



1 Impacts of a large flood along a mountain river basin: unravelling the geomorphic

2 response and large wood budget in the upper Emme River (Switzerland)

3

- 4 Virginia Ruiz-Villanueva¹, Alexandre Badoux², Dieter Rickenmann², Martin Böckli², Salome Schläfli³, Nicolas
- 5 Steeb², Markus Stoffel^{1,4,5}, Christian Rickli²

6

- 7 ¹Institute for Environmental Sciences, University of Geneva, Boulevard Carl-Vogt 66, 1205 Geneva, Switzerland
- 8 ²Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Zürcherstrasse 111, CH-8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland

- ⁴Department of Earth Sciences, University of Geneva, 13 rue des Maraîchers, 1205 Geneva, Switzerland
- 11 ⁵Department F.-A. Forel for Aquatic and Environmental Sciences, University of Geneva, 66 Boulevard Carl
- 12 Vogt, 1205 Geneva, Switzerland

13

14 Correspondence to: Virginia Ruiz-Villanueva (Virginia.Ruiz@unige.ch)

^{9 &}lt;sup>3</sup>Institute of Geological Sciences, University of Bern, Baltzerstrasse 1+3, 3012, Bern, Switzerland





16 Abstract

On July 24, 2014, an exceptionally large flood (recurrence interval ca. 150 years) caused large-scale inundations, 17 severe overbank sedimentation and damage to infrastructures and buildings along the Emme river (central 18 19 Switzerland). Widespread lateral bank erosion occurred along the river, thereby entraining sediment and large wood (LW) from alluvial forest stands. This work analyses the catchment response to the flood in terms of 20 21 channel widening and LW recruitment and deposition, but also identifies the factors controlling these processes. We found that hydraulic forces (e.g., stream power index) or geomorphic variables (e.g., channel width, gradient, 22 valley confinement), if considered alone, are not sufficient to explain the flood response. Instead, spatial 23 variability of channel widening was firstly driven by precipitation, and secondary by geomorphic variables (e.g., 24 25 channel width, gradient, confinement and forest length). LW recruitment was mainly caused by channel widening (lateral bank erosion) and thus al mitrolled by precipitation. In contrast, LW deposition was controlled by 26 27 channel morphology (mainly channel gradient and width). However, we also observed that extending the analysis to the whole upper catchment of the Emme river, including all the tributaries and not only to the most affected 28 zones, resulted in a different set of significant explanatory or correlated variables. Our findings highlight the need 29 30 to continue documenting and analysing channel response after floods at different locations and scales. Whereas this better process understanding, the identification of controlling factors can also contribute to the 31 32 identification of critical reaches, which in turn is crucial for the forecasting and design of sound river basin 33 management strategies.

34

35

³⁶ Keywords: large flood, channel changes, channel widening, large wood, woody debris.





38 1 Introduction

39 Floods in mountain river basins are characterized by complex, yet extreme meteorological events and subsequent, equally complex process coupling between the hillslopes and channels (i.e., debris flows, debris 40 floods, and floods), resulting in a high spatial variability of morphological responses (Harvey, 1986; Miller, 41 1990; Lapointe et al., 1998; Magilligan et al., 1998; Heritage et al., 2004; Arnaud-Fassetta, 2013; Savi et al., 42 2013; Thompson and Croke, 2013, Rickenmann et al., 2016). During high intensity events, mass-movement 43 processes (e.g., landslides, debris flows) may affect channel morphology and sediment supply, influencing the 44 total sediment load during a flood (Lin et al. 2008). In forested areas, mass movements and bank erosion do not 45 46 only deliver large amounts of inorganic sediment, but also introduce large quantities of wood into the channel 47 corridor. As a load component in forested rivers, large wood (defined as wood pieces exceeding 10 cm in 48 diameter and 1 m in length; LW) can be placed in a similar framework to that used for sediment, where LW recruitment, transport, and deposition are the main processes to be understood a part of the LW budgeting 49 (Gurnell, 2007). The presence of wood in rivers has very positive effects in general (Ruiz-Villanueva et al., 2016 50 and references within); however, LW and sediment in channels can also favour the creation of temporary dams 51 52 and subsequently produce secondary flood pulses, thereby enhancing erosion, and/or leading to the destruction of infrastructure along the channel (Cenderelli and Kite 1998; Wohl et al., 2010; Ruiz-Villanueva et al., 2014). 53 Flood damage and flood losses are intrinsic to the occurrence of major floods (Merritts, 2011). However, 54 urbanization, an increase in impervious surfaces (Hollis, 1975) and river channelization or embankment 55 constructions (Wyżga, 1997) are frequently invoked as well to explain the high economic losses caused by major 56 57 flood events (Hajdukiewicz et al., 2015). Under such conditions, even frequent floods (i.e., lower magnitude events) can lead to unexpectedly high damage. 58

59 Over the last decades, several major flood events occurred in different parts of Switzerland (e.g., August 1978, August 1987, September 1993, May 1999, October 2000, August 2005, and August 2007; Hilker et al., 60 2009; Badoux et al., 2014), thereby causing significant financial damage costs. The August 2005 floo 61 the costliest natural disaster in Switzerland since the start of systematic records in 1972 (Hilker et al., 2009), 62 claimed six lives and caused a total financial damage costs exceeding three billion Swiss Francs. The dominant 63 processes observed during this event were flooding, bank erosion, overbank sedimentation, landslides, and debris 64 flows (Rickenmann and Koschni, 2010; Rickenmann et al., 2016). Moreover, the transport and deposition of 65 more than 69,000 m³ of LW along alpine and pre-alpine rivers has been recorded (Steeb et al., 2017; Rickli et al., 66





67 2018). The consequences of events like the one in 2005 pose threats to important infrastructure such as roads and 68 settlements and therefore, these processes need to be better understood and quantified to provide a valuable 69 process understanding and improved preparedness.

