



Tracking the ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signal in sediment-routing systems of arid central Australia

Martin Struck¹, John D. Jansen², Toshiyuki Fujioka³, Alexandru T. Codilean¹, David Fink³, Réka-Hajnalka Fülöp^{1,3}, Klaus M. Wilcken³, David M. Price¹, Steven Kotevski³, L. Keith Fifield⁴, and John Chappell⁴

¹School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Wollongong, Wollongong 2522, Australia

²Department of Geoscience, Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

³Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation, Lucas Heights 2234, Australia

⁴Research School of Earth Sciences, Australian National University, Canberra 0200, Australia

Correspondence: Martin Struck (ms646@uowmail.edu.au)

Abstract. Sediment-routing systems continuously transfer information and mass from eroding source areas to depositional sinks. Understanding how these systems alter environmental signals is critical when it comes to inferring source-area properties from the sedimentary record. We measure cosmogenic 10 Be and 26 Al along three large sediment-routing systems (~ 100,000 km²) in central Australia with the aim of tracking downstream variations in ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories and to identify the factors

- responsible. By comparing 56 new cosmogenic ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al measurements in stream sediments with matching data (n = 55) 5 from source areas, we show that ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories in hillslope bedrock and soils set the benchmark for relative downstream modifications. Lithology is the primary determinant of erosion-rate variations in source areas and despite sediment mixing over hundreds of kilometres downstream a distinct lithological signal is retained. Postorogenic ranges yield catchment erosion rates of $\sim 6-11$ m/m.y. and silcrete-dominant areas erode as slow as ~ 0.2 m/m.y. ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories in stream-sediments
- 10 reveal overall downstream-increasing minimum cumulative burial terms up to ~ 1.1 m.y. but more generally $\sim 400-800$ k.y. The magnitude of the burial signal correlates with increasing sediment cover downstream and reflects assimilation from storages with long exposure histories, such as alluvial fans, desert pavements, alluvial plains, and aeolian dunes. We propose that the tendency for large alluvial rivers to mask their ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signal differs according to geomorphic setting. Signal preservation is favoured by i) high sediment supply rates, ii) high mean runoff, and iii) a thick sedimentary basin
- pile. Conversely, signal masking prevails in landscapes of i) low sediment supply, ii) discontinuous sediment flux, and iii) 15 juxtaposition of sediment storages with notably different exposure histories.

1 Introduction

Landscapes are continuously redistributing mass in response to tectonic and climatic forcing. A suite of surface processes achieves this redistribution at rates fast and slow, modifying landscapes while routing particles from erosional source areas

20

to depositional sinks (Allen, 2008). The timescale over which this source to sink transfer occurs largely determines how we study it. Rapid, short-term transport ($<10^1$ yr) allows for direct monitoring whereas indirect methods such as geochemical-





isotopic tracing or mathematical modelling become necessary beyond historical timescales (> 10^2 yr) (Allen, 2008; Romans et al., 2016). Longer timescales are also relevant to the making of the geological record, which forms the basis of how we understand the narrative of Earth's history (Allen, 2008). The typical approach involves a classic inverse problem whereby attributes of the source area are inferred retrodictively from the geological record. What is inevitably missed, however, is the

- 5 range of surface processes and dynamics that particles undergo between source and sink. Considering that particles in transit carry an environmental signal of their source area (Romans et al., 2016), this signal is liable to become obscured en route by the intrusion of 'noise', which we take to mean 'any modification of the primary signal of interest' (Romans et al., 2016, p. 7). Indeed, the ratio of signal to noise is the chief limiting factor for accurately inferring source-area information—in addition to the rudimentary understanding of how environmental signals are propagated through sediment-routing systems over >10⁵ yr
- 10 timescales (Romans et al., 2016).

Modern sediment-routing systems provide the opportunity to track changes in the source-area signal with distance downstream. Arid lowland regions, our focus here, offer insights to the propagation of source-area signals in landscapes of low geomorphic activity. Shield and platform terrain under aridity sustains some of the slowest known erosion rates (Portenga and Bierman, 2011; Struck et al., 2018). These low-relief landscapes are characterised by slow sediment production coupled

- 15 with slow and intermittent sediment supply to surrounding basins. The typically slow rate of crustal deformation means limited accommodation space, resulting in thin and discontinuous sedimentary records (Armitage et al., 2011). Aridity imposes a strongly episodic character to the sediment-routing system. Infrequent rainfall and stream discharge leads to lengthy and irregular intervals of sediment storage in vast low-gradient river systems. It has been suggested that long hiatuses in sediment transfer may increase the potential for diminishing the signal to noise ratio, but this notion is yet to be tested comprehensively.
- Terrestrial cosmogenic nuclides are produced by secondary cosmic rays interacting with minerals in the upper few metres of Earth's surface (Gosse and Phillips, 2001), hence they are powerful tools for tracking particle trajectories in the sedimentrouting system (Heimsath et al., 2005; Anderson, 2015). Radionuclides, such as ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al, are used widely to quantify the erosional dynamics of landscapes on 10^3-10^6 yr timescales (Lal, 1991; McKean et al., 1993; Brown et al., 1995; Granger et al., 1996). Yet, the source-area signal of interest is most often limited to identifying differential erosion rates across a range
- of spatial scales. For instance, ¹⁰Be abundances in bedrock indicate a point-specific weathering rate and in fluvial sediment ¹⁰Be is used to derive a spatially-averaged catchment erosion rate (Granger et al., 1996). Both approaches entail assumptions that frame how the source-area signal is viewed. Bedrock erosion rate calculations assume steady long-term exhumation (Lal, 1991), and catchment-averaging assumes that the fluvial sediment sample is a representative amalgam of particles generated across the entire catchment (Brown et al., 1995; Bierman and Steig, 1996; Granger et al., 1996). Heterogeneity in the sample
- 30 may arise due to particles sourced disproportionately from i) faster eroding areas, such as landslides, or ii) landforms that contain notably longer exposure histories, such as ancient alluvium and aeolian dune fields—either case introduces noise that can bias erosion rate calculations (Granger et al., 1996; Norton et al., 2010). A further key assumption is that samples (including bedrock) have not experienced long-term burial. However, in this case, the noise introduced by burial produces some interesting and exploitable effects. By measuring a nuclide pair with differing radioactive decay rates (e.g. ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be) the





5

10

cumulative burial history can be explicitly tracked by the gradual deviation in the initial production ratio of the two nuclides (Granger and Muzikar, 2001).

Several studies apply this approach to understand how ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signals are modified during transit through the sediment-routing system and suggest two broad limit cases: i) ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signals remain largely unmodified from source to sink (Clapp et al., 2000, 2001, 2002; Wittmann et al., 2011; Hippe et al., 2012; Wittmann et al., 2016), or ii) ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signals become significantly obscured with distance downstream (Bierman et al., 2005; Kober et al., 2009; Hidy et al., 2014). Much remains to be understood about the governing controls on the alteration or otherwise of the source-area signal. The heavy emphasis to date has been with studies of sediment-routing systems conveying a source-area signal specific to rapidly eroding mountain belts (Fig. 1A). It seems likely that the transmission of source-area signals will differ across the much larger proportion of Earth's terrain that is low-relief, tectonically-passive, and subject to much lower rates of geomorphic

activity (Fig. 1B).

Here we focus upon the shield and platform landscapes that characterise much of the arid interior of Australia, as well as large portions of other Gondwana segments such as Africa, India, and South America. We measure abundances of cosmogenic ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al in fluvial sediment within rivers draining source areas for which we have established the ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area

15 signal from bedrock and hillslope systems (Struck et al., 2018). Tracking the source-area signal through three large sediment-routing systems via a nested set of samples, we investigate: 1) downstream variations in source-area ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories; 2) the factors that modify the ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signal; and 3) how changes in ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories along the course of these streams affect erosion rate calculations. We conclude by reflecting upon the implications of our findings for a source to sink understanding of the tempo of change in arid, shield and platform landscapes.

20 2 Sediment-routing and timescales of landscape evolution in central Australia

Western tributaries of the Eyre Basin: the Finke, Macumba, and Neales rivers drain >100,000 km² of the arid continental interior (Fig. 2). Low postorogenic ranges of early Palaeozoic and Proterozoic rocks (Fig. 3A) and Cenozoic silcrete-duricrust tablelands (Fig. 3B) serve as the major sources of sediment and runoff for the sediment-routing systems. These traverse hundreds of km of low-relief stony soil mantles (Fig. 3C), alluvial plains, and aeolian dune fields before reaching the depositional

- 25 sink, Lake Eyre (Fig. 1B). The western Eyre Basin experiences mean temperatures of ~20°C and mean rainfall of ~280–130 mm/yr with extreme interannual variation. Vegetation is sparse: chenopod shrublands and tussock grasslands predominate in the south and mixed open woodland and spinifex in the north, reflecting the northward transition from winter to summer rainfall dominance (Australian Bureau of Meteorology: http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/). Significant flow in the western tributaries is generated mainly by summer rainfall today (Kotwicki, 1986; Costelloe, 2011). Finke River flows have not reached Lake Eyre
- 30 in historical times (McMahon et al., 2008), but large floods along the Neales have done so repeatedly in more recent years (Kotwicki, 1986; Kotwicki and Isdale, 1991). Periodic high-magnitude flooding in Eyre Basin rivers triggered phases of deposition and incision recorded in fluvial and lacustrine sediments over >300 k.y. (Nanson et al., 1992; Croke et al., 1999; Nanson et al., 2008; Cohen et al., 2012, 2015).





5

10

¹⁰Be-derived erosion rates in the Eyre Basin are among the slowest known (Portenga and Bierman, 2011). Rates are <5–10 m/m.y. for bedrock outcrops (Fujioka, 2007; Heimsath et al., 2010; Struck et al., 2018) and 5–20 m/m.y. at catchment-scale (Bierman et al., 1998; Heimsath et al., 2010). The slow evolution of the central Australian landscape is a function of low relief due to restricted tectonic uplift (Sandiford, 2002; Sandiford et al., 2009; Jansen et al., 2013) combined with intensified aridity since the Miocene (Bowler, 1976; McGowran et al., 2004; Martin, 2006; Fujioka and Chappell, 2010). Ongoing intraplate tectonic deformation is driven by far-field compressive stresses (Sandiford et al., 2004; Hillis et al., 2008; Waclawik et al., 2008; Sandiford and Quigley, 2009) together with dynamic processes beneath the lithosphere, which have caused long-wavelength deformation on the order of hundreds of metres in vertical amplitude (Sandiford et al., 2009). Clear evidence of rapid Neogene to modern uplift occurs on the southern fringe of the Eyre Basin in the Flinders Ranges and at Billa Kalina (Callen and Benbow, 1995; Sandiford et al., 2009; Quigley et al., 2010).

In a comprehensive assessment of ¹⁰Be-²⁶Al abundances in bedrock and soil-mantled source areas in the Eyre Basin, Struck et al. (2018) quantify soil residence times of \sim 0.2–2 m.y. and possibly longer at the top of the sediment-routing system. Long residence times and slow hillslope evolution are held to arise from the lack of fluvial incision associated with widespread base-level stability and the development of stony soil mantles, also known as desert pavement (Mabbutt, 1977; Fujioka et al.,

15 2005). Hillslope dynamics reflect 'top-down' evolution (Montgomery, 2003) with slow rates of authigenic soil production and downslope transport resulting in low connectivity with stream channels (Egholm et al., 2013). Inputs of aeolian dust to soils since at least 0.2 m.y. and up to 1 m.y. or more lie stabilised beneath stony soil mantles developed over the past ~650 k.y. Nuclide abundances in these source-area materials are naturally very high (Fujioka et al., 2005; Fisher et al., 2014; Struck et al., 2018), but low ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratios also suggest a complex history of either cyclic exposure-burial and/or non-steady exhumation on these hillslopes over timescales of 10⁵ to 10⁶ yr (Struck et al., 2018).

We set out to test three potential sediment transfer scenarios: 1) ¹⁰Be-²⁶Al inventories remain unmodified downstream due to fast (≪10⁵ yr) sediment transfer and negligible external input; 2) nuclide abundances increase downstream while ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratios remain constant, which indicates long-term (≫10⁵ yr) near-surface particle trajectories, or input from nuclide-rich, burial-free sediment sources; 3) nuclide abundances decrease downstream, suggesting significant radioactive decay during slow sediment transfer with lengthy burial intervals (Granger et al., 1996; Granger and Muzikar, 2001; Schaller et al., 2004), or input from nuclide-poor, long-buried sources.

