1 Letter to the Editor

We like to thank Rebecca Hodge again for the thoughtful and productive handling of the manuscript so far. We hope that these revisions helped in making the manuscript better and more valuable to the scientific community. We addressed all of Rebecca Hodge's comments and thought of all of them to be justified and agreed with all her suggestions. As discussed with Rebecca Hodge, we here just present a tracked-changes version of our manuscript and no point-by-point answer to her comments.

7

8 Manuel Schmid and Todd Ehlers (corresponding author) on behalf of all the co-authors.

10 Effect of changing vegetation and precipitation on denudation

(part 2): Predicted landscape response to transient climate and vegetation cover over millennial to million year timescales

14 Fuentes-Espoz⁽⁴⁾

⁽¹⁾ University of Tuebingen, Department of Geosciences; Wilhelmstrasse 56,

16 72074 Tuebingen, Germany (Manuel.Schmid@Uni-Tuebingen.de, Todd.Ehlers@Uni-Tuebingen.de)

17 ⁽²⁾ Senckenberg Biodiversity and Climate Research Center (BiK-F), Senckenberganlage 25,

18 60325 Frankfurt/Main, Germany (Christian.Werner@Senckenberg.de)

19 ⁽³⁾ Department of Physical Geography, Geosciences, Goethe-University, Frankfurt,

20 -Altenhoeferallee 1, 60438 Frankfurt/Main, Germany (Thomas.Hickler@Senckenberg.de)

⁽⁴⁾ University of Chile, Department of Silviculture and Nature Conservation, Av. Santa Rosa 11315, La Pintana, Santiago
 RM, Chile (jufuente@uchile.cl)

24 * Corresponding author: (Todd.Ehlers@Uni-Tuebingen.de)

25

¹³ Manuel Schmid ⁽¹⁾, Todd A. Ehlers^{(1)*}, Christian Werner.⁽²⁾, Thomas Hickler⁽²⁾⁽³⁾, Juan-Pablo

Abstract We present a numerical modeling investigation into the interactions between transient climate and vegetation 26 cover with hillslope and detachment limited fluvial processes. Model simulations were designed to investigate emergent 27 28 behaviour in the effects of climate change and associated changes in surface vegetation cover on topographic basin metrics 29 such as: slope, relief and channel steepness. Model simulations were designed to investigate topographic patterns and 30 behavior resulting from changing climate and associated changes in surface vegetation cover. The Landlab surface process 31 model was modified to evaluate the effects of temporal variations in vegetation cover on hillslope diffusion and fluvial 32 erosion. A suite of simulations were conducted to represent present day climatic conditions and satellite derived 33 vegetation cover at the four EarthShape study areas as well hypothetical changes over millennial to million year timescales 34 A suite of simulations were conducted to represent present day climatic conditions and satellite derived vegetation cover 35 at four different research areas in the Chilean Coastal Cordillera. These simulations included steady-state simulations as well as transient simulations with forcings in either climate or vegetation cover over millennial to million--year timescales. 36 37 These simulations included T two different transient variations in climate and vegetation cover includinge a step change in climate or vegetation, as well as 100 kyr oscillations over 5 Myr. We conducted eight different step-change simulations 38 39 for positive and negative perturbations in either vegetation cover or climate and six simulations with oscillating transient 40 forcings for either vegetation cover or_{τ} climate, and oscillations in both vegetation cover and climate. Results indicate 41 that the coupled influence of surface vegetation cover and mean annual precipitation shifts basin landforms towards a 42 new steady state, with the magnitude of change highly sensitive to the initial vegetation and climate conditions of the 43 basin. Dry, non-vegetated basins show higher magnitudes of adjustment than basins that are situated in wetter conditions with higher vegetation cover. For coupled conditions when surface vegetation cover and mean annual precipitation change 44 45 simultaneously, the landscape response tends to be weaker. When vegetation cover and mean annual precipitation change independently from each other, higher magnitude shifts in topographic metrics are predicted. Changes in vegetation cover 46 47 show a higher impact on topography for low initial surface cover values whereas for areas with high initial surface cover, 48 the effect of changes in precipitation dominate the formation of landscapes. This study demonstrates a sensitivity of 49 catchment characteristics to different transient forcings in vegetation cover and mean annual precipitation, with a crucial 50 role for initial vegetation and climate conditions.

52 **1. Introduction**

53 Plants cover most of Earth's surface and interact chemically and physically with the atmosphere, lithosphere and 54 hydrosphere. The abundance and distribution of plants throughout Earth's history is a function, amongst other things, of 55 changing climate conditions that can impact the temporal distribution of plant functional types and vegetation cover 56 present in an area (Hughes, 2000; Muhs et al., 2001; Walther et al. 2002). The physical feedbacks of vegetation on the 57 Earth's near surface manifest themselves mainly through an influence of plants on weathering, erosion, transport and the deposition of sediments (Marston, 2010; Amundson et al., 2015). Although the effects of biota on surface processes has 58 59 been recognized for over a 100 years (e.g., Gilbert, 1877; Langbein & Schumm, 1958), early studies focused mainly on 60 qualitative descriptions of the underlying processes. With the rise of new techniques to quantify mass transport from the 61 plot- to catchment-scale, and the emergence of improved computing techniques and landscape evolution models, research 62 shifted more towards building a quantitative understanding of how biota influence both hillslope and fluvial processes 63 (Stephan and Gutknecht, 2002; Roering et al., 2002; Marston, 2010; Curran and Hession, 2013). The previous studies 64 motivate the companion papers presented here. In part 1 (Werner et al. 2018-this volume) a dynamic vegetation model is 65 used to evaluate the magnitude of past (Last Glacial Maximum to present) vegetation change along the climate and ecological gradient in the Coastal Cordillera of Chile. Part 2 (this study) presents a sensitivity analysis of how transient 66 67 climate and vegetation impact catchment denudation. This component is evaluated through implementation of transient 68 vegetation effects for hillslopes and detachment limited rivers in a landscape evolution model.

Previous research in agricultural engineering has focused on plot-scale models to predict total soil loss in response to 69 70 land-use change (Zhou et al. 2006; Feng et al. 2010) or general changes in plant surface cover (Gyssels et al. 2005), but 71 do not draw conclusions about large-scale geomorphic feedbacks active over longer (millennial) timescales and larger 72 spatial scales. However, a better understanding of how vegetation influences the large scale topographic features (e.g. 73 relief, hillslope angles, catchment denudation) is crucial to understanding the evolution of modern landscapes. At the 74 catchment scale, observational studies have found a correlation between higher values of mean vegetation cover and basin 75 wide denudation rates or topographic metrics (Jeffery et al., 2014, Sangireddy et al. 2016, Acosta et al. 2015). Parallel to 76 the previous observational studies, numerical modeling experiments of the interactions between landscape erosion and 77 surface vegetation cover have also made progress. For example, Collins et al. (2004) were one of the first who attempted 78 to couple vegetation dynamics with a landscape evolution model and found that the introduction of plants to their model 79 resulted in steeper equilibrium landscapes with a higher variability in magnitude of erosional events. Following this, 80 subsequent modeling studies built upon the previous findings with more sophisticated formulations of vegetation-erosion 81 interactions (Istanbulluoglu and Bras, 2005) including the influence of root strength on hillslopes (Vergani et al., 2017). 82 These studies found that not only is there a positive relationship between vegetation cover and mean catchment slope and 83 elevation but there also exists an inverse relationship between vegetation cover and drainage density, due to the plants 84 ability to hinder fluvial erosion and channel initiation.

The advances of the previous studies are limited mainly by their consideration of static vegetation cover or very simple formulations of dynamic vegetation cover. The exception to this is Istanbulluoglu and Bras (2005) who also considered the lag time for vegetation regrowth on hillslopes after a mass wasting event and Yetemen et al. (2015) which considered more complex hydrology in their models but on a smaller spatial scale. However, numerous studies (Ledru et al., 1997; Allen and Breshears, 1998; Bachelet et al., 2003) document that vegetation cover changes in tandem with climate change over a range of timescales (decadal to million year). Missing from previous landscape evolution studies, is consideration of not only how transient vegetation cover <u>influences catchment denudation</u>, but also how coeval changes in precipitation 92 influence <u>catchment-wide mean</u> denudation. While the effects of climate change over geologic timescales on denudation
93 rates and sediment transport dynamics have been investigated by others (e.g., Schaller et al., 2002; Dosetto et al., 2010;
94 McPhillips et al. 2013), the combined effects of vegetation and climate change on catchment denudation have not. Thus,
95 over longer (geologic) timescales, we are left with a complicated situation of both vegetation and climate changes, and
96 the individual contributions of these changes to catchment scale denudation are difficult to disentangle.
97 In this study, we <u>compliment build onupon</u> previous works by investigating both the temporal and spatial sensitivities of

98 landscapes to the coupled vegetation-climate system. By focusing on simplified transient forcings such as a step change, or 100 kyr oscillations in climate and vegetation cover we present a sensitivity analysis of the landscape response to each 99 100 of these changes, including a better understanding of the direction, magnitude and rates of landscape change. Our model 101 setup is motivated by four study-areas along the climate and vegetation gradient in Chile (Fig. 1a) and illuminates the transient catchment response to biotic vs. climate changes. These study areas are part of the recently initiated German 102 103 priority research program EarthShape: Earth surface shaping by biota (www.earthshape.net). This region is used to 104 provide a basis for our model setup for covariation in precipitation and vegetation present in a natural setting. While we present results representative of the Coastal Cordillera, Chile, it is beyond the scope of this study to provide a detailed 105 106 calibration to this area and our main objective is identifying the sensitivity, and emergent behaviour, of catchment 107 denudation to changing precipitation and vegetation cover over millennial timescales. This study also builds upon results 108 from the companion paper (Werner et al. 2018 - this volume) by imposing temporal variations in vegetation cover, 109 identified in that study.

110 **2. Background to model setup**

Model setup and the range of initial conditions chosen for models were based upon four study-areas located in the Coastal 111 112 Cordillera of Chile (26°S to 38°S). The focus areas shown in Fig. 1a were chosen because of their similar granitic lithology 113 and geologic and tectonic history (Andriessen and Reutter, 1994; McInnes et al., 1999; Juez-Larré et al., 2010; Maksaev 114 and Zentilli, 1999; Avdievitch et al., 2017), and the large gradient in climate and vegetation cover over the region 115 (Fig.1b,c). These study areas include (from north to south): Parque Nacional Pan de Azúcar; Reserva Santa Gracia; Parque 116 Nacional La Campana, and Parque Nacional Nahuelbuta. Although this study does not explicitly present landscape 117 evolution model results 'calibrated' to these specific areas, we've chosen the model input (e.g. precipitation, initial 118 vegetation cover, rate of tectonic rock uplift) to represent these areas to provide simulation results that represent the non-119 linear relationship between precipitation and vegetation cover (e.g. Fig. 1b, c) over a large climate gradient.

Topographic metrics such as mean basin slope, total basin relief, mean basin channel steepness, and mean surface vegetation cover and mean annual precipitation were extracted for the main catchments and a subset of adjacent catchments (Fig. 1; Fig. 2). Topographic metrics were extracted from 30_m resolution digital elevation model from the NASA shuttle radar topography mission (SRTM), and vegetation related datasets from the moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) satellite data (<u>https://landcover.usgs.gov/green_veg.php</u>).

125

126 **2.1 Landscape evolution modeling approach and the applicability of these results**

Landscape evolution model studies can be assigned to different general approaches, which were conceptually defined by Dietrich et al. (2013-). Those approaches mostly differ on the degree of underlying details which were used to 129 parameterize the model and the claim of reproducing certain aspects of landscapes on a temporal and spatial scale which 130 heavily depends on the used approach (for details about the different approaches, see Dietrich et al., 2013) The different 131 approaches presented in the Dietrich et al. (2013) study mostly differ in the complexity of input parameters and in the 132 resulting claim for reproducing realistic complexity in modelled landscapes. For this study we have chosen the approach 133 of essential realism, which acknowledges a system-inherent indeterminacy in the evolving topography but focuses on predicting the first-order trends within a system and the differences between landscapes, based on different external 134 135 conditions, incorporated in the model (Howard, 1997). While we do not claim to reproduce the topographic metrics of the four different focus areas in Chile on a realistic level, 136 137 our approach determines the general first-order effects of millennial timescale changes in precipitation and vegetation

cover that can impact topography.- Superimposed on the effects documented in this study would be the effects of seasonal
 changes in precipitation and vegetation cover, subcatchment variations in vegetation cover, transport limited fluvial and

- 140 vegetation interactions, stochastic variations in precipitation in different climate zones. Consider of the previous, more
- 141 detailed, aspects of precipitation-vegetation interactions on erosion could be independent studies of their own and can not
- be covered in a single study. Thus, the modeling approach and results of this study should be considered as documenting
- the longer (millennial) timescale climate and vegetation forcings on fluvial and surface processes.

