

Interactive comment on “Validation of digital elevation models (DEMs) and comparison of geomorphic metrics on the southern Central Andean Plateau” by Benjamin Purinton and Bodo Bookhagen

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 10 February 2017

This paper presents an interesting contribution to the journal. The authors provide a detailed overview of DEMs from different sources (commercial and open), at different resolution, and they provide an evaluation of the DEM quality compared to a large dataset of dGPS point, as well as a further analysis on the possible quality of derived topographic parameters.

I have overall some minor comments that should help to improve this work before publication. Other than these minor comments, I found the paper was very well written and interesting, providing useful guidelines for geomorphometry researchers in the

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



future, when dealing with this type of data.

Abstract:

I think the abstract is quite complex and its complexity prevents the reader from really gathering the purpose of the paper. I suggest the authors clarify better the aim of the study and organise the results presented by, for example, DEM resolution, rather than specifying the analysis for each DEM source. I believe the authors could skip the exact measurements of the errors in the abstract, in favor of a more general overview of their results. This should help improving the readability of the abstract.

Introduction:

I suggest some further scientific literature to consider, that is in my opinion important to provide a complete framework for this study, and might also help in improving the discussion when comparing this work to others. Recent challenges in geomorphometry have been shown in (Sofia et al., 2016). As well, aside from transient landscapes (Andreani and Gloaguen, 2016) and channel network analysis, new challenges in geomorphometry includes modelling anthropogenic landscapes (Tarolli, 2014; Passalacqua et al., 2015; Tarolli and Sofia, 2016). Concerning DEM errors, numerous studies provide interesting analysis, both on DEMs themselves and on the derived topographic parameters such as slope, curvature or other attributes (Albani and Klinkenberg, 2003; Albani et al., 2004; Raaflaub and Collins, 2006; Temme et al., 2006; Xuejun and Lu, 2008; Heritage et al., 2009; Fisher et al., 2013; Sofia et al., 2013)

Methods:

I wonder why the authors only considered a simple analysis based on the SD of residual, and do not consider a complete analysis of errors such as that presented for example in (Höhle and Höhle, 2009). I am also curious to see the differences in errors before filtering the outliers. A further commenting on what DEM presented the highest changes in accuracy after filtering should be done, to provide the reader with an idea

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



of the general quality of the datasets as well.

Results:

In some instances, I found a bit of confusion between grid resolution increasing/ grid size decreasing, please double check on this.

Albani M, Klinkenberg B. 2003. A Spatial Filter for the Removal of Striping Artifacts in Digital Elevation Models. *Photogrammetric Engineering Remote Sensing* 69: 755–765 Albani M, Klinkenberg B, Andison DW, Kimmins JP. 2004. The choice of window size in approximating topographic surfaces from Digital Elevation Models. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 18 (6): 577–593 DOI: 10.1080/13658810410001701987 Andreani L, Gloaguen R. 2016. Geomorphic analysis of transient landscapes in the Sierra Madre de Chiapas and Maya Mountains (northern Central America): implications for the North American–Caribbean–Cocos plate boundary. *Earth Surface Dynamics* 4 (1): 71–102 DOI: 10.5194/esurf-4-71-2016 Fisher GB, Bookhagen B, Amos CB. 2013. Channel planform geometry and slopes from freely available high-spatial resolution imagery and DEM fusion: Implications for channel width scalings, erosion proxies, and fluvial signatures in tectonically active landscapes. *Geomorphology* 194: 46–56 DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.04.011 Heritage GL, Milan DJ, Large ARG, Fuller IC. 2009. Influence of survey strategy and interpolation model on DEM quality. *Geomorphology* 112 (3–4): 334–344 DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2009.06.024 Höhle J, Höhle M. 2009. Accuracy assessment of digital elevation models by means of robust statistical methods. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 64 (4): 398–406 DOI: 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2009.02.003 Passalacqua P, Belmont P, Staley DM, Simley JD, Arrowsmith JR, Bode CA, Crosby C, DeLong SB, Glenn NF, Kelly SA, et al. 2015. Analyzing high resolution topography for advancing the understanding of mass and energy transfer through landscapes: A review. *Earth-Science Reviews* 148: 174–193 DOI: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.05.012 Raaflaub LD, Collins MJ. 2006. The effect of error in gridded digital elevation models on the estimation of

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



topographic parameters. *Environmental Modelling Software* 21 (5): 710–732 DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2005.02.003> Sofia G, Hillier JK, Conway SJ. 2016. *Frontiers in Geomorphometry and Earth Surface Dynamics: Possibilities, Limitations and Perspectives*. *Earth Surface Dynamics* 4: 721–725 DOI: 10.5194/esurf-4-721-2016 Sofia G, Pirotti F, Tarolli P. 2013. Variations in multiscale curvature distribution and signatures of LiDAR DTM errors. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 38 (10): 1116–1134 DOI: 10.1002/esp.3363 Tarolli P. 2014. High-resolution topography for understanding Earth surface processes: Opportunities and challenges. *Geomorphology* 216: 295–312 Tarolli P, Sofia G. 2016. Human topographic signatures and derived geomorphic processes across landscapes. *Geomorphology* 255: 140–161 DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.12.007 Temme AJAM, Schoorl JM, Veldkamp A. 2006. Algorithm for dealing with depressions in dynamic landscape evolution models. *Computers Geosciences* 32 (4): 452–461 DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2005.08.001> Xuejun L, Lu B. 2008. Accuracy Assessment of DEM Slope Algorithms Related to Spatial Autocorrelation of DEM Errors. In *Advances in Digital Terrain Analysis SE - 16*, Zhou Q, , Lees B, , Tang G (eds). Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 307–322. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-77800-4_16

Interactive comment on *Earth Surf. Dynam. Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/esurf-2017-4, 2017.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