3 Methods

30

and mean relief of area upstream of each sediment sample measured for ¹⁰Be-²⁶Al (Table 1). Mean catchment relief was calculated via smoothing with a circular kernel of 2.5 km radius. Precipitation data derive from gridded (5 km) mean annual precipitation 1911–2000 (Australian Bureau of Meteorology: http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/). Analysis of surface geology is based on a digital 1:1 million surface geology map of Australia (Raymond et al., 2012) and 1:250,000 map sheets for additional details. Bedrock and depositional landforms were sorted into seven different classes: exposed bedrock (no silcrete), exposed

We used 1 arc-second digital elevation data from the Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission (SRTM) to analyse elevation, slope,





silcrete, colluvium cover, gibber cover (desert pavement), aeolian cover, sand plains, and alluvium. These classes were then assigned to the bedrock-hillslope domain and to the sediment cover domain, respectively.

3.1 Cosmogenic nuclide analyses

- We collected 29 samples of sandy bed material throughout the Finke (n = 11), Macumba (n = 6), and Neales (n = 13) drainage networks (Fig. 2; Table 2)—in addition to 55 ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al measurements from bedrock summits and soil mantles in the low-order subcatchments (Struck et al., 2018). Quartz isolation and Be and Al extraction were conducted on the 250–500 µm size fraction of sediment and crushed bedrock samples at the University of Wollongong and at the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation using standard methods of HF/HNO₃ (Kohl and Nishiizumi, 1992), hot phosphoric acid (Mifsud et al., 2013), and ion chromatography (Child et al., 2000). Be and Al isotope ratios were measured on the ANTARES and
- SIRIUS Accelerator Mass Spectrometers (AMS) (Fink and Smith, 2007; Wilcken et al., 2017) and normalised to standards KN-5-2 or KN-5-3 (Be) (Nishiizumi et al., 2007), and KN-4-2 (Al) (Nishiizumi, 2004) (Table 2). Uncertainties for the final ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al abundances (Table 2) include AMS measurement uncertainties, 2% (Be) and 3% (Al) standard reproducibility, 1% uncertainty in the Be spike concentration, and 4% uncertainty in the inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) Al measurements, in quadrature. Erosion rates and apparent burial ages are calculated with CosmoCalc 3.0
- 15 (Vermeesch, 2007), using time-independent scaling (Stone, 2000) and production mechanisms based on Granger and Muzikar (2001) to give a sea-level high-latitude (SLHL) spallation production rate for ¹⁰Be of 4.18 atoms g⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Vermeesch, 2007). We assume a ¹⁰Be half-life of 1.387 ± 0.012 m.y. (Chmeleff et al., 2010; Korschinek et al., 2010), ²⁶Al half-life of 0.705 ± 0.024 m.y. (Norris et al., 1983) and ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be surface production ratio of 6.75 (Balco et al., 2008). Six samples (UHugh199, -299, -399, -499, Be122p, and Be123s; Table 2) were measured for ¹⁰Be at the Australian National University (ANU) Heavy
- 20 Ion Accelerator Facility (Fifield et al. (2010); see Table 2 for details).

3.2 Thermoluminescence dating

We collected four floodplain samples for thermoluminescence (TL) dating in the upper reaches of the Macumba catchment (Fig. 2A): one from a borrow pit at 125 cm depth (TL2-125); the other three (TL1-40, -100, -160) in a depth profile (40, 100, 160 cm depth) from a similar pit close by (Table A1). All samples were analysed at the University of Wollongong following Shepherd and Price (1990).

_

4 Results

25

All catchments display low slope gradients overall $\leq 1-3^{\circ}$, although steeper slopes are rather more common in the Finke (Table 1). Many catchments exhibit a substantial proportion (>50%) of bedrock outcrop, especially in the northern Finke strike-ridge country, in the silcrete-tablelands in the western Macumba and Neales, and in the Peake and Denison Range in

30 the lower Neales catchment. Elsewhere the landscape is draped with a largely continuous cover of stony soil mantles, alluvial plains, and aeolian deposits in varying proportions (Table 1). We use 'fraction of bedrock and colluvium' in scatter plots





to represent the proportion of source-area terrain upstream of our stream samples (Figs. 4 and 5)—in other words, the area producing the source-area signal that we track downstream through the sediment-routing system.

4.1 ¹⁰Be-²⁶Al abundances in sediment

¹⁰Be abundances in stream sediment span 0.3 to 4.3 x 10⁶ atoms g⁻¹ and vary widely between subcatchments (Table 2). Large

- 5 drainage areas and down-system samples consistently yield ¹⁰Be levels at the low end of the range, whereas smaller headwater streams are more variable and tend to span the full range (Fig. 4A). Similarly, relatively low ¹⁰Be levels generally follow areas with >100 m mean relief (almost exclusively within the Finke catchment) and areas of lower relief yield a wide range (Fig. 4B). No relationship exists between ¹⁰Be and fraction of bedrock and colluvium in the Finke and Macumba, but high ¹⁰Be among the five rocky headwaters of the Peake subcatchment decreases downstream as sediment-cover expands (Fig. 4C). These small
- 10 streams draining the silcrete mesas of the Peake (Fig. 2) yield the highest ¹⁰Be levels in stream sediment (Fig. 4). Conversely, the lower Peake receives sediment from the locally steep Peake and Denison Range whose small headwater streams yield some of the lowest ¹⁰Be in our dataset (Figs. 2 and 4). The effect of such inputs is seen in the low ¹⁰Be from the lower Neales samples PEA8 and NEA5 (Figs. 2 and 5H).

4.2 Modelled denudation rates and apparent burial ages in sediment

- 15 Overall ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratios in sediment span 1.5–6.1, with the majority ~3–5 (20 samples) (Table 2). The Finke displays generally higher ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratios (4.7–5.2, interquartile range) relative to the Macumba and Neales (3.5–4.4). Deviation from the steady-state erosion island is typically attributed to one or more episodes of burial-exposure, yet it has been long understood that particle burial cannot be differentiated from non-steady exhumation based on the ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratio (Gosse and Phillips, 2001). Hence, we emphasise that our modelled apparent burial ages (Table 3) serve primarily as a measure of deviation from the steady-state erosion curve (Fig. 6). For most of our samples (n = 21) deviations cluster between ~400 and 800 k.y. and range up to ~1.1 m.y. (Table 3). Low deviations <400 k.y. are exclusively observed in small headwater streams (PIO, FIN1, NEA4,</p>
- NIL, PEA2), although deviations close to the erosion island are difficult to discriminate due to the spread of uncertainties the erosion island itself does not accommodate uncertainties in production rate.
- Assuming that sediment samples have been continuously exposed at the surface, without decay of nuclides due to burial, the ¹⁰Be abundances yield slow catchment-scale denudation rates between 0.3 and 11.0 m/m.y. (Table 3). When corrected for the 'apparent burial age', as calculated above, denudation rates lower slightly to 0.2–8.1 m/m.y. (Table 3).

5 Down-system variation of ¹⁰Be-²⁶Al in the western Eyre Basin

5.1 Lithology and the ¹⁰Be-²⁶Al source-area signal

¹⁰Be levels measured in source-area bedrock and hillslope soil vary widely between our three catchments, but broadly concur
within each catchment as reported by Struck et al. (2018) and shown for comparison with samples from the stream network in





Figure 5. Lithology is primarily responsible for the wide variation in erosion rates measured on bedrock surfaces in the western Eyre Basin in the order (from slowest to fastest): silcrete, quartzite, sandstone, conglomerate (Struck et al., 2018). Compiling bedrock erosion-rate data (n = 26) from Fujioka (2007); Heimsath et al. (2010), and Struck et al. (2018) yields interquartile ranges of 0.2–4.4 m/m.y. (n = 4) on silcrete mesas in the Oodnadatta Tablelands; 1.6–4.8 m/m.y. (n = 15) on quartzite-sandstone

- 5 ridges in the Western MacDonnell Ranges; 1.8–7.3 m/m.y. (n = 2) on quartzite-sandstone in the Peake and Denison Range; and 6.7–6.8 m/m.y. (n = 5) on conglomerate in the Western MacDonnell Ranges. These differences in source-area erosion rates are also reflected in the ¹⁰Be levels measured in stream sediments downstream (Fig. 4A), which translate to catchment erosion rates (interquartile ranges) of 4.1–5.8 m/m.y. in the Finke, 0.9–1.2 m/m.y. in the Macumba, and 0.3–2.2 m/m.y. in the Neales. The western headwaters of the Peake yield 0.2–0.4 m/m.y., which are among the slowest catchment-scale erosion rates ever
- 10 measured (Table 3).

Our bedrock samples overall have experienced a history of continuous surface exposure or deviate slightly from the steadystate condition (Fig. 6A,C). As proposed by Struck et al. (2018), the minor deviation from the steady-state erosion curve (Fig. 6A) may be the result of non-steady exhumation—termed 'two-speed exhumation'. Considering the very low erosion rates (<1 m/m.y.) we report for the western Eyre Basin, ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratios will decrease (<6.75) throughout the rock column

15 owing to the faster decay of ²⁶Al relative to ¹⁰Be. Under these conditions a sudden pulse of erosion due to recent soil-stripping, for instance, will cause surface sample ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratios to deviate from the steady-state erosion curve (Fig. 6). Two-speed exhumation provides a viable alternative to cyclic exposure-burial that is usually invoked to account for low ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratios (Struck et al., 2018).

5.2 ¹⁰Be-²⁶Al in the Finke sediment-routing system

- The ridges hillslope mantles of MacDonnell 20 prominent strike and soil the Ranges (Fig. 3A) contain similar and relatively low abundances of 10 Be ~0.5–2 x 10⁶ atoms g⁻¹ (Fig. 5A). In some cases, small alluvial fans form intermediate storages of sediment prior to it entering the stream network, but more commonly bedrock ridges feed sediment directly to low-order headwater streams. Samples from these streams reveal a very wide range of ¹⁰Be levels $\sim 0.3-5.0 \times 10^6$ atoms g⁻¹ (Fig. 5B), which appears to be driven by bedrock lithology. High ¹⁰Be (1–5 x 10⁶ atoms g⁻¹)
- 25 occurs in streams draining resistant quartzite ridges, whereas streams from sandstone-siltstone ridges and low conglomerate hills yield \sim 0.3–0.6 x 10⁶ atoms g⁻¹. From the headwaters ¹⁰Be increases slightly over \sim 300 km downstream (Fig. 5B) to where the channel and floodplain system broadens to unconfined alluvial plains and dune fields (at FIN4, Fig.2) and from here remains constant downstream. This slight rise in ¹⁰Be downstream coincides with the shrinking fraction of bedrock and colluvium (Fig. 5C) and rise in the extent of sediment cover.
- 30 The bedrock and soil samples contain a minor burial signal (<0.3 m.y.) (Fig. 7A), which is transmitted to sediments of the headwater streams (Fig. 7B). Similar to the down-system trends in ¹⁰Be, the burial signal increases downstream over ~450 km then remains constant (or decreases slightly) to the most downstream sample (Fig. 7B); the apparent burial signal also shows a convincing negative correlation ($R^2 = 0.68$) with the fraction of bedrock and colluvium (Fig. 7C).





¹⁰Be-²⁶Al in the Macumba-Neales sediment-routing system 5.3

The Macumba and Neales river catchments both drain the silcrete-mesa country of the Oodnadatta Tablelands, which means that their sediment-routing systems share key physiographic and lithological controls. We plot their stream sediment data separately in Figures 5 and 7, but the bedrock and soil data (Figs. 5D,G and 7D,G) are treated as regionally representative of the Oodnadatta Tablelands.