144 **3. Methods**

148

145 **3.1. Model Description and governing equations**

146 For this study, we use the open-source model framework Landlab (Hobley et al., 2017). We chose a model-domain with

147 an area of 100_{km^2} which is implemented as a rectangular grid, divided into 0.01km^2 spaced grid cells. For simplification

and for the Parque Nacional La Campana. La Campana is situated at 32°S Latitude (Fig.1) and shows the highest values

in the presentation of results, we present our results for the driest, northern most area (Parque Nacional Pan de Azucar)

150 in analyzed basin metrics (Fig. 2), although the general behavior and results presented here are representative of the other

two areas not shown. The topographic evolution of the landscape is a result of tectonic uplift and surface processes,

152 incorporating detachment limited fluvial erosion and linear diffusive transport of sediment across hillslopes (Fig.3). These

- 153 processes are linked to, and vary in their effectiveness due to surface vegetation density. Details of the implementation of
- these processes into Landlab are explained in the following subsections.

This model setup is simplified in regards to hydrological parameters such as soil moisture and groundwater and unsaturated zone flow. Also, the erosion and transport of material due to mass-wasting processes such as rockfalls and landslides are not considered. We argue that those processes do not play a major role in the basins we used for modelcalibration and that the processes acting continuously along hillslopes and channels have the largest impact on shaping our reference landscapes. The detachment-limited approach was chosen because the focus areas represent small, bedrock

160 <u>dominated headwater catchments.</u> Additional caveats and limitations of the modeling approach used are discussed in

161 Section 5.4. Main model parameters used in the model (and described below) are provided in Table 1.

162 **3.2. Boundary and Initial Conditions, and Model Free Parameters**

163 In an effort to keep simulations comparable, we minimized the differences in parameters between simulations. The 164 exceptions to this include the surface vegetation cover and mean annual precipitation, which were varied between simulations. One of the main controls on topography is the rock uplift rate. We kept the rock uplift rate temporally and spatially uniform across the domain and at 0.2 mm/yr (Table 1). Studies of the exhumation and rock uplift history of the Coastal Cordillera, Chile, are sparse at the latitudes investigated here, but existing and in progress studies further to the north are broadly consistent with the rock uplift rate used here (Juez-Larré et al., 2010; Avdievitch et al., 2017).

169 The EarthShape focus sites are situated in similar granitic lithologies (Oeser et al., 2018), thereby allowing the assumption 170 that the same critical shear-stress, baseline diffusivity, and fluvial erodibility can be used.

171 Vegetation cover was chosen to be spatially uniform across model domains. While vegetation can change in high-relief catchments due to precipitation and temperature changes with elevation, this simplifying assumption was made based on 172 173 the low to moderate relief (500-1500m, mean ~750m) of the Coastal Cordillera areas investigated, and minimal field and 174 MODIS observed changes in type and cover with elevation. The exception to this La Campana study area (~1,500 m 175 relief) which has an observed change in vegetation type and cover in the upper 500 m of the catchment. Furthermore, 176 dynamic vegetation modeling results presented in the companion paper to this (Fig. 5b in Werner et al., 2018 - this 177 volume) indicate that although elevation gradients in plant functional types occur in the region since the last glacial 178 maximum, the elevation range of the catchments in the Coastal Cordillera (<1500 m) exhibits only minor changes with 179 elevation. Vegetation cover near trunk streams within catchments is observed in the field to increase, most likely due to 180 local scale hydrology and more abundant water in these areas. However, these regions are often restricted to with 10's 181 of meters of the trunk stream, well below the 100m grid resolution of the model, and therefore difficult to accurately 182 resolve within the simulations presented.

183

184 The initial topography used in our simulations was a random white-noise topography with <1 m relief. To avoid unwanted transients related to the formation of this initial topography we conduct simulations to produce an equilibrium topography 185 186 for each set of the different climate and vegetation scenarios (see below). These equilibrium topographies were produced 187 by running the model for 15 Myr until a topographic steady-state is reached. The equilibrium topography after 15 Myr was used as the input topography for subsequent experiments that impose transient forcings in climate, vegetation, or 188 189 both (Fig. 4). The model simulation time shown in subsequent plots is the time since completion of this initial 15 Myr 190 steady-state topography development. In the results section, we present these results starting with differences in the initial 191 steady-state topographies (prior to imposing transient forcings) and then add different levels of complexity by imposing 192 either: (1) a single transient step-change for the vegetation cover (Fig. 4b); (2) a step change in the mean annual 193 precipitation (Fig. 4d); (3) 100 kyr oscillations in the vegetation cover (Fig. 4a); (4) 100 kyr oscillations in the mean 194 annual precipitation (Fig. 4c); or (5) 100 kyr oscillation in both the vegetation cover and mean annual precipitation (both 195 Fig. 4a and 4c). This approach was used to produce a stepwise increase in model complexity for evaluating the individual, 196 and then combined, effects of fluvial and hillslope processes to different forcings.

The magnitude of induced rainfall transient forcings where based upon the present-day conditions along the Coastal Cordillera study areas (Fig. 1b, c). The step change and oscillations in vegetation cover and mean annual precipitation imposed on the experiments were designed to investigate vegetation and precipitation change effects on topography over the last ~0.9 Ma, the period during which a 100 kyr orbital forcing is dominant in Earth's climate (Broecker & van Donk, 1970; Muller and MacDonald, 1997)-. Given this timescale of interest, we impose a 10% magnitude change in the stepincrease or decrease, or the amplitude change in oscillations for the vegetation cover. This magnitude of vegetation cover change is supported by dynamic vegetation modeling of vegetation changes over glacial-interglacial cycles in Chile (see

204 companion paper by Werner et al. 2018-this journal) and to some degree elsewhere in the world (Allen et al, 2010, Prentice

205 et al. 2011, Huntley et al. 2013), however for the sake of simplicity we use a fixed forcing of +/-10% for all simulations 206 and not a spatially variable forcing which would be dependent on ecosystem behaviour for each separate area. We assume 207 that the present-day conditions of combined vegetation cover and mean annual precipitation along the north-south gradient of the coastal cordillera are directly linked (Fig. 1b, c), and therefore follow an empirical approach based on the present 208 209 day mean annual precipitation which directly links to the present--day vegetation cover in Chile (Fig. 5). We do this by 210 associating each 10% change in vegetation cover (dV) with a corresponding change of mean annual precipitation (dP, 211 Fig. 5) present in the study areas considered. This approach, with a predefined, fixed change in vegetation cover and 212 precipitation was chosen because the emphasis of this study lies on the effect of changing vegetation cover on topographic 213 metrics. We impose a predefined, fixed amplitude, change inof surface vegetation cover as a transient forcing for 214 simulations. For our prescribed changes in vegetation cover we then chooseing corresponding values of mean annual 215 precipitation based on the relationship shown in figure 1 and 5. The simulations were parameterized in terms of changes in vegetation cover (instead of precipitation) for two reasons. First, the emphasis of this study is on advancing our 216 knowledge of how vegetation changes impact surface processes. Given this, we wanted to present results based on 217 218 reasonable changes in vegetation cover change. Second, results from the companion paper to this one (Werner et al., 2018) suggest the Chilean Coastal Cordillera experiences +/- 10% changes in vegetation covers over the last 21 kyr. We 219 220 adopt this result in this study as the current best estimate for the changes in the study areas considered. Thus, the changes 221 in precipitation and vegetation imposed in this study are empirically based on observations from the climate and ecological 222 gradient in the Coastal Cordillera.

The boundary conditions used in the model were the same for all simulations explained above (Fig. 3). One boundary was held at a fixed elevation and open to flow outside the domain. The other three were allowed to increase in elevation and had a zero-flux condition. This design for boundary conditions is similar to previous landscape evolution modeling studies (Istanbulluoglu and Bras, 2005) and provides a means for analyzing the effects of different vegetation cover and precipitation forcings on the individual catchment and subcatchment scale.

228 3.3. Vegetation Cover Dependent Geomorphic Transport Laws

The governing equation used for simulating topographic change in our experiments follows the continuity of mass. Changes in elevation at different points of the model domain over time dz(x,y,t) depend on

231
$$\frac{\delta z(x,y)}{\delta t} = U - \frac{\delta z}{\delta t}|_{hillslope} - \frac{\delta z}{\delta t}|_{fluvial}$$
(1)

where z is elevation, x, y are lateral distance, t is time, U is the rock uplift rate, $\frac{\delta z}{\delta t}|_{hillslope}$ is the change in elevation due to hillslope processes, $\frac{\delta z}{\delta t}|_{fluvial}$ is the change in elevation due to fluvial processes (Tucker et al., 2001a).

234 3.3.1 Vegetation Cover Influenced Diffusive Hillslope Transport

235 The change in topography in a landscape over time caused by hillslope-dependent diffusion can be characterized as:

$$236 \qquad \frac{\delta z}{\delta t}|_{hillslope} = -\nabla q_{sd} \tag{2}$$

237 Landscape evolution models characterize the flux of sediment qs either as a linear or non-linear function of surface slope

238 S (Culling, 1960; Fernandez and Dietrich, 1997). In order to keep the number of free parameters for the simulation to a

239 minimum, we used the linear description of hillslope diffusion:

$$240 \qquad q_{sd} = K_d S \tag{3}$$

Following the approach of (; Alberts et al., 1995; Dunne, 1996; Istanbulluoglu and Bras, 2005; Dunne et al., 2010), we

242 assign the linear diffusion coefficient K_d as a function of surface vegetation density V, an exponential coefficient α, and

243 a baseline diffusivity K_b, such that:

$$244 K_d = K_b e^{-(\alpha V)} (4)$$

245 **3.3.2 Vegetation Cover Influence on Overland Flow and Fluvial Erosion**

Fluvial detachment-limited erosion of material due to water is calculated in this study by the widely-used stream-powerequation (Howard and Kerby, 1983; Howard et al., 1994-;_Whipple and Tucker, 1999; Braun and Willet, 2013):

248
$$\frac{\delta z}{\delta t}|_{fluvial} = k_e (\tau - \tau_c)^p \text{ for } \tau > \tau_c$$
(5)

In this equation k_e represents the erodibility of the bed, τ is the bed shear stress which acts on the surface at each node, τ_c is the critical shear stress which needs to be overcome to erode the bed-material and p is a constant.

By following the approach of Istanbulluoglu and Bras (2005) and Istanbulluoglu et al. (2004), we reformulate the standard equation of shear-stress $\tau_b = \rho_w gRS$, where ρ_w is the density of water, g is the acceleration of gravity, R is the hydraulic radius and S is the local slope, to a form which incorporates Manning's roughness to quantify the effect of vegetation cover on bed shear stress (Willgoose et al., 1991, Istanbulluoglu et al., 2004):

255
$$\tau_{v} = \rho_{w} g(n_{s} + n_{v})^{\frac{1}{10}} q^{m} S^{n} F_{t}$$
(6)

Here n_s and n_v represent Manning's numbers for bare soil and vegetated ground, q is the water-discharge per node which is approximated with the steady-state uniform precipitation <u>per timestep</u> P and the surface area per node A (q = A* P) and S is the local slope per node, m and n are constants. n_v for each node is calculated as a function of the local surface vegetation cover

$$260 n_v = n_{vr} \left(\frac{V}{V_r}\right)^w (7)$$

with n_{vr} being the Manning's number for a defined reference vegetation cover, V and V_r being the vegetation cover at each node and the reference vegetation cover and w is an empirical scaling parameter.

The last variable in equation 6 represents the shear-stress partitioning ratio F_T (after Foster 1982; Istanbulluoglu and Bras, 2005), which is used to scale the shear-stress at each node to the vegetation-cover present.

