cautiou	sly this poir	nt.
	Number: 14	Author: reviewer	Subject: Durchstrei	chen	- Data · 04	\$/12/2016	- 			
		Addition: Teviewei		SHOT	Date . of	/12/2010	20.10.00			
re	ected									
	Number: 15	Author: reviewer	Subject: Fingefügte	r Text	Date : 06	5/12/2016	6 23:15:33			
	a a t a d		jggg							
116	ecteu									
	Number: 16	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügte	er Text	Date : 06	6/12/2016	6 23:15:56			
	of scenarios									
rre	ected									
	Page 11									
1	Number: 1	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter	Text Da	ate:06/12	2/2016 23	3:16:01			
ŝ	scenarios									
rre	ected									
1	Number: 2	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter	Text Da	ate:06/12	2/2016 23	3:16:13			
(	of noted									
re	ectea									
1	Number: 3	Author: reviewer	Subject: Durchstreic	hen Da	ate:06/12	2/2016 23	3:16:24			
	Number 1	Author: reviewor	Subject: Notiz	Date · 06	/12/2016	23·18·25				
-	(1) why did vo	ou remove a node ? V	Vouldn't it be more me	aningful t	o remove	an edge	?			
e a	gree that it is i	more consistent to f	ormulate this way (w	e disconi	nect a no	de, whic	h means r	emoving an e	dge). Cor	rected.
	(0) 1	بر بر و								
	(2) how was the	e location of this disco	onnection chosen ? Do	eliberately	or rando	mly?I'd	suggest to	choose a loca	ition where s	uch a
lik	perately (the m	ost significant drop	in terms of connection	vitv). It is	now exp	lained in	the text			
1	Number: 5	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter	Text Da	te : 06/12	2/2016 23	3:16:33			
1	was removed									
rr	ected									
1	Number: 6	Author: reviewer	Subject: Einaefüater	Text D	ate : 06/1	2/2016 2	3:16:37			
-	Α									

Corr		
	umber: 7 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 23:19:22	
Yes.	he section has been entirely rephrased. umber: 8 Author: reviewer Subject: HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 23:19:01	
Corr	node (or better: edge) is disrupted, it does not disrupt Sted	
	umber: 9 Author: reviewer Subject: Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 23:19:36	
•	d by (?)	
Corr	cted umber: 10 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 23:22:52	
The	uggest to add the change effected by the scenario (a decrease from 60% to 26%) nange effect was added	
	umber: 11 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 23:25:40	
One deliv The pote disc poin (ass	well, but the consequences in terms of SDR would remain the same, right ? o it remains questionable why two different changes in connectivity (as measured by IC) should lead to the same consequences. onversely, bw valuable is an index that would not predict a change in sediment delivery because two different values would be associated with the ame elivery ? his is a conceptual/theoretical issue you need to discuss. nain criticism expressed by geomorphologists is that connectivity does not directly reflect and explain the amount of sediment red at the outlet: the zone of highest connectivity is not the zone that contributes at maximum to the sediment delivery at the out onnectivity indeed reveals more complex mutual interferences between all components of the system and consequently that dial of geomorphic system to react. In other terms the connectivity framework does not focus on the absolute values of sediment arge but on signals. Studies on connectivity may predict how various signals (e.g. climatic signals, sedimentary signals at vario within catchment) can be propagated throughout the system. While it seems to divert geomorphologists from an important is asing sediment balance), such a perspective is stimulating. Indeed, we can understand why sedimentary signals may revea	nts let. che ent sue l a
"sed	nentological anarchy". We particularly discussed that point in the discussion.	
	ere, you add a new edge (not a new node), what makes more sense to me. Consider changing your first scenario accordingly.	
We a	ree that it is more consistent to formulate this way (we connect a new node, which means adding a new edge). Corrected.	
Corr	cted	
	umber: 14 Author: reviewer Subject: Durchstreichen Date : 06/12/2016 23:26:03	
Corr		
   	imber: 15 Author: reviewer Subject: Eingefugter Text Date : 06/12/2016 23:27:30	—
Corr	so	
<u> </u>	umber: 16 Author: reviewer Subject: Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 23:29:45	
i	this scenario	
Corr	sted	
	hy ?	
Wha conr	his "why" has two aspects:(1) why does the index change (a numerical issue) ) is it plausible that connectivity really changes with this scenario (a geomorphological issue) we explain here is that if we re-connect a sub-catchment (that was previously disconnected), it affects the whole catchment ctivity since the relative influence (contribution) of each sub-basin will be modified.	
	umber: 18 Author: reviewer Subject: Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 23:30:11	
Corr	cted	
	umber: 19 Author: reviewer Subject: Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 23:30:33	
Corr	nanges of Sted	
	umber: 20 Author: reviewer Subject: Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 23:30:39	
Corr	sted	
1	Imber: 21 Author: reviewer Subject: Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 23:30:52	
E Corr	nables Sted	
1000	imber: 22 Author: reviewer Subject: Fingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 23:31:01	
<u>-</u>	between (sub-)catchments?	—
Corr		

Number: 23	Author: reviewer	Subject: Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 23:34:08

do the data really justify the conclusion that the index is robust (i.e. insensitive to minor changes in parameters) ?? And is such robustness required for the index to be used in comparative studies?

The Borselli index has issues of comparability because it depends on the size of the contributing area - similar scale-dependence could arise for your IC index, because the number of edges is somehow related to the size of the contributing area.

	Reconsider and discuss!
Th	e sentence here has been modified to be more balanced: "the IC enables comparisons between different states of connectivity within
	the same catchment.". Additionally, we discuss the robustness if the index in discussion and note that transposition to other study
	sites is necessary.

	Number: 24	Author: reviewer	Subject: Durchstreichen	Date : 06/12/2016 23:34:35
Corr	ected			

Number: 25 Author: reviewer Subject: Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 23:34:32 the influence of

#### Corrected

Page 12				
Number: 1	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:40:23	
implemented				
Corrected				
Number: 2	Author: reviewer	Subject: Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:40:32	
Х				
Corrected				
Number: 3	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervorhebenDate :	06/12/2016 23:40:51	
Terminology				
Corrected				
Number: 4	Author: reviewer	Subject: HervorhebenDate	: 06/12/2016 23:41:24	

Practical applications

### Page 17

Nombre: 1 Auteur: reviewer Sujet: Notiz Date	: 06/12/2016 23:44:57
--	-----------------------

if ali the nades contain and pass on sediments, A B G O, D E 2, and C FI(which is consistent with table 2

In FiglB,C and E have the wrong amount of sediment after 1 iteration.

Could it be that the "flow index" (not named in the text) in IC is wrong as weil? Please double-check, in ali Figures and tables.

The errors in Fig. 1B corresponded to a drawing mistake when we edited the figures: the calculations and tables are right, and the other results do not have to be corrected

Page 19		
Nombre 1 Auteur: reviewer	sujet: Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 23 :06 :21

(1) Missing units

(2) The numbers in SB are not addressed (not even mentioned) in the text.

A few lines in the text on the geomorphological map SA (and its main features) could also help.

The figure 5 has been modified. This figure (now fig. 6) is now only showing the geomorphological map. The pattern of potential flow is now in the next figure (with the units) for each of the scenarios. A geomorphological description of the area has been added in the text.

Page 20		
Nombre 1 Auteur: reviewer	sujet: Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 23 :06 :21

scale bar is missing Corrected

different length of diagonal vs. cardinal linkages presumably not accounted for => needs to be addressed It is already addressed in the text. We used a topologic distance (i.e. All links = 1). It must be more explicit in this second version of the

#### paper.

Consider evaluating the number and size of decoupled "connected components", i.e. those subcascades that are not connected to the outlet (not graphically, but in the text) **It has been done.** 





### Assessment of structural sediment connectivity within catchments: insights from graph theory

Étienne Cossart<sup>1</sup>, Mathieu Fressard<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université de Lyon (Jean Moulin, Lyon 3), UMR 5600 CNRS – Environnement Ville Société, Lyon, 69007, France

5 Correspondence to: Étienne Cossart (etienne.cossart@univ-lyon3.fr)

Abstract. To understand the sedimentary signal delivered at catchment outlets, many authors now refer to the concept of connectivity. In this framework, the sedimentary signal is seen as an Inergent organization of local filiations and interactions. The challenge is then to open black boxes that remain within a sediment cascade, that requires both accurate geomorphic investigations in the field (reconstruction of sequences of geomorphic evolution, description of sediment

- 10 pathways) but also the development of tools dedicated to sediment cascades modelling. More precisely the development of tools dedicated to the study of connectivity in geomorphology is still in progress, even if the graph theory offers promising perspectives (Heckmann and Schwanghart, 2013). In this paper, graph theory is applied to abstract the network structure of sediment cascades, keeping only nodes (sediment sources, sediment stores, outlet) and links (linkage by a transportation agent), represented as vertices and edges. From the description of the assemblages of sedimentary flows, we provide three
- 15 main indices to explore how small-scale processes may result in significant broad-scale geomorphic patterns. First, we assess the potential contribution of each node to the sediment delivery at the outlet. Second, we measure the influence of each node regarding how this node is accessible from both sediment sources and outlet. Third, we calculate a connectivity index to reveal whether the potential contribution of a node is lower or higher than expected from its location within the network. These indices are calculated in the case of a virtual sediment cascade, but are also applied to a catchment located in southern
- 20 french alps. We demonstrate that these indices are robust, and may lead to simulations. In the present case, we try to predict how a sediment cascade may be impacted by a node disruption or by a reconnection.

#### 1 Introduction

The concept of connectivity provides now an overarching framework in geosciences to better explore the functioning of catchments. Connectivity has been first defined in ecology to assess the spatial coherence of a system of landscape elements, a coherence that is necessary to maintain or restore ecological functions (Bennett, 2004). Following these pioneering discussions, it has been increasingly used by hydrologists to model hydrological connectivity can be seen as a function of available water volume (calculated from a hydrological balance) and the rate of transfer. More recently, connectivity has appeared as a fruiful conceptual framework in geomorphology (Brierley et al., 2006; Wainwright et al., 2011; Fryirs, 2013):

1

### Résumé des commentaires sur esurf-2016-55-RC1supplement-1.pdf

### Page : 1

Nombre : 1 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:01:23

 Auteur : reviewer
 Sujet : Notiz
 Date : 06/12/2016 10:05:29

 This is a bit cryptic, especially "filiations"; please stick to the terminology accepted systems- and connectivity-related literature





it helps in studying the spatio-temporal unsteadiness of sediment transport within catchments, and why sediment cascades can be considered a "jerky conveyor belt" (Ferguson, 1981). Unsteadiness patterns in sediment transfers are indeed one main field of research for geomorphologists, and refer to the "spatial and temporal paradox" exhibited by McGuiness et al. (1971): in a catchment, sediment delivery from sources on hillslopes is not correlated with sediment delivery at the outlet.