Howev redicting the impacts of major floods on the fluvial system is very challenging and requires a 70 wide range of analyses (Rinaldi et al., 2016; Surian et al., 2016). Some of the most recent studies in the field 71 72 focused on the (i) reconstruction of the hydrological event (e.g., Gaume et al., 2004); (ii) analysis of flood hydraulic variables (e.g., Howard and Dolan, 1981; Miller, 1990; Wohl et al., 1994; Benito, 1997; Heritage et al., 73 74 2004; Thompson and Croke, 2013); (iii) hillslope processes and channel connectivity (e.g., Bracken et al., 2015 and 2013; Croke et al., 2013; Wohl, 2017); (iv) geomorphic and sedimentological analysis of flood deposits (e.g., 75 Wells and Harvey, 1987; Macklin et al., 1992); (v) quantification of morphological changes (e.g., Arnaud-76 Fassetta et al., 2005; Krapesch et al., 2011; Thompson and Croke, 2013; Comiti et al., 2016; Surian et al., 2016; 77 Righini et al., 2017); (vi) sediment budgeting (e.g., Milan, 2012; Thompson and Croke, 2013); or (vii) more 78 recently, the study of LW dynamics and budgeting (e.g., Lucía et al., 2015; Steeb et al., 2017). 79

80 Post-event surveys are invaluable when it comes to improve insights on flood related processes (Gaume and Borga, 2008; Marchi et al., 2009; Rinaldi et al., 2016) such as LW recruitment and factors controlling LW 81 82 deposition, which are both crucial for a proper management of river basins and flood hazard mitigation (Comiti et 83 al., 2016). Despite this fact, analyses of LW dynamics after flood events remain quite rare (Comiti et al., 2016). In this study, v after the July 2014 flood in the Emme 84 river. The specific aim thereby (192) provide a quantitative description of several coupled processes (i.e., debris 85 flows, landslides, bank erosion, and/or flooding) and the geomorphic effects of this major event (mainly in terms 86 of channel widening). We paid attention porphological changes, the coupling between hillslopes and 87 headwaters to the main channel, the supply of large quantities of LW and its deposition through the river corridor. 88 We exclude the analysis to the whole upper catchment of the Emme river, including all tributaries, and not only 89 the ones that were most affected in July 2014. By doing so we aimed at unravelling diverging responses among 90 the different tributaries and river segments in terms of geomorphic changes and LW dynamics. The hypothesis 91 was that in terms of morphology, similar river sub-reaches may have responded differently to the flow As river 92 reach response is driven by several parameters, we selected different morphological and hydrometeorological 93 variables to identify the factors controlling channel widening, LW recruitment, and LW deposition. 94





96 2 Material and methods

97 2.1 The Emme river basin

The Emme River takes its origin in the Swiss Prealps (1400 m a.s.l.) and runs through the Emmental, in the Cantons of Luzern and Bern in central Switzerland. Total drainage area at its mouth with the Aare River (near the city of Solothurn) is 963 km², with a stream length of 80 km.

Geology of the basin is composed mainly by Helvetic marginal limestone, the Ultrahelvetian flysch (with marls and sandstones) and sub-alpine molasse composed of sandstone, molasse conglomerates and marls (Lehmann, 2001). During the Pleistocene glaciation, a large part of the Emmental was covered by glaciers and moraines remains are preserved in the areas of Eggiwil, Oberburg or Burgdorf.

The Emme basin is extensevely accupied by agric 1 lands (50%, mostly downstream), 40% of the surface remains forested today, and only about 10% is urbanized. Climate is temperate with moderate warm summers (mean temperature in July is 16°C according to the Langnau data series from 1931-2015; Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss) and cold winters (mean temperature -1°C in January). Total annual precipitation averaged 1315 mm ate the station of Langnau (1901-2015), with mean montly peaks in June of 160 mm. The flow regime of the Emme River is characterized by a seasonally fluctuating flow due to snowmelt in spring and thunderstorms in summer.

This work focuses on the Upper Emme River basin (Figure 1), including the uppermost tributaries to the inlet of the Emme River into a gorge called Räbloch. At this point, the Emme river basin has a drainage area of 94 km² and the network is formed by 19 streams (18 tributaries and the main branch of the Emme; Table 1). These 19 streams were further divided into 64 sub-reaches as explained in the Methods (see also Figure S1 in the supplementary material).

A history of severe flooding has led to intensive river management activities in the 19th and 20th centuries with the construction of dams and weirs. These measures also resulted in an isolation of tributaries and low sediment transport (Figure S2). Additionally, poor riparian conditions and water extractions for irrigation strongly influenced Emme River hydrology (Burkhardt-Holm and Scheurer, 2007).

Figure 1 shows an enlarged basin up to the only existing stream gauge in the area (Eggiwil station located at 745 m a.s.l. and with a drainage area of 124 km²), which is several kilometres downstream of the Räbloch gorge.







124

Figure 1: Location of the basin in central Switzerland (a) Hill shade of the Upper Emme River basin (up to 125 126 Eggiwil), red dots show the location of the rain gauges (I: Kemmeriboden; II: Marbachegg; III: Schallenberg), blue lines show the 19 streams analysed (18 tributaries and the main river Emme); (b) 127 Land use: Agr.: Agriculture; Rock: Bare soil; Forest; Bush: Shrubs and bushes; (c) Geology: 1 128 Quaternary and Neogene molasses; 2 Moraines; 3 Paleogene Flysch; 4 Cretaceous and Jurassic 129 sedimentary rocks; (d) Debris flow and LW deposits in the lower part of the Sädelgrabe torrent upstream 130 its confluence with the Emme river (photograph: V. Ruiz-Villanueva); (e) Road and bridge washed away 131 during the flood in Bumbach (photograph: V. Ruiz-Villanueva); (f) Räbeli bridge damaged during the 132 133 flood (photograph: V. Ruiz-Villanueva). Arrows show the flow direction.





- 135 Table 1: Overview of the 19 study streams, sub-reaches in each stream and number of transects analysed,
- 136 morphological characteristics (Av.: averaged or total values) and total maximum and mean precipitation 137 registered during the event in 2014 at each sub-catchment (explained in the text).