265
$$F_t = \left(\frac{n_s}{n_s + n_v}\right)^{3/2}$$
(8)

By combining the formulation for shear stress out of equation 6 with the general stream-power equation 5 we formulate a new factor K_v which represent the bed erodibility per node as- a function of surface vegetation cover, which leads to a new expression of fluvial erosion

269
$$K_v = k_e \rho_w g(n_s + n_v)^{\frac{3}{10}} F_t$$
 (9)

$$270 \quad \frac{\delta z}{\delta t}|_{fluvial} = K_v \ q^m S^n \tag{10}$$

271 **3.4 Model Evaluation**

Model performance was evaluated using the above equations and different initial vegetation covers and mean annual precipitation. Our focus in this study is on the general surface process response to different transient vegetation and climate conditions. Given this, topographic metrics of relief, mean slope, and normalized steepness index (K_{sn}) were computed from the model results and compared to observed values from the 30 m SRTM DEM for each of the four areas (Fig. 2). This was done to evaluate if our implementation of the governing equations in Section 3.4 produced topographies within reason of present day topographies in the four Chilean areas. A more detailed model calibration is beyond the scope of this study, and not meaningful without additional observational constraints on key parameters such latitudinal variations in the rock uplift rate and erosivity. Our aim is not to reproduce the present day topography of the Coastal Cordillera study areas but rather identify the sensitivity and emergent behaviour of vegetation-dependent surface processes gradient of vegetation cover and precipitation in Chile.

282 **4. Results**

283 Our presentation of results is structured around three groups of simulations. These include: 1. steady-state simulations 284 where equilibrium topographies are calculated for different magnitudes of vegetation cover and identical precipitation 285 forcing. A second set of steady-state simulations with the same magnitudes vegetation cover as 1. but with different precipitation forcings corresponding to each vegetation cover (Fig. 5, Section 4.1). 2. Simulations with a transient step-286 287 change in either surface vegetation density or precipitation (Section 4.2) that is initiated after the landscape has reached 288 steady state. and 3. simulations with a transient 100 kyr oscillating time series of changing vegetation or precipitation that 289 occurs after the landscape has reached steady state (Section 4.3). For each group of transient simulations, we show the 290 topographic evolution with help of standard topographic metrics and the corresponding erosion rates after the induced 291 change.

292 4.1 Equilibrium Topographic Metrics

Topographic metrics from each of the four Chilean focus areas (Fig. 1a) were extracted for comparison to equilibrium topographies predicted after 15 Myr of model simulation time. This comparison was done to document the model response to changing vegetation cover (with climate held constant) and changing vegetation cover and precipitation, and also to demonstrate the modeling approach employed throughout the rest of this study captures the general characteristics of different topographic metrics along the Chilean Coastal Cordillera.

Analysis of the digital elevation model for each of our four Chilean focus areas illustrates observed changes in catchment relief, slope, and channel steepness (K_{sn}) in relation to the surface vegetation (Fig. 7, red points) and latitude (Fig. 2). The general trend in the observed metrics shows a non-linear increase in each metric until a maximum is reached for regions with 70% vegetation cover. Following this, all observed metrics show a decline towards the area with 100% vegetation cover.

The model predicted equilibrium topographies (Fig. 7a,b,c) from four different steady-state simulations with variable different vegetation cover in each simulation and a constant mean annual precipitation (900 mm/yr) show a nearly linear increase in all observed basin metrics with increasing vegetation cover and therefore do not reflect the overall trend observed from the study areas (red line/symbols). For example, basin relief and slope are both under predicted for simulations with V < 100% (Fig.7a,b), and only the predicted maximum relief for a fully-vegetated simulation resembles the DEM maximum value. For the normalized channel steepness, only two observed mean values (for V = 10% and 70%) lie within the range of mean to maximum predicted K_{sn} values (Fig.7c).

The resulting equilibrium topographies from simulations with different mean annual precipitation and vegetation cover in each simulation (Fig.7d,e,f) show an improved representation of the general trend of the DEM data. The vegetation

312 cover and precipitation values used in these simulations come from the Chilean study areas (Fig. 1b, c; Fig. 5). In these

- 313 simulations, the maximum in the observed basin metrics is situated at values of V = 30% with a following slight decrease
- in the metric for V = 30% to V = 70%, followed by a steeper decrease in metrics from V = 70% to V = 100%. Generally
- the model-based results tend to underestimate the basin relief and overestimate the basin channel steepness (Fig.7d,f).
- 316 Variations in basin slope are captured for all but the non-vegetated state (Fig.7e).
- 317 Although the above comparison between the models and observations demonstrates a range of misfits between the two, 318 there are several key points worth noting. First, the model results shown are simplified in their setup (e.g. assuming 319 similar rock uplift rate, identical lithology and constants), and assume the present day topography is in steady state for the comparison. Second, despite the previous simplifying assumptions, the degree of misfit between the observations and 320 321 model are surprisingly small when both variable vegetation and variable precipitation, are considered (Fig. 7d,e,f). Finally 322 (third), the general 'humped' shape curve observed in the Chilean areas is captured in the model predictions (Fig. 7d,e,f), with the notable exception that the maximum in observed values occurs at a higher vegetation cover (V = 70%) than the 323 324 model predictions (V = 30%). Explanations for the possible source of these differences are revisited in the discussion 325 section.

326 **4.2** Transient Topography From a Step Change in Vegetation or Precipitation

327 The evolution of topographic metrics -after a induced instantaneous disturbance (Fig. 4) of either only the surface 328 vegetation cover (Fig. 8, green lines) or only the mean annual precipitation (Fig. 8, blue lines) is analyzed for changes in topographic metrics for either a positive disturbance (Fig. 8a,b,c) or a negative disturbance (Fig. 8d,e,f). This scenario was 329 330 chosen to analyze and isolate the effects of these specific transient forcings, and are useful for understanding more 331 complex changes in vegetation and precipitation presented later. Mean catchment erosion rates are also analyzed for their 332 evolution after the disturbance (Fig.9). For simplicity in presentation, results are shown for only two of the four Chilean 333 study areas with initial vegetation (V) and precipitation (P) values for vegetation covers of 10 and 70%, and precipitation rates that correspond to these vegetation covers (i.e. P(V=10%)) or P(V=70%)) (Fig. 5). The results described below show 334 335 a general positive correlation between all observed topographic metrics and surface vegetation cover and a negative 336 correlation between observed topographic metrics and mean annual precipitation.

4.2.1 Positive Step Change in Vegetation Cover or Precipitation

338 **Topographic Analysis**

- A positive step change in vegetation cover (V) from V = 10% to V = 20% (solid green line Fig. 8a,b,c) leads to a factor 339 of 1.9, 1.42, and 2.1 change in mean basin relief (from 270 m to 520 m), mean basin slope (11.2° to 15.9°), and mean 340 basin channel steepness (108 m^{-0.9} to 222 m^{-0.9}), respectively. The adjustment time until a new steady state in each metric 341 342 is reached is 3.1 Ma. The corresponding positive change in mean annual precipitation (solid blue lines, Fig. 8a,b,c) leads 343 to a decrease of mean basin relief to 176 m, mean basin slope to 8.6° and mean basin channel steepness to 67 m^{-0.9}. This 344 corresponds to a decrease by factors of 1.5, 1.2 and 1.6, respectively. The adjustment time to new steady state conditions 345 in this case are shorter and 1.1Ma (Fig.8a,b,c). A second feature of these results is the brief increase and then decrease in basin average slope angles following the step change (Fig. 8b). 346
- For simulations with V = 70% initial surface vegetation cover, a positive increase to V = 80% leads to an increase of
- mean basin relief from 418_{m} to 474_{m} , mean basin slope from 15.5° to 16.8° and mean basin channel steepness from
- 349 172 m^{-0.9} to 199 m^{-0.9}. This causes an increase in each metric by factors of 1.1, 1.1 and 1.2, respectively. The adjustment
- time to steady-state conditions is 1.9Ma (dotted green lines, Fig 8a,b,c). The corresponding positive change in mean

- annual precipitation leads to a decrease of relief to 268_m, decrease in slope to 11.9° and decrease of channel steepness
- to 105 m^{-0.9}. This resembles a decrease by factors 1.5, 1.3, 1.6, respectively. Adjustment time in this case is 1.7Ma (dotted
- blue lines, Fig. 8a,b,c). The basin slope data shows similar behavior as the $V_{ini} = 10\%$ simulations with an initial decrease
- and then increase for a vegetation cover step change and an initial increase and then decrease for a step change in mean
- annual precipitation. Comparison of the change in the topographic metrics for the low (V=10%) and high (V=70%) initial
- 356 vegetation covers, the magnitude of change in each metric is larger when the step change occurs on a low, rather than
- 357 higher, initial vegetation cover topography.

358 Erosion Rate Changes

- The model results show a negative relationship between increases in vegetation cover and erosion and a positive relationship between increases in precipitation and erosion (Fig. 9). Although the response between the disturbances and changes in erosion rates are instantaneous, the maximum or minimum in the change is reached after some lag time and the magnitude and duration of non-equilibrium erosion rates varies between different simulation setups.
- For initial vegetation cover of V = 10%, a change in vegetation cover (dV) of +10% leads to a decrease in erosion rates 363 364 from 0.2 to 0.03 mm/yr (factor of 5.7 decrease, Fig. 9a green line). The minimum erosion rate is reached 43.5 kyrs after 365 the step change occurs. Following this minimum in erosion rates, the rates increase until the steady-state erosion rate is 366 reached after the adjustment time. An increase in mean annual precipitation corresponding to a vegetation cover of 10% 367 (i.e. P(V=10%) to P(V=20%); Fig. 5) leads to an increase in erosion rates to a maximum of 0.44 mm/yr after 74.8 kyrs 368 (factor of 2.2 increase, Fig.9a, blue line). For initial vegetation of V = 70% a vegetation increase of dV = +10% results in 369 minimum erosion rates of 0.14 mm/yr after 117.7 kyrs (factor of 1.4 decrease, Fig. 9b, green line). A corresponding 370 increase in precipitation for these same vegetation conditions leads to maximum erosion rates of 0.44mm/yr after 107.5 kyrs which is an increase by a factor of 2.2 (Fig.9b, blue line). The previous results for a positive step change in vegetation 371 372 or precipitation demonstrate that the magnitude of change in erosion rates is larger for changes in precipitation rate than 373 for vegetation cover changes, and in low initial vegetation cover settings (V=10%) the magnitude of change in erosion rates for changing vegetation is larger (compare green lines Fig. 9a with 9b). 374
- 375
- 376

377 **4.2.2 Negative Step Change in Vegetation Cover or Precipitation**

378 **Topographic Analysis**

379 For negative step-changes in vegetation (green curves, Fig. 8d,e,f), the results show a sharp decrease in topographic 380 metrics associated with shorter adjustment times compared to the positive step change experiments (compare Fig. 8d,e,f with a,b,c). For step changes in precipitation (blue curves, Fig. 8d,e,f), the increase of topographic metrics happens slower 381 382 and therefore with longer adjustment times. A negative step change in vegetation cover from V = 10% by dV = -10%383 leads to a decrease of mean basin relief from 269m to 35m, mean basin slope from 11.2° to 2.3° and mean basin channel steepness from 108 m^{-0.9} to 11 m^{-0.9} which resembles decreases by factors of 7.8, 3.8 and 9.6, respectively. The adjustment 384 385 time until a new steady-state is reached is 0.26 Ma (solid green lines, Fig. 8d,e,f). The corresponding negative change in precipitation leads to an increase in mean basin relief to 512m, mean basin slope to 15.8° and mean basin channel 386 387 steepness to 223 m^{-0.9}. These increases reflect changes by factors of 1.9, 1.4 and 2.1 with an adjustment time of 4.9Ma 388 (dotted green lines, Fig.8d,e,f). Mean basin slope results (Fig. 8e) for a step change in vegetation illustrate a pulse-like 389 feature of initially increasing slope values, followed by a decrease to lower slope values. In contrast, a negative step