- 5 Consequently, sediment cascades are not necessarily efficient to transfer sediments, highlighting a "sediment delivery problem" (Walling, 1983). Finally, geomorphic signals, especially sediment delivery, cannot be interpreted easily (e.g. in terms of climate change, anthropogenic influences, etc.) and may much more reveal a "sedimentological anarchy" (Walker, 1990; Bravard, 1998; Schumm, 2005): at catchment scale geomorphic processes may be coupled to create 1 a sediment impulse, or may be antagonistic to create a blockage, alternately.
- 10 Recently, many authors asked for 2 mplex-systems approach to conceptualize the continuum of sediment transfer: how processes at local scales may be combined to understand the functioning of the whole sediment cascade (Fryirs et al., 2007; Borselli et al., 2008; Fryirs, 2013; Bracken et al., 2015). Such a multiscalar framework has been conceptualized by Heckmann and Schwanghart (2013) who have clearly distinguished the coupling of processes, and connectivity. On the one hand geomorphic coupling is "the linkage of distinct landforms or landscape units by sediment transport" (Harvey, 2001), it
- 15 refers to "elementary interactions at the relatively small scale" (Faulkner, 2008). On the other hand "the degree of coupling, the combined effect of lateral (hillslope to channel) and longitudinal (from one river reach to another) linkages between system components, is termed (sediment) connectivity" (Heckmann and Schwanghart, 2013). Shifting from the local to the catchment scale remains a main issue to well explain how analysis measurements of erosion result in broad-scale geomorphic patterns and processes (Bracken et al., 2015). 4 requires the development of numerical methods to draw
- 20 exhaustive inventory of all the local linkages within the sediment cascades, to exhibit sein properties, and then to predict the result of their combination. One promising field of research has been opened up by the application of graph theory, that offers mathematical tools to analyse statistically and spatially the assemblages of all the components of a sediment cascade (Heckmann and Schwanghart, 2013; Heckmann to a sediment cascade framework particularly focuses on the structural connectivity, i.e. the influence of the spatial patterns and by the linkages on sediment delivery. One main
- 25 objective is to provide a quantitative index that would help in comparing the geomorphic haviour of catchments in both space and time. It would also allow an estimation of the contribution of a given part of the catchment to provide sediments at the outlet, and interpret local erosion monitoring (Cavalli et al., 2013). In this paper 10 seek at assessing such a connectivity index. Following a brief state-of-art regarding connectivity indices, we explore the main mathematical tools provided by graph theory to measure the structural sediment connectivity within a

30 catchment. Then we look at the main applications and interpretations of  $\sqrt{12}$  pectivity index.



### Page : 2

FNombre : 1	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreichen	Date : 06/12/2016 10:03:31
Nombre : 2	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 10:04:02
a			
Nombre : 3	Auteur : reviewer	Suiet : HervorhebenDate : 0	6/12/2016 10:08:23
Measurements do	on't lead to processe	s !	, <u> </u>
Suggestion:how	erosion and sedime	ent transfer on a small spatial	scale interact to form broad-scale geomorphic patterns and processes.
Nombre : 4	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 00	6/12/2016 10:21:16
I don't get what t	nat means. An inven	tory of local linkages can be "	drawn" (acquired?), as in your case study, from the expert-based
interpretation of a	a geomorphological	map.	
I think there is a n	eed for a (numerical	) framework to appraise local	(single landform, single linkage) and global (components, overall structure)
properties of sedi	ment cascades. Grap	on theory represents such a fr	amework.
As Heckmann et a analytically tractable.	II. (2015) put it: "With	respect to structural graph analyses,	, explorations of scale linkage () are possible using graph theory that were not previously
Nombre : 5	Auteur : reviewer	Suiet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 10:16:05
assess			
-Nombre : 6	Autour : roviewer	Sujet · Notiz Date · 06	6/12/2016 10.22.38
using the classific	ation proposed here	vour study represents a spat	tially explicit analysis as your nodes and edges are defined by spatial objects
and distances play	/ a role.		
Nombre · 7	Auteur · reviewer	Suiet · HervorhebenDate · 06	5/12/2016 10:08:26
	/ accur . reviewer	Sujet . Hervornebenbute . of	0/12/2010 10:00:20
	iowar Cuiat Nati-	Data + 06/12/2016 10	.00.22
5 Auteur : rev	lewer Sujet : Notiz	Date: 06/12/2016 10	.08:33
ionneu:			
Nombre : 8	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 00	6/12/2016 10:12:24
at first, these indic	ces address structure	e only. In theory, structure is s	urely related to "behaviour" (i.e. reaction to and propagation of change,
transport rates im	nlemented as edge	attributes of comment by Ra	afael) are not accounted for the effect on "behaviour" can be assessed only
theoretically.	plemented us eage	attributes, c.i. comment by he	nucly are not accounted for, the effect of a behaviour can be assessed only
Nombre · 9	Auteur · reviewer	Suiet · HervorhebenDate · 00	6/12/2016 10:14:28
interpret monitori	ng ? In my opinion,	indices could help to assess c	consequences of what we monitor, e.g. the delivery of sediments. This would
be more like "pre	dicting" rather than '	"interpreting".	
Nombre : 10	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 00	6/12/2016 10:15:41
we propose such	a connectivity index		
Nombre : 11	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz Date : 00	6/12/2016 10:23:56
you could specify	these applications h	ere (scenario analysis related	to dis- and reconnection).
Nombre · 12		Sujet · Fingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 10:29:15
the proposed	Added . reviewer	Sajet. Enigeragier rext	





#### 2 State-of-art

By stating that catchments are inefficient at supplying sediment to the outlet, Walling (1983) developed one pioneering study of catchments sediment connectivity 1 while that they are characterized by a log s interval with the sediment delivery ratio (SDR). SDR = Vh / Vo (1)

- 5 Where Vh is the volume of sediment eroded from hillslopes and Vo is the volume of sediment delivered at the outlet of the catchment. The SDR is a synthetic index that assesses the connectivity of a catchment, and allows comparisons in both space and time. Recently, it has for instance been demonstrated that SDR (and connectivity) decreases with increasing landscape morphological complexity (Baartman et al., 2013). One main criticism regarding this index is that catchments remain a black box: no attention is paid to the geomorphic linkages involved at local scale, nor to the feedbacks between geomorphic processes (Gumiere et al., 2011; Fryirs, 2013).
- To open such black boxes, the concept of connectivity has been subdivided in two distinct parts (With et al., 1997; Tischendorf and Fahrig, 2000; Turnbull et al., 2008). On the one hand the structural connectivity refers to spatial patterns in the landscape, such as the spatial distribution of landscape units which influences sediment transfer patterns and sediment paths. On the other hand, the functional connectivity focuses on how geomorphic processes may activate or block the
- 15 sediment transfer along the spatial links within the sediment cascade (Kimberly et al., 1997; With and King, 1997; Belisle, 2005; Uezu et al., 2005). The latter is now also often called process-based sediment connectivity and has been documented in depth in a recent review (Bracken et al., 2015). Here we focus on the structural connectivity, which antification is required for exploring and understanding the responses of geomorphic systems (Wainwright et al., 2011).

#### 2.1 Structural sediment connectivity

20 Following Borselli et al. (2008), Cavalli et al. (2013) develor **5** d a connectivity index (Eq. 2) that **6** d refers to the structural connectivity. It estimates that connectivity at one location within the catchment can be seen as a ratio between an upslope (Eq. 3) and a downslope components (Eq. 4):

$$IC = \log_{10} \left( \frac{D_{up}}{D_{dn}} \right)$$
(2)  
$$DB = W \int \frac{D}{D} (3)$$
$$Ddn = \sum \frac{D}{2} \ln 7 \text{ Wi Si } (4)$$

25

where W is the average weighting factor of the upslope contributing area, S is the average slope gradient of the upslope contributing area (m/m) and A is the upslope contributing area (m2) and where di is the length of the flow path along the ith cell according to the steepest downslope direction (m), Wi and Si are the weighting factor and the slope gradient of the ith cell according to the steepest downslope direction (m), Wi and Si are the weighting factor and the slope gradient of the ith cell according to the steepest downslope direction (m). Wi first according to the slope gradient of the ith cell according to the steepest downslope direction (m).

30 cell, respectively. In Borselli et al. (2008) the weighting factor W first corresponded to the C-factor of USLE-RUSLE models (Wischmeier and Smith 1978; Renard et al. 1997) to refer to frictions that hinder the sediment transfer. More



### Page: 3

	Nombre : 1	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	5/12/2016 10:25:46
ĺ	was that really a st (dis-)connectivity.	udy of connectivity	? To me, it's a study t	hat dealt:	t with a phenomenon emerging from (dis-)connectivity, or a consequence of
Ģ	Nombre : 2	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	5/12/2016 10:29:48
	not always and eve	erywhere !			
Т	Nombre : 3	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Hervorheben	Date : 06	5/12/2016 10:28:11
-	In Baartman et al. (	(2013), for example,	the SDR is sort of a p	proxy me	easure for connectivity, not connectivity itself.
	Hoffmann (2015) w between the source a observed sediment y suggest to implemen	vrote that "In its easi and the outlet. In this rields at the larger sca nt Hoffmann's discussi	est form the SDR repres respect, the SDR has be le. Its usefulness has be ion/terminology here, tl	ents a bla en interpi en critical here is also	ack box model, without any further information on the processes that take place oreted as a simple 'performance' factor to relate measured erosion at the plot scale to Ily discussed during the past decades" so criticism of the SDR concept.
Ŧ	Nombre : 4	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter T	ext	Date : 06/12/2016 10:28:55
	whose / the quant	tification of which			
Ŧ	Nombre : 5	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreiche	en	Date : 06/12/2016 10:29:58
	-				
Ŧ	Nombre : 6	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreiche	en	Date : 06/12/2016 10:29:49
Ģ	Nombre : 7	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	5/12/2016 10:35:04
	I would suggest to cell i". The readabil	use W and S with a lity would be increa	i line on top (as in the sed if you use i in the	e original index, i.e	l publication) to better distinguish between "mean weight" and "weight at a e. as a subscript, as in the original paper.
	Moreover, I am no out the similarities, your index (by acco	t sure whether it is r /differences compar ounting for slope ar	necessary to report B red to your index (tha nd/or roughness). Thi	orselli's/0 at also rel s would j	Cavalli's index at such detail here. If you decide to do so, you should point lates to up- and downslope linkages), and about potential amendments to justify the degree of detail here.
Т	Nombre : 8	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Hervorheben	Date : 06	5/12/2016 10:35:22
	subscript, like in ec	q.2			

Nombre : 9 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:36:13 place on the sigma, not behind it

I'd like to suggest using a formula editor (or formula notation in tex) here



14



recently, it has been demonstrated that topographic surface roughness can provide a good estimation of the weighting factor (Cavalli et al., 2013; Baartman et al., 2013): Igreat topographic heterogeneity impeding sediment transfer. This index opens up a fruitful field of research to assess the structural connectivity. First, it opens the black boxes within a catchment: the IC index can be calculated for each cell of the catchment, highlighted what are the cells that may highly contribute to the

- 5 sediment flux at the outlet. Second, this index takes into account all the links that exist between a cell and all other components of the catchment: it nicely refers to the definition of connectivity. Third, the index can be mapped so that it allows comparisons between various locations (a specific tool has been developped in Arc GIS), and furthermore to calculate maps of connectivity evolution through time. Nevertheless, this index remains empiric, so that comparisons between catchments should be made carefully. More specifically, the units used during the calculation make the interpretation of the
- 10 results complicated. D3 is indeed calculated in meters, D4 is calculated in meters-1, so that IC is expressed in m2.
- 15 can be described by algebraic tools (typology of linkages, identification of local sinks, etc.) to exhibit the overall structure of the sedimentary cascade. Graph theory enables to describe objectively describes a semblages of sedimentary flows, and thus to estimate the sedimentary flows of the network to the amount of sediment load. Indices provided by graph theory were hitherto developed to characterize the properties of single landscape units (nodes), sediment pathways (edges) and sediment cascades (edge sequences = paths). The nodes can be characterized by the number and type of links that may provide or earry out of the sediment of the number and type of links that may provide or earry out of the number and type of links that
- 20 sediments. Sediment sources are characterized by the lack of input links 10 ks are characterized by no output link; and other nodes correspond to connector, 11 eh 12 ortance is revealed by their degree (number of input and 13 put links). The links may be characterized by the geomorphic process that carries sediments. Regarding the edge sequences their main characteristic is whether they may contribute or not the sediment delivery at the outlet: do they correspond to a connected component or not?
- 25 importance to explain the SDR at the outlet (Heckmann and Schwanghart, 2013; Heckmann et al., 2015). One another application is to conduct some "flow analycellen a directed graph (such as sediment cascades) each edge has a capacity and each edge receives a flow. A flow must cause fy the restriction that the amount of flow into a node equals the amount of flow out of it, unless it is a source, which has only outgoing flow, or sink, which has only incoming flow. This is a simulation, to the extent that this analysis is based on an assumption of conservation of flow. In the case of sources having
- 30 no incoming links, a default common value can be assigned to them. Sometimes called network effect (Pumain and Saint-Julien, 2010), it exhibits how the network structure predisposes and organizes sediment flows "all things being equal" (Cossart, 2016).