5

Silcrete duricrust forms a caprock that is exceptionally resistant to weathering (Struck et al., 2018) and hence the mesa surfaces tend to accumulate very high ¹⁰Be abundances. Based on their work in the Negev, Boroda et al. (2014) propose that the erosion rate of caprock-mesas scales with their size and extent. Parallel slope retreat, with negligible vertical erosion, predominates on wide tableland plateaus and with ongoing mesa reduction the rate of vertical and horizontal erosion increases

- to a maximum at the tor-stage. Our four samples from silcrete mesas in the Neales and Macumba catchments are intended 10 to represent the full range of bedrock erosion rates (¹⁰Be abundances)—starting with a slowly eroding broad plateau (TD-BR \sim 5.2–7.7 x 10⁶ atoms g⁻¹) to a dissected mesa (PEA-BR4 \sim 1.7 x 10⁶ atoms g⁻¹) and finally a tor (PEA-BR2 \sim 0.6 x 10⁶ atoms g^{-1}). The western headwaters of the Neales and Peake subcatchments dissect the eastern edge of a continuous silcrete caprock plateau (Fig. 2). Given that the degree of mesa dissection increases in the down-system direction (west-to-east), according to
- Boroda et al. (2014), we can predict that ¹⁰Be supply to the stream network decreases downstream—and this is essentially what 15 we find. Extremely high to rather low ¹⁰Be content of mesa bedrock overlaps with data from hillslope soil mantles (Fig. 5G), and the high ¹⁰Be accumulated on the flat, undissected silcrete plateau is transmitted into the westernmost headwater streams of the Peake subcatchment (Fig. 5H). In contrast, the far more dissected areas drained by the Neales and Macumba headwater streams yield relatively low ¹⁰Be (Fig. 5E,H). From the headwaters of the Peake ¹⁰Be decreases sharply over \sim 200–250 km
- 20 to levels matching the Neales and Macumba streams (Fig. 5H), which both show limited variation over ~ 200 km downstream (Fig. 5E,H). These downstream trends are broadly accompanied by the reduction in bedrock and expansion of sediment cover (Fig. 5H). The Peake and Denison Range in the southeast corner of the Neales catchment (Fig. 2) exerts an important effect on the sediment-routing system. Samples from quartzite-sandstone bedrock together with soil (Fig. 5G) demonstrate that the high-relief and weaker lithology is driving erosion rates that are much faster relative to the Oodnadatta Tablelands to the west.
- Stream sediments from these ranges enter the lower reaches of the Peake and Neales rivers where they notably depress ¹⁰Be 25 abundances (Fig. 5H).

The burial signal measured in bedrock and hillslope soil mantles (<0.6 m.y.) is transmitted into headwater streams with fairly similar (or slightly increased) apparent burial ages (Fig. 7D,G). A potential source of low ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be material is generated by fluvial gully-heads that undermine the caprock, yielding deeply shielded (>3 m) material from beneath the silcrete. The

Macumba undergoes a notable increase in burial signal over \sim 140 km downstream (Fig. 7E), whereas the Neales and Peake 30 subcatchments show a slight increase in burial over ~ 200 km until this trend is disrupted by inputs from the Peake and Denison Range (Fig. 7H). Both the Macumba and Neales networks show a broad increase in burial signal relative to the fraction of sediment cover (Fig. 7F,I).





6 Factors that modify the ¹⁰Be-²⁶Al source-area signal

Cosmogenic nuclide inventories in sediment can be modified in the sediment-routing system via: i) inputs from faster eroding areas, or ii) particles with notably longer exposure histories, including particles buried in transit. We have evidence of the first case where sediment yield from the faster-eroding Peake and Denison Range (Fig. 2) dilutes the high ¹⁰Be and depresses the burial signal emanating from the Peake and Neales subcatchments (Figs. 5 and 7) (Portenga and Bierman, 2011). However, the main modification to the ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area inventory appears to be the downstream increase in the burial signal (Fig. 7). This modification indicates that samples downstream incorporate a growing fraction of particles derived from temporary storage. Such particles are likely to be a mix of those that have acquired additional nuclides during near-surface (<1-2 m) exposure to secondary cosmic rays plus those more deeply buried (i.e., >2-3 m). Only burial can slow down nuclide production, but
deep-burial is not essential for lowering ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be—even shallow burial can cause deviation from the steady-state erosion curve over timescales on the same order as the ²⁶Al half-life ~0.7 m.y. (cf. Fig. 14 in Struck et al., 2018). The correlation shown between burial signal and increasing sediment cover (Figs. 7 and 8) is presumably the result of samples assimilating input from storages with long exposure histories that include some (possibly deep) burial. We identify four key sources for

such material: i) alluvial fans, ii) desert pavements, iii) floodplains and palaeo-alluvial plains, and iii) aeolian dunes. Together these landforms span >50% of the total catchment area in the lower stream reaches (Figs. 4 and 7; Table 1).

Alluvial fans are intermediate storages at the transition from hillslopes to the fluvial network, hence they may provide the first opportunity for alteration of the source-area signal. Cosmogenic nuclide depth-profiles measured in two typical fans of the upper Finke yield depositional ages of 188–289 k.y. (Struck et al., 2018) and ~438 to 1474 k.y. (Fig. A1). If this is representative of alluvial fans in the region, then we can suggest that alluvial fans play an important role in burial signal development for particles entering headwater streams. Sometimes observed mantling older fans, desert pavement (gibber) occurs throughout the sediment-routing system and nuclide-derived residence times of 10^5-10^6 yr demonstrate its extreme longevity (Fujioka et al., 2005; Fisher et al., 2014; Struck et al., 2018). Gibbers break off and disperse directly from bedrock outcrop, or they form at the bedrock-soil interface and rise to the surface over time—a process that imparts very low ${}^{26}\text{Al}/{}^{10}\text{Be}$ ratios (Struck et al., 2018). Such gibbers released into streams, together with the underlying aeolian soils held in long-term shallow-burial, are likely to impact the ${}^{26}\text{Al}/{}^{10}\text{Be}$ inventory wherever they impinge on channel networks.

The dynamics of sediment transport, temporary storage and burial, are not easy to gauge through fluvial systems that are many hundreds of kilometres long and, in places, tens of kilometres wide (Fig. 2). A few studies link the introduction of a burial signal in modern stream sediment to the reworking of alluvial sediment storages. Kober et al. (2009) suggest that in Rio Lluta, northern Chile, a downstream-increasing burial signal is potentially the result of reworked fluvial terraces (or slope and

30 mass-wasting deposits) up to 10^5 yr old. Similarly, Hidy et al. (2014) find that burial signals in streams on the coastal plain of Texas stem from reworked pre- to mid-Pleistocene deposits. Bierman et al. (2005) identify that reworking long-buried (300– 500 k.y.) floodplain material produces a burial signal in sediments of Rio Puerco, Colorado Plateau. Wittmann et al. (2011) detect Amazon floodplain burial signals in coarse (>500 µm) trunk-stream sediments sourced from reworked storages up to ~1.2 m.y. old. In central Australia, some useful guidance to minimum burial duration can be drawn from luminescence ages





5

measured on shallow-buried fluvial sediments. Unlike ²⁶ Al/¹⁰ Be data, which can yield a cumulative burial signal, luminescence burial ages are reset by exposure to sunlight. Previously published TL ages from channel alluvium indicate minimum storage terms of >200 k.y. in the lower Neales (Croke et al., 1996) and >93 k.y. in the lower Finke (Nanson et al., 1995). Our three TL ages (Table A1) from the Macumba River floodplain depth-profile increase in age with depth, although the lowermost sample (160 cm) is saturated and therefore may be significantly older than the 120 ± 9 k.y. from 100 cm depth. Vertical accretion rates at these two floodplain sites span roughly ~8–54 mm/k.y. and are compatible with the accretion rate of 64 ± 33 mm/k.y. (mean $\pm 1 \sigma$) reported from Cooper Ck floodplain in the eastern Eyre Basin (Jansen et al., 2013). Of the 278 luminescence ages measured in Eyre Basin river sediments, mostly on Cooper Ck, one-third fall between 60–120 k.y. (the oldest being 740 ± 55 k.y.). Given the climatic and physiographic similarities between the eastern and western Eyre Basin, it seems reasonable

10 to assume that minimum burial durations of $>10^5$ yr are representative of the Finke, Macumba, and Neales rivers. If a single storage interval may span $\sim 10^5$ yr, then it is feasible that the cumulative effect of many intervals of shallow-burial will cause the 26 Al/ 10 Be ratio to deviate.

A similar argument applies to aeolian dune fields, which are major sediment-storages spanning ~ 3 million km² and up to 40% of the continent (Wasson et al., 1988; Hesse, 2010). All three catchments of the western Eyre Basin contain dunes in their

- 15 lower reaches, but the Finke and Macumba have the strongest interaction in their lower reaches fringing the Simpson Desert (Fig. 2). ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be burial ages suggest that dune accumulation probably began up to 1 m.y. (Fujioka et al., 2009) and, as with alluvial sediments, we infer minimum burial durations from luminescence dating. Based on a recent compilation listing 95 luminescence ages from the Simpson Desert (Hesse, 2016), minimum burial durations of >10⁵ yr are widespread—the oldest dune sample yields a minimum age of 587 k.y. (Fujioka et al., 2009). In the hyper-arid Namib Desert, Bierman and Caffee
- 20 (2001) and Vermeesch et al. (2010) suggest that input of aeolian and/or reworked alluvium are responsible for decreased ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratios in modern sediments. Similar conclusions are drawn by Davis et al. (2012) for the Nile.

7 The ¹⁰Be-²⁶Al source-area signal in sediment-routing systems—a synthesis

7.1 Lithology drives heterogeneities in the source-area signal

- Our comparison of ¹⁰Be measured in bedrock outcrops and hillslope soil, with ¹⁰Be in headwater streams reiterates the wellknown fact that source areas deliver highly diverse ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories into stream networks, although the drivers of this diversity are less well understood. In rapidly-eroding mountain belts, the wide disparity in source-area erosion-rate (10²-10³ m/m.y.) is typically attributed to the effects of tectonism, such as seismicity and landsliding (Armitage et al., 2011). Yet, in central Australian streams, a comparable order of magnitude spread in source-area erosion rates (10⁻¹-10¹ m/m.y.) is chiefly due to lithology. Our data show that while ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signals are modified downstream (Fig. 7), disparities in source-
- 30 area erosion rates remain highly resilient. Despite hundreds of kilometres (~200–600 km) of sediment mixing from source to sink, ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories in western Eyre Basin streams (>1 km²) retain a distinct signal of their source-area lithology (interquartile ranges): 0.2–0.4 m/m.y. in the upper Peake (silcrete), 0.9–1.2 m/m.y. in the Macumba (silcrete and granites), and 4.1–5.8 m/m.y. in the Finke (quartzite-sandstone-conglomerate) (Fig. 4A; Table 3). This is consistent with the fundamental





role that lithology plays in differentiating the tempo of erosion in all landscapes irrespective of their tectonic or climatic setting (Scharf et al., 2013).

7.2 Are cosmogenic nuclide inventories reliable indicators of source-area erosion rate?

- Estimates of catchment-scale erosion rate from cosmogenic nuclide abundances in sediment assume a high-fidelity relationship
 with the sediment source area (Bierman and Nichols, 2004; von Blanckenburg, 2005; Granger and Riebe, 2007; Dunai, 2010). However, as our data show, the down-system propagation of source-area signals tends to be scale-dependent: the widest spread of ¹⁰Be occurs among hillslope bedrock outcrops (Fig. 5) from which the buffering effect of sediment transport downslope and downstream leads to progressively more stable catchment-averaged signals of erosion rate or particle burial (Wittmann and von Blanckenburg, 2016). This raises the question under what circumstances can we expect ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories to yield an accurate picture of erosion in the source area. In the western Eyre Basin, the downstream shift in ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratio results
- in erosion-rate disparities ranging from two-fold (Finke and Macumba catchments) up to twelve-fold (Neales catchment) (Table 3). The validity of the assumption linking ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories to their source area reflects a systematic set of geomorphic conditions that requires consideration for reliable erosion rates to be obtained.