- 390 change in precipitation induce an initial decrease in slope, followed by a gradual increase in slope to a value higher than 391 was initially observed before the change.
- 392 Simulations with initial vegetation cover V = 70% and dV = -10% show a decrease in mean basin relief from 418m to
- 393 356m, mean basin slope from 15.5° to 13.6° and mean basin channel steepness from $172m^{-0.9}$ to $144m^{-0.9}$ which resembles
- 394 changes by factors of 1.2, 1.1 and 1.2 and an adjustment time of 2.1Ma (dotted green lines, Fig.8d,e,f). Corresponding
- negative changes in precipitation lead to increase of basin relief of 465_m, basin slope to 16.4° and channel steepness to
- 396 195m^{-0.9} which resembles changes by factors of 1.1 for all three values. Adjustment time in this case is 2.2 Ma (dotted
- blue lines, Fig.8d,e,f). Behavior of mean basin slope after the step-change follows the V = 10% simulations but shows
- 398 lower amplitudes of basin slope for both step-changes in vegetation and precipitation.
- 399

400 Erosion Rates

- The positive step-change results (Fig. 9a, b) indicated that erosion rates reach their minimum or maximum with a lag 401 402 time, and show significant differences in the magnitude and duration of non-equilibrium conditions depending on if 403 vegetation or precipitation were changing. Simulations with a decrease from V = 10% to V = 0% (Fig. 9c) show a sudden 404 increase in erosion rates to a maximum value of 3.5 mm/yr which is an increase from steady state conditions by a factor 405 of 17.7 which is reached after 19.5_kyrs (green line, Fig.9c). A step decrease in precipitation for this corresponding vegetation difference (i.e. P(V=10%)) to P(V=0%) leads to a smaller, and protracted (longer adjustment time) decrease 406 407 in erosion rates to 0.03_mm/yr after 50.1_kyrs. These conditions cause a factor of 5.6 decrease (blue line, Fig. 9c). 408 Simulations of V = 70% with a vegetation change of dV = -10% show an increase in erosion rates to 0.27 mm/yr which 409 is a factor of 1.4 increase after 126.3 kyrs (Fig. 9d). For the corresponding decrease in precipitation the data show a 410 decrease in erosion rates to 0.15mm/yr after 124.5 kyrs. This resembles change by factor of 1.2 (blue line, Fig. 9d).
- 411 **4.3 Transient Topography Oscillating**
- 412

413 **4.3.1 Oscillating Surface Vegetation Cover, Constant Precipitation**

414 **Topographic Analysis**

415 The topographic evolution in simulations with a constant precipitation (10 and 360mm/yr for V=10%, and V=70%, 416 respectively) and oscillating vegetation cover show a different response than the previous step change experiments. The 417 differences depend on the initial steady-state vegetation cover prior to the onset of 100kyr oscillations. All observed basin 418 metrics (Fig. 10) show an initial oscillating decrease in values until a new dynamic steady-state is reached where the 419 amplitude in oscillations is less than in the preceding initial adjustment period. Simulations with V = 10% (Fig. 10a) show 420 a factor of 2.5 decline in the basin relief (from 269 m to 107 m). For simulations with V = 70% the reaction and adjustment 421 to the new dynamic steady-state is less pronounced with a -factor of 1.01 decline in relief (from 410m to 407m) with 422 positive and negative amplitudes in dynamic steady-state of 1.6 m. While these changes are unmeasurable in reality, they 423 highlight that for high initial vegetation cover settings that changes in only vegetation cover would be difficult to detect. 424 Analysis of mean basin slope for the model topographies with low (V=10%) vegetation shows a similar behavior with a factor of 1.6 decrease of the mean slope (from 11.2° prior to the onset of oscillations, to 6.0°, Fig. 10b). However, before 425 this new equilibrium is reached, the slopes show an increase in mean slope for the first two periods of vegetation 426 427 oscillation which then declines towards the new long-term stable dynamic equilibrium which is reached after

428 approximately 500 kyrs. Local maxima of mean basin slope coincide with local minima in basin relief. For the V = 70%429 simulations, the reaction is significantly smaller with no change in mean slope for the new dynamic equilibrium and 430 amplitudes of both positive and negative of 0.16° . Mean basin channel steepness (Fig. 10c) reflects the behavior of mean 431 basin elevation. For V = 10% simulations the mean channel steepness decreases by a factor of 2.7 (from 108 m^{-0.9} to 40 432 $m^{-0.9}$) with a positive amplitude of 3.7 $m^{-0.9}$ and a negative amplitude of 6.1 $m^{-0.9}$. For V = 70% simulations the response is again only minor, compared to the lower initial vegetation cover simulations with a change of mean channel steepness 433 from 186 m^{-0.9} to 167 m^{-0.9} and positive amplitudes of 1.1_m^{-0.9} and negative amplitudes of 0.9_m^{-0.9}. Like the elevation 434 data, the steepness data shows a distinct oscillating pattern with a slow increase to local maxima and rapid decreases to 435 436 local minima which coincide with maxima/minima of elevation data. Taken together, the previous observations 437 demonstrate a larger change in topography for oscillations in poorly vegetated areas compared to those with higher 438 vegetation cover. Furthermore, the magnitude of topographic change that oscillations in vegetation impose on topography are largest in the first ~500 kyr after the onset of an oscillation, and diminish thereafter. 439

440

441 442

443 Erosion Rates

The erosion history for simulations with oscillating vegetation cover (Fig. 11) demonstrate large variations in the erosion 444 445 rate that depend on the average vegetation cover of the oscillation. Furthermore, pronounced differences in the amplitude of erosion occur if the vegetation cover is above or below the mean of the oscillation (Fig. 4a). More specifically, 446 447 simulations with V = 10% show a pattern of a small decrease in erosion rates (from 0.2 to 0.03 mm/yr) when vegetation 448 cover increases above the mean cover, in contrast to a large increase in erosion rates (up to 3.3 mm/yr) when vegetation 449 cover decreases below the mean of the oscillation (Fig. 2, Fig. 11). Maximum erosion rates decline over multiple periods 450 of oscillation until they reach a dynamic steady-state with maximum rates (1.2mm/yr) at 760kyrs after the onset. Time 451 periods of higher erosion rates (>0.2_mm/yr) have a mean duration of 28kyrs, whereas periods of lower erosion rates (<0.2 452 mm/yr) have a mean duration 72kyrs. For simulations with high vegetation cover (V = 70%) the maximum and minimum erosion rates are 0.28 and 0.15 mm/yr, respectively. The magnitude of maximum and minimum erosion rates are not 453 454 significantly time-dependent and -are therefore constant over the simulation.are reached at each local vegetation cover 455 minimum. The mean duration of periods with higher erosion rates (> 0.2mm/yr) is 55_kyrs whereas the duration for 456 periods with lower rates (< 0.2_mm/yr) is 45_kyrs. These results demonstrate that areas with low vegetation cover 457 experience not only larger amplitudes of change in erosion rates, but also an asymmetric change whereby decreases in 458 erosion rates are lower magnitude than the increases in erosion rates.

- 459
- 460

461 **4.3.2 Oscillating precipitation, Constant Vegetation**

462 **Topographic Analysis**

The evolution of topographic parameters for simulations with oscillating mean annual precipitation and two different constant surface vegetation covers (V=10 or 70%, Fig. 12) show a less extreme and smaller temporal change in erosion rate to variations in precipitation compared to the previous discussed effects of oscillating vegetation cover (Fig. 11). In Fig. 12a, the mean basin relief results for V = 10% and oscillating precipitation show small variations (+4.9 m to -3.8 m) 467 in relief around a mean of 269 m, which is similar to the mean relief prior to the onset of oscillations at 5,000kyrs. For simulations with V = 70% the change in relief is slightly more pronounced with factor of 1.1 adjustment to a new mean 468 469 (380 m from 418 m) in steady-state conditions. The evolution of topographic slope (Fig. 12b) for V = 10% simulations 470 shows a factor of 1.05 adjustment to a new dynamic equilibrium (from 11.2° to 10.6°). For V = 70% the mean slope 471 values do not significantly change from steady-state to transient conditions. Mean channel steepness (Fig. 12c) for 472 V=10% shows a factor of 1.01 -adjustment (from 108 $m^{-0.9}$ to 110 $m^{-0.9}$), and would be difficult to measure in reality. The 473 amplitude of oscillation is 4 m^{-0.9} for both negative and positive amplitudes. For $V_{ini} = 70\%$ simulations a factor 1.1 change 474 in channel steepness occurs (from $171 \text{ m}^{-0.9}$ to $152 \text{ m}^{-0.9}$) with amplitudes of $4.5 \text{ m}^{-0.9}$ for both positive and negative changes. Thus, although fFigure 12 illustrates changes in topographic metrics that result from oscillations in precipitation occurring 475 476 around vegetation covers of 10 and 70%, These changes are significantly smaller than those predicted for constant 477 precipitation, but oscillating vegetation conditions (Fig. 10).

479 Erosion Rates

478

480 Predicted erosion rates from simulations with constant surface vegetation cover and oscillating mean annual precipitation 481 indicate different amplitudes of change around the mean erosion rate depending on the vegetation cover. For simulations 482 with V = 10% (Fig. 13, blue solid line) erosion rates oscillate symmetrically around the steady-state erosion rate 483 (0.2mm/yr). The maximum and minimum erosion rates of 0.42 and 0.01 mm/yr, respectively,- do not lead to a shift in the 484 mean value of erosion rates over time.result in no change in the mean rate. In contrast, predicted rates with a higher 485 vegetation cover of V = 70% (Fig. 13, blue dotted line) demonstrate an asymmetric oscillation in rates around the mean, 486 whereby the maximum in rates (0.43 mm/yr) has a larger difference above the mean rate, than do the minimums in the oscillation (0.12_mm/yr). For this higher vegetation cover scenario, a gradual increase decrease in the mean erosion rate 487 488 while-with time progresses occurs. Furthermore, the maximum and minimum erosion rates decline over several oscillation 489 periods. Taken together, these results indicate that oscillations in precipitation impact erosion with different magnitude 490 depending on the amount of vegetation cover. Areas with low vegetation cover demonstrate the highest and symmetric 491 oscillation of erosion rates due to changes in precipitation whereas in areas with high vegetation cover the effect of 492 negative changes in precipitation is dampened by vegetation.

493 **5. Discussion**

The previous results highlight different sensitivities to changes in either surface vegetation cover or mean annual precipitation. In the following, we synthesize the previous results and then build upon them to discuss the <u>, over longer</u> time scales, more common scenario of synchronous variation in both precipitation and vegetation cover.

497 **5.1 Interpretation of Steady-State Simulations**

498 Landscapes in a topographic steady-state show distinct features in topographic metrics that are widely used to estimate
 499 catchment-averaged erosion rates and therefore the leading processes of erosion within a landscape (DiBiase et al., 2010).
 500 The steady-state simulations presented can reproduce (Fig. 7) variations in topographic metrics over different climate and

- 501 vegetation states seen in other studies (Langbein & Schumm, 1958, Walling and Webb 1983). Comparison of simulations
- 502 with homogeneous precipitation and changing values of vegetation cover (Fig. 7a,b,c) to simulations with both changing
- 503 precipitation and vegetation cover (Fig. 7d,e,f) indicates we can only reproduce a similar trend with a distinct peak in

- 504 topographic metrics when both variable precipitation and vegetation cover are considered. From this, we conclude that
- 505 modern model-based landscape evolution studies that aim to compare areas with different climates should incorporate
- 506 vegetation dynamics in their simulations. Misfits between the predicted and Chilean observed topographic metrics (Fig.
- 507 7d,e,f) present when the vegetation and precipitation both vary likely stem from the simplicity of the model setup used
- 508 and the likelihood of differences of the rock uplift rate and lithology's present in these areas.

509 **5.2 Interpretation of Step-Change Experiments**

510 Our analysis shows that changes in vegetation-cover typically have a higher magnitude of impact on topographies for 511 lower values of initial vegetation cover, compared to simulations with high initial vegetation cover (Fig. 8, 9). In those 512 settings the influence of vegetation cover outweighs the influence of precipitation in cases of negative and positive 513 directions of the step change. The reason for this is due to a higher impact of changes in vegetation on erosivity and 514 diffusivity (parameter K_v, K_d; equation 4, 10) than changes in precipitation.