### Page : 4

Nombre : 1 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:37:50
$\sim$ Nombre : 2 Auteur : reviewer Suiet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 10:38:57
units are false, IC is dimensionless, see Marco's comment
Nombre : 3 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:38:31
subscript
Nombre : 4 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:38:23
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i$
nodes and links are already graph theoretical terms. Consider rephrasing:
to model the network structure as nodes (representing sediment sources, stores, and the outlet) connected by edges (representing linkages by a geomorphic process)
Nombre : 6         Auteur : reviewer         Sujet : Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 10:42:07
I don't think the pattern has to be simple in order to be tractable with network analysis
Nombre : 7 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 10:42:33
Disspecify what that means
only possible when fluxes are quantified, right ?
Mombre 9 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 10:43:23
export ?
Nombre : 10 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 10:43:31
Nombre : 11 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 10:43:43
whose
Nombre : 13         Auteur : reviewer         Sujet : Eingefügter Text         Date : 06/12/2016 11:02:04
and/or (degree can be specified as total degree, or in- and out-degree, respectively)
Nombre : 14         Auteur : reviewer         Sujet : Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 13:57:34
on some graph-theoretic components and their geomorphological meaning: For example, "if a node can contribute to the outlet", it would belong to the in-neighbourhood of the outlet node. Hanging valleys would form connected components with some coupling within the hanging valley but with no sediment export to the main valley. Or the main valley is divided in two components by a natural dam decoupling the lower section from the upper. Etc
Mombre : 15         Auteur : reviewer         Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 13:26:29
what is the "preferential location" of a type of nodes, e.g. of a sink ? I think that both the spatial/topological configuration of the network and the fluxes associated with the respective edges are responsible for sediment delivery at the outlet.
Nombre : 16 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 13:28:28
as Rafael already pointed out, there are several studies that make use of a network structure to model sediment fluxes, investigating e.g. the timing of sediment waves. Examples:
Czuba, J.A., Foufoula-Georgiou, E., 2014. A network-based framework for identifying potential synchronizations and amplifications of sediment delivery in river basins. Water Resour. Res. 50, 3826-3851.
Czuba, J.A., Foufoula-Georgiou, E., 2015. Dynamic connectivity in a fluvial network for identifying hotspots of geomorphic change. Water Resour. Res. 51, 1401-1421.
Gran, K.B., Czuba, J.A., 2017. Sediment pulse evolution and the role of network structure. Geomorphology 277, 17-30.

### Suite des commentaires de la page 4 sur la page suivante





recently, it has been demonstrated that topographic surface roughness can provide a good estimation of the weighting factor (Cavalli et al., 2013; Baartman et al., 2013): a great topographic heterogeneity impeding sediment transfer. This index opens up a fruitful field of research to assess the structural connectivity. First, it opens the black boxes within a catchment: the IC index can be calculated for each cell of the catchment, highlighted what are the cells that may highly contribute to the

- 5 sediment flux at the outlet. Second, this index takes into account all the links that exist between a cell and all other components of the catchment: it nicely refers to the definition of connectivity. Third, the index can be mapped so that it allows comparisons between various locations (a specific tool has been developped in Arc GIS), and furthermore to calculate maps of connectivity evolution through time. Nevertheless, this index remains empiric, so that comparisons between catchments should be made carefully. More specifically, the units used during the calculation make the interpretation of the
- 10 results complicated. Dup is indeed calculated in meters, Dds is calculated in meters-1, so that IC is expressed in m2. Another promising field of research refers to the application of graph theory that provides a robust mathematical framework for describing networks such as sediment cascades (Heckmann and Schwanghart, 2013; Heckmann et al., 2015; Cossart, 2016). Graph theory is applied to abstract the network structure, keeping only nodes (sediment sources, sediment stores, outlet) and links (linkage by a transportation agent), represented as very ces and edges. The goal is to get a simple pattern that
- 15 can be described by algebraic tools (typology of linkages, identification of local sinks, etc.) to exhibit the overall surveue of the sedimentary cascade. Graph theory enables to describe objectively the assemblages of sedimentary flows, and thus to estimate the net contribution of the network to the amount of sediment load. Indices provided by graph theory were hitherto developed to characterize the properties of single landscape units (nodes), sediment pathways (edges) and sediment cascades (edge sequences = paths). The nodes can be characterized by the number and type of links that may provide or earry out;
- 20 sediments. Sediment sources are characterized by the lack of input link; sinks are characterized by no output link; and other nodes correspond to connector, which importance is revealed by their degree (number of input and output links). The links may be characterized by the geomorphic process that carries sediments. Regarding the edge sequences their main characteristic is whether they may contribute or not the sediment delivery at the outlet: do they correspond to a connected component or not? The frequency and the preferential location of each type of nodes, edges and edge sequences are of prime
- 25 importance to explain the SDR at the outlet (Heckmann and Schwanghart, 2013; Heckmann et al., 2015). One another application is to conduct some "flow analyses": in a directed graph (such as sediment cascades) each edge has a capacity and each edge receives a flow. A flow must sumsfy the restriction that the amount of flow into a node equals the amount of flow out of it, unless it is a source, which has only outgoing flow, or sink, which has only incoming flow. This is a simulatior 17 the extent that 18 analysis is based on an assumption of conservation of flow. In the case of sources having
- 30 no incoming links, a default common value can be assigned to them. 19 netimes called network effect (Pumain and Saint-Julien, 2010), it exhibits how the network structure predisposes and organizes sediment flows "all things bei 20 all" (Cossart, 2016).



Nombre : 17	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreicher	Date : 06/12/2016 13:32:30	
₽Nombre : 18	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreicher	Date : 06/12/2016 13:32:21	
Nombre : 19	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : HervorhebenD	ate : 06/12/2016 13:51:57	
what is meant by The network effe	y "it" ? ect describes how ?			
Nombre · 20	Auteur · reviewer	Suiet Notiz D	ate · 06/12/2016 13·52·00	

...this is an assumption that is fairly unrealistic in a geomorphic system where storage landforms are built up - may be true though on the very long time scale. See discussion in Hoffmann (2015): Hoffmann, T., 2015. Sediment residence time and connectivity in non-equilibrium and transient geomorphic systems. Earth-Science Reviews.





#### 2.2 Structural connectivity indices in undirected graphs

The influence of the structure of a spatial network on 1 material or immaterial fluxes has been deeply explored in case of transportation studies (Cole and King, 1968; Gleyze, 2008), social networks (Freeman, 1979) or more recently in ecology (Ludwig et al., 2002; Belisle, 2005). Ch studies, one key requirement is to provide a hierarchy of the influence of nodes within the network. Nodes characterized by a high connectivity have indeed a considerable influence within a network as they control fluxes passing between many others. Such high connectivity nodes are also the ones where a disruption would imply the more dramatic damages on the network functioning (Haggett and Chorley, 1969; Newman, 2010). They indeed lie on the largest number of possible paths whithin the network. Many indices Bere calculated from the mathematical tools provided by graph theory for applied to undirected graphs. There is calculated from the mathematical tools is provided by graph theory for the measured in directed graphs.

First, the Betweenness centrality index (B) measures the extent to which a node i lies on paths between other nodes (Eq. 5): Bi =  $\sum nijk / njk$  (5)

where nijk is the number of paths that exist from a node j to a node k, and that pass through i; and where njk is the total number of paths that exist within the network, from j to k. Shis index provides a good evaluation of the potential volume that

- 15 may pass through the nodes and is helpful for Dterpreting, even normalizing the real fluxes observed in each node of the network. One main criticism is that this index enhances the role of nodes close the centre of gravity of the network of 10 hot really efficient in discrimination in the eccentric nodes. However, such eccentric nodes are close to the source 12 commances, of the network and 13 uter or discriminated between themselves. Furthermore, spatial patterns are taken into account in a simplistic way: the distance (and the friction effect of the distance to hinder fluxes) is not considered.
- 20 The Shimbel index (Shi) takes into account the distance between nodes and consider 15 ther methods of the node generates or minimize eccentricity within the network (Eq. 6) (Newman, 2010). For one node i, it corresponds to the sum of the length of all shortest paths connecting all other nodes j in the graph (dij). To facilitate comparisons in both space and time, this index should be normalized, being divided by the sum of the length of all paths in the network, from j to k (djk). Shii =  $\sum dij/\sum djk$  (6)
- 25 16 the one hand if the Shimbel index is high, then the node contributes to create long paths within the network (and thus attenuates the compactness of the network). 17 the other hand, if the Shimbel index is low, then the node maximizes the compactness of the network. This index is much more efficient to discriminate the influence of eccentric nodes on the network and can be enriched by considering various types of distance (geodesic, time, etc.). It is noted that the lower the Shimbel index, the higher the accessibility (and thus the connectivity) of the nodes.
- 30 Both indices allow a description in depth of the skeleton of a network, and highlight the potential impacts of network structure on the fluxes patterns. They can thus provide conceptual and mathematical frameworks to explore the structure of sediment cascade<sub>x18</sub> vertheless they cannot be applied directly to measure sediment connectivity as sediment cascades are directed graphs, more complicated in terms of mathematical conceptualization.



### Page : 5

∓ Nombre : 1	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreiche	en	Date : 06/12/2016 13:57:52	
Nombre : 2	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	6/12/2016 13:52:26	
and in geomorphe	ology - see the Czub	a & Foufoula-Georgic	ou paper	ers	
The second secon	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreiche	en	Date : 06/12/2016 13:58:30	
		-			
Nombre : 4	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	6/12/2016 13:59:32	
examples ? can th	ese be used for geo	morphological researc	ch proble	lems ? Especially considering that sediment cascades form directed graphs	
Nombre : 5	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : HervorhebenI	Date : 06	6/12/2016 14:00:12	
what is "significati	on"	, ,			
In Nombre : 6	Auteur : reviewer	Suiet : Eingefügter T	ext	Date : 06/12/2016 13:58:40	
were		j			
-Nombre · 7	Auteur · reviewer	Suiet · Notiz	Date · 06	6/12/2016 14:00:23	
give references fo	r the indices you pre	esent here	Dute . 00	0/12/2010 11:00:23	
- Nombre : 8	Auteur : reviewor	Sujet · Hervorhebon	Date · 06	6/12/2016 14·03·05	
I don't think so - u	Inless you assume th	nat every path has the	e same flu	flux, and that there is only convergent flow, i.e. fluxes are not dispersed from	
one node to more	than one downslop	e neighbour.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Nombre : 9	Auteur : reviewer	Suiet : Hervorheben[	Date : 06	6/12/2016 14:04:00	
? don't understan	d what that means			-,,	
Nombre · 10	Auteur · reviewer	Suiet · Notiz	Date · 06	6/12/2016 14·04·18	
give a reference for	or this criticism		Dute . 00	0/12/2010 1 10 1 10	
Nombre : 11		Suiet · Notiz	Data · 06	6/12/2016 14:04:47	
in what respect sh	ould eccentric node	s be "discriminated" ?	) )	0/12/2010 11:01:17	
Nombro : 12	Autour : roviowor	Suiot · Durchstraicha	n n	Data : 06/12/2016 14:05:51	
Hombre . 12	Auteur . reviewer	Sujet . Durchstreiche		Date : 00/12/2010 14.03.31	
Nombro 12	Autour rovious	Cuiat I lan orbahan	Data : 06	6/12/2016 16:02:06	
?? Do you mean t	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Hervornebeni	Date : 06 ir import	6/12/2016 16:02:06	
Do you means			ii iiiport		
-Nombre · 14	Auteur · reviewer	Suiet · Notiz	Date · 06	6/12/2016 16:05:16	
in order to do this	, edge attributes car	n be used as "cost".	Dute . 00	0/12/2010 10:05:10	
Czuba et al. use p	roperties of the edge	es to estimate the velo	ocity of s	sediment transfer, etc	
Put why expand a	n those measures in	undicrocted graphs	ubon it i	is clear that we need directed graphs to represent codiment cases dos 2	
But why expand o	II these measures in	undicrected graphs, v	when it is	is clear that we need directed graphs to represent sediment cascades ?	
Nombre : 15	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Hervorheben	Date : 06	6/12/2016 16:00:41	
Ecentricity is a node property (precisely the distance from a node to the farthest other node in the network, please give reference for the definition) so I wonder how a node can minimise (= make as small as possible) or generate its own property $12$					
			as sman		
Nombre : 16	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreiche	en	Date : 06/12/2016 16:01:05	
Hombre : 17	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreiche	en	Date : 06/12/2016 16:01:12	
Nombre : 18	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter T	ext	Date : 06/12/2016 16:02:40	
5					