137 138

Stream name	Sub- reaches	Total N° of transec ts	Drainag e Area (km²)	Total strea m length (m)	Total forested channel length (m)	Av. stream Gradie nt	Av. Width before (m)	Av. Width ratio	Av. Sinuosit y	Total max. Preci p. (mm)	Total mean preci p. (mm)
Bärselbach	12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 21, 23, 26, 27	127	13.1	7017	6276	0.048	7	1.74	1.27	92	69
Buembachgrabe	42, 43	86	4.9	4553	3913	0.062	10	1.48	1.14	97	86
Büetschligrabe	50, 51	17	2.3	1000	841	0.051	5	1.00	2.54	65	46
Bütlerschwandgrab e	53	28	2.8	1580	1248	0.137	11	1.01	1.21	62	46
Chaltbach	45	10	1.5	563	493	0.090	4	1.07	1.37	70	48
Emme	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	352	93.7	20571	12361	0.023	15	1.40	1.36	97	87
Gärtelbach	38	39	0.7	1769	1769	0.185	5	3.11	1.07	94	91
Hombach	64	19	2.8	922	907	0.082	8	1.48	1.37	50	38
Leimbach	1, 2	80	9.2	4402	4167	0.054	6	1.35	1.27	49	36
Sädelgrabe	39	79	1.6	3434	3219	0.123	6	4.75	1.25	97	94
Schöniseibach	8, 9, 10	28	4.5	1476	1280	0.085	7	1.06	1.27	81	65
Schwarzbach	56, 57	13	5.4	768	768	0.080	7	1.18	1.28	59	41
Stream	16, 22, 24, 25, 31, 32	37	1.0	1915	1787	0.133	5	1.82	1.30	92	89
Stream (Gerbehüsi)	60	3	0.2	227	227	0.193	6	1.00	1.25	53	48
Stream (Kemmeriboden)	29	12	0.3	610	610	0.256	5	3.11	1.09	92	67
Stream (Kemmerli)	34, 35	13	0.5	647	564	0.142	5	2.42	1.15	93	90
Stream (Schneeberg)	19, 20	16	1.1	846	751	0.099	6	1.57	1.34	87	79
Stream (Unterlochseite)	47	12	1.2	607	79	0.073	3	2.08	1.31	76	71
Mürenbach	5,6	12	2.4	650	650	0.092	5	0.99	1.47	67	52

139

140

141 **2.2 The July 24, 2014 flood event**

July 2014 was a very wet month in Switzerland with frequent and extensive rainfall in the first three weeks, interrupted by a few dry intervals. Data of MeteoSwiss showed that the western half of Switzerland registered twice to three times the long-time precipitation average for the month of July 2014 (FOEN, 2015). These wet episodes have led to saturated soils, especially in the western and northeastern parts of Switzerland (FOEN, 2015; ARGE LLE Schangnau-Eggiwil, 2015). Between 24 and 28 July 2014, several thunderstorms





occurred over different Swiss regions. Until 27 July, the storms were related to a weak pressure system over 147 Western Europe (MeteoSwiss, 2017). Generally, such relatively uniform pressure distributions result in light and 148 variable winds at ground level which allows for the formation of cumulonimbus clouds, typically over regions 149 with rough topography such as, e.g., the Swiss Prealps. On 24 July, an extremely violent stationary thunderstorm 150 developed with a precipitation hotspot located over the upper Emmental. The storm cell caused intense rainfall in 151 152 the headwater catchments of the upper Emme basin where it triggered very severe floods. According to hourly CombiPrecip data of MeteoSwiss (Sideris et al., 2014), the heavy precipitation yielded maximal hourly values of 153 154 approximately 65 mm locally (with totals reaching 96 mm during the 7-hour event; Figure 2). Heavy rainfall was largely restricted to the upper Emme catchment with a local maximum just north of the Sädelgraben catchment. 155 The cantonal rain gauge Marbachegg (red dot II in Figure 1) that recorded the highest event precipitation value of 156 76 mm is located roughly two kilometres northwest of the confluence of the Sädelgraben torrent with the Emme 157 river. According to ARGE LLE Schangnau-Eggiwil (2015) the rainfall event was associated to a recurrence 158 interval between 100 and 200 years. 159



160

Figure 2: Map of the spatial distribution of the precipitation (mm) on the 24 July 2014 in the Upper Emme
 catchment: (A) Total event precipitation (mm) from 04:00 to 17:00h; (B) maximum hourly precipitation
 recorded at 07:00 AM.

164

165 Due to the wet soil conditions caused by all the antecedent rain, several of the small steep tributaries of the 166 Emme river reacted very quickly to the 24 July 2014 rainstorn

The receiving Emme river produced an exceptionally large flood. The discharge station in Eggiwil (124 km^2 catchment area, 38 years of records) registered a peak discharge of 338 m^3 s⁻¹ which corresponding to a recurrence interval of ~150 years (FOEN, 2017). Runoff in Eggiwil rose very quickly and reached a maximum





170 within only a few hours. In the framework of the local post-event analysis, peak values of the Emme runoff

- 171 upstream of the gauging station Eggiwil were estimated for this flood based on downstream measurements and by
- 172 using local flood marks (ARGE LLE Schangnau-Eggiwil, 2015; Table 2).
- 173

174Table 2: Peak discharges along the Emme during the 24 July 2014 flood, measured or estimated in the175framework of the local event analysis for several sites along the Emme river (data source: ARGE LLE176Schangnau-Eggiwil, 2015). Note that the drainage area given here does not precisely correspond to data

in Table 2 because estimates were carried out where flood marks were available.

Point along the Emme	Drainage area (km²)	Peak discharge (best estimate) (m ³ s ⁻¹)	Range of peak value (m ³ s ⁻¹)
Kemmeriboden	51	240	204-276
Bumbach	67	300	255-345
Schangnau	86	330	281-380
Räbloch	94	280*	238-336
Eggiwil (Heidbüel)	124	338	Stream gauge record

178 * the reduction in discharge at these two sections is due to the clogging of the Räbloch gorge and related 179 backwater effects.