- Furthermore, a negative step change in vegetation cover impacts the topographic metrics by a factor of two more than do positive step change changes (Fig. 8d,e,f). This response is interpreted to be due to the non-linear reaction of diffusivity and fluvial erodibility to changes in vegetation cover (See Fig.6). Negative changes in vegetation cover lead to a higher overall change in diffusivity and erodibility compared to positive step-changes.
- 519 Model results for the topographic metrics and erosion rates also indicate a difference in the adjustment times of the system 520 until a new steady state is reached when either precipitation or vegetation cover changes (Figs. 8, 9). For simulations with 521 positive step-changes (Fig. 8a,b,c) the adjustment time for changes in vegetation cover to reach a new equilibrium in 522 topographic metrics or erosion rates is three times higher_longer than the adjustment time for changes in precipitation. 523 Simulations with a negative step-changes in vegetation cover show an adjustment time which is lower-shorter by a factor 524 of 18 compared to negative changes in precipitation. This difference in adjustment time again is a result of the non-linear 525 behavior of erosion parameters K_d and K_v which influence how effective a signal of increasing or decreasing erosion can 526 travel through a river basin (Perron et al., 2012). High values of K_d and K_v are associated with lower adjustment times 527 and are a result of negative changes in vegetation cover. The influence of changing precipitation on adjustment time 528 behaves in a more linear fashion and therefore mostly depends on the overall magnitude of change. Therefore, positive 529 step-changes in vegetation cover decrease K_d and K_v which leads to higher adjustment times than the corresponding 530 changes in precipitation.
- 531 An increase and then decrease, or decrease and then increase, in predicted slope and erosion rates is observed for both the 532 positive and negative step changes experiments (Fig. 8b,e; and Fig. 9). This non-linear response in both positive and 533 negative step changes in precipitation and vegetation cover is also manifested in the subsequent oscillation experiments, but most clearly identifiable in the step change experiments. The explanation for this behavior is as follows. A positive 534 535 step change in vegetation cover (Fig. 8b) leads to a decrease in fluvial capacity because increased vegetation cover 536 increases the Manning's roughness (parameter n_v , equation 8). The effect of changing the Manning's roughness varies 537 with the location in the catchment and influences which processes (fluvial or hillslope) most strongly influence slopes 538 and erosion rates. In the upper part of catchments where contributing areas (and discharge) are low, this increase in 539 Manning's roughness causes many areas to be below threshold conditions such that fluvial erosion is less efficient, and 540 hillslope diffusion increases in importance and reduces slopes. In the lower part of catchments, where contributing area 541 and discharge are higher, changes in the Manning's roughness are not large enough to impact fluvial erosion because
- these areas remain at, or above, threshold conditions for erosion. With time, the lower regions of the catchments that are

at or above threshold conditions propagate a wave of erosion up to the higher regions that are below threshold conditions.

544 The propagating wave of erosion eventually leads to increase in slope angles, essential due to the response time of the

545 fluvial network to adjust to <u>the</u> new Manning's roughness conditions.

In contrast, a positive step change in mean annual precipitation leads to an initial increase in fluvial shear stress which initially causes headward incision of river channels and leads to wave of erosion that propagates upstream and increases channel slope values (Fig. 8b, see also e.g. Bonnet and Crave, 2003). The increase in channel slopes leads to an increase in the hillslope diffusive flux adjacent to the channels that then propagates upslope. Eventually, this increase in hillslope flux leads to a decrease in hillslope angles, and an overall reduction in mean catchment slopes after the systems reaches equilibrium.

- 552 Negative step-changes in vegetation cover or precipitation (Fig. 8e, green curves) shows the opposite behavior of the 553 previous positive step change description. A negative step change in vegetation cover leads to an initial increase of fluvial 554 erosion everywhere in the catchment because the Manning's roughness decreases everywhere. This catchment wide 555 decrease in Manning's roughness leads to fluvial incision everywhere in the catchment and an increase in mean slope. 556 However, eventually hillslope processes catch up with increased slopes near the channels and with time an overall 557 reduction of slope occurs. Negative changes in precipitation (Fig. 8e, blue curves) lead to an initial decrease in fluvial 558 erosion thereby leading to an increase in the significance of hillslope processes such that slope angles between channel 559 and ridge decrease as hillslope processes fill in channels. With time, the fluvial network equilibrates to lower precipitation 560 conditions by increasing slopes to maintain equilibrium between erosion and rock uplift rates.
- 561 Thus, the contrasting behavior of either initially increasing or decreasing slopes and erosion rates, followed by a change 562 in the opposite direction of this initial change highlight a complicated vegetation-climate induced response to changes in 563 either parameter. This non-linear behavior, and the millenial timescales over which these changes occur, suggest that 564 modern-systems that experienced past changes in climate and vegetation will likely be in a state of transience and the 565 concept of a dynamic equilibrium in hillslope angles and erosion rates may be difficult to achieve in these natural systems. Previous studies have inferred relationships between mean catchment erosion rates derived from cosmogenic 566 567 radionuclides and topographic metrics (e.g., DiBiase 2010; DiBiase and Whipple 2011). However, the previous discussion of how topographic metrics change in response to variable precipitation and vegetation suggest that empirical 568 569 relationships between erosion rates and topographic metrics contain a signal of climate and vegetation cover in the 570 catchment. We illustrate the effect of step changes in climate and vegetation on the new steady-state of topographic 571 metrics in figure 14. In this example, the new steady state conditions in basin relief and mean slope after a modest (+/-572 10%) change in vegetation or precipitation (triangles) differ from the initial steady-state condition (circles). These 573 changes in topographic metrics when the new steady-state is achieved occur despite the rock uplift rate remaining 574 constant.

575 **5.3 Interpretation of Oscillation Experiments**

The results from the 100_kyr oscillating vegetation and precipitation conditions shows that oscillating vegetation cover without the corresponding oscillations in precipitation leads to adjustments of topographic features, to a new dynamic equilibrium after approximately 1.5_Ma (Figs. 10, 11). The previously described response of topographic metrics and erosion rates to oscillating vegetation (see results section) are due to processes described in the previous step change experiments. For example, the asymmetric oscillations in topographic metrics for V=10% (Fig. 10) are due to the superposition of positive, then negative changes described in section 5.2. Variations in the imposed Manning's roughness, and relative strengths of fluvial vs hillslope processes in different parts of the catchments at different times causes the

topographic metrics and erosion rates to have a variable amplitude and shape of response from the symmetric oscillations

imposed on the topography (Fig. 4a).

Simulations with oscillating precipitation and constant vegetation cover however show a less pronounced shift to new 585 equilibrium conditions and lower amplitudes of oscillation in both topographic metrics and erosion (Figs. 12, 13). This 586 587 difference in the response of the topographic metrics and erosion rates shown in figures 12 and 13, compared to the 588 oscillating vegetation cover experiments (Figs 10, 11), is due to a higher impact of changes in vegetation cover on parameters which guide erosion rates and therefore adjustment to topographic metrics compared to the \corresponding 589 590 changes in precipitation in our model domains (Fig. 5). Especially for simulations with low initial vegetation cover the 591 effect of changing vegetation has a larger magnitude effects because of the non-linear response of diffusivity and fluvial 592 erodibility to changes in vegetation cover compared to the linear response to changes in precipitation.

593 **5.4 Coupled Oscillations in Both Vegetation and Precipitation**

The previous sections present a sensitivity analysis of how step changes or oscillations in either vegetation cover or precipitation influence topography. Here we present a step-wise increase towards reality by investigating the topographic response to changes in both precipitation and climate at the same time. The amplitude of change prescribed for both precipitation and vegetation is based upon the present empirical relationship observed in the Chilean study areas for initial vegetation covers of 10 and 70%, and mean annual precipitations for 10 and 360_mm/yr (Fig. 5). As with the previous experiments, oscillations in parameters were imposed upon steady-state topography that developed with the previous values, and a rock uplift rate of 0.2_mm/yr.

- Figure 15 shows the evolution of topographic metrics for simulations with combined oscillations in precipitation and vegetation. The variation in topographic metrics resembles those described for simulations with constant vegetation cover and oscillating climate by showing little to no significant adjustment towards new dynamic steady-state conditions. The amplitudes of oscillation are dampened from those of previous results because of the opposing effects of changes in precipitation and vegetation cover (e.g. compare blue and green curves in Figs. 8 and 9).
- However, inspection of the predicted erosion rates (Fig. 16) for the combined oscillations indicates a significant (~0.1; - ~ 0.15 _mm/yr), and highly non-linear response. The response between the 70% and 10% vegetation cover scenarios are very different such that for heavily vegetated areas (P(V=70%)) erosion rates typically increase during an oscillation, whereas for the low vegetation cover conditions (P(V=10%)) erosion rates initially show a decrease, and then an increase and decrease at a higher frequency.
- 611 To better understand this contrast in the response to combined precipitation and vegetation changes, the first 100 kyr 612 cycle is shown in figure 17. After an oscillation starts, the 10% initial vegetation cover simulations show a decline in 613 erosion rates with the minimum erosion rate correlated with highest values of both vegetation cover and mean annual 614 precipitation (compare top and bottom panels). This part of the response is interpreted as resulting from the hindering 615 effect of increased vegetation on erosion rates outweighing the impact of higher values of precipitation on erosion rates (Fig. 17) due tobecause vegetation_decreasesing the effectiveness of erosion and transport of surface material.increasing 616 617 bed stability and shielding of the surface against rainfall. After values of vegetation cover and precipitation start to decline, 618 erosion rates show a very rapid increase to values of ~0.3mm/yr. This increase in erosion rates is due to an increase in both K_v and K_d (Fig. 3b, equations 4, 5) which outcompetes the effect of precipitation decrease. 619

Following this, a sudden drop in erosion rates to $0_{mm/yr}$ occurs and lasts for 3_{kyrs} due to the onset of hyper arid conditions at minimum precipitation. After this low in erosion rates, they increase again (to 0.3 mm/yr) as precipitation and vegetation cover increase while the effect of increased precipitation outweighs the effect of the non-linear decrease in K_v and K_d (Fig. 3b, c; equations 4, 5). Finally, at the end of this complex cycle a decrease in erosion rates occurs (Fig. 17b) while vegetation and precipitation are increasing (upper panel) because the effect of vegetation increases K_v/K_d and outweighs the effect of increasing precipitation.

Lastly, a clearly different behavior in erosion rates occurs for settings with higher vegetation cover (e.g. P(V=70%), Fig.

17) compared to the previous lower vegetation cover scenarios. As the vegetation cover and precipitation increase (Fig. 17A) in the first half of the 100_kyr cycle, the erosion rates increase (to_0.35_mm/yr). This is due to the increase in precipitation which outcompetes the decline in vegetation influenced erosivity/diffusivity parameters K_d and K_v . Following this, when vegetation cover and precipitation decrease in the second half of the cycle, little to no change occurs in the erosion rates. This near static behavior in erosion rates while precipitation and vegetation cover decrease is due to an equilibrium between the negative effect on erosion rates for decreasing precipitation and the positive effect on erosion rates for decreasing vegetation cover.

634 In summary, the non-linear shape of the vegetation dependent erosivity (K_v) and hillslope diffusivity (K_d) in combination 635 with linear effects of mean annual precipitation on erosion rates, exert a primary control on the direction and magnitude of change in catchment average erosion rates. Despite a simple oscillating behaviour in precipitation and vegetation 636 637 cover, a complex and non-linear response in erosion rates occurs. In Fig. 18 we depicted the conceptual end-members of 638 landscape behaviour for the different scenarios of increasing or decreasing vegetation cover and mean annual precipitation 639 for different initial landscapes. The implications of this are large for observational studies of catchment average erosion rates and suggest that the direction and magnitude of response observed in a setting is highly dependent on the mean 640 641 vegetation and precipitation conditions of the catchment, as well as what time the observations are made within the cycle 642 of the varying vegetation and precipitation. Furthermore, these results highlight the need for future modeling studies (and 643 motivation for our ongoing work), to investigate the response of catchment topography and erosion rates to more realistic 644 climate and vegetation change scenarios, as well as a broader range of initial vegetation covers and precipitation rates 645 than those explored here such that the threshold in behaviour between the two curves shown in figure 17b can be 646 understood.