9



#### 3 Methods to assess structural connectivity

Sediment cascades can be described as systems and network horley and Kennedy, 1971; Schumm, 2005). In detai they may be represented by directed graphs, where the nodes correspond to landscape units (sediment sources, stores or sink) and the edges to sediment pathways (Heckmann et al., 2015). Two nodes i and j are joined or adjacent if there is an edge from i

5 to j. Suppose we are given a directed graph with n nodes, the graph can be represented by an  $n \times n$  adjacency matrix A, constructed as follows: if there is an edge from node i to node j, then we put 1 as the entry on row i, column j of the matrix A. In this study we first consider a virtual sediment cascade, with 7 nodes (Fig. 1).

#### 3.1 Potential flows in directed graphs

As in undirected graphs, one first issue is to quantify the "network effect" (sensu Pumain and Saint-Julien, 2010) enhance how the spatial structure of paths influences the amount of sediments transferred to the outlet. In sediment cascades, only the paths that come from one node j to the outlet o are to be considered, so that in each node i we have to measure extent to which i lies on paths from other nodes j to o (Fijo). This measurement is normalized, thus be divided by the total number of paths that come from all nodes j to o (Fijo) (Eq. 7).

 $Fi = \sum Fijo / Fjo$  (7)

- 15 Fijo and Fjo can be calculated by reconstructing the pathways of sediments throughout the cascade. Under the hypothesis of "all things being equal", a virtual volume of sediments 1 is set on each node. The evacuation of the sediments can be simulated by a matrix multiplication of the adjacency matrix with a matrix representing the sediment sources (S0) (Eq. 8). This matrix is a one column matrix, where each row represents a node of the cascade, 1 is put on each row to represent the virtual volume of sediments at the beginning of the transfer. Each multiplication corresponds to an iteration, in which each sediment <u>August</u> for the links described by the adjacency matrix (Eq. 9) (table 1). The result
- provides a matrix S1, highlighting where are the sediments after one single iteration.

 $S1 = S0 \times A (8)$  $Sn = Sn-1 \times A (9)$ 

The operation is repeated until all virtual sediments are evacuated, 12 the results can represented within a synthetic matrix to the potential flow. The matrices obtained during the calculation (table 2). This operation finally provides a first map of the potential flow. The matrices obtained during the calculation (table 2). This operation finally provides a first map of the potential flow. The sediment cascade. Such a result can be 15 ful for interpreting local monitoring of sediment transfer. If the nodes by assessing the increase of sediments involved upstream and upstream the node. For instance, in our virtual study case, the amount of sediment classically increases downstream, as there is no interruption of the cascade.

30 Nevertheless, the main increase occurs apart node D, pointing out that this node has a great influence on the functioning of the sediment cascade. Any disruption of this node (blockage due to an overflow of sediments, anthropogenic action, etc.) would significantly impact the ability of the cascade to deliver sediments at the outlet. Nevertheless, *on* main criticism is that

6



### Page : 6

Nombre : 1	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 16:04:38
consider rephras	ing:	5	
a system (a casca conceptualise su	ading system in terms ch a system.	of Chorley & Kenr	nedy) is a model representation of processes in nature. A network is a way to
INOMBRE : 2	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstrei	chen Date : 06/12/2016 16:04:43
Nombre · 3	Auteur · reviewer	Suiet · Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 16:06:00
Its adjacency ma	trix is depicted in Tab	). 1.	
Nombre · 4	Auteur · reviewer	Suiet · Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 16:06:55
to assess how?		bajet i i te az	
* .1 * 1 .1 . U			
I think the "netwo	ork effect" should be	explained, can't be	assumed to be well known among readers.
Nombre : 5	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Hervorheb	enDate : 06/12/2016 16:06:16
pNombre : 6	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 16:12:24
what is meant by	"extent" ?	n roplaced by E.a	nd k hv o
You need to expl	ain the difference, sp	ecifically what is F	(flux rate ?). If F is a flux rate, Fijo is not "the extent to which i lies on paths" but the
proportion of flu	xes passing through	related to all fluxe	s reaching o.
Nombre : 7	Auteur : reviewer	Suiet : Hervorheb	enDate : 06/12/2016 16:07:59
<u> </u>			
Nombre : 8	Auteur : reviewer	Suiet : Einaefüate	r Text Date : 06/12/2016 16:12:29
i.e.			
-Nombre : 9	Autour · roviower	Suiet · Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 16:13:00
missing reference	es here as well	Sujet . Notiz	Date : 00/12/2010 10:15:00
Nombre : 10	Autour : roviower	Suiet · Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 16:13:40
unit	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet . Notiz	Date : 00/12/2010 10.15.49
packet ?			
Nombre : 11	Auteur : reviewer	Suiet : Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 16:26:24
you need to give	references for these	equations.	
For example:			
Schwanghart, W., K	Kuhn, N.J., 2010. TopoTo	oolbox: A set of Matla	ab functions for topographic analysis. Environmental Modelling & Software 25, 770-781.
I don't think we r	need eq. 8 as eq. 9 is	the generalised for	m that implies that it is applied iteratively (until all sediment is evacuated).
Nombre · 12	Auteur · reviewer	Suiet · Hervorheb	enDate · 06/12/2016 16·18·34
<u></u>			
Nombre · 13	Auteur · reviewer	Suiet · Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 16:19:17
why not just write	e that eq.9 is applied	iteratively ?	Dute : 00/12/2010 10:15:17
"S." does not sho	w up in the remainin	g text	
Nombre : 14	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 16:26:24
really ? I think the	at the graph itself sho	ows the potential fl	ows; with the representation of Sn you can show where the sediment is located (for
each node), so w	hether there is a con	centration or a dep	letion.
Nombre : 15	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Hervorheb	enDate : 06/12/2016 16:20:32
Nombre : 16	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 16:21:08
please specify wh	nat that means, and g	ive an example. Pe	rsonally, I would prefer to see this discussed (with examples and references) in the
discussion sectio	n.		
Nombre : 17	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Hervorheb	enDate : 06/12/2016 16:40:25
specify what that	means !		

### Suite des commentaires de la page 6 sur la page suivante



20



#### 3 Methods to assess structural connectivity

Sediment cascades can be described as systems and network horley and Kennedy, 1971; Schumm, 2005). In details, they may be represented by directed graphs, where the nodes correspond to landscape units (sediment sources, stores or sink) and the edges to sediment pathways (Heckmann et al., 2015). Two nodes i and j are joined or adjacent if there is an edge from i

5 to j. Suppose we are given a directed graph with n nodes, the graph can be represented by an n × n adjacency matrix A, constructed as follows: if there is an edge from node i to node j, then we put 1 as the entry on row i, column j of the matrix A. In this study we first consider a virtual sediment cascade, with 7 nodes (Fig. 1).

#### 3.1 Potential flows in directed graphs

As in undirected graphs, one first issue is to quantify the "network effect" (sensu Pumain and Saint-Julien, 2010) to enhance how the spatial structure of paths influences the amount of sediments transferred to the outlet. In sediment cascades, only the paths that come from one node j to the outlet o are to be considered, so that in each node i we have to measure the extent to which i lies on paths from other nodes j to o (Fijo). This measurement is normalized, thus subdivided by the total number of paths that come from all nodes j to o (Fijo) (Eq. 7).

 $Fi = \sum Fijo / Fjo$  (7)

- 15 Fijo and Fjo can be calculated by reconstructing the pathways of sediments throughout the cascade. Under the hypothesis of "all things being equal", a virtual volume of sediments 1 is set on each node. The evacuation of the sediments can be simulated by a matrix multiplication of the adjacency matrix with a matrix representing the sediment sources (S0) (Eq. 8). This matrix is a one column matrix, where each row represents a node of the cascade, 1 is put on each row to represent the virtual volume of sediments at the beginning of the transfer. Each multiplication corresponds to an iteration, in which each 20 sediment transferred along one edge, according to the links described by the adjacency matrix (Eq. 9) (table 1). The result
- provides a matrix S1, highlighting where are the sediments after one single iteration.

 $S1 = S0 \times A$  (8)

Sn = Sn-1 x A (9)

- The operation is repeated until all virtual sediments are evacuated, and the results can represented within a synthetic matrix (S.). Catenating S0, S1,..., Sn matrices obtained during the calculation (table 2). This operation finally provides a first map of the potential flow within the sediment cascade. Such a result can be useful for interpreting local monitoring of sediment transfer of the nor interpolating local measurements at the catchment scale. Moreover, this index may provide a hierarchy between the 18 es by assessing the increase of sediments involved upstream and upstream 19 node. For instance, in our virtual study case, the amount of sediment classically increases downstream, as there is no interruption of the cascade.
- 30 Nevertheless, the main increase occurs apart <u>21</u> e D, pointing out that this node has a great influence on the functioning of the sediment cascade. Any disruption of this node (blockage due to an overflow of sediments, anthropogenic action, etc.) would significantly impact the ability of the cascade to deliver sediments at the outlet. Nevertheless, <u>122</u> hain criticism is that



🔫 Nombre : 18	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:21:23	
of				
TNombre : 19	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:21:33	
of				
Nombre : 20	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz Date : 0	6/12/2016 16:21:59	
and there's only	converging flow !			
Nombre : 21	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:22:18	
at				
Nombre : 22	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:24:27	
one ?				
the ?				
Nombre : 23	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz Date : 0	6/12/2016 16:24:01	

You need to better connect this text to Fig. 1, referring also to the Fig. parts A, B, and C ! The "flow index" that is mentioned in the caption for 1C is not even mentioned in the text.





this index pay\_the attention to the sediment sources (here A, B and G) while the events that happen on  $\frac{1}{12}$  ay influence long pathways to the outlet voked for weenness index, it is necessary to better discriminate the potential influence of sources and stores located next to sources.