Source-area ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories are largely unmodified in stream sediments traversing foreland basins fed by tectonicallyactive mountain belts, such as the Andes (Wittmann et al., 2009, 2011), the the Alps (Wittmann et al., 2016), and the Himalayas

- 15 active mountain belts, such as the Andes (Wittmann et al., 2009, 2011), the the Alps (Wittmann et al., 2016), and the Himalayas (Lupker et al. (2012); although no ²⁶Al data are available here). Intermediate storage seems to have no appreciable effect on the low-¹⁰Be source-area signal conveyed along these large, perennial, lowland rivers. Their sediment-routing systems are characterised by braiding channels leading on to anabranching and laterally-active meandering river styles—all indicative of high-discharge rivers optimised for sediment transfer. Frequent channel avulsion and fast lateral-migration rates bring channels
- 20 into contact with older floodplain materials, but highly efficient reworking ensures a restricted age spread of sediments within the channel-belt and ongoing basin subsidence drives long-term sequestration into a rapidly thickening sediment pile (Allen, 2008; Armitage et al., 2011). In some cases, basin inversion may ultimately lead to recycling of older sediment storages back into the sediment-routing system, as shown in the upper Yellow River where reworked Neogene basin-fills alter the ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signal downstream (Hu et al., 2011). From these examples, we can infer some key points favouring preservation
- of source-area signals: i) high sediment supply rates and therefore a channel-floodplain system configured for high sediment flux, ii) high mean runoff from headwaters, and iii) a thick sedimentary basin pile without older basin sediments exposed in the proximal floodplain/terraces.

The alternative limit case, in which the ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signal is modified downstream, follows distinctly different geomorphic conditions, summarised as: i) low sediment supply, ii) discontinuous sediment flux, and iii) juxtaposition of sed-

30 iment storages with notably different exposure histories. Slow rates of source-area erosion (<20 m/m.y.) typical of low-relief postorogenic and shield-platform terrain (this study, Bierman et al., 2005; Hidy et al., 2014) produce down-system basin-fills that are thin and discontinuous. In the absence of subsidence creating accommodation space, there are juxtaposed sediment storages of widely differing age—and a high prospect of their admixture with the sediment-routing system (Kober et al., 2009; Davis et al., 2012; Hidy et al., 2014). Another key disruptive factor for the ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signal is discontinuous sed-





iment flux due to either aridity or highly seasonal flow regime (Bierman and Caffee, 2001; Bierman et al., 2005; Kober et al., 2009). The western Eyre Basin streams, for instance, flow less than once or twice per year with geomorphically-effective events perhaps twice per decade (Kotwicki, 1986; Costelloe, 2011). This discontinuity is compounded in arid regions by significant atmospheric input to the fluvial system, which is typically part of a long-term history of fluvial-aeolian mass exchange (Bier-

5 man and Caffee, 2001; Bierman et al., 2005; Vermeesch et al., 2010; Davis et al., 2012). As noted above, aeolian dune fields can host particles with notably longer exposure histories and burial timescales >1 m.y. (Fujioka et al., 2009; Vermeesch et al., 2010).

8 Conclusions

We have tracked downstream variations in ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories through three large sediment-routing systems (~100,000 km²)
 in central Australia by comparing 56 cosmogenic ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al measurements in stream sediments with matching data (n = 55) from bedrock and soil mantles in the headwaters (Struck et al., 2018). Our summary conclusions are as follows:

1) Lithology is the primary determinant of erosion rate variations among bedrock outcrops in the order: silcrete, quartzite, sandstone, conglomerate (from slowest to fastest erosion rate). Our regional compilation of bedrock erosion-rate data yields interquartile ranges of 0.2–4.4 m/m.y. on silcrete mesas in the Oodnadatta Tablelands; 1.6–4.8 m/m.y. on quartzite-sandstone

ridges in the Western MacDonnell Ranges; 1.8–7.3 m/m.y. on quartzite-sandstone in the Peake and Denison Range; and 6.7–6.8 m/m.y. on conglomerate in the Western MacDonnell Ranges. Although ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories are modified by sediment mixing over hundreds of kilometres downstream, they still retain a distinct signal of source-area lithology. Sediment-derived catchment-averaged erosion rates (interquartile ranges) are: 4.1–5.8 m/m.y. for the Finke; 0.9–1.2 m/m.y. for the Macumba; and 0.3–2.2 m/m.y. for the Neales. The western headwaters of the Peake River (a subcatchment of the Neales River) yield
0.2–0.4 m/m.y., which are among the slowest catchment-scale erosion rates ever measured (Table 3).

2) 26 Al/ 10 Be inventories measured in stream-sediment samples from the Finke, Macumba, and Neales rivers all show overall downstream-increasing deviation from the steady-state erosion curve. These deviations correspond to minimum cumulative burial terms mostly between ~400 and 800 k.y. (and up to ~1.1 m.y.). The magnitude of the burial signal correlates with increasing sediment cover downstream (Figs. 7 and 8) and presumably results from assimilation of shallow-buried sediments

25 from storages with long exposure histories, such as alluvial fans, desert pavements, floodplains and palaeo-alluvial plains, and aeolian dunes. In the lower reaches of the Peake and Neales rivers, the downstream-increasing burial signal is disrupted by inputs from faster-eroding landscapes in the Peake and Denison Range.

3) Downstream variations in ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be inventories weaken the fidelity of the relationship between source areas and catchmentaveraged erosion-rate estimates from samples along large alluvial rivers. Based on our review of case studies that track

²⁶Al/¹⁰Be source-area signals downstream, we detect a set of behavioural trends under differing geomorphic settings. Preservation of source-area signals downstream is favoured by i) high sediment supply rates, ii) high mean runoff from headwaters, and iii) a thick sedimentary basin pile without older basin sediments exposed in the proximal floodplain. Conversely, source-area signals are more likely to be modified downstream in landscapes with: i) low sediment supply, ii) discontinuous sediment flux,





and iii) juxtaposition of sediment storages with notably different exposure histories. Such modifications can have significant impact on erosion rate estimates. In desert ephemeral rivers of the western Eyre Basin, the downstream shift in ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratio results in erosion-rate disparities ranging from two-fold in the Finke and Macumba rivers, and up to twelve-fold in the Neales River (Table 3).

- 5 Acknowledgements. We thank Sarah Eccleshall for fieldwork assistance, Charles Mifsud for assistance with sample processing at ANSTO, and Jose Abrantes for conducting the XRD measurements at UOW. Financial support was provided by an Australian Research Council grant (DP130104023) to Gerald Nanson and JDJ, by a GeoQuEST Research Centre grant to JDJ and ATC, a Marie Skłodowska-Curie Fellowship to JDJ, and by the Centre for Accelerator Science at ANSTO through the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy. MS received an International Postgraduate Tuition Award provided by UOW and a matching scholarship funded by UOW and ANSTO. We acknowledge
- 10 the Traditional Owners of this country.





References

Allen, P. A.: From landscapes into geological history, Nature, 451, 274–276, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06586, 2008.

- Anderson, R. S.: Particle trajectories on hillslopes: Implications for particle age and 10Be structure, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 120, 1626–1644, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003479, 2015.
- 5 Armitage, J. J., Duller, R. A., Whittaker, A. C., and Allen, P. A.: Transformation of tectonic and climatic signals from source to sedimentary archive, Nature Geoscience, 4, 231–235, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1087, 2011.
 - Balco, G., Stone, J. O., Lifton, N. A., and Dunai, T. J.: A complete and easily accessible means of calculating surface exposure ages or erosion rates from 10Be and 26Al measurements, Quaternary Geochronology, 3, 174–195, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2007.12.001, 2008.
- 10 Bierman, P. and Steig, E. J.: Estimating rates of denudation using cosmogenic isotope abundances in sediment, Earth surface processes and landforms, 21, 125–139, 1996.
 - Bierman, P., Albrecht, A., Bothner, M. H., Brown, E. T., Bullen, D. T., Gray, L. B., and Turpin, L.: Erosion, Weathering, and Sedimentation, in: Isotope Tracers in Catchment Hydrology, edited by Kendall, C. and McDonnell, J. J., book section 19, pp. 647–678, Elsevier, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-81546-0.50026-4, 1998.
- 15 Bierman, P. R. and Caffee, M.: Slow rates of rock surface erosion and sediment production across the Namib Desert and escarpment, southern Africa, American Journal of Science, 301, 326–358, https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.301.4-5.326, 2001.
 - Bierman, P. R. and Nichols, K. K.: Rock to Sediment—Slope to Sea With 10Be—Rates of Landscape Change, Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 32, 215–255, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.32.101802.120539, 2004.

Bierman, P. R., Reuter, J. M., Pavich, M., Gellis, A. C., Caffee, M. W., and Larsen, J.: Using cosmogenic nuclides to contrast rates of erosion

20 and sediment yield in a semi-arid, arroyo-dominated landscape, Rio Puerco Basin, New Mexico, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 30, 935–953, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1255, 2005.

Boroda, R., Matmon, A., Amit, R., Haviv, I., Arnold, M., Aumaître, G., Bourlès, D. L., Keddadouche, K., Eyal, Y., and Enzel, Y.: Evolution and degradation of flat-top mesas in the hyper-arid Negev, Israel revealed from 10Be cosmogenic nuclides, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 39, 1611–1621, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3551, 2014.

- 25 Bowler, J. M.: Aridity in Australia: age, origins and expression in aeolian landforms and sediments, Earth-Science Reviews, 12, 279–310, https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-8252(76)90008-8, 1976.
 - Brown, E. T., Stallard, R. F., Larsen, M. C., Raisbeck, G. M., and Yiou, F.: Denudation rates determined from the accumulation of in situ-produced 10Be in the Luquillo Experimental Forest, Puerto Rico, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 129, 193–202, https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(94)00249-X, 1995.
- Callen, R. and Benbow, M.: The deserts—Playas, dunefields and watercourses, The Geology of South Australia, 2, 244–251, 1995.
 Child, D., Elliott, G., Mifsud, C., Smith, A., and Fink, D.: Sample processing for earth science studies at ANTARES, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 172, 856–860, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X(00)00198-1, 2000.

Chmeleff, J., von Blanckenburg, F., Kossert, K., and Jakob, D.: Determination of the 10Be half-life by multicollector ICP-MS and liquid

35 scintillation counting, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 268, 192–199, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2009.09.012, 2010.





10

20

- Clapp, E. M., Bierman, P. R., Schick, A. P., Lekach, J., Enzel, Y., and Caffee, M.: Sediment yield exceeds sediment production in arid region drainage basins, Geology, 28, 995–998, https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2000)28<995:SYESPI>2.0.CO;2, 2000.
- Clapp, E. M., Bierman, P. R., Nichols, K. K., Pavich, M., and Caffee, M.: Rates of sediment supply to arroyos from upland erosion determined using in situ produced cosmogenic 10Be and 26Al, Quaternary Research, 55, 235–245, https://doi.org/10.1006/qres.2000.2211, 2001.
- 5 Clapp, E. M., Bierman, P. R., and Caffee, M.: Using 10Be and 26Al to determine sediment generation rates and identify sediment source areas in an arid region drainage basin, Geomorphology, 45, 89–104, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(01)00191-X, 2002.
 - Cohen, T. J., Nanson, G. C., Jansen, J. D., Jones, B. G., Jacobs, Z., Larsen, J. R., May, J. H., Treble, P., Price, D. M., and Smith, A. M.: Late Quaternary mega-lakes fed by the northern and southern river systems of central Australia: Varying moisture sources and increased continental aridity, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 356-357, 89–108, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2011.06.023, 2012.
 - Cohen, T. J., Jansen, J. D., Gliganic, L. A., Larsen, J. R., Nanson, G. C., May, J.-H., Jones, B. G., and Price, D. M.: Hydrological transformation coincided with megafaunal extinction in central Australia, Geology, 43, 195–198, https://doi.org/10.1130/G36346.1, 2015.
 - Costelloe, J.: Hydrological assessment and analysis of the Neales Catchment, A report to the South Australian Arid Lands Natural Resources Management Board, Port Augusta, 2011.
- 15 Croke, J., Magee, J., and Price, D.: Major episodes of Quaternary activity in the lower Neales River, northwest of Lake Eyre, central Australia, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 124, 1–15, https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182(96)00016-8, 1996.
 - Croke, J., Magee, J., and Wallensky, E.: The role of the Australian Monsoon in the western catchment of Lake Eyre, central Australia, during the Last Interglacial, Quaternary International, 57, 71–80, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1040-6182(98)00051-2, 1999.

Davis, M., Matmon, A., Rood, D. H., and Avnaim-Katav, S.: Constant cosmogenic nuclide concentrations in sand supplied from the Nile River over the past 2.5 my, Geology, 40, 359–362, https://doi.org/10.1130/G32574.1, 2012.