647 5.5 Potential Observational Approaches to Test Model Predictions

648 The behaviour discussed in the previous section matches field-data reported by Owen et al. (2010) who analysed soil 649 production rates from bedrock in different climate regimes. This data, under the assumption of steady-state soil thickness, can be translated into denudation rates. They show that for low values of mean annual precipitation, soil production rates 650 651 vary between 0 m/Ma and 2 m/Ma due to abiotic processes controlling soil production rates. These observations resemble 652 the effect of our simulations with 10% initial vegetation cover, which shows the same variations in erosion rates with 653 intervals of zero erosion rate for hyper-arid conditions (Fig. 17). Areas with higher values of mean annual precipitation 654 show higher values in the soil production rate. These data points were not corrected for different uplift rates in the sample areas so it is not possible to isolate the effect of vegetation/precipitation and tectonic uplift. In general, the observations 655 656 show no clear isolated trend but more of a cluster of soil production rates among a common mean, situated in a zone 657 controlled by biotic conditions. Compared to our model data for simulations with 70% initial vegetation cover, this resembles the non-intuitive behaviour of an increase in erosion rate for increasing values of vegetation cover and precipitation compared to a constant erosion rate for decreasing values of vegetation cover and precipitation.

- 660 Schaller et al. (2018) and Oeser et al. (2018) present millennial timescale (cosmogenic radionuclide derived) hillslope denudation, and soil production, rates from the Chilean (EarthShape) study areas (Fig. 1A) considered in this study. They 661 find the lowest hillslope denudation rates in the arid and poorly vegetated north. Moving south towards higher 662 precipitation and vegetation cover the denudation rates increase until the southernmost location with highest rainfall and 663 664 vegetation cover where denudation rates decrease again. This non-linear relationship of hillslope denudation rates with vegetation cover and precipitation is not directly comparable to the results presented here, but is consistent with a) the 665 notion emphasized here that interactions between precipitation and vegetation cover on denudation are non-linear, and b) 666 that the study areas considered here, although tectonically quiescent for tens of millions of years, have varying denudation 667 rates that suggest either variable rock uplift rates, and/or a persistent state of transience in hillslope denudation induced 668 669 by millennial timescale oscillations in climate and vegetation.
- Beyond the previous studies, limited observations are available for comparison to the predictions shown here. The 670 671 millenial to million--year time scales investigated here can best be evaluated from observations of catchment wide 672 denudation over similar timescales.- Cosmogenic radionuclide measurements from modern river sediments offer one 673 means to evaluate these results. Work by Acosta et al. (2015) in east Africa and Olen et al., (2016) in the Himalaya, are 674 also consistent with the results presented here for the range of vegetation cover available in each of these areas. However, 675 the integration time scales that these studies are sensitive to are shorter than what is presented here and prohibit a detailed 676 comparison. A final approach that future studies could pursue is to calculate paleo denudation rate for catchments from a 677 time series of sediments deposits preserved in either lakes (e.g. Marshall et al. 2015) or fluvial river terraces (e.g. Schaller and Ehlers, 2006; Schaller et al., 2016). However, to be most effective, these studies need to target multiple study areas 678 679 with terrace or lake deposits that span a range of vegetation covers in the upstream catchments.
- 680

681 **5.6 Model Restrictions and Caveats**

682 Similar to-previous work on this topic (Collins et al. 2004, Istanbulluoglu and Bras 2005), the model setup used in this study was simplified to document how different vegetation and climate related factors impact topography over long 683 (geologically relevant) timescales. We acknowledge that future model-studies should address some of the restrictions 684 imposed by our approach to evaluate their significance for the results presented here. Future work should consider a 685 686 transport-limited fluvial model or a fully-coupled alluvial sedimentation and transport model. The addition of this could 687 bring new understanding in to how vegetation not only influences detachment limited systems, but also influences sedimentation and entrainment of material. This added level of complexity could however limit (due to computational 688 689 concerns) the temporal scales onverover which an the investigation like this couldean be conducted. Future studies could 690 improve upon this work by considering a more in-depth parametrization of how vegetation related processes (e.g. changes 691 in root depth and density, plant functional type) influence topographic metrics and erosion rates. Also, although supported by various publications (Dunne, 1996; Dunne et al., 2010), the assumption of a non-linear response of the effectiveness 692 693 of diffusional and fluvial processes to increases in vegetation cover has a major impact on the results of these study. A 694 better field-based understanding of these processes and the involved relationships could improve the accuracy of model 695 studies like this.

-<u>Also</u>, <u>Dd</u>ue to the long-timescales considered here, mean annual precipitation rather than a stochastic distribution of precipitation were implemented. Future work should evaluate how stochastic distributions in precipitation and extreme events in arid, poorly vegetation settings, impact these results, however the long timescale forcings in precipitation and vegetation imposed in this study will likely persit as the background template upon which high-frequency changes are active.

Regarding the vegetation and water-budget, a more sophisticated model of evapotranspiration and infiltration as a function to surface plant cover and plant functional traits such as rooting depth would improve model predictions and is a priority for our future work. Improvements will come from planned coupling of surface process model with the dynamic vegetation model LPJ-GUESS (Werner et al. 2018, this issue).

- Our assumption that an increase in surface vegetation cover directly translates to an increase in Mannings roughness is an additional simplification. The real value of Mannings roughness of a surface will be a function of the fractional densities of different plant communities per model-patch. We argue that this simplification is however necessary because it is not possible to know the composition of the plant community for specific areas <u>for in</u> our modeled timescales. <u>This</u> <u>could be resolved by fully coupling a landscape evolution model to a dynamic vegetation model to resolve inter-patch</u> differences of surface vegetation cover and intra-patch plant functional types.
- 711 We also acknowledge that the transient forcings we have chosen for driving our model are simplistic and could be 712 improved by a higher-fidelity time-series of climate over the last millennia. We choose a 100kyr, eccentricity driven, 713 periodicity because it is widely recognized that the eccentricity cycles are a main control in driving Earth's glacial cycle 714 over the last 0.9Ma. While this approach is reasonable for a sensitivity analysis such as we've conducted, it prohibits a 715 detailed comparison to observations in specific study areas without additional refinement. Our results suggest that a shorter periodicity, which would resemble other periodicities in the Milankovitch cycle (e.g., 41kyrs, 23kyrs) or shorter 716 717 time scale climate variations, such as Heinrich events (see Huntley et al. 2013) would lead to smaller magnitudes of 718 adjustment to new dynamic equilibria, because of short time spans in high-/low-erosive climate conditions within one 719 period. Regarding the long time-periods considered, we chose to have a steady-state climate driver in the model without 720 frequency driven modulation of rainfall events. We argue that over large time scales the occurrence of these events can 721 be integrated into a meaningful mean value but acknowledge that the incorporation of those events could alter the results 722 on a short cycle-basis. However because there is no meaningful way to test these frequency distributions against pastclimates, this would add additional unknowns and assumptions into our model parameterization. 723
- Finally, we emphasize that a subset of our results, which resemble small magnitude changes of topographic relief (e.g.,
 <u>fFactor of change 1.01, Section 4.3.1, 4.3.2</u>) are valid results for the predicted synthetic landscapes in our model
 framework and not a numerical artefact., Hhowever, we acknowledge that these predicted changes are too small to be
 <u>measured in a real-world setting.</u>
- 728

729 6. Conclusions

The results from our experiments show that the interactions of vegetation cover and mean annual precipitation on the
evolution of landscapes is a complex system with competing effects. Main conclusions which emerge from this study are:
(I) vegetation cover has a hindering effect on hillslope and fluvial erosion but the magnitude on which changes in
vegetation cover affect these processes is a function of the initial state of the system. Changes in systems with higher

initial values of vegetation cover have a less pronounced effect than changes in systems with lower initial vegetationcover.

(II) In comparison to the Coastal Cordilleras of Chile, the relationship between precipitation and surface vegetation cover shows a distinct shape: For a 10% increase in surface vegetation cover, the corresponding increase in mean annual precipitation is smaller in areas of lower vegetation cover and increases for areas with higher vegetation cover. This has an effect on transient topographies by shifting the equilibrium of vegetation and precipitation effects on erosion rates.

(III) Following our step-change simulations, model results show different behaviours for changes in vegetation-cover and mean annual precipitation. While increases in mean annual precipitation have an increasing effect on erosion rates and therefore a long-term negative effect on topographic metrics, an increase in vegetation cover hinders erosion, and leads to higher topographic metrics. The magnitude of these changes is again dependent on the initial vegetation cover and precipitation before the step-change.

(IV) Simulations with either oscillating vegetation cover or oscillating precipitation show adjustments to new dynamic mean values around which the basin metrics oscillate. The magnitude of adjustment is highly sensitive to initial vegetation cover, where simulations with 10% initial cover show higher magnitudes than simulations with 70% cover, for oscillating vegetation. Oscillating precipitation leads to lower-/no adjustments but an oscillation of basin metrics around the initial mean values with generally lower amplitudes compared to simulations with oscillating vegetation cover.

(V) Simulations with coupled oscillations of both vegetation cover and precipitation show only small magnitudes of adjustments in topography metrics to new dynamic equilibrium similar to simulations with a oscillation in only precipitation. However corresponding erosion rates show a complex pattern of rapid increases and decreases which results from a interplay of competing effects of hindering of erosion by vegetation and aiding of erosion by precipitation.

Taken together, the above findings from this study highlight a highly variable behavior in how variations in vegetation cover impact erosion and topographic properties. The complexity in how vegetation cover and precipitation changes influence topography demonstrates the need for future work to consider both of these factors in tandem, rather than singling out either parameter (vegetation cover or precipitation) to understand potential transients in topography.

758

759 Acknowledgements:

This study was funded as part of the German science foundation priority research program EarthShape: Earth surface shaping by biota (grant EH329-14-1 to T.A.E. and T.H.). We thank Erkan Istanbulluoglu, Taylor Schildgen, and two anonymous reviewers for constructive reviews that improved the manuscript. We also thank Associate Editor Rebecca Hodge for thoughtful handling of the manuscript. We thank the national park service in Chile (CONAF) for providing access to, and guidance through, the study areas during field trips. Daniel Hobley and the LandLab 'slack' community are thanked for constructive suggestions during the Landlab program modifications implemented for this study. The source code used in this study is freely available upon request-to the authors.



768

Figure 1 Overview of the geographic location, precipitation, and vegetation cover of the Coastal Cordillera, Chile studies areas used for model setup up. A) Digital topography of the areas considered and corresponding to the EarthShape (www.earthshape.net) focus areas where ongoing related research is located. B) Observed present day mean annual precipitation from the WorldClim and CHELSA datasets used as model input. B) Present day maximum surface vegetation cover from MODIS data.



Figure 2 Normalized basin metrics for study-areas derived from 30 m SRTM digital topography from the study areas shown
 in Figure 1a. Colored dots represent cumulative mean values of normalized slope, relief and channel steepness calculated for
 all locations using 5-8 representative catchments in each area. Dotted lines represent linear interpolation between values. Note
 the gradual increase, then decrease in all metrics around study area at ~32°S.



Figure 3 Example model setup used in simulations in this study. Figure shows an example model predicted topography with a set drainage network, draining to the south. Boundary conditions and parameterizations used in the models are labeled. Blue colors represent low elevations, brown colors represent higher elevations. Additional details of parameters used are specified in Table 1.

785



786

787 Figure 4 Transient forcings in vegetation and precipitation considered in model experiments. Simulations were run for 15 Myr 788 prior to the runtime shown in the figure. All transients imposed started a runtime of 5 Myr. A) Variations in vegetation cover 789 imposed in the oscillating experiment conditions for initial vegetation cover of 10 and 70%. Oscillations have a 10% amplitude 790 and a 100kyr periodicity. B) 10% positive and negative step-changes in vegetation cover imposed on simulations with 10% and 791 70% initial vegetation cover.C) Oscillating mean annual precipitation. Positive and negative amplitudes of oscillation resemble 792 the magnitude of precipitation change extracted from vegetation cover/rainfall relationship from satellite data (Fig. 5), D) 793 Positive and negative step changes in mean annual precipitation. The initial precipitation was based on values extracted from 794 the worldclim climate dataset for respective focus areas.





Figure 5 Graphical representation of the observed precipitation – vegetation relationship in the Chilean focus areas (Fig. 1) and how precipitation amounts were selected when perturbations in vegetation cover were imposed. Black dots represent vegetation-precipitation values used in the steady-state model conditions and prior to any transients. Red dots show how vegetation cover perturbations in +/- 10% in the model simulations were used to select corresponding mean annual precipitation amounts. Note that the observed relationship between observed precipitation and vegetation cover in the Coastal Cordillera of Chile is non-linear, and is a source of the non-linear behavior in model forcing (e.g. Fig. 4) and results (Fig. 17) presented here.