180

Hydrographs were reconstructed for Schangnau and Räbloch (ARGE LLE Schangnau-Eggiwil, 2015). Peak discharge amounted to approximately 240 m³ s⁻¹ at Kemmeriboden (51 km² catchment area). Along our study reach, peak values probably increased until Schangnau where they reached about 330 m³·s⁻¹. In a natural gorge between the villages of Schangnau and Eggiwil (a place called Räbloch; 94 km² catchment area), the Emme river was impounded due to clogging. A temporary lake formed and according to field surveys peak runoff was reduced to about 280 m³ s⁻¹ (ARGE LLE Schangnau-Eggiwil, 2015).

187 At the Eggiwil gauging station, a first slight increase in discharge was recorded just after 06:00 AM and runoff reached 50 m³ s⁻¹ (a discharge statistically reached during one day per year based on data from 1975-2016) 188 189 at approximately 09:00 AM. Five and a half hours later, at 02:30 PM, the runoff along the falling limb of the Emme hydrograph decreased below 50 m³·s⁻¹. Peak discharge at Eggiwil was reached at approximately 10:30 190 191 AM, about half an hour after the peak occurred at Räbloch. Hence, the 24 July 2014 flood event in the Emme was 192 short. Similarly, short floods with a very steep rising hydrograph limb took place in June 1997 (245 $m^3 \cdot s^{-1}$) and July 2012 (178 $m^3 s^{-1}$), both caused by very intensive convective rain storms as well. Further major floods that 193 194 occurred in the 42 years of measurement were registered in 2005 and 2007 (both with peaks slightly above 175 195 m³·s⁻¹). However, these events were much longer due to the long-lasting nature of the triggering precipitation 196 event (Bezzola and Hegg, 2007; Bezzola and Ruf, 2009).





The Emme River overflowed at various points in the upper catchment and caused large-scale inundations and severe overbank sedimentation (Figure 1). Infrastructure, flood protection structures as well as buildings were damaged, and in some cases, even destroyed. Moreover, widespread bank erosion occurred all along the Emme River, thereby entraining sediments and wood from alluvial forest stands.

The steep torrents produced considerable debris floods and debris flows and transported large amounts of sediment and LW. The two most active torrents (Sädelgraben and Gärtlebach) overtopped their channels and deposited ample amounts of material on their fans. Near the confluence of the Sädelgraben and the Emme River, the road was obstructed by several meters of coarse material from the torrents. Furthermore, shallow landslides and hillslope debris flows occurred on steep locations of the upper Emme catchment.

The lower part of the Gärtelbach (from an elevation around 1300 m a.s.l.) delivered around 2000 m³ of sediment to the Emme river, most of it recruited in the fluvial corridor, with 5000–7000 m³ sediment deposited on the fan. The other main sediment source into the Emme river was the Sädelgrabe, where around 2000 m³ of sediment was deposited in the channel, and around 15,000 m³ of sediment deposited on the cone (according to ARGE LLE Schangnau-Eggiwil, 2015). However, sediment budgeting or deeper analysis about sediment dynamics was out the scope of our work.

Financial damage to private property and infrastructure (e.g., roads, bridges, hydraulic structures) in the worst affected municipalities of Schangnau and Eggiwil was estimated at approximately 20 million Swiss Frances (Andres et al., 201

215

216

217 **2.3 Methods**

218 2.3.1. Field survey

A post event survey was carried out right after the flood and during the following weeks. The Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN) initiated a project to study the recruitment, transport, and deposition of large wood in the upper catchment of the Emme River (Badoux et al., 2015; Böckli et al., 2016; Rickli et al., 2016), in which the main geomorphic effects of the flood were analysed as well (Zurbrügg, 2015). This project was elaborated in close collaboration with the local authorities (ARGE LLE Schangnau-Eggiwil, 2015).

The field survey after the flood focused on the quantification of deposited wood, identification of recruitment sources, and identification of changes in planform geometry (i.e., bank erosion). The field survey was





carried out along 9.5 km of the Emme River and two of its main tributaries (Sädelgrabe and Gärtelbach),
although other tributaries were visited as well. Regarding large wood, source areas (including landslides or debris
floods and bank erosion) were identified in the field and mapped in GIS, and wood deposits were measured.
Moreover, we noted whether LW from hillslopes processes reached the streams, as most of the mass movements
were shallow landslides and not directly connected to the channel network.

Each piece of LW (length > 1m and diameter > 10 cm; Wohl et al., 2010) deposited during the flood along the studied reaches was assigned to a class relative to its mid-length diameter and length (Marcus et al., 2002; Daniels, 2006; Lucía et al., 2015; Rickli et al., 2016), i.e., seven classes were distinguished from < 10 cm to > 40 cm in diameter and nine classes from < 2 to > 16 m for length. Log volume was calculated as solid cylinders (Thévenet et al., 1998). Wood accumulations were also measured. The wood volume of each jam was calculated geometrically through its area and height (measured in the field), considering a 50–80% range in porosity (Thévenet et al., 1998).

In the tributary catchments where large quantities of wood were deposited, mainly along the Sädelgrabe fan, the extension of wood deposits and size of accumulations prevented the measurement of individual pieces. Areas with similar density of wood were identified and plots were measured to estimate total wood volume in the area (see Figure S3). Most of the recruited wood from the Gärtelbach was deposited along the Emme floodplain.

Civil protection services removed some of the wood deposits immediately after the flood, storing the material at two sites close to the river, one near the confluence between the Sädelgrabe and the Emme and another near the Bumbach bridge (Figure 1). These piles (five in total) were analysed as well and wood samples were measured to estimate the stored wood volume and wood size distribution (Rickli et al., 2016).

246

247

248 2.3.2. GIS analysis

The field survey was complemented with GIS analyses with the aim to extend the study to the upper catchment and to include all tributaries. The entire upper catchment was analysed by splitting the stream network into 64 sub-reaches according to the tributaries junctions and the location of bridges, as bridges may act as obstacles to the downstream transfer of wood (see Figure S1). A total of 54.5 km of stream network length were analysed.