- Dunai, T. J.: Cosmogenic nuclides: principles, concepts and applications in the earth surface sciences, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
 - Egholm, D. L., Knudsen, M. F., and Sandiford, M.: Lifespan of mountain ranges scaled by feedbacks between landsliding and erosion by rivers, Nature, 498, 475–8, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12218, 2013.
- Fifield, L. K., Tims, S., Fujioka, T., Hoo, W. T., and Everett, S.: Accelerator mass spectrometry with the 14UD accelerator at the Australian
- 25 National University, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 268, 858–862, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2009.10.049, 2010.
 - Fink, D. and Smith, A.: An inter-comparison of 10Be and 26Al AMS reference standards and the 10Be half-life, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 259, 600–609, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2007.01.299, 2007.
- 30 Fisher, A., Fink, D., Chappell, J., and Melville, M.: 26Al/10Be dating of an aeolian dust mantle soil in western New South Wales, Australia, Geomorphology, 219, 201–212, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.05.007, 2014.
 - Fujioka, T.: Development of in situ cosmogenic 21Ne exposure dating, and dating of Australian arid landforms by combined stable and radioactive in situ cosmogenic nuclides, PhD Thesis, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia, 2007.

Fujioka, T. and Chappell, J.: History of Australian aridity: chronology in the evolution of arid landscapes, Geological Society, London,

- 35 Special Publications, 346, 121–139, https://doi.org/10.1144/sp346.8, 2010.
- Fujioka, T., Chappell, J., Honda, M., Yatsevich, I., Fifield, K., and Fabel, D.: Global cooling initiated stony deserts in central Australia 2–4 Ma, dated by cosmogenic 21Ne-10Be, Geology, 33, 993, https://doi.org/10.1130/g21746.1, 2005.





15

20

- Fujioka, T., Chappell, J., Fifield, L. K., and Rhodes, E. J.: Australian desert dune fields initiated with Pliocene-Pleistocene global climatic shift, Geology, 37, 51–54, https://doi.org/10.1130/g25042a.1, 2009.
- Gosse, J. C. and Phillips, F. M.: Terrestrial in situ cosmogenic nuclides: theory and application, Quaternary Science Reviews, 20, 1475–1560, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(00)00171-2, 2001.
- 5 Granger, D. and Riebe, C.: Cosmogenic nuclides in weathering and erosion, Treatise on geochemistry, 5, 1–43, 2007.

Granger, D. E. and Muzikar, P. F.: Dating sediment burial with in situ-produced cosmogenic nuclides: theory, techniques, and limitations, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 188, 269–281, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(01)00309-0, 2001.

- Granger, D. E., Kirchner, J. W., and Finkel, R.: Spatially averaged long-term erosion rates measured from in situ-produced cosmogenic nuclides in alluvial sediment, The Journal of Geology, 104, 249–257, https://doi.org/10.1086/629823, 1996.
- 10 Heimsath, A. M., Furbish, D. J., and Dietrich, W. E.: The illusion of diffusion: Field evidence for depth-dependent sediment transport, Geology, 33, 949, https://doi.org/10.1130/g21868.1, 2005.

Heimsath, A. M., Chappell, J., and Fifield, K.: Eroding Australia: rates and processes from Bega Valley to Arnhem Land, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 346, 225–241, https://doi.org/10.1144/sp346.12, 2010.

Hesse, P. P.: The Australian desert dunefields: formation and evolution in an old, flat, dry continent, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 346, 141–164, https://doi.org/10.1144/sp346.9, 2010.

- Hesse, P. P.: How do longitudinal dunes respond to climate forcing? Insights from 25 years of luminescence dating of the Australian desert dunefields, Quaternary International, 410, 11–29, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2014.02.020, 2016.
 - Hidy, A. J., Gosse, J. C., Blum, M. D., and Gibling, M. R.: Glacial-interglacial variation in denudation rates from interior Texas, USA, established with cosmogenic nuclides, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 390, 209–221, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.01.011, 2014.
- Hillis, R. R., Sandiford, M., Reynolds, S. D., and Quigley, M. C.: Present-day stresses, seismicity and Neogene-to-Recent tectonics of Australia's 'passive' margins: intraplate deformation controlled by plate boundary forces, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 306, 71–90, https://doi.org/10.1144/SP306.3, 2008.
- Hippe, K., Kober, F., Zeilinger, G., Ivy-Ochs, S., Maden, C., Wacker, L., Kubik, P. W., and Wieler, R.: Quantifying denudation rates
- 25 and sediment storage on the eastern Altiplano, Bolivia, using cosmogenic 10Be, 26Al, and in situ 14C, Geomorphology, 179, 58–70, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.07.031, 2012.
 - Hu, X., Kirby, E., Pan, B., Granger, D. E., and Su, H.: Cosmogenic burial ages reveal sediment reservoir dynamics along the Yellow River, China, Geology, 39, 839–842, https://doi.org/10.1130/G32030.1, 2011.

Jansen, J. D., Nanson, G. C., Cohen, T. J., Fujioka, T., Fabel, D., Larsen, J. R., Codilean, A. T., Price, D. M., Bowman, H. H., May, J. H., and

- 30 Gliganic, L. A.: Lowland river responses to intraplate tectonism and climate forcing quantified with luminescence and cosmogenic 10Be, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 366, 49–58, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.02.007, 2013.
 - Kober, F., Ivy-Ochs, S., Zeilinger, G., Schlunegger, F., Kubik, P. W., Baur, H., and Wieler, R.: Complex multiple cosmogenic nuclide concentration and histories in the arid Rio Lluta catchment, northern Chile, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 34, 398–412, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1748, 2009.
- 35 Kohl, C. and Nishiizumi, K.: Chemical isolation of quartz for measurement of in-situ-produced cosmogenic nuclides, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 56, 3583–3587, https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(92)90401-4, 1992.
 - Korschinek, G., Bergmaier, A., Faestermann, T., Gerstmann, U., Knie, K., Rugel, G., Wallner, A., Dillmann, I., Dollinger, G., Von Gostomski, C. L., Kossert, K., Maiti, M., Poutivtsev, M., and Remmert, A.: A new value for the half-life of 10Be by heavy-ion elastic recoil detection





10

and liquid scintillation counting, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 268, 187–191, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2009.09.020, 2010.

Kotwicki, V.: Floods of Lake Eyre, Adelaide (Australia) Engineering and Water Supply Dept., 1986.

Kotwicki, V. and Isdale, P.: Hydrology of Lake Eyre, Australia: El Nino link, Palaeogeography, palaeoclimatology, palaeoecology, 84, 87–98,

5 https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182(91)90037-R, 1991.

- Lal, D.: Cosmic ray labeling of erosion surfaces: in situ nuclide production rates and erosion models, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 104, 424–439, https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(91)90220-C, 1991.
- Lupker, M., Blard, P.-H., Lavé, J., France-Lanord, C., Leanni, L., Puchol, N., Charreau, J., and Bourlès, D.: 10Be-derived Himalayan denudation rates and sediment budgets in the Ganga basin, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 333-334, 146–156, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.04.020, 2012.

Mabbutt, J. A.: Desert landforms, Australian National University Press, Canberra, 1977.

Martin, H.: Cenozoic climatic change and the development of the arid vegetation in Australia, Journal of Arid Environments, 66, 533–563, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2006.01.009, 2006.

McGowran, B., Holdgate, G., Li, Q., and Gallagher, S.: Cenozoic stratigraphic succession in southeastern Australia, Australian Journal of

- 15 Earth Sciences, 51, 459–496, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1400-0952.2004.01078.x, 2004.
- McKean, J. A., Dietrich, W. E., Finkel, R. C., Southon, J. R., and Caffee, M. W.: Quantification of soil production and downslope creep rates from cosmogenic 10Be accumulations on a hillslope profile, Geology, 21, 343–346, https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1993)021<0343:QOSPAD>2.3.CO;2, 1993.

McMahon, T. A., Murphy, R. E., Peel, M. C., Costelloe, J. F., and Chiew, F. H. S.: Understanding the surface hydrology of the Lake Eyre

Basin: Part 1—Rainfall, Journal of Arid Environments, 72, 1853–1868, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2008.06.004, 2008.
 Mifsud, C., Fujioka, T., and Fink, D.: Extraction and purification of quartz in rock using hot phosphoric acid for in situ cosmogenic exposure dating, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 294, 203–207, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2012.08.037, 2013.

Montgomery, D. R.: Predicting landscape-scale erosion rates using digital elevation models, Comptes Rendus Geoscience, 335, 1121–1130,

25 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2003.10.005, 2003.

Nanson, G., Chen, X., and Price, D.: Aeolian and fluvial evidence of changing climate and wind patterns during the past 100 ka in the western Simpson Desert, Australia, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 113, 87–102, https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182(95)00064-S, 1995.

Nanson, G. C., Price, D. M., Jones, B. G., Maroulis, J. C., Coleman, M., Bowman, H., Cohen, T. J., Pietsch, T. J., and Larsen, J. R.: Alluvial evidence for major climate and flow regime changes during the middle and late Quaternary in eastern central Australia, Geomorphology, 101, 109–129, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.05.032, 2008.

Nishiizumi, K.: Preparation of 26Al AMS standards, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions

- 35 with Materials and Atoms, 223, 388–392, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2004.04.075, 2004.
- Nishiizumi, K., Imamura, M., Caffee, M. W., Southon, J. R., Finkel, R. C., and McAninch, J.: Absolute calibration of 10Be AMS standards, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 258, 403–413, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2007.01.297, 2007.

Nanson, G. C., Price, D. M., and Short, S. A.: Wetting and drying of Australia over the past 300 ka, Geology, 20, 791–794,
 https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1992)020<0791:WADOAO>2.3.CO;2, 1992.





10

20

- Norris, T., Gancarz, A., Rokop, D., and Thomas, K.: Half-life of 26Al, in: Lunar and planetary science conference proceedings, vol. 14, pp. B331–B333, https://doi.org/10.1029/JB088iS01p0B331, 1983.
- Norton, K. P., von Blanckenburg, F., and Kubik, P. W.: Cosmogenic nuclide-derived rates of diffusive and episodic erosion in the glacially sculpted upper Rhone Valley, Swiss Alps, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 35, 651–662, https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1961, 2010.
- 5 Portenga, E. W. and Bierman, P. R.: Understanding Earth's eroding surface with 10Be, GSA Today, 21, 4–10, https://doi.org/10.1130/g111a.1, 2011.
 - Quigley, M. C., Clark, D., and Sandiford, M.: Tectonic geomorphology of Australia, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 346, 243–265, https://doi.org/10.1144/sp346.13, 2010.
 - Raymond, O., Liu, S., Gallagher, R., Zhang, W., and Highet, L.: Surface Geology of Australia 1:1 million scale (2012 edition), Common-
- Romans, B. W., Castelltort, S., Covault, J. A., Fildani, A., and Walsh, J.: Environmental signal propagation in sedimentary systems across timescales, Earth-Science Reviews, 153, 7–29, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.07.012, 2016.
 - Sandiford, M.: Low thermal Peclet number intraplate orogeny in central Australia, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 201, 309–320, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00723-9, 2002.
- 15 Sandiford, M. and Quigley, M.: TOPO-OZ: Insights into the various modes of intraplate deformation in the Australian continent, Tectonophysics, 474, 405–416, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2009.01.028, 2009.
 - Sandiford, M., Wallace, M., and Coblentz, D.: Origin of the in situ stress field in south-eastern Australia, Basin Research, 16, 325–338, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2117.2004.00235.x, 2004.

Sandiford, M., Quigley, M., de Broekert, P., and Jakica, S.: Tectonic framework for the Cenozoic cratonic basins of Australia, Australian Journal of Earth Sciences, 56, S5–S18, https://doi.org/10.1080/08120090902870764, 2009.

- Schaller, M., Blanckenburg, F. v., Hovius, N., Veldkamp, A., van den Berg, M. W., and Kubik, P.: Paleoerosion rates from cosmogenic 10Be in a 1.3 Ma terrace sequence: response of the River Meuse to changes in climate and rock uplift, The Journal of Geology, 112, 127–144, https://doi.org/10.1086/381654, 2004.
 - Scharf, T. E., Codilean, A. T., de Wit, M., Jansen, J. D., and Kubik, P. W.: Strong rocks sustain ancient postorogenic topography in southern

25 Africa, Geology, 41, 331–334, https://doi.org/10.1130/g33806.1, 2013.

wealth of Australia (Geoscience Australia), 2012.