#### 3.2 Accessibility from sources and to sinks

- 5 Within a sediment cascade, the influence of geomorphic units (sources, stores, sinks) on sediment delivery can be discriminating considering their location inside the cascades. The main hypothesis is that node minimizing the distance between both sediment sources and the outlet has a greater influence on the overall sediment cascade. There words, if such strategic addes disrupt, the ability of the cascade to deliver sediment would be significantly affected. Characterizing the nodes by their location within the network refers to the concept of accessibility (A) and is thus very similar to the calculation of the cascade of the c
- Shimbel index in case of undirected graphs. In case of directed graphs, the calculation of the accessibility A truth node i can be made from a distance matrix D (Eq. 10) (table 3):
   Ai = (D.i + Di.) / D. (10) [11]

Where D.i is the total of the distances between I and the nodes (sources and stores) that feed i, Di. is the distance between i and the nodes located downstream, and D. is the total of the distances of all paths within the network. The main interest of

- 15 this index is that 12 nhances the sources (where D.i equals 0), and more particularly the sources that minimize the distance to the outlet (Fig. 2). Here, G is characterized by the better acces 13, greater than A, greater than B. A hierarchy of the influence of sediment sources to sediment delivery at the outlet is thus provided. In terms of management, it highlights the sources that can be activated to cope with a sediment exhaustion at the outlet or, conversely, sources where protection strategies should be applied in case of sediment overflow. Nevertheless, this index is not a good proxy of connectivity as 14
- 20 underestimates the role of the outlet and all nodes close to the outlet, and does not pay attention to the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade. At the catchment scale, 15 role of D and E is not exhibited while they are important connectors between pathways developed from sources A and B. It is necessary to compare carefully the index of both nodes to note that D mining simore the distance to different sources and the outlet than E.

#### 3.3 Combination of indices

and Ai can be calculated (Eq. 11): ICi = Fi / Ai (11)



### Page : 7

<b>T</b> Nombre : 1	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:33:52
s Nombre : 2 these ?	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:25:29
Pombre : 3 I don't understand	Auteur : reviewer I that - if you cut off	Sujet : Notiz Date : 06 the last node before the outl	6/12/2016 16:26:21 et (which is far from sources), you cut off almost the whole catchment
Nombre : 4 the	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:24:45
Nombre : 5 I can't understand	Auteur : reviewer how a node can mi	Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06 nimise (i.e. "make small") a dis	5/12/2016 16:28:01 stance. Either it IS far away or it IS close
Nombre : 6 (discriminated) assessed	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:27:00
Nombre : 7 please re-phrase t Do you mean a no	Auteur : reviewer hat; sorry for not be ode that is BOTH clo	Sujet : Notiz         Date : 06           ing able to follow.         se to the outlet and close to s	ources is most significant for sediment transfer ? If so, why ?
Nombre : 8 nodes can't disrup In graph theory pa makes sense to di	Auteur : reviewer ot I think. What can b apers experimenting srupt an edge (wher	Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06 be disrupted is an edge betwee with changes in system struct two adjacent nodes are no lo	5/12/2016 16:30:35 ren two nodes. ture, either nodes are removed, or edges. In the geomorphological case, it onger coupled)
Nombre : 9	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:30:41
Pombre : 10 better use other sy Schwanghart & Ku	Auteur : reviewer ymbol, because in 3, uhn, for example, uso	Sujet : Notiz     Date : 06       you used A for the adjacency       e M as the symbol for the (flor	w direction)/adjacency matrix.
Nombre : 11	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz Date : 06	5/12/2016 16:36:28
I don't think it is a Please try to use t	good idea to use fu he same notation as	ill stops as symbols. , for example, a text book (an	d add the corresponding reference, see earlier comments)
Nombre : 12	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06	5/12/2016 16:43:41
why "enhance" ? " Where D.i is zero, Is Ai supposed to "accessibility" tran	highlights" ? Ai will be small. And increase for high acc slates into connectiv	for i close to the outlet, Di. w cessibility ? Please define wha vity-related properties.	ill be small as well. t accesibility is and how Ai is interpreted. And especially discuss how
Nombre : 13 so by smaller Ai, ri If so, the interpret	Auteur : reviewer ight ? ation of Ai is contrai	Sujet : Notiz Date : 06 ntuitive (higher accessibility s	ignified by lower Ai) Needs to be checked and stated explicitly if correct.
Nombre : 14	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06	5/12/2016 16:46:50
why is the role of For nodes close to	the outlet underesting the outlet, Di. is ven	mated ? What is the role of th ry small, and I understood tha	e outlet (except being the outlet) ? t small Ai translates into "high accessibility"
I am also confused pathways ? Diverg	d by "the coupling b ence from a single r	etween various pathways insid node to multiple downslope n	de the sediment cascade". What does that mean ? Confluence of multiple eighbours ? Please be more specific and/or give an example.
Nombre : 15 what does "exhibit	Auteur : reviewer ted" mean in this co	Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06 ntext ?	5/12/2016 16:47:26
Nombre : 16 again, a node can' Its distance to sou	Auteur : reviewer t minimise a distanc rces/sinks can be sn	Sujet : Notiz Date : 06 e in my opinion. nall, and maybe be the smalle	s/12/2016 16:48:13 st compared to the other nodes. Is that what you mean ?
Nombre : 17 now explicitly disc	Auteur : reviewer uss the implications	Sujet : Notiz Date : 06 of Fi and Ai for connectivity,	i/12/2016 16:49:16 and deduce why you compute Fi/Ai as a connectivity index.
Nombre : 18	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06	5/12/2016 16:49:55

### Suite des commentaires de la page 7 sur la page suivante





this index pay little attention to the sediment sources (here A, B and G) while the events that happen on it may influence long pathways to the outlet As evoked for betweenness index, it is necessary to better discriminate the potential influence of sources and stores located next to sources.

#### 3.2 Accessibility from sources and to sinks

- 5 Within a sediment cascade, the influence of geomorphic units (sources, stores, sinks) on sediment delivery can be discriminating by considering their location inside the cascades. The main hypothesis is that a node minimizing the distance between both sediment sources and the outlet has a greater influence on the overall sediment cascade, other words, if such strategic nodes disrupt, the ability of the cascade to deliver sediment would be significantly affected. Characterizing the nodes by their location within the network refers to the concept of accessibility (A) and is thus very similar to the calculation.
- 10 Shimbel index in case of undirected graphs. In case of directed graphs, the calculation of the accessibility A cach node i can be made from a distance matrix D (Eq. 10) (table 3):

Ai = (D.i + Di.) / D.. (10)

Where D.i is the total of the distances between I and the nodes (sources and stores) that feed i, Di. is the distance between i and the nodes located downstream, and D. is the total of the distances of all paths within the network. The main interest of

- 15 this index is that it enhances the sources (where D.i equals 0), and more particularly the sources that minimize the distance to the outlet (Fig. 2). Here, G is characterized by the better access pility, greater than A, greater than B. A hierarchy of the influence of sediment sources to sediment delivery at the outlet is thus provided. In terms of management, it highlights the sources that can be activated to cope with a sediment exhaustion at the outlet or, conversely, sources where protection strategies should be applied in case of sediment overflow. Nevertheless, this index is not a good proxy of connectivity as it
- 20 underestimates the role of the outlet and all nodes close to the outlet, and does not pay attention to the coupling between various pathways inside the sediment cascade. At the catchment scale, the role of D and E is not exhibited while they are important connectors between pathways developed from sources A and B. It is necessary to compare carefully the index of both nodes to note that D mining as more the distance to different sources and the outlet than E.

#### 3.3 Combination of indices

25 The indices Fi and Ai provide a quantitative and complementary description of the sediment cascade skeleton, the first one revealing the potential increase of sediment discharge along the sediment paths, the second one measuring the eccentricity from the sources and the outlet classically, the sediment discharge increases with eccentricity from sources, as the paths lie across 19 hy nodes from which sediments can be supplied (i.e. the active 20 h is higher). Nevertheless, due to the geometry of paths, of confluences, this increase of sediment discharge can be higher or lower than expected 12 the distance to sources. To estimate this possible under or overrepresentation of potential sediment volume in each node, a ratio between Fi

and Ai can be calculated (Eq. 11): ICi = Fi / Ai (11)

7

with distance from	sources ?		
TNombre : 19	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:50:01
include ?			
∓ Nombre : 20	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 16:50:13
contributing ?			

 
 Nombre : 21
 Auteur : reviewer
 Sujet : Notiz
 Date : 06/12/2016 16:55:27

 be more specific. Make clear(er) that you use the "flow index" (?) to estimate the "expected" increase of discharge with distance from sources.

 What I don't understand now is why dividing Fi by Ai allows you to estimate whether Fi under- or overestimates the potential sediment volume.
 Please explain !





The results can be seen as a normalization of the potential sediment fluxes Fi (table 4). It is noticed that the most important nodes regarding IC are E and D (Fig. 3), with very similar values (0.8 and 0.71 respectively). E and D are at confluences and thus lie on various sediment paths organized from distinct sources: their potential influence on the whole sediment cascade is high, so that any disruption of these nodes would considerably alter the elementary interactions between many nodes and

- 5 sediment paths dequently D and E may modify significantly the ability of the cascade to provide sediments and should be further sturrer in depth to document the functional connectivity, or to assess eropided rates (local monitoring, field observations). The outlet F has a quite high but lower index (0.66). This value revears me high potential sediment volume that passes through this node but point out that any disruption at this node would be ambiguous. Indeed it would interrupt the sediment delivery define organization of the three sediment paths from sources A, B and G would be not modified, and the
- 10 coupling patterns at the confluences would also remain unmodified. Finally, the structure of the cascade would be roughly unchanged. Regarding the sources (A, B and D): a hierarchy is evidenced. The source G has a greater influence on the sedimentary signal at the outlet thanks to its proximity (IC = 0.53), higher than A and B (IC equals 50.27 and 0.12, respectively).

#### 3.4 Index parameters

- 15 IC index can be calculated from simple parameters: A acency matrix (drawn from a geomorphic expertise), A acence matrix. Nevertheless two main components of the equations can be parameterized to enrich the model, for instance to fit the index to the geomorphic purpose or to a management issue. First, regarding the assessment of Fi, all sources are assumed to be of equal importance (volume availability equals to 1). A geomorphic hierarchy of sources (in terms of sediment supply) can be parameterized, for instance if a fine parameterized overflows or, conversely, is exhausted: the matrix representing the sediment sources (S0) can then be adjusted find the distance is an important parameter that can modify the results of Ai,
- and then ICi. Distance indeed creates a thread that hampers the sediment transfer: the higher the distance, the higher the friction opposed to sediment deliver in the virtual study case, we considered a topological distance within the matrix to be simple. Many other kinds of distance can of course be taken into account: Euclidian distance for instance, but in geomorphology many other type of distance may be more relevant. A distance in time, to reveal the duration of transfer from
- 25 one unit to another one can be particularly relevant, even difficult to assess. A cost distance should be also relevant, by revealing how hampered (or efficient) is the sediment transfer along the edge: a man n13 efficient (Cavalli et al., 2013), or more generally a roughness index (Baartmar14 t al., 2013) can be a good proxy or me friction that hampers the sediment transfer. Such parameters can be calculated from high-resolution DEM and then joined to the edges characteristics through GIS procedures.
- 30 To document how the indices are sensitive to the parameterization, we modify the initial conditions of our virtual sediment cascade (Fig. 4). Regarding sediment availability, we consider G exhausted (volume equals 0) and B overflowing (volume equals 2). All other nodes remain unchanged. Regarding the distance, the distance between E and F is now twice the initial value (DEF equals 2).