For all sub-reaches, we calculated key morphological and hydrological parameters, such as maximum and minimum elevation, channel gradient, channel sinuosity, or drainage area by using the available DEM for the





catchment (SwissALTI3D, 2 m spatial resolution) and spatial analysis and the hydrological geoprocessing. Other 256 morphological parameters such as valley bottom width were extracted from the DEM using the Fluvial Corridor 257 tool (Alber and Piégay, 2011; Roux et al., 2014). Moreover, the available aerial orthoimages (Swisstopo) were 258 used to map the active channel before (image from March 2014, resolution 25 cm) and after (image from May 259 2015, resolution 25 cm) the flood. The post flood units were mapped as well in the field, with a focus on bank 260261 erosion, as well as on the measurements of length and width of eroded banks (mostly along the Emme River). GIS measurements were compared and validated with field observations. The width of the active channel before 262 263 and after the flood and valley bottom (i.e., alluvial plain) width were calculated at several transects within each sub-reach. The centreline to the active channel polygon was obtained using the polygon to centreline tool (Dilts, 264 2015) and perpendicular transects were obtained with the transect tool (Ferreira, 2014); width was measured 265 based on these transects. Transects were delineated at approximately regular intervals, ranging between 20 to 50 266 m in length, with a total of 980 transects along the stream network. 267

We calculated the confinement index (C_i) as the ratio between the valley bottom width (W_{valley}) to the initial channel width (pre-flood; W_i):

$$270 \qquad Ci = W_{valley} W_i \tag{1}$$

and the width ratio (W_r) as the ratio between the width of the channel post-flood (W_f) to the channel width pre-flood (W_i) , as proposed by Krapesch et al., (2011):

$$W_r = W_{f'} W_i$$
^[2]

Discharge was not measured except at the outlet of the basin (Eggiwil stream gauge station; Fig.1), but estimations at other river sections were available (Table 2), using this data and the drainage area (A) we used a potential equation to estimate peak discharges at all sub-reaches:

277
$$Q = 23 \cdot A^{0.6}$$
 [3]

Because the estimates using equation [3] were relatively uncertain, stream power was not calculated using the estimated peak discharge of the flood, but instead we used the stream power index proposed by Marchi and Dalla Fontana (2005) calculated as the product of the channel slope (*S*) and the square root of the drainage area (*A*):

282
$$SPI=S \cdot A^{0.5}$$
 [4]

The geomorphic response of the catchment and the initiation of processes such as LW recruitment due to mass movements or bank erosion might be driven by precipitation, among other variables (e.g., channel width, depth, and gradient). However, the rainfall patterns and subsequent disturbance regimes that influence the





temporal variation in LW export in a given watershed network are not yet understood fully (Seo et al., 2012, 286 2015). Therefore, we include the event precipitation as an explanatory variable in our analysis. We hypothesize 287 that differences in the spatial precipitation pattern would have led to differences in the geomorphic response, 288 thereby regulating LW dynamics. The spatial and temporal distribution of the precipitation was available from 289 the CombiPrecip database recorded by MeteoSwiss, which is calculated using a geostatistical combination of 290 291 rain-gauge measurements and radar estimates with a regular grid of 1 km resolution (Sideris et al., 2014). For each sub-reach the drainage was computed as explained above, and the hourly and cumulative or total 292 293 precipitation, total mean and total maximum values (i.e., the mean and maximum value of the total precipitation registered at each sub-catchment) were calculated. 294

295 The forest stands volumes (m³·ha⁻¹) present before the event and eroded during the flood were assigned based on land use maps available for the study area and on information provided by the Canton of Bern and the 296 Swiss National Forest Inventory (NFI; Brassel and Lischke, 2001) to calculate recruited wood volume (in terms 297 of eroded vegetation; see example in Figure S4) and forested channel length. Forested channel length was 298 determined by intersecting the forest cover with the river network. For this calculation, a wood buffer strip of 10 299 300 m was added to the forest boundary to account for potential LW recruitment due to tree fall. The width of the 301 strip was chosen to be half of the average tree height and to correspond to the area of possible location of the 302 centre of gravity of recruited wood logs (Mazzorana et al. 2011). The dataset used for this calculation is based on the digitized topological landscape model of Switzerland 1:25,000 (source: Vector25 © 2007, swisstopo, 303 304 DV033594). Recruited wood volumes were normalized by channel area (i.e., m³·ha⁻¹) and channel length (m³·km⁻¹) ¹) to better compare sub-reaches and compare with other studies in other regions. 305

Deposited wood was directly measured in the field as explained above and by Rickli et al. (2016). Besides the field survey and the GIS analysis, all available media data, including a video recorded from a helicopter (http://www.heliweb.ch), were also analysed (Zurbrügg, 2015). This analysis allowed mapping of the original depositional sites of the removed wood right after the flood and complementing the wood budget calculations. Deposited wood volumes were also normalized by channel area (i.e., m³·ha⁻¹) and channel length (m³·km⁻¹) for comparisons.

312

- 313
- 314





316 2.3.3. Statistical analysis

First, an exploratory analysis of the potential factors at the sub-reach scale was done by applying simple linear regression and correlation (non-parametric Spearman rank test). The explanatory variables analysed were width ratio, wood recruited volume (in total volume $-m^3$ -, volume per area $-m^3 \cdot ha^{-1}$ -, and volume per stream length $-m^3 \cdot km^{-1}$) and wood deposit volume (in total volume $-m^3$ -, volume per area $-m^3 \cdot ha^{-1}$ -, and volume per stream length $-m^3 \cdot km^{-1}$). The controlling factors included were initial channel width, width ratio (for wood recruitment and deposition), channel gradient, sinuosity, confinement index, SPI, forested channel length, and total maximum and mean precipitation.