- Shepherd, M. and Price, D.: Thermoluminescence dating of late Quaternary dune sand, Manawatu/Horowhenua area, New Zealand: a comparison with 14C age determinations, New Zealand journal of geology and geophysics, 33, 535–539, https://doi.org/10.1080/00288306.1990.10421371, 1990.
- Stone, J. O.: Air pressure and cosmogenic isotope production, Journal of Geophysical Research, 105, 23753,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2000jb900181, 2000.
- Struck, M., Jansen, J. D., Fujioka, T., Codilean, A. T., Fink, D., Egholm, D. L., Fülöp, R.-H., Wilcken, K. M., and Kotevski, S.: Soil production and transport on postorogenic desert hillslopes quantified with 10Be and 26Al, Geological Society of America Bulletin, https://doi.org/10.1130/B31767.1, 2018.

Vermeesch, P.: CosmoCalc: An Excel add-in for cosmogenic nuclide calculations, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 8,

35 https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GC001530, 2007.

Vermeesch, P., Fenton, C., Kober, F., Wiggs, G., Bristow, C. S., and Xu, S.: Sand residence times of one million years in the Namib Sand Sea from cosmogenic nuclides, Nature Geoscience, 3, 862–865, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo985, 2010.





- von Blanckenburg, F.: The control mechanisms of erosion and weathering at basin scale from cosmogenic nuclides in river sediment, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 237, 462–479, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.06.030, 2005.
- Waclawik, V. G., Lang, S. C., and Krapf, C. B. E.: Fluvial response to tectonic activity in an intra-continental dryland setting: The Neales River, Lake Eyre, Central Australia, Geomorphology, 102, 179–188, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.06.021, 2008.
- 5 Wasson, R. J., Fitchett, K., Mackey, B., and Hyde, R.: Large-scale patterns of dune type, spacing and orientation in the Australian continental dunefield, Australian Geographer, 19, 89–104, https://doi.org/10.1080/00049188808702952, 1988.
 - Wilcken, K., Fink, D., Hotchkis, M., Garton, D., Button, D., Mann, M., Kitchen, R., Hauser, T., and O'Connor, A.: Accelerator Mass Spectrometry on SIRIUS: New 6MV spectrometer at ANSTO, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 1, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2017.01.003, 2017.
- 10 Wittmann, H. and von Blanckenburg, F.: The geological significance of cosmogenic nuclides in large lowland river basins, Earth-Science Reviews, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.06.001, 2016.
 - Wittmann, H., von Blanckenburg, F., Guyot, J. L., Maurice, L., and Kubik, P. W.: From source to sink: Preserving the cosmogenic 10Bederived denudation rate signal of the Bolivian Andes in sediment of the Beni and Mamoré foreland basins, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 288, 463–474, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.10.008, 2009.
- 15 Wittmann, H., von Blanckenburg, F., Maurice, L., Guyot, J. L., and Kubik, P. W.: Recycling of Amazon floodplain sediment quantified by cosmogenic 26Al and 10Be, Geology, 39, 467–470, https://doi.org/10.1130/g31829.1, 2011.
 - Wittmann, H., Malusà, M. G., Resentini, A., Garzanti, E., and Niedermann, S.: The cosmogenic record of mountain erosion transmitted across a foreland basin: Source-to-sink analysis of in situ 10Be, 26Al and 21Ne in sediment of the Po river catchment, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 452, 258–271, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.07.017, 2016.







Figure 1. Two schematic limit cases of sediment-routing systems (modified after Romans et al., 2016) showing down-system trends from (A) high-relief, tectonically-active mountains with humid climate, and (B) low-relief, postorogenic setting with arid climate. Blue script denotes relative rates of erosion and material transfer and their effects on the cosmogenic nuclide inventory. Red script denotes relative burial depths (shallow <10 m, deep >10 m) and storage durations. Yellow shading indicates significant sediment storage.







Figure 2. A) Three study catchments in the western Eyre Basin, showing stream sediment samples (downward-pointing triangles and squares), bedrock and hillslope samples (upward-pointing white triangles), and thermoluminescence samples (yellow circle). Finke: trunk stream (light blue) and tributaries (dark blue – this study, white – Heimsath et al., 2010), Macumba (yellow), Neales: Neales subcatchment (dark red triangles), Peake subcatchment (light red triangles), streams draining the Peake and Denison Range (light red squares). Eyre Basin (inset: 1.1 million km²) boundaries and outer catchment boundaries (bold black), subcatchment boundaries (white); rivers (blue), towns (black dots), state border (dashed black line). B, C, D) Schematic sediment-routing networks of the Finke, Macumba, and Neales, subdivided according to overall terrain type.







Figure 3. A) Typical strike ridges of steeply-inclined strata of the Western MacDonnell Ranges separated by sediment-mantled terrain, Finke River headwaters. B) Flat-topped, silcrete-capped mesas of the Oodnadatta Tablelands, western headwaters of the Neales River (note 4WD vehicle for scale). C) Gibber-covered palaeo-alluvial plains in the lower Neales catchment, with distant mesas on the skyline (note persons for scale). Inset shows desert-varnished surface silcrete pebbles.







Figure 4. ¹⁰Be abundances (normalised to sea-level high-latitude) measured in stream sediment relative to A) drainage area, B) mean relief, C) fraction of exposed bedrock and colluvium cover. Finke samples are blue and white triangles (light blue – trunk stream; dark blue and white – tributaries), Macumba samples are yellow triangles, and Neales samples are red triangles and squares (dark – Neales subcatchment, light – Peake subcatchment, squares – Peake and Denison Range).







Figure 5. ¹⁰Be abundances (normalised to sea-level high-latitude) of bedrock and stream sediment from the Finke (A-C; light blue triangles – trunk stream, dark blue and white triangles – tributaries), Macumba (D-F), and Neales (G-I; light red triangles – Peake subcatchment, dark red triangles – Neales subcatchment, squares – Peake and Denison Rangs) catchments. (A), (D), and (G) show apparent burial ages in bedrock and hillslope soil as median and interquartile range or full range (black squares – silcrete; red squares – Peake and Denison Range. ¹⁰Be abundances in stream sediment are plotted against catchment characteristics: B, E, H) upstream distance from lowermost sample, arrows indicate drainage direction (sample nomenclature: F1-5 – FIN1-5, N1-5 – NEA1-5, P1-8 – PEA1-8), C, F, I) fraction of exposed bedrock and colluvium cover.







Figure 6. Two-nuclide logarithmic plots showing 26 Al/ 10 Be ratios (normalised to sea-level high-latitude) in bedrock (white ellipses, 'BR'), hillslope soil (grey ellipses), and stream sediments (colour-coded by stream order; low – blue, high – red), A) Finke catchment, B) Macumba catchment, C) Neales catchment. Grey areas represent simple exposure/erosion history (erosion island). Shown are erosion rates (red dashes) and 1 m.y. burial isochrons (green). Continuously exposed samples should plot within the erosion island; samples plotting left of the erosion island indicate a history of post-exhumation burial(s) and/or non-steady exhumation.







Figure 7. Apparent burial ages of bedrock and stream sediment from the Finke (A-C; light blue triangles – trunk stream, dark blue – tributaries), Macumba (D-F), and Neales (G-I; light red triangles – Peake subcatchment, dark red triangles – Neales subcatchment, squares – Peake and Denison Range) catchments. (A), (D), and (G) show apparent burial ages in bedrock and hillslope soil as median and interquartile range or full range (black squares – silcrete), Peake and Denison Range is separate – red squares. Apparent burial ages in stream sediment are plotted against catchment characteristics: B, E, H) upstream distance from lowermost sample, arrows indicate drainage direction (sample nomenclature: F1-5 - FIN1-5, N1-5 - NEA1-5, P1-8 - PEA1-8), C, F, I) fraction of exposed bedrock and colluvium cover.







Figure 8. Apparent burial ages calculated for stream sediment – using CosmoCalc 3.0 (Vermeesch, 2007) – relative to A) drainage area, B) mean relief, C) fraction of exposed bedrock and colluvium cover. Finke samples are blue triangles (light blue – trunk stream; dark blue and white – tributaries), Macumba samples are yellow triangles, and Neales samples are red triangles and squares (dark – Neales subcatchment, light – Peake subcatchment, squares – Peake and Denison Range).

Earth Surf. Dynam. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-2017-76 Manuscript under review for journal Earth Surf. Dynam. Discussion started: 16 January 2018

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

() ()

cover^(h) Alluvium 11.4 11.3 14.3 10.9 17.3 13.8 [%] 0.0 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.8 2.7 9.6 0.0 9.8 7.4 6.6 5.0 5.2 7.2 6.2 4.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 7.8 6.4 9.8 7.7 plains^(h) Sand 22.9 19.8 19.9 13.9 21.4 47.8 25.6 16.3 18.6 [%] 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 26.2 2.0 0.0 0.0 4.1 5.7 7.3 14.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 aeolian Dunes/ cover^(h) [%] 14.2 14.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.5 17.7 0.0 0.4 0.0 8.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.7 6.1 9.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 cover^(h) Exposed Exposed Colluvium Gibber 10.2 37.3 57.8 37.1 50.2 26.4 44.6 [%] 42.8 10.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 0.6 32.1 0.0 cover^(h) 24.6 23.7 36.2 39.5 91.5 12.8 % 0.0 0.0 7.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.5 2.4 0.0 0.9 2.7 2.9 2.1 1.6 1.7 3.3 25.8 13.1 22.3 24.5 20.1 13.4 12.1 silcrete^(h) 30.8 21.9 15.9 18.2 11.2 % 37.1 14.5 11.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.3 0.0 0.0 1.00.2 12.7 7.5 0.0 0.0 3.1 0.6 0.9 0.8 35.1 75.5 3.2 bedrock silcrete^(h) without [%] 91.6 100 001 59.6 52.9 41.3 14.7 19.8 14.8 17.8 100 92.3 100 100 98.2 87.7 63.8 69.3 62.8 39.8 45.7 0.0 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 18.1 9.4 12.1 4.0 Rainfall^(d,g) 197 ± 17 272 ± 9 251 ± 6 238 ± 25 228 ± 26 176 ± 6 180 ± 22 258 ± 0 270 ± 10 250 ± 1 253 ± 19 175 ± 4 170 ± 5 137 ± 5 134 ± 8 279 ± 0 277 ± 3 257 ± 16 245 ± 25 239 ± 12 179 ± 0 148 ± 4 139 ± 7 158 ± 3 $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ [mm/yr] 0 # 272 ± 1 272 ± 1 262 ± 3 281 171 145 Average relief^(a,f) local Ξ 08 224 683 186 260 240 163 93 166 158 132 124 110 102 141 681 101 3 2 61 54 39 33 37 15 32 40 30 15 4 relief^(a) Total 1014 083 148 745 759 578 859 149 176 129 179 Ξ 677 552 479 802 174 950 166 197 627 717 161 98 37 29 |26 567 121 35 6 Median Q1-Q3 Kurtosis^(e) 11.6 20.9 10.8 Ξ 6.3 0.5 -0.5 -0.2 4.5 10.4 14.2 13.4 11.1 14.7 24.7 18.8 22.7 12.9 7.5 9.3 48.3 43.2 3.7 6.8 29.5 0.0 -0.1 4.8 0.3 2.2 Slope^(a) 2-13 28-53 3-32 2-10 35-61 I-14 2-7 1-5 1-6 2 3-6 3-7 1-5 1-6 1-5 1-2 1-3 1-2 5 1-2 1-2 0^{-2} 0-2 0-2 1-2 5 0-2 1-2 1-2 1-2 0 48 22 ŝ ŝ 4 4 3 2 6 2 2 2 2 _ _ _ Max 1370 Ξ 873 1351 1350 1255 849 1128 1370 1370 937 1285 1370 992 1370 1224 1370 340 382 408 369 811 368 303 313 316 314 316 827 811 261 Min Elevation^(a) 625 414 649 184 Ξ 747 674 783 703 701 568 763 526 420 356 365 287 219 216 211 193 184 333 263 155 135 118 24 798 221 94 802 ± 93 638 ± 119 617 ± 148 268 ± 37 061 ± 164 54 164 ± 35 Mean^(d) 820 ± 61 972 ± 161 845 ± 33 710 ± 111 691 ± 132 573 ± 122 418 ± 113 6 + 259 ± 24 248 ± 36 207 ± 37 771 ± 50 268 ± 29 289 ± 42 131 226 ± 46 812 ± 6 791 ± 24 777 ± 82 761 ± 96 270 ± 30 281 ± 6 Ξ 322 ± 1 Н $+\!\!\!+\!\!\!\!$ 355 576 : 187 539 divide^(a,c) Distance 108.9 251.9 274.9 258.3 501.2 398.6 from [km] 29.0 69.0 117.1 359.4 533.0 81.8 527.5 52.1 106.2 107.3 149.1 45.3 26.5 42.0 26.8 82.7 24.7 1.5 1.81.90.8 4.7 5.9 20625.9 31706.6 39024.0 38368.7 1350.5 4181.7 Catch-[km²] 1545.6 4016.2 1613.6 8649.9 7252.2 6857.7 14089.1 1412.6 size^(a) 243.6 792.6 ment 238.5 963.2 173.8 460.9 10.0 211.3 13.4 6.7 0.9 0.6 0.5 0.2 98.1 8.3 **MACUMBA** catchment Distance outlet^(a,b) 231.0 [km] 273.6 249.2 131.8 198.5 141.5 430.3 367.4 299.6 279.3 241.2 200.6 556.5 519.0 196.6 162.6 607.2 592.9 581.5 579.3 t90.5 t81.7 352.7 190.1 381.1 catchmen 590.1 572.1 562.1 0.0 0.0 NEALES catchme 5 S05/04^(k) Sample $B123s^{(j)}$ FINKE NEA2 $H11^{(i)}$ ALB3 ALB2 PEA6 H19⁽ⁱ⁾ ALB1 **PEA1** PEA2 PEA4 PEA5 NEA1 H10⁽ⁱ⁾ H37⁽ⁱ⁾ HUG MAC FIN2 FIN4 FIN5 000 OLA FINI FIN3 H8⁽ⁱ⁾ (i) (ii) (ii) ELL PAL DIO A