### Page:8

Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:58:25	
Is that something we did not already know (i) from looking at the graph and (ii) from routing the sediment downslope ? If the error(s) in Fig 1B	_
are corrected, D and E will have the greatest values	
Nombre : 2 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 17:04:42	
you address D and E as conductors/link nodes. Is the erosion rate on D and E so important then? Or is it the erosion rate within their upslope	
contributing area !?	
Nombre : 3         Auteur : reviewer         Sujet : Durchstreichen         Date : 06/12/2016 17:04:44	
Nombre : 4 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 16:59:50	
so connectivity would change fundamentally, and the change for nodes downstream of the outlet would be the same, right ?	
Nombre : 5         Auteur : reviewer         Sujet : Durchstreichen         Date : 06/12/2016 17:05:05	
Nombre : 6 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 17:01:45	
Explain why this is the case (in the model vs. in reality). In reality, the longer the distance, the longer the time, and the higher the probability of	
intermediate storage.	
Nombre : 7 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 17:02:39	
the	_
Nombre : 8 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 17:02:53	
and the	_
Nombre : 9 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Durchstreichen Date : 06/12/2016 17:05:57	
	—
Nombre 10 Autour - Suist - Fingefügter Text Dete - 06/12/2016 17/05/55	
narticular	—
Nombre : 11 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 17:06:28	
by changing the non-zero values to	
Nombre : 12 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 17:09:35	
I don't agree. Distance and friction influence the travel time independently:	
The longer the distance, the longer will it take for a sediment packet to reach the next hode. The friction on that edge influences the "travel velocity" of the sediment	
C.f. Czuba & Foufoula-Georgiou (2014.2015). Moreover, one could argue that friction promotes (intermediate) storage, what will decrease the	
SDR.	
Nombre : 13 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 22:03:00	
Cavalli et al. (2013) use topographic roughness on a high-resolution DEM (standard deviation of residual topography), not the Manning	-
coefficient; Baartman et al. (2013) used a much coarser DEM (30 m resolution), on which a comparable roughness index cannot be computed;	
they use a very simple roughness index (slope_max-slope_min within a 300x300 m moving window)	

The Nombre : 14Auteur : reviewerSujet : DurchstreichenDate : 06/12/2016 22:03:15





As expected, the potential flow Fi is mainly modified on B which 2 fluence increases B shifting from 0.05 to 0.07), and on G which 4 fluence becomes null. On other nodes, a genificant increase is observed on D (FD shifting from 0.18 to 0.21) while FE and FF remain roughly unchanged. While the node D was already strategic in the first simulation, the increase of sediment availability on B reinforces its influence on the whole sediment cascade. Downstream, the potential flow on E and

- F is not reinforced by the amount of sediments delivered on B because of the exhaustion of G. 5 Considering the accessibility, the higher eccentricity of F has an impact on AF, but more generally alter be accessibility of all nodes. 6 ccessibility decreases significantly on B: the subcascade organized from B is the longest and all the sediment paths that may exist along this subcascade are impacted by the friction between E and F. As a consequence, the outlet is here significantly less accessible from the source B than from the sources A and GAD atter remaining the closer). It is noticed
- that the accessibility of D is not impacted by the higher eccentricity of F: AD remains roughly stable, and even suggest 10 slight improvement of the accessibility. All nodes accessibility a great centrality, 9 d that may minimize the distances from both the sources and the outlet, are not affected by an 11 reasing eccentricity at the margins of the cascade (if distance from sources, or at the outlet, increases).
- Finally, regarding the connectivity index, the new parameterization have 12 dified the hierarchy of nodes. First we note that the influence of the confluence nodes has increased: this pattern is particularly significant on D, a node of a high 15 connectivity: 13 hinimizes the distance to two main sources and to the outlet. The node E is also of prime importance, but its connectivity is quite lower than expected from its strategic location as it is connected to an exhausted source (G). Looking at the sources, a hierarchy is clearly observed: the influence of B gets higher, 14 to its main contribution to the sediment flow, while the influence of G becomes null as it is exhausted.
- The IC index thus reveals on each unit of a sediment cascade the degree of coupling to both the sources and the outlet.  $\Delta d_{15}$ 20 precisely it reflects the structural 16 tivity as it enhances the role of spatial patterns (distance, confluences, etc.) or the network. In a simplistic way, it any it is now the network structure and the spatial patterns influence the sediment flows "all things being equal". The parameterization could moreover be progressively enriched thanks to a geomorphic expertise to pay more attention on sediment availability or on the ability of geomorphic processes to transfer sediments along the paths 25
- (i.e. the edges).

#### 4 Applications to real sediment cascade

The IC index is now applied to a real sediment cascade, which 17 ctioning has been already conceptualized and quantified (Cossart and Fort, 2008; Cossart, 2016). Celse-Nière catchment A 118 ed in the french southern Alps, on the eastern flank of the massif des Ecrins. We focus here on the headwater (aboar is km², from 2500 m.asl to 3850 m.asl) [19] occupied by glaciers. Special attention was already given to the linkages between the glacial margins and the glacio-fluvial systems. The 30 presence of morainic ridges still interrupts the sedimentary cascade system, thus forcing local aggradation and change in the



### Page:9

Nombre : 1 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 22:09:02	
write "B" in subscript to better indicate that it is F for node B (FD, FE, FF in line 2f, and throughout the following paragraph)	
Nombre : 2         Auteur : reviewer         Sujet : Eingefügter Text         Date : 06/12/2016 22:04:23	
whose / the influence of which	
Nombre : 3         Auteur : reviewer         Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 22:07:30	
can you really say if an increase by 0.03 is significant ? An increase of 0.02 (from 0.05 to 0.07) was not called significant I think such a statement can only be made if a more thorough sensitivity analysis is conducted.	
Nombre : 4     Auteur : reviewer     Sujet : Eingefügter Text     Date : 06/12/2016 22:04:38	
whose / the influence of which	
Nombre : 5 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 22:09:53	
S	
Nombre : 6         Auteur : reviewer         Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 22:10:46	
Does that mean that Ai decreases (meaning higher accessibility !?) or that accessibility decreases (which would be signified by increasing Ai	?)?
Nombre : 7         Auteur : reviewer         Sujet : Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 22:17:48	
but that's almost trivial considering that the topological distance between A and F is shorter (by one) than that between B and F	
Nombre : 8         Auteur : reviewer         Sujet : Eingefügter Text         Date : 06/12/2016 22:18:08	
s	
Nombre : 9 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 22:25:11	
why does a node property (great centrality) minimise a distance ?	
Consider rendrasing please	
Nombre : 10     Auteur : reviewer     Sujet : Eingefugter Text     Date : 06/12/2016 22:23:50	
Nombre : 11 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 22:26:16	
this is only for the didactic example, right : in nature, the distance would not increase (roughness/impedance could, increase of course)	
Nombre : 12 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 22:26:28	
5	
Nombre : 13 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06/12/2016 22:27:35	
see above comments a node will not make a distance smaller. It has a small or great distance.	
Nombre : 14       Auteur : reviewer       Sujet : Eingefügter Text       Date : 06/12/2016 22:29:18	
Increases	
Nombre : 15 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 22:30:28	
here you could discuss the similarity / difference related to Borselli's index, that would justify the detailed description of the latter in chapter	2.
Nombre : 16         Auteur : reviewer         Sujet : Notiz         Date : 06/12/2016 22:39:17	
it could be discussed whether an index reflecting more process-related properties (e.g. by including roughness, traveltimes, slopes or similar	
correlated to SDR (that is seen as a proxy/performance measure of functional connectivity).	
Nombre : 17 Auteur : reviewer Suiet : Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 22:39:36	
whose / the functioning of which	
Nombre : 18 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 22:41:18	
I suggest that you give a short overview of topographic, climatological and lithological properties (a table might be enough).	
$\square Nombre : 10 \qquad Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Eingefügter Text Data : 0.6/12/2016 : 22:20:52$	
that is	





glacio-fluvial pattern (Fig. 5A). Such a complex assemblage makes this area particularly suitable for assessing connectivity 11 and simulate the impacts of new blockages or, conversely, of some reconnections.

#### 4.1 The structure of the network

From the geomorphological map, the graph has been drawn in a GIS software (QGIS): a regular network of nodes has been created (distance between nodes equals 100 meters) the period of the per 5 belongs, and from geomorphie prise detween the nodes are digitized. From the network QGIS tools, the adjacency matrix has been set (as an edge is matrix) and exported to R software. In the latter software, the matrix has been converted to an origin-to-destination matrix, and the distance matrix automatically created (a topological distance has been considered) thanks to the igraph package. All calculations on matrices have been conducted in R, and the results have been

10 exported to QGIS to be mapped.

> First it can be noticed that only 60% of the total paths are connected to the outlet, the others are connected to permanent pplying the typology established by Fryirs et al. (2007), disconnections are due to barriers (morainig sinks/ 7 buffers (roches-moutonnées and glacio-fluvial terraces) and blankets (screes made of large grain-size boulders) Second, the IC index highlights the influence of the trunk valley located between the margin of Glacier-du-Sélé and the

- confluence with the Coup-de-Sabre proglacial river (Fig.6A). This observation can be interpreted in terms of sensitivity to 15 external factors at the catchment scale Ale one hand, high-connectivity nodes (e.g. along the trunk valley, the Coup-de-Sabre subcatchment) are able to transfer ong the cascade a perturbation due to a geomorphic event. A significant input of sediments (due for instance to hydro-meteorological event) in these areas would increase the sediment delivery at the outlet. On the other hand, any perturbations on the non-connected  $\frac{10}{2}$  (e.g. on the southern flank of Ailefroide) would have a null
- influence on the sediment delivery. The IC index also exmons a hierarchy between the sources. As they are significantly 20 closer to the outlet all the sources located in the Coup-de-Sabre subeathment 11 e a greater influence on sediment delivery than the sources located in the Ailefroide, Sélé or Boeufs-Rouges areas.

Thus, the map of IC index helps to conceptualize the continuum of sediment transfer, and helps in interpAtil2 monitoring measurements at one point in a catchment (not necessarily at the outlet). The examination of nodes commetivity may be

required to establish sampling strategies for small-scale measurements of erosion on the field. Furthermore, this first 25 examination highlights that the impacts of external drivers (anthropogenic impact, hydro-meteorological event and more generally climate change) are space dependent: the impacts are higher and efficiently propagated if they affect high-13 connectivity areas.