Sub-reaches were grouped according to their morphological characteristics, geomorphic response (using a value of width ratio > 1.2 to characterize sub-reaches with important geomorphic changes in terms of channel widening), LW recruitment (sub-reaches with and without LW recruitment) and LW deposition (sub-reaches with and without LW deposition). Differences between groups of sub-reaches were tested using the non-parametric Mann Whitney (i.e., Wilcoxon signed rank test for two groups) or Kruskal Wallis (for > 2 groups) tests. Significance of correlations and differences was set when p-value < 0.1.

330 We hypothesize that one single variable may not explain the catchment response, but that the combination 331 of multiple variables would. Thus, we applied multivariate analysis to estimate the probability and factors 332 controlling channel widening, LW recruitment, and LW deposition. We applied multiple linear regression and 333 multivariate binary logistic regression by using a stepwise approach in both cases to identify the best model based 334 on the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the determination coefficient. The multivariate binary logistic regression estimates the probability of a binary response (e.g., high channel widening and low channel widening, 335 presence or absence of LW recruitment) based on different predictors (or independent) variables (e.g., 336 337 morphological variables). As the variables analysed have very different units and different orders of magnitudes, the dataset was standardized by mean-centering (the average value of each variable is calculated and then 338 339 subtracted from the data, resulting in a transformed dataset in such the resulting variable has a zero mean; Becker et al., 1988) prior to computing (logistic and linear) multiple regressions. All analyses were done for all subr-340 eaches together, for sub-reaches along the Emme river only, and for sub-reaches along all tributaries. Variables 341 were considered significant for p-value < 0.1. 342

Statistical analyses were carried out using the statistical software R (R Core Team, 2017) and the packages
xlsx (Dragulescu, 2014), Rcmdr (Fox, 2005 and 2017; Fox and Bouchet-Valat, 2017), corrgram (Kevin Wright,
2017), corrplot (Wei and Simko, 2017) and Hmisc (Harrell, 2016).





346 3 Results

347 The morphology of the sub-reaches along the Emme River and tributaries is significantly different (see 348 Figure S5 in supplementary material), therefore we analysed their morphological response separately. Figure 3 shows the averaged values for different morphological variables, the calculated width ratio and the precipitation 349 350 for the 19 study streams including the Emme River reach (cf. Table 1). Looking at the different tributaries and the Emme River reach, we observe that the morphological response in terms of width ratio was very different (Figure 351 352 3). The highest width ratio was observed in the Sädelgrabe, with nearly 5 times the initial channel width after the flood. The Gärtelbach and the tributary near Kemmeriboden also experienced significant channel widening. 353 354 These streams were relatively narrow before the event, with initial channel widths smaller than 10 m, very steep (with channel gradients higher than 0.1), and highly confined (with confinement indices smaller than or near 5). 355







Figure 3: Boxplots of averaged initial channel width (before the flood), channel gradient, confinement ratio,
sinuosity, width ratio, precipitation and recruited wood volumes for the 19 studied streams. Total
maximum and mean total precipitation are calculated based on the 1 km precipitation grid cells in the
respective catchments (maximum shows the highest value, mean shows the mean value recorded in each
sub-catchment). Recruited wood volumes are given in ranges based on forest density ranges (as
explained in the methods). In bold streams highlighted in Figure 4.

364 365

366 3.1 Morphological flood response: channel widening

The exploratory analysis of the morphological characteristics (Figure 4) showed that the relationships between width ratio and channel gradient, confinement index, initial channel width, SPI, sinuosity and total





- 369 maximum precipitation variable substantially. A large scatter exists in the data, and in some cases, relationships
- 370 are very different for the Emme sub-reaches and for the tributaries sub-reaches.



371

Figure 4: Relationships between width ratio and (a) channel gradient; (b) confinement; (c) channel width (preflood); (d) stream power index (in m·m⁻¹·km⁻²); (e) sinuosity; (f) total maximum precipitation. Grey dots
show sub-reaches along the Emme River, black dots show sub-reaches along tributaries. Säd.:
Sädelgrabe; Gär.: Gärtelbach; numbers are sub-reaches as shown in Table 1. Grey and black lines show
regression lines for the Emme River sub-reaches and tributaries sub-reaches respectively. Note that
panel f has a linear x-axis in contrast to the logarithmic x-axis of panels a-e.

378

According to the Spearman rank test for all sub-reaches together (Figure 5) and for the tributaries subreaches (Figure S7), a significant positive correlation was found between width ratio and the total maximum and mean precipitation. Forested channel length was also significantly correlated to channel widening along the Emme sub-reaches (Figure S8).

Earth Surf. Dynam. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-2018-44 Manuscript under review for journal Earth Surf. Dynam. Discussion started: 13 June 2018

© Author(s) 2018. CC BY 4.0 License.







Figure 5: Spearman rank correlation matrix of all variables included in the analyses and for all sub-reaches
 together. Values shows the Spearman rank results (significant correlations are in bold). Red colours
 show significant negative correlations, blue shows significant positive correlation, white shows
 insignificant correlations.

389

```
When sub-reaches without widening (i.e., width ratio < 1.2) are removed from the correlation analysis,
other significant correlations besides precipitation were observed (Table 3), such as channel gradient and initial
```

392 channel width. Hence, the inclusion of sub-reaches which did not experience widening changed results, a fact that

393 is discussed further in section 4.





398

Table 3: Spearman Rank correlation matrix for the width ratio versus different variables and for all sub-reaches,
 only Emme sub-reaches and only tributaries sub-reaches only with sub-reaches showing widening (i.e.,
 width ratio>1.2). Bold indicates significant correlation.