Figure 6 Predicted values of hillslope diffusivity K_d (solid line) and fluvial erodibility K_v (dashed line) as a function of vegetation surface cover. Although absolute values can't be compared due to different units, the shape of the curves representing the different parameters show different sensitivities to changes in vegetation cover, and major source of the non-linearities discussed in the text. Fluvial erodibility shows the highest magnitude of change for vegetation cover values < 25% whereas hillslope diffusivity reacts in a more linearly with highest change below < 65% vegetation cover.

- 810
- 811
- 812
- 813





815 Figure 7 Steady-state model predicted (shaded regions) and observed (red dots) topographic metrics from the study areas 816 shown in Figure 1 for different vegetation cover amounts. Observed topographic metrics were extracted from SRTM 90 m 817 DEM. Model predicted values are shown for the cases of constant mean annual precipitation (a,b,c) or variable precipitation 818 (D,E,F). Variable precipitation rates and vegetation covers were selected for these simulations using the observed values from 819 the focus areas (Fig. 5). Note that for variable precipitation and vegetation cover simulations (d,e,f) the predicted values (similar 820 to the observations) develop a humped shape pattern of an increase and then decrease in each parameter suggesting the changes 821 in both precipitation and vegetation cover are needed to reproduce the general trend seen in observations. The sources of misfit 822 between the predicted and observed values are due to the simplified (and untuned) setup of the simulations and discussed in 823 the text.





Figure 8 Observed evolution of topographic metrics after a step-change in either vegetation (green lines) or mean annual precipitation (blue lines). Results are shown for two different initial vegetation cover amounts of V=10 and 70%. Imposed mean annual precipitation changes were done by selecting the precipitation amount corresponding to the initial and final vegetation amounts used in the simulations for vegetation cover 'only' change. Panels a,b,c show the reaction of model topographies to positive changes in boundary conditions, panels d,e,f show the reaction to negative changes in boundary conditions.



833

Figure 9 Mean catchment-wide erosion rates after a step-change disturbance in model boundary conditions. Blue lines represent erosion rates for models with changes in only precipitation, green lines represent erosion rates for models with changes in only vegetation cover. Panels a,b show the evolution after positive step-change, panels c,d for models with negative step-change. Note that the direction of change (positive or negative) from the initial state is in the opposite directions for precipitation and vegetation cover changes. This effect is manifested in the subsequent plots.



841Figure 10 Evolution of topographic metrics for simulations with oscillating surface vegetation cover and constant precipitation842corresponding to the initial vegetation cover prior to the transient in vegetation cover. Panels a,b,c show mean basin relief,843mean basin slope and mean basin channel steepness (k_{sn}), respectively.



Figure 11 Predicted mean catchment erosion rates for simulations with oscillating surface vegetation cover and constant precipitation. Note that the magnitude of change in erosion rates for +/- 10% change in vegetation covers differs depending on the initial (or background) vegetation cover. This non-linear response is due in part to the vegetation cover effects on rock erodibility and diffusivity shown in figure 6.





Figure 12 Evolution of topographic metrics for simulations with oscillating mean annual precipitation and constant vegetation cover. The vegetation cover was held constant at the value corresponding to the precipitation rate prior to the onset of the transient at 5000 kyrs. Panels a,b,c show mean basin relief, mean basin slope and mean basin channel steepness (k_{sn}), respectively.



Figure 13 Mean catchment erosion rates for simulations with oscillating mean annual precipitation and constant surface vegetation cover. The amplitude of change in the erosion rates varies with the initial vegetation cover, in part due to the nonlinear relationship between precipitation and vegetation cover (Fig. 4, 5).





Figure 14 Shifts in mean basin slope/mean basin relief relationship for simulations with positive and negative step-changes in either vegetation cover (green triangles) or mean annual precipitation (blue triangles). Black dots represent initial steady-state 860 conditions prior to any imposed transient in vegetation cover or mean annual precipitation. Note that the sensitivity of 861 862 topographic relief to perturbations in precipitation or vegetation cover is highest for low-vegetation cover (10%) settings.





865 Figure 15 Evolution of topographic metrics for coupled simulations where both changes in surface vegetation cover and a 866 corresponding change (Fig. 5) in mean annual precipitation are simultaneously imposed. The amplitudes and frequency of the forcings that were imposed on the simulations are the same than the ones used for the simulations with isolated transient 867 868 forcings. Panels a,b,c show evolution of mean basin relief, mean basin slope and mean basin channel steepness (ksn) after start



Figure 16 Mean catchment erosion rates for coupled simulations with changes in surface vegetation cover and mean annual
precipitation. The first cycle in the time series is expanded in Figure 17. The variable amplitude and non-linear response shown
here is due to the combined non-linear forcings in precipitation (Fig. 4, 5) and rock erodibility and diffusivity (Fig. 6) for
different initial vegetation cover amounts.



876

Figure 17 Mean catchment erosion rates for coupled simulations for one period of oscillation after the start of transient conditions (see also Fig. 15). Upper subplot shows conceptualized transient forcing in vegetation cover and mean annual precipitation, lower subplot shows erosion rate for simulations with low (black line) and high (dotted line) initial vegetation cover and precipitation values.



initial topography (yellow) and resulting transient topography (pink). Changes in topography are not to scale. Vegetation and

Table 1 Model parameters used for Landlab model setup.

rainfall amount is shown qualitatively on the hillslopes.

Model Parameter	Unit	Value
Uplift (U)	mm/yr	0.2
Fluvial Erodibility (ke)	m/yr (Kg m1 s-2)-1	7.00E-06
Critical Shear Stress (\tau c)	Pa	58
m, n	-	0.6 / 0.7
Base Diffusivity (Kb)	m2/yr	0.02
Mannings Number (Vegetated, nvr)	-	0.6
Mannings Number (Soil, ns)	-	0.01
Reference Vegetation Cover (Vr)	-	100%
w	-	1
alpha	-	0.3
р	-	1
Transient Vegetation Cover Amplitude (+-dV)	%	10

891 References

892 Acosta, V. T., Schildgen, T. F., Clarke, B. A., Scherler, D., Bookhagen, B., Wittmann, H., von

- Blanckenburg, F. and Strecker, M. R.: Effect of vegetation cover on millennial-scale landscape
 denudation rates in East Africa, Lithosphere, 7(4), 408–420, doi:10.1130/L402.1, 2015.
- Alberts, E. E., Nearing, M. A., Weltz, M. A., Risse, L. M., Pierson, F. B., Zhang, X. C., Laflen, J. M.
 and Simanton, J. R.: Soil component, in USDA—Water Erosion Prediction Project, p. 47., 1995.
- Allen, C. D. and Breshears, D. D.: Drought-induced shift of a forest-woodland ecotone: rapid
 landscape response to climate variation, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 95(25),
 14839–14842, 1998.
- Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M.,
 Kitzberger, T., Rigling, A., Breshears, D. D., Hogg, E. H. (Ted), Gonzalez, P., Fensham, R., Zhang,
 Z., Castro, J., Demidova, N., Lim, J.-H., Allard, G., Running, S. W., Semerci, A. and Cobb, N.: A
 global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for
 forests, Forest Ecology and Management, 259(4), 660–684, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001, 2010.
- Amundson, R., Heimsath, A., Owen, J., Yoo, K. and Dietrich, W. E.: Hillslope soils and vegetation,
 Geomorphology, 234, 122–132, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.12.031, 2015.
- Andriessen, P. A. and Reutter, K.-J.: K-Ar and fission track mineral age determination of igneous
 rocks related to multiple magmatic arc systems along the 23 S latitude of Chile and NW Argentina,
 Tectonics of the southern central Andes: structure and evolution of an active continental margin, 141–
 154, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-77353-2 10, 1994.
- Avdievitch, N. N., Ehlers, T. A. and Glotzbach, C.: Slow long-term exhumation of the West Central
 Andean Plate Boundary, Tectonics, 2017.
- Bachelet, D., Neilson, R. P., Hickler, T., Drapek, R. J., Lenihan, J. M., Sykes, M. T., Smith, B., Sitch,
- S. and Thonicke, K.: Simulating past and future dynamics of natural ecosystems in the United States,
 Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 17(2), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2001GB001508, 2003.
- Blois, J. L., Williams, J. W., Fitzpatrick, M. C., Jackson, S. T. and Ferrier, S.: Space can substitute
 for time in predicting climate-change effects on biodiversity, Proceedings of the National Academy
 of Sciences, 110(23), 9374–9379, doi:10.1073/pnas.1220228110, 2013.
- Bonnet, S. and Crave, A.: Landscape response to climate change: Insights from experimental
 modeling and implications for tectonic versus climatic uplift of topography, Geology, 31(2), 123–
 126, 2003.
- Braun, J. and Willett, S. D.: A very efficient O(n), implicit and parallel method to solve the stream
 power equation governing fluvial incision and landscape evolution, Geomorphology, 180–181, 170–
 179, doi:10/gbcbc9, 2013.
- Broecker, W. S. and van Donk, J.: Insolation changes, ice volumes, and the O¹⁸ record in deep-sea
 cores, Reviews of Geophysics, 8(1), 169, doi:10/dmcjc3, 1970.
- Broxton, P. D., Zeng, X., Scheftic, W. and Troch, P. A.: A MODIS-Based Global 1-km Maximum
 Green Vegetation Fraction Dataset, Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 53(8), 1996–
 2004, doi:10.1175/JAMC-D-13-0356.1, 2014.
- Carretier, S., Regard, V., Vassallo, R., Aguilar, G., Martinod, J., Riquelme, R., Pepin, E., Charrier,
 R., Herail, G., Farias, M., Guyot, J.-L., Vargas, G. and Lagane, C.: Slope and climate variability

- control of erosion in the Andes of central Chile, Geology, 41(2), 195–198, doi:10.1130/G33735.1,
 2013.
- Collins, B. D., Bras, R. L. and Tucker, G. E.: Modeling the effects of vegetation-erosion coupling on
 landscape evolution, Journal of Geophysical Research, 109(F3), doi:10.1029/2003JF000028, 2004.
- Culling, W. E. .: Analytical Theory of Erosion, The Journal of Geology, 68(3), 336–344, 1960.
- Curran, J. C. and Hession, W. C.: Vegetative impacts on hydraulics and sediment processes across
 the fluvial system, Journal of Hydrology, 505, 364–376, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.10.013, 2013.
- DiBiase, R. A. and Whipple, K. X.: The influence of erosion thresholds and runoff variability on the
 relationships among topography, climate, and erosion rate, Journal of Geophysical Research,
 116(F4), doi:10.1029/2011JF002095, 2011.
- DiBiase, R. A., Whipple, K. X., Heimsath, A. M. and Ouimet, W. B.: Landscape form and millennial
 erosion rates in the San Gabriel Mountains, CA, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 289(1–2), 134–
 144, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.10.036, 2010.
- Dietrich, W. E., Bellugi, D. G., Sklar, L. S., Stock, J. D., Heimsath, A. M. and Roering, J. J.:
 Geomorphic Transport Laws for Predicting Landscape form and Dynamics, in Geophysical
 Monograph Series, edited by P. R. Wilcock and R. M. Iverson, pp. 103–132, American Geophysical
 Union, Washington, D. C., 2013.
- Dosseto, A., Hesse, P. P., Maher, K., Fryirs, K. and Turner, S.: Climatic and vegetation control on
 sediment dynamics during the last glacial cycle, Geology, 38(5), 395–398, doi:10.1130/G30708.1,
 2010.
- Dunne, T., Whipple, K. X. and Aubry, B. F.: Microtopography of hillslopes and initiation of channels by horton overland flow, in Geophysical Monograph Series, vol. 89, edited by J. E. Costa, A. J. Miller,
- K. W. Potter, and P. R. Wilcock, pp. 27–44, American Geophysical Union, Washington, D. C., 1995.
- Dunne, T., Malmon, D. V. and Mudd, S. M.: A rain splash transport equation assimilating field and
 laboratory measurements, Journal of Geophysical Research, 115(F1), doi:10/dq4w5k, 2010.
- Feng, X., Wang, Y., Chen, L., Fu, B. and Bai, G.: Modeling soil erosion and its response to land-use
 change in hilly catchments of the Chinese Loess Plateau, Geomorphology, 118(3–4), 239–248,
 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.01.004, 2010.
- Fernandez, N. F. and Dietrich, W.: Hillslope evolution by diffusive processes: The timescale for equilibrium adjustments, Water Resources Research, 33(6), 1307–1318, 1997.
- Gilbert, G. K.: Report on the Geology of the Henry Mountains, Dept of the Interior, US Govt Printing
 Office, Washington, DC., 1877.
- Gyssels, G., Poesen, J., Bochet, E. and Li, Y.: Impact of plant roots on the resistance of soils to erosion
 by water: a review, Progress in Physical Geography, 29(2), 189–217,
 doi:10.1191/0309133305pp443ra, 2005.
- Hobley, D. E. J., Adams, J. M., Nudurupati, S. S., Hutton, E. W. H., Gasparini, N. M., Istanbulluoglu,
 E. and Tucker, G. E.: Creative computing with Landlab: an open-source toolkit for building, coupling,
- 969 and exploring two-dimensional numerical models of Earth-surface dynamics, Earth Surface

- 970 Dynamics, 5(1), 21–46, doi:10.5194/esurf-5-21-2017, 2017.
- Howard, A. D.: Badland Morphology and Evolution: Interpretation Using a Simulation Model, Earth
 Surface Processes and Landforms, 22(3), 211–227, doi:10/b4mtzw, 1997.
- Howard, A. D. and Kerby, G.: Channel changes in badlands, Geological Society of America Bulletin,
 94(6), 739–752, 1983.
- Howard, A. D., Dietrich, W. E. and Seidl, M. A.: Modeling fluvial erosion on regional to continental
 scales, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 99(B7), 13971–13986, doi:10/bd8k3j, 1994.
- Hughes, L.: Biological consequences of global warming: is the signal already, TREE, 15(2), 2000.