#### 4.2 What if...?

30 The connectivity hierarchy between nodes can be interpreted as the potential influence of the node on sediment delivery, on the global functioning of the cascade. The IC index and more generally tools provided by graph theory allow  $\frac{1}{15}$  ulation  $\frac{1}{16}$ 



### Page : 10

Nombre : 1	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016 22:45:05
the two scenarios	are most significant	where the system is	s close to s	such changes, i.e. where such changes are likely to happen. You could justify
		Cuiat · Natia	Data : 06	(10) (2016) 20,40,42
the spatial pattern	of these nodes is a	rranged in a way the	Date . 00	nce between nodes is not always 100m (only for cardinal neighbours) for
some adjacent no shown to be impo	odes the distance is 1 ortant in the calculat	.41 m (diagonal neig ion of the IC.	ghbours). I	Has this been accounted for ? It should, I think, because distance has been
Nombre : 3	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016 22:51:50
does this expertise landform types ra coupling in each o	e refer to every sing ther than specific ca case ?	le instance, based or ses), i.e. the fact tha	n your field t a lateral i	d knowledge ? Or does it refer to a more general knowledge (related to moraine forms a buffer ? Did you use diagnostic features to identify
INOMBRE : 4	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter	Text	Date : 06/12/2016 22:49:59
ological				
─Nombre : 5	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016 22:53:08
Here you could in disconnected from	vestigate the numbe n other cascades.	er and size of conne	cted comp	onents, i.e. groups of nodes that form a sediment cascade but are
─Nombre : 6	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016 23:04:39
(1) check the defin	nition of barriers and	l buffers. In my opin	ion barrie	rs refer to longitudinal (i.e. along the channel network) connectivity, while
buffers refer to lat specific landforms	teral connectivity (by s you name here.	/ decoupling hillslop	e-bourne	sediment fluxes from the channel network). It might not apply to the
(2) a morainic rido	ge surelv is a buffer t	o lateral sediment f	lux. On the	e other hand, the same morainic ridge can be a source of sediment (it is
dissected by fluvia	al incision and debris	s flows). How is that	dealt with	?
Is there a single n	ode connected both	to upslope and dov	wnslope la	ndforms ? Is the upslope cascade interrupted on the landform just upslope
of the morainic rid	dge ?			
Nombre : 7	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016 23:06:00
Fig 5B only clearly	/ shows moraines as	buffers (and as sour	rces - at le	ast it could be interpreted from the arrow with "22")
Nombre : 8	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016 23:08:56
important literatu Fryirs, K.A., 2016. Riv	re that also refers to ver sensitivity. A lost fo	connectivity: oundation concept in f	luvial geom	orphology. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, n/a-n/a.
Nombre : 9	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter	Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:09:13
propagate?				
Nombre : 10	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016 23:11:18
this conclusion is a geomorphologi	comparatively trivial st. The IC is used for	and is not derived f ranking connectivit	from the IO y, not for a	C computation but directly from the graph structure that has been set up by assessing an "on-off"-state
🛖 Nombre : 11	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter	Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:11:39
subcatchment				
Nombre : 12	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016 23:12:30
predicting the do	wnstream transfer ar	nd delivery of sedim	ent fluxes	measured at one point ?
Nombre : 13	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06	/12/2016 23:14:40
which is a hypoth Validation of such	esis that you can't p an index would req	rove by computing a uire the assessment	an index th of the rea	nat implements our theoretical understanding of a geomorphic system. ction to / propagation of change in relation to the index
Nombre : 14	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreic	hen	Date : 06/12/2016 23:15:05
Nombre : 15	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter	Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:15:33
tuning.				
Nombre : 16 of scenarios	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter	Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:15:56





predict what can be the more impacting events on the cascade. Two algorithms  $\underline{1}$  ere here applied in R to simulate the consequences  $\underline{2}$  ocal disconnection and a local reconnection.

First 3 has been asked to remove a node force at the force significant drop in terms of connectivity (Fig. 6B). The simulation can reflect the possible impact of an anthropogenic feature (e.g. a dam) or of a hillslope process (e.g.

- 5 created by a landslide mass or a debris flow). The greater impact would occur if the node located at the toe of Glacier du Sélé **Srupts**. It would imply the disconnection from the outlet is an subcascades (organized from glefroide, Sélé and Boeufs-Rouges sources) so that only 26% percent is up node would remain connected to the outlet. The disruption would be more significant than in the case of a disruption of the node located at the confluence with Coup-de-Sabre proglacial river. In this latter case, many nodes would be indeed disconnected from the outlet, but the three subcascades of Ailefroide,
- Sélé and Boeufs-Rouges would be less impacted and would be still self-organized. As a consequence, the structure of the sediment cascade would be less modified.
  Second, it has been queried to add a new may deliver at the better improve 14 nt of the overall sediment connectivity (Fig. 6C). This simulation can reflect the disruption of a barrier, the removal of a blanket, for instance following a high magnitude geomorphic event. In that case, a link between Guyard subcatchment and the trunk valley would create the highest IC value
- 15 at the confluence. Such an increase is due to the high <u>15</u> nber of nodes that would become connected to the outlet. Furthermore these nodes (especially the sources) are relatively close to the outlet. A reconnection of subcascade in Ailefroide area would have a lesser impact because of its eccentricity. It can be noticed that the reconnection of Guyard subcascade would decrease the influence of Coup-de-Sabre subcascade on the overall network: under this hypothesis <u>16</u> reconnection, all the sources of this area are affected by a decrease of IC index <u>17</u> ding to this new structure of the
- 20 cascade, the hierarchy of sources would be thus modified: the sources of Guyard area would have a greater influence than Coup-de-Sabre sources, which would have a greater influence than Ailefroide, Sélé and Boeufs-Rouges sources.
  As a consequence; U10 C index provides an exploration of the cascade structure and may explain to what extent a small-scale modification (disruption of a node, creation of a linkage) may result in significant of the study case comparisons have be made between
- 25 cascades of different sizes, suggesting that IC index is sufficiently robust allow comparisons in both space and time between various catchments.

#### **5** Conclusion

This paper seeks at developing an original methodology dedicated to the study of sedimentary cascades under the hypothesis that connectors and paths influence on sediment delivery is space-dependent. The methods rely on graph theory to assess 30 structural connectivity: sediment cascade is described as a network and consequently as graph. Inspired from indices developed in undirected graphs, a potential flow and an accessibility of geomorphic units (i.e. accessibility to both sediment sources and to the outlet) can be measured throughout the sediment cascade. Both indices are combined to estimate a



### Page : 11

Nombre : 1	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:16:01
scenarios			
Nombre : 2	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:16:13
The second secon	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreichen	Date : 06/12/2016 23:16:24
Nombre : 4	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz Date	: 06/12/2016 23:18:25
(2) how was the lo is likely (same applies for	the re-connection, li	nnection chosen ? Delibera	itely or randomly ? I'd suggest to choose a location where such a disconnection
Nombre : 5	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:16:33
Nombra : 6	Autour : roviowor	Suigt · Eingefügter Text	Data - 06/12/2016 22:16:27
a	Auteur . reviewer	Sujet . Eingelügter Text	Date . 00/12/2010 25.10.57
Nombre : 7	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz Date	: 06/12/2016 23:19:22
i.e. the formation	of a new connected	component ?	
TNombre : 8	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : HervorhebenDate	: 06/12/2016 23:19:01
a node (or better:	edge) is disrupted, i	t does not disrupt	
Nombre : 9	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:19:36
fed by (?)			
Nombre : 10	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz Date	: 06/12/2016 23:22:52
suggest to add th	e change effected b	y the scenario (a decrease	from 60% to 26%)
Nombre : 11 well, but the cor So it remains que how valuable is an in un control of the second how valuable is an	Auteur : reviewer nsequences in terms stionable why two d n index that would n	Sujet : Notiz         Date           of SDR would remain the sifferent changes in connect         Date           of predict a change in sedi         Date	: 06/12/2016 23:25:40 same, right ? tivity (as measured by IC) should lead to the same consequences. Conversely, iment delivery because two different values would be associated with the same
delivery ? This is a conceptu	al/theoretical issue	you need to discuss.	
Nombre : 12	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz Date	: 06/12/2016 23:27:02
here, you add a n	ew edge (not a new	node), what makes more s	ense to me. Consider changing your first scenario accordingly.
• Nombre : 13	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreichen	Date : 06/12/2016 23:25:53
₽Nombre : 14	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Durchstreichen	Date : 06/12/2016 23:26:03
Nombre : 15	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:27:30
large			
Nombre : 16	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:29:45
Nombra : 17	Autour : roviouer	Suiot · Notiz Data	. 06/12/2016 22:20:20
why?	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet Notiz Date	. 00/12/2010 23.29.29
This "why" has tw (2) is it plausible t	o aspects:(1) why do hat connectivity real	es the index change (a nur ly changes with this scenar	merical issue) rio (a geomorphological issue)
Nombre : 18	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:30:11
Nombre : 19 changes of	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz Date	: 06/12/2016 23:30:33
Nombre : 20	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:30:39
the			

### Suite des commentaires de la page 11 sur la page suivante





predict what can be the more impacting events on the cascade. Two algorithms were here applied in R to simulate the consequences a local disconnection and a local reconnection.

First it has been asked to remove a node to create the more significant drop in terms of connectivity (Fig. 6B). This simulation can reflect the possible impact of an anthropogenic feature (e.g. a dam) or of a hillslope process (e.g.

- 5 created by a landslide mass or a debris flow). The greater impact would occur if the node located at the toe of Glacier du Sélé disrupts. It would imply the disconnection from the outlet of three main subcascades (organized from Ailefroide, Sélé and Boeufs-Rouges sources) so that only 26% percent of the node located at the confluence with Coup-de-Sabre proglacial river. In this latter case, many nodes would be indeed disconnected from the outlet, but the three subcascades of Ailefroide,
- Sélé and Boeufs-Rouges would be less impacted and would be still self-organized. As a consequence, the structure of the sediment cascade would be less modified. Second, it has been queried to add a new more to ereate the better improvement of the overall sediment connectivity (Fig. 6C). This simulation can reflect the disruption of a barrier, the removal of a blanket, for instance following a high magnitude geomorphic event. In that case, a link between Guyard subcatchment and the trunk valley would create the highest IC value
- 15 at the confluence. Such an increase is due to the high number of nodes that would become connected to the outlet. Furthermore these nodes (especially the sources) are relatively close to the outlet. A reconnection of subcascade in Ailefroide area would have a lesser impact because of its eccentricity. It can be noticed that the reconnection of Guyard subcascade would decrease the influence of Coup-de-Sabre subcascade on the overall network: under this hypothesis of reconnection, all the sources of this area are affected by a decrease of IC index According to this new structure of the
- 20 cascade, the hierarchy of sources would be thus modified: the sources of Guyard area would have a greater influence than Coup-de-Sabre sources, which would have a greater influence than Ailefroide, Sélé and Boeufs-Rouges sources. As a consequence, the IC index provides an exploration of the cascade structure and may explain to what extent a small-scale modification (disruption of a node, creation of a linkage) may result in significant processes. More generally, IC index makes possible [21] hparisons [22] this study case comparisons have be made between
- 25 cascades of different sizes, suggesting that IC index is sufficiently robust 23 w comparisons in both space and time between various catchments.

#### **5** Conclusion

This paper seeks at developing an original methodology dedicated to the study of sedimentary cascades under the hypothesis that <u>A25</u> bectors and paths <u>24</u> bectors <u>24</u> bectors and paths <u>24</u> bectors <u>24</u> bector



🔫 Nombre : 21	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:30:52
enables			

TNombre : 22 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 23:31:01 ...between (sub-)catchments ?

Nombre : 23 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Notiz Date: 06/12/2016 23:34:08 do the data really justify the conclusion that the index is robust (i.e. insensitive to minor changes in parameters) ?? And is such robustness required for the index to be used in comparative studies ? The Borselli index has issues of comparability because it depends on the size of the contributing area - similar scale-dependence could arise for your IC index, because the number of edges is somehow related to the size of the contributing area. Reconsider and discuss ! FNombre : 24 Date : 06/12/2016 23:34:35 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Durchstreichen

Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Eingefügter Text Date : 06/12/2016 23:34:32

Nombre : 25 the influence of





connectivity index that reveals how influent is a node within a sediment cascade. Specific applications were  $ed_1$  a GIS software (QGIS) but also in software dedicated to data analysis and matrices 2 culture (R).

The application on a virtual and simple catchment, and then on a real catchment, exhibits how geomorphic processes **Biations** may lead (or not) to sediment mobilization and exportation, from upper slopes to the outlet of watersheds. The

- 5 behaviour of the sediment cascades appears space-dependent: the geometry of paths and the location of nodes have a direct influence on the structural connectivity and then on the ability of the sediment cascade to deliver sediments. It is also highlighted that the impact of an external force on the sediment cascade depends on the location where it acts: the higher the connectivity of the node, the higher the impact on the cascade. Some simulations can moreover be led to predict how local perturbations may have an impact on the overall cascade.
- 10 This issue relies on main challenges in geomorphology and may lead to the papplications on river management, especially in Western Europe where rivers are affected by a strong deficit of sediment load. An assessment of connectivity will help at describing coupling patterns, scale dependence of erosional processes, to understand and predict how policies at catchment scale may supply sediments to the river system (dismantlement of hydraulic infrastructures, changes in terms of land use, etc.).