Continued on next page

Table 1: Catchment characteristics.

Earth Surf. Dynam. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-2017-76 Manuscript under review for journal Earth Surf. Dynam. Discussion started: 16 January 2018

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.



h) Sample locations obtained from Heimsath et al. (2010); H8, 9, 10, 11, 19, and 37 refer to MD-108, -109, -111, -119, -137 in Heimsath et al. (2010).

h) Based on 1:1 million surface geology map of Australia (Raymond et al., 2012).

j) Sample collected by John Chappell (pers. comm.).
 k) Unpublished sample (Fujioka, pers. comm).



Table I ϵ	ontinued fn	om previoi	us page.																
Sample	Distance	Catch-	Distance	Elevat	ion ^(a)			Slope ^(a)		Total	Average	Rainfall ^(d,g)	Exposed	Exposed	Colluvium	Gibber	Dunes/	Sand	Alluvium
Ð	to	ment	from							relief ^(a)	local		bedrock	silcrete ^(h)	cover ^(h)	$\operatorname{cover}^{(h)}$	aeolian	plains ^(h)	cover ^(h)
	outlet ^(a,b)	size ^(a)	divide ^(a,c)								relief ^(a,f)		without				cover ^(h)		
				Mean ^(d)	Min	Max	Median	Q1-Q3 F	Kurtosis ^(e)				silcrete ^(h)						
	[km]	[km ²]	[km]	[II]	<u>n</u>	[m]	[]	[。]	Ξ	[u]	[u]	[mm/yr]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]
NEALE:	S catchmen	t (continu	ed)																
NIL	192.0	1.3	2.3	368 ± 29	281	412	9	3-11	2.0	131	172	198 ± 9	100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PEA7	191.9	4287.4	212.6	245 ± 67	76	372	1	1-3	13.7	275	50	149 ± 16	3.4	21.2	19.9	41.3	0.0	6.8	7.4
NEA3	190.7	4404.9	176.6	199 ± 50	104	351	-	1-2	16.9	247	37	156 ± 6	9.6	21.0	5.4	46.8	1.0	8.8	7.4
NEA4	91.0	0.7	2.1	83 ± 11	63	104	2	1-3	1.8	41	43	142 ± 1	99.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
PEA8	74.7	17506.1	309.5	177 ± 76	56	418	1	1-2	31.9	362	35	138 ± 13	9.6	10.1	8.3	38.5	5.6	19.3	8.6
NEA5	0.0	27324.4	374.8	166 ± 74	26	418	1	1-2	32.7	392	36	142 ± 13	10.1	10.4	6.5	43.5	4.0	16.3	9.2
a) Based	on 1 arc se	cond SRT.	M DEM.																
b) Flow (distance to 1	most dowr	nstream sam	pling location	on der	ived fro	om waters	hed delir	leation in A	rcGIS.									
c) Flow (listance froi	m drainag	e divide der	ived from w	atersh	ied deli	neation ir	ArcGIS.											
d) Uncer	tainties exp	ressed at 1	l-σ level.																
e) Kurto:	sis as indica	tor for the	shape of th	le slope distr	ibutic	n curve	e and as n	neasure fo	or represent	ativeness o	of the mea	n. High kurtos	iis values in	dicate pron	ounced clust	ering of sl	ope values	around th	e mean.
f) Catchi	nent averag	e of relief	in a 2.5-km	ı radius arou	nd ev	ery pixe	el within t	he catchr	nent.										
g) Based	on the aver	age of an	nual mean p	recipitation	rates l	betweer	n the year	s 1911 an	id 2000 (Au	istralian B	ureau of N	Aeteorology: h	tttp://www.l	om.gov.au/	climate/).				

Earth Surf. Dynam. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-2017-76 Manuscript under review for journal Earth Surf. Dynam. Discussion started: 16 January 2018

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.

 ± 0.20 4.18 ± 0.30 3.00 ± 0.30 2786 ± 140 5.62 ± 0.33 2656 ± 128 4.44 ± 0.25 5.10 ± 0.38 1.47 ± 0.11 $8128 \pm 479 \ 0.62 \pm 0.04$ 5.97 ± 0.40 $11292 \pm 724 \ 3.64 \pm 0.25$ 5885 ± 958 3.81 ± 0.25 5.11 ± 0.31 5.01 ± 0.28 5.20 ± 0.29 2813 ± 135 4.77 ± 0.27 4.34 ± 0.25 $5868 \pm 481 \ 4.05 \pm 0.30$ 4.45 ± 0.27 4.06 ± 0.25 6.15 ± 0.47 5.46 ± 0.33 $2412 \pm 116 \ 4.73 \pm 0.27$ ²⁶Al/¹⁰Be ratio^(a) 3.41 14471 ± 779 6183 ± 439 2358 ± 114 2744 ± 132 2531 ± 124 2763 ± 187 5348 ± 353 5697 ± 324 262 9977 ± 615 3149 ± 151 5584 ± 383 $\mathbf{4838} \pm \mathbf{467}$ $[10^3 \text{ at } g^{-1}]$ 2454 ± 122 conc.^(a) ^{26}AI 3745 土 : . [ppm in qtz] ICP conc 27 AI 4211 252 57 59 80 96 26 99 F . 87 91 87 86 88 59 57 62 95 93 67 73 ı E $5259\pm 258^{*(M)}$ $4971 \pm 121^{*(N)}$ $4147 \pm 112^{*(N)}$ $2613\pm 122^{*(N)}$ $2279 \pm 188^{*(O)}$ $7618\pm 306^{*\rm (O)}$ $9782\pm 330^{*(O)}$ $9098\pm 181^{*(N)}$ $1099\pm55^{(N)}$ $3932 \pm 123^{\rm (L)}$ $6623 \pm 239^{(L)}$ ratio^(e,g,h,i) $1282\pm37^{(\mathrm{K})}$ $1858 \pm 53^{(L)}$ $1407\pm35^{(\mathrm{K})}$ $1317\pm34^{(\mathrm{K})}$ $1407\pm36^{(\mathrm{K})}$ $\mathbf{1543}\pm\mathbf{39^{(K)}}$ $(451\pm36^{(K)}$ $381\pm35^{(\mathrm{K})}$ $\rm 1281\pm35^{(K)}$ $1327\pm65^{(L)}$ 26 AI/27 AI $[10^{-15}]$ ï 13126 ± 296 3105 ± 72 4172 ± 105 495 ± 15 1695 ± 42 1670 ± 40 4250 ± 98 1427 ± 38 4200 ± 95 1335 ± 31 1612 ± 38 $[10^3 {
m at g}^{-1}]$ 4605 ± 185 2479 ± 157 1404 ± 34 conc.^(a) 1915 ± 99 461 ± 17 548 ± 16 541 ± 16 609 ± 18 379 ± 32 450 ± 16 510 ± 15 606 ± 17 598 ± 17 582 ± 16 781 ± 47 322 ± 35 590 ± 17 ^{10}Be $0.295^{(H)}$ $0.294^{(H)}$ $0.295^{(H)}$ $0.296^{(H)}$ $0.297^{(H)}$ $0.297^{(H)}$ $0.298^{(H)}$ $0.297^{(H)}$ $0.317^{(J)}$ $0.294^{(H)}$ $0.318^{(J)}$ $0.459^{(I)}$ $0.374^{(I)}$ $0.268^{(I)}$ $0.297^{(H)}$ $9898\pm 34^{(1,G)}\ 0.294^{(H)}$ $0.296^{(l)}$ $2596\pm 36^{(1,E)}\ 0.305^{(H)}$ $2463\pm24^{(1,E)}\ 0.305^{(H)}$ $7470\pm 28^{(1,E)}\ 0.302^{(H)}$ $2343\pm17^{\rm (1,E)}\ 0.305^{\rm (H)}$ $0.322^{(J)}$ $5386\pm 34^{\rm (1,F)}\ \ 0.318^{\rm (J)}$ $7665\pm 39^{(1,E)}\ 0.303^{(H)}$ $0.370^{(I)}$ $0.457^{(I)}$ $0.297^{(H)}$ $1045\pm17^{(1,A)}\ 0.298^{(H)}$ carrier mass^(f) [mg] Be ï $2201 \pm 108^{(2,{\rm C})}$ $2944 \pm 32^{(1,D)}$ $5091 \pm 196^{(2,C)}$ $3515 \pm 217^{(2,C)}$ $7236\pm85~^{(1,F)}$ $1061 \pm 19^{(1,A)}$ $1073\pm 20^{(1,A)}$ $1302 \pm 12^{(1,G)}$ $2774 \pm 23^{(1,F)}$ $802\pm43^{(2,C)}$ $579 \pm 44^{(2,B)}$ $897 \pm 19^{(1,A)}$ $607 \pm 17^{(1,A)}$ $438 \pm 41^{(2,B)}$ $833 \pm 25^{(1,A)}$ $987 \pm 20^{(1,A)}$ $945\pm16^{(1,A)}$ $358\pm7^{(1,G)}$ $935\pm 18^{(1,A)}$ ratio^(c,d,e) ¹⁰Be/⁹Be $[10^{-15}]$. , 40.916 [g qtz] 34.694 27.519 40.245 40.128 17.326 40.155 **Production Sample** 33.677 28.226 29.819 21.486 40.460 35.035 40.230 40.163 41.829 40.504 40.322 40.354 13.099 16.723 40.201 41.209 mass 40.191 30.262 20.240 40.369 40.291 ı scaling factor^(b) 1.17 1.17 1.17 1.32 1.39 1.26 1.20 1.181.14 1.15 1.12 0.99 0.97 1.080.95 1.041.001.20 1.20 1.34 1.30 1.24 0.97 0.97 1.00 0.98 0.99 0.97 evation Mean Ξ 789 789 764 764 764 LLL 802 845 710 691 638 617 573 576 539 270 268 289 268 418 322 255 219 355 281 259 761 252 Fan (2.7 m depth) Fan (0.9 m depth) Stream sediment Stream sediment Stream sediment Stream sediment Stream sediment Fan surface sedi. Fan (2 m depth) Stream sediment Fan surface sedi. Stream sediment Stream sediment Stream sediment Bedrock Material Bedrock Bedrock 133.184993 133.184993 133.192100 133.192100 132.671712 -23.951370 132.774172 -24.929894 133.640178 B5947/A2680 -27.162479 134.375555 B5703/A2583 -27.348124 133.969076 B5704/A2584 -27.943413 134.153153 134.481050 133.192100 -23.810240 133.190935 133.238430 133.186722 134.059998 134.241625 134.854368 134.621190 Latitude^(a) Longitude^(a) 134.389281 134.753684 135.716094 132.714092 132.839025 134.434604 134.199993 134.392228 134.775937 E] B6041/A2782 -27.129882 B6026/A2734 -27.960354 B6034/A2775 -28.210212 23.811033 23.809683 23.809683 -24.677768 -27.130915 23.811033 23.809683 -23.676543 23.678980 -24.087429 -24.552860 -24.750439 -25.217346.25.679883 -27.164221 -27.153811B5708/A2588 -27.197277 B6028/A2736 -27.945442 -28.199020 \mathbf{S} B6039/A2780 B6027/A2735 B6040/A2781 B6038/A2779 B6225/a452 B6229/a456 B6223/a447 B6224/a451 B6228/a455 B6226/a453 B6221/a446 B6227/a454 B6222/-(Be/AI) -/a466 123S AMS 122P MACUMBA catchment 147 148 150 149 A Continued on next page NEALES catchment FINKE catchment UHugh299^(j) UHugh199⁽⁾ UHugh499^{(]} UHugh399⁽⁾ PEA-BR3⁽¹⁾ PEA-BR2 PEA-BR4 B122p^(j) S05/04^(k) B123s^(j) Sample OLA^(I) ALB3 ALB2 **PEA1** PEA2 ALB1 PEA4 FIN2 HUG FIN5 C00 MAC FINI FIN3 FIN4 ELL PIO PAL B



Table 2: Cosmogenic nuclide data.