Huntley, B., Allen, J. R. M., Collingham, Y. C., Hickler, T., Lister, A. M., Singarayer, J., Stuart, A. 978 979 J., Sykes, M. T. and Valdes, P. J.: Millennial Climatic Fluctuations Are Key to the Structure of Last Glacial Ecosystems, edited by J. Mohan, PLoS ONE, 8(4), e61963, 980 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061963, 2013. 981

- Istanbulluoglu, E. and Bras, R.: Vegetation-modulated landscape evolution: Effects of vegetation on
 landscape processes, drainage density, and topography, Journal of Geophysical Research, 110(F2),
 doi:10.1029/2004JF000249, 2005.
- Istanbulluoglu, E., Tarboton, D. G., Pack, R. T. and Luce, C. H.: Modeling of the interactions between
 forest vegetation, disturbances, and sediment yields, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface,
 109(F1), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2003JF000041, 2004.
- Jeffery, M. L., Yanites, B. J., Poulsen, C. J. and Ehlers, T. A.: Vegetation-precipitation controls on
 Central Andean topography, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 119(6), 1354–1375,
 doi:10.1002/2013JF002919, 2014.
- Juez-Larré, J., Kukowski, N., Dunai, T. J., Hartley, A. J. and Andriessen, P. A. M.: Thermal and
 exhumation history of the Coastal Cordillera arc of northern Chile revealed by thermochronological
 dating, Tectonophysics, 495(1–2), 48–66, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2010.06.018, 2010.
- Langbein, W. B. and Schumm, S. A.: Yield of sediment in relation to mean annual precipitation, Eos,
 Transactions American Geophysical Union, 1958.
- Ledru, M.-P., Labouriau, M. L. S. and Lorscheitter, M. L.: Vegetation dynamics in southern and
 central Brazil during the last 10,000 yr B.P., Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, 99, 131–142,
 1997.
- Maksaev, V. and Zentilli, M.: Fission Track Thermochronology of the Domeyko Cordillera, Northern
 Chile: Implications for Andean Tectonics and Porphyry Copper Metallogenesis, Exploration and
 Mining Geology, 8, 65–89, 1999.
- Marshall, J. A., Roering, J. J., Bartlein, P. J., Gavin, D. G., Granger, D. E., Rempel, A. W.,
 Praskievicz, S. J. and Hales, T. C.: Frost for the trees: Did climate increase erosion in unglaciated
 landscapes during the late Pleistocene?, Science Advances, 1(10), e1500715–e1500715,
 doi:10/gddtwz, 2015.
- 1006 Marston, R. A.: Geomorphology and vegetation on hillslopes: Interactions, dependencies, and 1007 feedback loops, Geomorphology, 116(3–4), 206–217, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2009.098, 2010.

- McInnes, B. I. A., Farley, K. A., Sillitoe, R. and Kohn, B. P.: Application of Apatite (U-th)/He Thermochronometry to the determination of the sense and amount of vertical fault displacement at the Chuquicamata Porphyry Copper Deposit, Chile, Economic Geology, 94, 937–948, 1999.
- McPhillips, D., Bierman, P. R., Crocker, T. and Rood, D. H.: Landscape response to PleistoceneHolocene precipitation change in the Western Cordillera, Peru: 10Be concentrations in modern
 sediments and terrace fills, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 118(4), 2013JF002837,
 doi:10.1002/2013JF002837, 2013.
- Muhs, D. R., T. A. Ager and Beget, J. E.: Vegetation and paleoclimate of the last interglacial period,
 central Alaska, Quaternary Science Reviews, 20, 41–61, 2001.
- Muller, R. A. and MacDonald, G. J.: Spectrum of 100-kyr glacial cycle: Orbital inclination, not
 eccentricity, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 94(16), 8329–8334, doi:10/c7bpd5,
 1997.
- Oeser, R., Stroncik, N., Moskwa, L.-M., Bernhard, N., Schaller, M., Canessa, R., Brink, L. van den,
 Köster, M., Brucker, E., Stock, S., Fuentes-Espoz, J. P., Godoy, R., Matus, F., Oses Pedraza, R.,
 Osses McIntyre, P., Paulino, L., Seguel, O., Bader, M. Y., Boy, J., Dippold, M., Ehlers, T. A., Kühn,
 P., Kuzyakov, Y., Leinweber, P., Scholten, T., Spielvogel, S., Spohn, M., Übernickel, K., Tielbörger,
 K., Wagner, D. and Blanckenburg, F. von: Chemistry and microbiology of the critical zone along a
 steep climate and vegetation gradient in the Chilean Coastal Cordillera, n.d.
- Olen, S. M., Bookhagen, B. and Strecker, M. R.: Role of climate and vegetation density in modulating
 denudation rates in the Himalaya, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 445, 57–67,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2016.03.047, 2016.
- Owen, J. J., Amundson, R., Dietrich, W. E., Nishiizumi, K., Sutter, B. and Chong, G.: The sensitivity
 of hillslope bedrock erosion to precipitation, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 36(1), 117–
 135, doi:10.1002/esp.2083, 2011.
- Perron, J. T., Richardson, P. W., Ferrier, K. L. and Lapôtre, M.: The root of branching river networks,
 Nature, 492(7427), 100–103, doi:10.1038/nature11672, 2012.
- Prentice, I. C., Harrison, S. P. and Bartlein, P. J.: Global vegetation and terrestrial carbon cycle changes after the last ice age, New Phytologist, 189(4), 988–998, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03620.x, 2011.
- Reinhardt, L., Jerolmack, D., Cardinale, B. J., Vanacker, V. and Wright, J.: Dynamic interactions of
 life and its landscape: feedbacks at the interface of geomorphology and ecology, Earth Surface
 Processes and Landforms, 35(1), 78–101, doi:10.1002/esp.1912, 2010.
- Roering, J. J., Almond, P., Tonkin, P. and McKean, J.: Soil transport driven by biological processes
 over millennial time scales, Geology, 30(12), 1115–1118, 2002.
- Sangireddy, H., Carothers, R. A., Stark, C. P. and Passalacqua, P.: Controls of climate, topography,
 vegetation, and lithology on drainage density extracted from high resolution topography data, Journal
 of Hydrology, 537, 271–282, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.02.051, 2016.
- Schaller, M. and Ehlers, T. A.: Limits to quantifying climate driven changes in denudation rates with
 cosmogenic radionuclides, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 248(1–2), 153–167,
 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2006.05.027, 2006.

- 1048 Schaller, M., von Blanckenburg, F., Veldkamp, A., Tebbens, L. A., Hovius, N. and Kubik, P. W.: A
- 1049 30 000 yr record of erosion rates from cosmogenic 10Be in Middle European river terraces, Earth and
- 1050 Planetary Science Letters, 204(1–2), 307–320, doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00951-2, 2002.
- Schaller, M., Ehlers, T. A., Stor, T., Torrent, J., Lobato, L., Christl, M. and Vockenhuber, C.: Spatial
 and temporal variations in denudation rates derived from cosmogenic nuclides in four European
 fluvial terrace sequences, Geomorphology, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.08.018, 2016.
- Schaller, M., Ehlers, T. A., Lang, K. A. H., Schmid, M. and Fuentes-Espoz, J. P.: Addressing the
 contribution of climate and vegetation cover on hillslope denudation, Chilean Coastal Cordillera
 (26°–38°S), Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 489, 111–122, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2018.02.026,
 2018.
- Smith, B., Wårlind, D., Arneth, A., Hickler, T., Leadley, P., Siltberg, J. and Zaehle, S.: Implications
 of incorporating N cycling and N limitations on primary production in an individual-based dynamic
 vegetation model, Biogeosciences, 11(7), 2027–2054, doi:10.5194/bg-11-2027-2014, 2014.
- Stephan, U. and Gutknecht, D.: Hydraulic resistance of submerged flexible vegetation, Journal of
 Hydrology, 269(1), 27–43, 2002.
- Tucker, G., Lancaster, S., Gasparini, N. and Bras, R.: The channel-hillslope integrated landscape
 development model (CHILD), in Landscape erosion and evolution modeling, pp. 349–388, Springer.,
 2001.
- Tucker, G. E. and Hancock, G. R.: Modelling landscape evolution, Earth Surface Processes and
 Landforms, 35(1), 28–50, doi:10.1002/esp.1952, 2010.
- Vergani, C., Giadrossich, F., Buckley, P., Conedera, M., Pividori, M., Salbitano, F., Rauch, H.,
 Lovreglio, R. and Schwarz, M.: Root reinforcement dynamics of European coppice woodlands and
 their effect on shallow landslides: A review, Earth-Science Reviews, 167, 88–102,
 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.02.002, 2017.
- Walling, D. . and Webb, B. .: Patterns of sediment yield, Background to Palaehydrology, 69–100,
 1983.
- Walther, G.-R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T. J., Fromentin, J.-M.,
 Hoegh-Guldberg, O. and Bairlein, F.: Ecological responses to recent climate change, Nature,
 416(6879), 389–395, 2002.
- Werner, C., Schmid, M., Ehlers, T. A., Fuentes-Espoz, J. P., Steinkamp, J., Forrest, M., Liakka, J.,
 Maldonado, A. and Hickler, T.: Effect of changing vegetation on denudation (part 1): Predicted
 vegetation composition and cover over the last 21 thousand years along the Coastal Cordillera of
 Chile, Earth Surface Dynamics, 2018.
- Whipple, K. X. and Tucker, G. E.: Dynamics of the stream-power river incision model: Implications
 for height limits of mountain ranges, landscape response timescales, and research needs, J. Geophys.
 Res., 104(B8), 17661–17674, doi:10.1029/1999JB900120, 1999.
- Willgoose, G., Bras, R. L. and Rodriguez-Iturbe, I.: Results from a new model of river basin
 evolution, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 16(3), 237–254, 1991.
- 1086 Yetemen, O., Istanbulluoglu, E., Flores-Cervantes, J. H., Vivoni, E. R. and Bras, R. L.: Ecohydrologic

- role of solar radiation on landscape evolution, Water Resources Research, 51(2), 1127–1157,
 doi:10.1002/2014WR016169, 2015.
- Zachos, J., Pagani, M., Sloan, L., Thomas, E. and Billups, K.: Trends, Rhythms, and Aberrations in
 Global Climate 65 Ma to Present, Science, 292(5517), 686–693, doi:10.1126/science.1059412, 2001.

1091 Zhou, Z. C., Shangguan, Z. P. and Zhao, D.: Modeling vegetation coverage and soil erosion in the

263–268,

- 1092 Loess Plateau Area of China, Ecological Modelling, 198(1–2),
- 1093 doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2006.04.019, 2006.