Width ratio	Variables (All sub-reaches with width ratio >1.2)	Variables (Emme sub-reaches with width ratio >1.2)	Variables (Tributaries sub-reaches with width ratio >1.2)
Confinement index	-0.12	0.22	-0.06
Channel gradient	0.46	0.33	0.26
Total max. precipitation (mm)	0.35	0.40	0.31
Total mean precipitation (mm)	0.32	0.48	0.38
Sinuosity	-0.06	0.08	-0.09
Forested channel length (%)	0.22	-0.18	-0.06
Initial channel width (m)	-0.56	-0.49	-0.43
SPI	-0.08	0.27	-0.23

399

We compared the sub-reaches showing widening (i.e., width ratio > 1.2) with the sub-reaches not showing 400 widening (i.e., width ratio < 1.2) and results revealed significant differences between these two groups (see also 401 Figure S6) and between sub-reaches along the Emme and along tributaries (Figure 6). We find that sub-reaches 402 403 with a large width ratio were significantly less confined (high values of confinement index), less steep and received a much higher precipitation during the storm. By contrast, sub-reaches where widening was important 404 were also wider (channel width before the flood) and less forested, however, these differences were not 405 significant. Interestingly, analysis of the sub-reaches along the Emme and along the tributaries independently 406 showed similar trends (Figure 6). 407







Figure 6: Boxplots of morphological variables (initial channel width, confinement index, channel gradient) and total maximum precipitation for all sub-reaches showing widening (i.e., width ratio ≥ 1.2 ; grey boxes) and sub-reaches not showing widening (i.e., width ratio < 1.2). The bottom and top of the box indicate the first and third quartiles, respectively, the black line inside the box is the median and circles are outliers. The Wilcoxon signed rank test result (p-value) for the significance of differences is also shown, bold indicates significant differences.

The logistic regression points to an increase in the probability of widening occurrence with increasing precipitation and confinement index. On the other hand, the probability of channel widening decreases with an increase in channel gradient, sinuosity, SPI, and forested channel length for all sub-reaches together. As with previous results, the sub-reaches along the Emme and along tributaries showed a contrasting behaviour. Along the Emme, widening probability increased for wider, gentler, less sinuous, and less forested sub-reaches, whereas in the case of tributaries, the probability for the channels to widen was larger for narrower, steeper, sinuous forested sub-reaches.

The role of precipitation is univocal in all cases, confirming our initial hypothesis about the role of the spatial distribution of precipitation. The logistic stepwise procedure revealed that the most significant variables explaining widening probability for all sub-reaches were total maximum precipitation, SPI, and estimated peak discharge (Table S1). Results obtained for sub-reaches along the Emme showed that forested channel length was also significant to explain widening.

The multiple linear regression between width ratio values and the same explanatory variables for all subreaches identified precipitation, gradient and SPI as significant variables. However, obtained models explained





only between 14 and 19 % of the variability (Table S2). Separate multiple linear regression models for subreaches along the Emme and along tributaries further identify forested channel length, sinuosity, and initial
channel width as significant variables; overall, models explained between 20 and 50% of widening variability.

435

436 3.2 Large wood recruitment and deposition

437 *3.2.1. Factors controlling large wood recruitment*

The most important sources of LW were the tributaries Bärselbach, Buembachgrabe, Gärtelbach, and Sädelgrabe, together with the main river Emme (see Figure 3). To understand the factors controlling LW recruitment at the sub-reach scale better, we explored correlations between different variables and the total LW volume, as well as the normalized recruited wood volume per stream hectare (Figure 7) and per channel length. In these analyses, we also included sub-reaches without LW recruitment.



443

Figure 7: Relationships between recruited wood volume normalized by stream hectare (m³·ha⁻¹; mean value according to mean value of forest density) and (a) width ratio; (b) confinement index; (c) sinuosity; (d) initial channel width (m); (e) total maximum precipitation (mm) and (f) SPI. Grey and black lines show regression lines for the Emme river and tributaries sub-reaches respectively. Säd. = Sädelgrabe; Gär. = Gärtelbach; numbers correspond to sub-reaches as shown in Table 1.





Even though the results showed a large scatter, some relationships can be identified. For instance, we 450 found a positive significant correlation between recruited wood volume (m³, m³ ha⁻¹ and m³ km⁻¹) and width ratio 451 (Figure 5). This confirms that bank erosion (i.e., channel widening) was the main recruitment process. Again, 452 453 sub-reaches receiving larger amounts of precipitation recruited higher quantities of LW and we observe a statistically significant positive correlation between total maximum and mean precipitation and recruited wood 454 455 volume (for all three recruited wood volume variables). This is explained by the control of precipitation driving discharge, and thus driving the widening of channels and the wood recruitment process. Channel morphology 456 may play a role in wood recruitment as well; we observe a significant negative correlation between recruited LW 457 volume and initial channel width and a significant positive correlation with channel gradient (Figure 5). 458 459 However, these significant correlations were found only for wood volume per stream hectare and not for total wood volume or wood volume per stream length (Figure 5). 460

Independent analyses for sub-reaches along the Emme or along tributaries showed similar results (correlation matrices shown in Figures S7 and S8). We also performed the same analysis with sub-reaches showing LW recruitment (i.e., removing those in which no LW was recruited) and found similar results in terms of significant correlations with the different variables (results not shown here). However, the comparative analysis of sub-reaches with and without LW recruitment (Figure 8) revealed that LW recruitment was observed primarily in sub-reaches characterized by a significantly greater confinement index (i.e., unconfined sub-reaches) and significantly smaller slope.







Figure 8: Boxplots of morphological characteristics (width ratio, initial channel width, confinement index, channel gradient and), total max. precipitation of sub-reaches showing wood recruitment and not showing LW recruitment. The bottom and top of the box indicate the first and third quartiles, respectively, the black line inside the box is the median and circles are outliers. The Wilcoxon signed rank test result (p-value) for the significance of differences is also shown, bold indicates significant differences.

475

468

Figure 8 shows that sub-reaches with LW recruitment were in general wider and wi thsub-reaches longer forested lengths than sub-reaches with no LW recruitment, however, differences were not significant (the results of all sub-reaches together, without grouping sub-reaches along the Emme and along tributaries are shown in Figure S9).