 Table 2 continued from previous page.

 Composition

Sample	AMS	Latitude ^(a)	Longitude ^(a)	Material	Mean	Production	Sample	$^{10}\mathrm{Be}{}^9\mathrm{Be}$	${}^{9}\mathrm{Be}$	^{10}Be	²⁶ Al/ ²⁷ Al	27 AI	^{26}Al	²⁶ Al/ ¹⁰ Be
Ð	Ð				elevation	scaling	mass	ratio ^(c,d,e)	carrier	conc. ^(a)	ratio ^(e,g,h,i)	ICP conc.	conc. ^(a)	ratio ^(a)
						factor ^(b)			mass ^(f)					
	(Be/Al)	[S°]	[°E]		Ē		[g qtz]	[10 ⁻¹⁵]	[mg]	$[10^3 \text{ at } g^{-1}]$	[10 ⁻¹⁵]	[ppm in qtz]	$[10^3 \text{ at g}^{-1}]$	
NEALE	S catchment (co	ntinued)												
PEA5	B5705/A2585	-28.203679	134.665591	Stream sediment	248	0.97	40.376	$5656 \pm 44^{(1,F)}$	$0.320^{(J)}$	3261 ± 77	$7080\pm 348^{*(0)}$	70	11006 ± 772	3.38 ± 0.25
NEA1	B5948/A2681	-27.393263	135.263533	Stream sediment	207	0.93	40.135	$1978\pm 33^{(1,D)}$	$0.310^{(J)}$	1111 ± 31	$3099\pm 235^{*(M)}$	64	4460 ± 405	4.02 ± 0.38
PEA6	B6035/A2776	-28.313134	134.946048	Stream sediment	226	0.96	40.117	$5460\pm22~^{(1,E)}$	$0.305^{(H)}$	3134 ± 71	$6174 \pm 232^{*(N)}$	75	10287 ± 643	3.28 ± 0.22
NEA2	B6036/A2777	-27.867062	135.123488	Stream sediment	187	0.92	40.093	$1220\pm 13^{\rm (1,E)}$	$0.305^{(H)}$	700 ± 17	$1239 \pm 74^{*(N)}$	119	3296 ± 258	471 ± 0.39
NIL	B5709/-	-28.482968	135.999887	Stream sediment	368	1.08	40.187	$848\pm27^{\rm (1.F)}$	$0.322^{(J)}$	496 ± 19	ı	135	,	6.06 ± 0.38
	-/a464						17.609		$0.295^{(H)}$	·	$955\pm27^{(L)}$	141	3005 ± 149	
PEA7	B6032/A2740	-28.11550	135.082709	Stream sediment	245	0.97	40.531	$2789 \pm 27^{(1,G)}$	$0.294^{(H)}$	1523 ± 37	$4083\pm118^{*(N)}$	62	5662 ± 327	3.72 ± 0.23
NEA3	B6037/A2778	-27.620241	135.427262	Stream sediment	199	0.93	40.274	$2188\pm 14^{\rm (1,E)}$	$0.304^{(H)}$	1246 ± 29	$2733\pm90^{*(N)}$	71	4307 ± 258	3.46 ± 0.22
NEA4	B6031/-	-27.900861	135.802884	Stream sediment	83	0.85	40.488	$516\pm 6^{(1,G)}$	$0.293^{(H)}$	282 ± 7	ı	124		8.86 ± 0.34
	-/a467						20.075		$0.301^{(H)}$		$597\pm20^{*(L)}$	124	1650 ± 87	
PEA8	B5706/-	-28.035828	135.797000	Stream sediment	177	0.92	40.365	$1383\pm17^{\rm (1,F)}$	$0.320^{(J)}$	799 ± 20	·	98		4.60 ± 0.25
	-/a462						16.504		$0.299^{(H)}$		$1715\pm46^{(L)}$	96	3671 ± 179	
NEA5	B5707/-	-28.114007	136.300039	Stream sediment	166	0.91	40.231	$1329\pm16^{(1,F)}$	$0.322^{(J)}$	774 ± 20	ı	112		4.39 ± 0.24
	-/a463						20.075		$0.296^{(H)}$		$1363 \pm 35^{(L)}$	112	3400 ± 164	
parco (o	inotae indicata t	he location of	f the outchman	t outlet on the 20 n	SPTM DE	M. volue af	aronood to	W/GC04 Dotum						

a) Coordinates indicate the location of the catchment outlet on the 30 m SRTM DEM; values referenced to WGS84 Datum.

b) Combined atmospheric pressure/latitude scaling factor following the time-independent scaling scheme of Stone (2000).

c) ¹⁰Be⁰Be ratios were normalised to standards 1) SRM KN-5-2 (nominal ratio of 8,558 x 10⁻¹⁵; 2% reproducibility error) (Nishiizumi et al., 2007), and 2) NIST4325 (nominal ratio 27,900 x 10⁻¹⁵; 3% reproducibility error).

d) Corrected for batch procedural blanks of: A) 1.69 \pm 0.92 x 10⁻¹⁵, B) 51.28 \pm 7.99 x 10⁻¹⁵, C) 39.26 \pm 12.47 x 10⁻¹⁵, D) 7.83 \pm 2.10 x 10⁻¹⁵, E) 5.50 \pm 0.70 x 10⁻¹⁵, F) 2.94 \pm 0.74 x 10⁻¹⁵, G) 6.24 \pm 0.95 x 10⁻¹⁵.

e) Uncertainties expressed at 1- σ level.

f) Concentrations of ^9Be carrier solutions are: H) 1090 \pm 15 ppm, I) unknown, J) 1128 \pm 22 ppm.

g) 26 Al/ 27 Al ratios marked with * were blank-corrected using the respective blank's 26 Al count rate.

h) ²⁶ Al/²⁷ Al ratios were normalised to SRM KN-4-2 with a nominal ratio of 30,960 x 10⁻¹⁵ (Nishiizumi, 2004).

i) Corrected for batch procedural blanks of: K) $4.33 \pm 1.53 \times 10^{-15}$, L) $13.57 \pm 2.36 \times 10^{-15}$, M) $10.36 \pm 3.76 \times 10^{-15}$, N) $22.06 \pm 5.35 \times 10^{-15}$, O) $321.34 \pm 25.44 \times 10^{-15}$

j) Samples collected, measured, and details provided by John Chappell and Keith Fifield (pers. comm.).

k) Unpublished sample (Fujioka, pers. comm).

1) Samples were excluded from further analyses since ¹⁰Be abundances are unnaturally high.



۲

 \odot







Sample	Surface erosion	Apparent burial	Surface erosion rate
ID	rate ^(a,b)	age ^(c,d)	accounted for burial ^(c,d)
	[m/m.y.]	[k.y.]	[m/m.y.]
FINKE catchment			
PIO	7.46 ± 0.25	266^{+152}_{-88}	$6.45_{-0.60}^{+0.80}$
FIN1	8.41 ± 0.32	340^{+100}_{-113}	$7.02^{+1.33}_{-0.53}$
FIN2	7.14 ± 0.23	607^{+152}_{-91}	$5.12^{+0.60}_{-0.50}$
B123s	10.96 ± 1.19	-	-
ELL	7.69 ± 0.31	465^{+154}_{-103}	$5.97^{+0.91}_{-0.65}$
FIN3	6.31 ± 0.21	475^{+152}_{-04}	$4.85^{+0.58}_{-0.47}$
PAL	5.47 ± 0.17	399^{+139}_{-96}	$4.37^{+0.54}_{-0.37}$
FIN4	5.54 ± 0.18	566^{+135}_{05}	$4.03^{+0.51}_{-0.20}$
HUG	5.27 ± 0.17	685^{+149}_{-94}	$3.59^{+0.45}_{-0.24}$
FIN5	5.45 ± 0.17	743^{+139}_{-54}	$3.59^{+0.40}_{-0.32}$
S05/04 ^(e)	5.52 ± 0.18	505^{+200}_{-126}	$4.18^{+0.64}_{-0.48}$
MACUMBA catchment		-120	-0.48
COO	1.28 ± 0.04	568^{+170}_{-101}	$0.87^{+0.13}_{-0.11}$
ALB3	1.59 ± 0.05	471^{+153}_{-101}	$1.17^{+0.18}_{-0.12}$
ALB2	1.66 ± 0.05	638^{+140}_{-86}	$1.10^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$
ALB1	1.95 ± 0.06	625^{+185}_{-107}	$1.32^{+0.18}_{-0.15}$
MAC	1.42 ± 0.04	1115^{+242}_{-126}	$0.66^{+0.13}_{-0.11}$
NEALES catchment		-120	-0.11
PEA-BR2	4.41 ± 0.15	28^{+115}_{-14}	$4.34^{+0.20}_{-0.37}$
PEA-BR4	1.23 ± 0.04	0^{+69}_{0}	$1.22^{+0.05}_{-0.07}$
PEA1	0.60 ± 0.02	532 ⁺¹⁴⁴	$0.38^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$
PEA2	0.33 ± 0.02	295^{+117}_{-82}	$0.24^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$
PEA4	0.31 ± 0.01	454^{+116}_{-76}	$0.18^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$
PEA5	0.50 ± 0.02	592^{+150}_{-84}	$0.28^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$
NEA1	2.07 ± 0.07	719^{+240}_{-127}	$1.32^{+0.24}_{-0.10}$
PEA6	0.52 ± 0.02	650^{+143}_{-137}	$0.28^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$
NEA2	3.55 ± 0.10	526^{+203}_{-127}	$2.61^{+0.40}_{-0.21}$
NIL	6.11 ± 0.26	30^{+5}_{-10}	$6.16^{+0.31}_{-0.21}$
PEA7	1.46 ± 0.05	758^{+159}_{-04}	$0.88^{+0.12}_{-0.10}$
NEA3	1.79 ± 0.05	934^{+161}_{-99}	$0.98^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$
NEA4	9.07 ± 0.25	188^{+123}_{-22}	8.13 ^{+0.82}
PEA8	3.04 ± 0.09	542^{+137}_{80}	$2.20^{+0.26}_{-0.20}$
NEA5	3.11 ± 0.09	633^{+134}_{-87}	$2.13^{+0.24}_{-0.10}$

Table 3: Basin-wide erosion rates and apparent burial ages.

a) Calculated from ¹⁰Be concentrations with the single-nuclide-erosion tool of CosmoCalc 3.0 (Vermeesch, 2007), using the timeindependent scaling scheme of Stone (2000) and production mechanisms based on Granger and Muzikar (2001).

b) Uncertainties expressed at $1-\sigma$ level.

c) Calculated using the CosmoCalc 3.0 (Vermeesch, 2007) burial-erosion tool. The calculation assumes a simple burial scenario, namely, one episode of erosion followed by one episode of burial. The calculation does not account for post-burial re-exposure.

d) Uncertainties expressed at 1 standard deviation (i.e., 68^{th} percentile).

e) Unpublished sample (Fujioka, pers. comm).