#### 15 References

Ali, G.V. and Roy, A.G.: Revisiting hydrologic sampling strategies for an accurate assessment of hydrologic connectivity in humid temperate systems. Geography Compass 3, 350–374, 2009

Baartman, J.E.M., Masselink, R., Keesstra, S.D. and Temme, A.J.A.M. : Linking landscape morphological complexity and sediment connectivity. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 38, 1457–1471, 2013.

20 Belisle, M.: Measuring landscape connectivity: the challenge of behavioural landscape ecology. Ecology 86, 1988–1995, 2005.

Bennett, G. : Integrating biodiversity conservation and sustainable use. Lessons learned from ecological networks. World Conservation Union (IUCN), Gland, Switzerland, 2004.

Brierley, G., Fryirs, K. and Jain, V. : Landscape connectivity: the geographic basis of geomorphic applications. Area 38(2),
165–174, 2006.

Borselli, L., Cassi, P. and Torri, D.: Prolegomena to sediment and flow connectivity in the landscape: a GIS and field numerical assessment. Catena 75, 268-277, 2008.

Bracken, L.J., Turnbull, J., Wainwright, J. and Bogaart, P.: Sediment connectivity: a framework for understanding sediment transfer at multiple scales. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 40(2), 177-188, 2015.

30 Bravard, J.P.: Le temps et l'espace dans les systèmes fluviaux, deux dimensions spécifiques de l'approche géomorphologique. Annales de Géographie 107(599), 3-15, 1998.



### Page : 12

Nombre : 1	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:40:23
implemented			
Nombre : 2	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Eingefügter Text	Date : 06/12/2016 23:40:32
x			
Nombre : 3	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06	/12/2016 23:40:51
terminology			
Nombre : 4	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : HervorhebenDate : 06	/12/2016 23:41:24
??			





Cavalli, M., Trevisani, S., Comiti, F. and Marchi, L.: Geomorphometric assessment of spatial sediment connectivity in small Alpine catchments. Geomorphology 188, 31-41, 2013.

Chorley, R.J. and Kennedy, B.A. Physical Geography: A Systems Approach. Prentice-Hall International, London, 370 pp, 1971.

5 Cole, J.P. and, King C.A.M.: Quantitative Geography: Techniques and theories in geography, Wiley, New York, 471 pp, 1968.

Cossart, É: La recrudescence de l'activité torrentielle dans un bassin versant en cours de déglaciation au cours du XXe siècle. Géomorphologie : relief, processus, environnement 3, 225-240, 2004.

Cossart, É. and Fort, M.: Sediment release and storage in early deglaciated areas: Towards an application of the exhaustion
 model from the case of Massif des Écrins French Alps since the Little Ice Age. Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift – Norwegian Journal of Geography 62, 115-131, 2008.

Cossart, É.: L'(in)efficacité géomorphologique des cascades sédimentaires en question : les apports d'une analyse réseau. Cybergeo : European Journal of Geography 778, DOI : 10.4000/cybergeo.27625, 2016.

Delahaye, D., Guermond, Y. and Langlois, P.. Spatial interaction in the run-off process. Cybergeo : European Journal of Geography 213, DOI : 10.4000/cybergeo.3795, 2001.

Douvinet, J., Delahaye, D. and Langlois, P. : Modélisation de la dynamique potentielle d'un bassin versant et mesure de son efficacité structurelle. Cybergeo: European Journal of Geography 412, DOI : 10.4000/cybergeo.16103, 2008.

Faulkner, H.: Connectivity as a crucial determinant of badland geomorphology and evolution. Geomorphology 100(1-2), 91-103, 2008.

20 Ferguson, R.I.: Channel forms and channel changes. In: Lewin, J. (Ed.) British Rivers. Allen and Unwin, London. pp. 90-125, 1981.

Freeman, L.C.: Centrality in social networks conceptual clarification. Social networks 1(3), 215-239, 1979.

Fryirs, K.: DisConnectivity in catchment sediment cascades: a fresh look at the sediment delivery problem. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms Landforms 38, 30–46, 2013.

25 Fryirs, K., Brierley, G.J., Preston, N.J. and Kasai, M.. The disconnectivity of catchment-scale sediment cascades. Catena, 70, 49-67, 2007.

Gleyze, J.F.. Effets spatiaux et effets réseau dans l'évaluation d'indicateurs sur les nœuds d'un réseau d'infrastructure. Cybergeo: European Journal of Geography 370, DOI : 10.4000/cybergeo.5532, 2008.

Gumiere, S.J., Le Bissonnais, Y., Raclot, D. and Cheviron, B.: Vegetated filter effects on sedimentological connectivity of agricultural catchments in erosion modelling: a review. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 36, 3–19, 2011.

agricultural catchments in erosion modelling: a review. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 36, 3–19, 2011.
 Haggett, P. and Chorley, R.J.: Network analysis in geography, Edward Arnold, Science, 348 pp, 1969.
 Harvey, A.M.: Coupling between hillslopes and channels in upland fluvial systems: implications for landscape sensitivity, illustrated from the Howgill Fells, northwest England. Catena 42, 225-250, 2001.

13





Heckmann, T. and Schwanghart, W.: Geomorphic coupling and sediment connectivity in an alpine catchment - exploring sediment cascades using graph theory. Geomorphology 182, 89-103, 2013.

Heckmann, T., Schwanghart, W. and Phillips, J.D.: Graph theory: Recent developments of its application in geomorphology. Geomorphology 243, 130-146, 2015.

5 Kimberly, A.W., Gardner, R.H. and Turner, M.G.: Landscape connectivity and population distributions in heterogeneous environments. Oikos 78, 151–169, 1997.

Ludwig, J.A., Eager, R.W., Bastin, G.N., Chewings, V.H. and Liedloff, A.C.: A leakiness index for assessing landscape function using remote sensing. Landscape Ecology 17, 157–171, 2002.

McGuinness, J.L., Harrold, L.L. and Edwards, W.M.: Relation of rainfall energy streamflow to sediment yield from small and large watersheds. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation 26, 233-235, 1971.

Newman, M.E.J.: Networks: An Introduction, Oxford University Press, 2010.

Pumain, D. and Saint-Julien T.: Analyse spatiale : les localisations, Cursus, Armand Colin, Paris, 192 pp, 2010.

Renard, K., Foster, G.R., Weessies, G.A., Mc Cool, D.K. and Yodler, D.C. Predicting soil erosion by water: a guide to conservation planning with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE). U.S. Department of Agriculture.
15 Agriculture Handbook 703, 1-384, 1997.

Schumm, S., 2005. River variability and complexity, Cambridge University Press, 219 p, 2005.

Tischendorf, L and and Fahrig, L.: How should we measure landscape connectivity? Landscape Ecology 15, 633–641, 2000. Turnbull, L., Wainwright, J., Brazier, R.E.: A conceptual framework for understanding semi-arid land degradation: ecohydrological interactions across multiple-space and time scales. Ecohydrology 1, 23–34, 2008.

- 20 Uezu, A., Metzger, J.P. and Vielliard, J.M.E.: Effects of structural and functional connectivity and patch size on the abundance of seven Atlantic forest bird species. Biological Conservation 123, 507–519, 2005. Viel V.: Analyse spatiale et temporelle des transferts sédimentaires dans les hydrosystèmes normands. Exemple du bassin versant de la Seulles. Ph-D Thesis, Université de Caen, 382 pp, 2012. Wainwright, J., Turnbull, L., Ibrahim, T.G., Lexartza-Artza, I., Thornton, S.F. and Brazier, R.E.: Linking environmental
- regimes, space and time: interpretations of structural and functional connectivity. Geomorphology, 126: 387–404, 2011.
   Walker, R.G.: Perspective, facies modelling and sequence stratigraphy. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 60, 777-786, 1990.
   Walling, D.E.: The sediment delivery problem. Hydrology, 69, 209-237, 1983.
   Wischmeier, W.H. and Smith, D.D.: Predicting Rainfall Erosion Losses A Guide to Conservation Planning. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Agriculture Handbook 537, 1-58, 1978.
- 30 With, K.A., Gardner, R.H. and Turner, M.G.: Landscape connectivity and population distributions in heterogeneous environments. Oikos 78, 151–169, 1997.







	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G
А	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
В	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
С	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
D	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Е	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
F	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
G	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

 Table 1: Adjacency matrix of the virtual sediment cascade

	0	1	2	3	4	5	$\mathbf{F}^{i}_{jo}$	Fi
Α	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0,05
В	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0,05
С	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0,09
D	1	2	1	0	0	0	4	0,18
E	1	2	2	1	0	0	6	0,27
F	1	1	2	2	1	0	7	0,32
G	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0,05
						Total	22	

Table 2: Analysis of the potential sediment flow within the sediment cascade. The first rows correspond to the iterations simulating55667787888<t







	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	D <sub>i,</sub>	Ai
Α	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	6	0,17
В	0	0	1	3	4	5	0	13	0,37
С	0	0	0	2	3	4	0	9	0,29
D	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	0,26
Е	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0,34
F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,49
G	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	0,09
D <sub>.i</sub>	0	0	1	6	11	17	0	35	

Table 3: Distance matrix (origin-to-destination) of the virtual sediment cascade. At the right, the rows detail the calculation of the accessibility index.

	0	1	2	3	4	5	F <sup>i</sup> <sub>jo</sub>	Fi	Ai	ICi
Α	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0,03	0,18	0,20
В	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0,07	0,35	0,20
С	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	0,10	0,28	0,38
D	1	2	3	0	0	0	6	0,21	0,25	0,83
E	1	2	2	3	0	0	8	0,28	0,33	0,85
F	1	1	2	2	3	0	9	0,31	0,55	0,56
G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,00	0,08	0,00
						Total	29			

 Table 4: Analysis of the potential flow and calculation of connectivity following a new parameterization. The rows indicate the

 5
 patterns of sediment evacatuation at each iteration of the simulation. Source B provides twice more sediments and the distance

 between E and F is twice than during the initial conditions.

16







Figure 1: The virtual sediment cascade. A: The structure of the cascade, represented by a graph. B: Potential flow of sediments after one iteration during the simulation. C: Map of flow index values.



5 Figure 2: Assessment of accessibility index within the virtual sediment cascade.

17

### Page : 17

Nombre : 1 Auteur : reviewer Sujet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 23:44:57

if all the nodes contain and pass on sediments, A=B=G=0, D=E=2, and C=F=1 (which is consistent with table 2 In Fig1B, C and E have the wrong amount of sediment after 1 iteration.

Could it be that the "flow index" (not named in the text) in 1C is wrong as well ? Please double-check, in all Figures and tables.







Figure 3: Assessment of connectivity index within the virtual sediment cascade.



Figure 4: Flow, accessibility and connectivity indices following a modified parameterization. Note how the connectivity of node D is reinforced, and connectivity of F gets lower.

18









19

### Page : 19

Nombre : 1 Sujet : Notiz Date : 06/12/2016 23:06:21 Auteur : reviewer

(1) Missing units

(2) The numbers in 5B are not addressed (not even mentioned) in the text.A few lines in the text on the geomorphological map 5A (and its main features) could also help.







Figure 6: Assessment of connectivity. A: Current structure of the cascade. B: Connectivity map after the simulation of a disruption at Sélé toe. C: Connectivity map after the simulation of a reconnection at Guyard outlet.



## Page: 20

Nombre : 1	Auteur : reviewer	Sujet : Notiz	Date : 06/12/2016 23:47:36
scale bar is missing	]		

different length of diagonal vs. cardinal linkages presumably not accounted for => needs to be addressed

Consider evaluating the number and size of decoupled "connected components", i.e. those subcascades that are not connected to the outlet (not graphically, but in the text)