The logistic regression allowed calculation of the probability of LW recruitment occurrence. According to results, the probability of LW recruitment increases with channel widening and precipitation; and decreases with initial channel width and channel gradient. However, none of the variables were significant (Table S3), and the final stepwise logistic regression model selected just width ratio and confinement index as variables explaining LW recruitment probability. The multiple linear regression points to total maximum precipitation and width ratio as the most significant

486 variables explaining total LW recruitment volume (total m³) variability, but forested channel length was also





included in the final stepwise regression model for all sub-reaches. Between 10 and 32 % of the variability was 487 explained by these models (adjusted R²) (Table S4). 488 489 490 3.2.2. Large wood deposition along the Emme River 491 LW deposits were analysed along the Emme River and its tributary Sädelgrabe. However, because LW was 492 mostly deposited on the Sädelgrabe fan and piled up nearby, only results obtained along the Emme sub-reaches 493 can be provided here. The exploratory analysis of LW deposit distribution showed a positive relationship between 494 deposited wood volume (normalized by stream area; m3·ha-1) and width ratio, confinement index, initial channel 495

496 width, and total precipitation; and a negative relationship with SPI (Figure 9).

497



498

Figure 9: Relationships between deposited wood volume per stream hectare (m³·ha⁻¹) along the Emme River subreaches and (a) width ratio; (b) confinement index; (c) sinuosity; (d) channel width pre-flood; (e) total
maximum precipitation (mm); and (f) SPI.

502

503 The Spearman test yielded a negative significant correlation of deposited LW with channel gradient and 504 SPI, and a positive correlation with estimated peak discharge (Figure 5). By contrast, the confinement index and





505 initial channel width were only significantly correlated with deposited LW volume per hectare and per kilometre,

- 506 respectively.
- 507 The comparison between Emme sub-reaches where LW was deposited or not showed statistically

508 significant differences in terms of confinement index, channel gradient, and SPI (Figure 10).

509

510



Figure 10: Boxplots of morphological characteristics (initial channel width, confinement index, channel
gradient, and SPI), of sub-reaches showing and not showing LW deposition along the Emme river. The
bottom and top of the box indicate the first and third quartiles, respectively, the black line inside the box
is the median and circles are outliers. The Wilcoxon signed rank test result (p-value) for the significance
of differences is also shown, bold indicates significant differences.

The probability of LW deposition estimated by logistic regression confirmed that LW deposition probability increases with increasing width ratio, confinement index, and initial channel width, whereas it decreases with increasing channel gradient and SPI. The multivariate stepwise logistic regression model identified both the confinement index and estimated peak discharge as significant variables explaining LW deposition, but also included the width ratio in the final model (Table S5).

The multiple linear regression of LW deposited volume (i.e. total m^3 and m^3 , $m^3 \cdot ha^{-1}$ and $m^3 \cdot km^{-1}$) showed that the significant variables include channel gradient, estimated peak discharge, initial channel width, SPI, and confinement index (Table S6). The models explained between 51% and 67% of the variance. The largest variability (70%) was explained for LW deposited volume per stream length ($m^3 \cdot km^{-1}$).

526

527





529 3.3. Large wood budget and size distribution

LW budget was fully analysed along the lower part of the surveyed Emme River and the Sädelgrabe tributary. This tributary delivered large quantities of LW by mass movements and debris flows, which was mostly deposited along its fan and the Emme River, however, and according to eyewitness reports, a substantial amount of LW was also transported further downstream and to clog the Räbloch gorge. At this narrow canyon (Fig. 1), wood and additional debris created a dam of 8 to 10 m in height.

535

Table 4: Wood budget along the S\u00e4delgrabe. Uncertainties of up to 50% are included in the stated volumes.
537
538

Processes	Recruited (m ³)	Deposited (m ³)	Exported (m ³)
Landslides/bank erosion	331 ± 66		
Previously deposited in channel	150 ± 75		
Stored in the piles close to the confluence		100 ± 20	
Extracted before survey		32 ± 11	
Deposited on the fan (forests)		172 ± 34	
Deposited on the fan (pastures)		25 ± 9	
Subsequently deposited in channel (after event)		100 ± 50	
Stored in pile at fan apex		30 ± 15	
Exported to the Emme			40 ± 20
Total	481 ± 141	$\textbf{458} \pm 139$	$\textbf{40} \pm 20$

539

Recruited LW volumes in the Sädelgrabe were due to landslides and bank erosion; the LW volume was estimated to be equal to 331 m³ (Table 4), together with the estimated volume of wood stored within the channel before the event (150 m³), we obtained in 481 m³ of recruited and entrained wood. About 458 m³ of wood was deposited at various locations (172 m³ were deposited on the fan, 100 m³ were piled up along the stream bed and the municipal road and 100 m³ were remaining in the stream bed of the Sädelgrabe after the event). Our estimations showed that only a small volume (about 40 m³) was exported from the Sädelgrabe to the Emme River.

Another source of LW was the Gärtelbach, which delivered large quantities of LW directly to the Emme River, of which most was deposited along the floodplain in the vicinity of the Schwand bridge. The total volume of wood estimated in this area was 250 m³. Table 5 summarizes the wood budget computed along one segment of the Emme river.





555

Table 5: Wood budget along the lower reach of the studied Emme River segment. Uncertainties of up to 50% are
 included in the stated volumes

Earth Surface

Dynamics

Processes	Recruited (m ³)	Deposited (m ³)	Exported (m ³)
Bank erosion along the studied reach	192±38		
Previously deposited	100 ± 50		
Piles close to Bumbach			
Deposited along the river		360	
Temporary storage in Bumbach			360 ± 36
Stored jam in Räbloch gorge		480 ± 45	
Input from Sädelgrabe	$\textbf{40} \pm 20$		
Total	332	$\textbf{833} \pm 64$	$\textbf{360} \pm 36$

556

Bank erosion along the surveyed Emme River segment recruited about 192 m³ of wood, that together with 557 the estimated previously stored wood (100 m³) and the input from the Sädelgrabe was summed at 332 m³. 558 Roughly 250 m³ were deposited in an area near Schwand, and the rest along the Emme River. The sum of the 559 560 deposited wood was approximately 360 m³. In addition, about 300 m³ of LW from flooded areas were transported to a landfill as part of clean-up work and post event measures. Another important element of the balance is a 561 large jam of approximately 480 m³ of wood, which formed about 1.6 km downstream of the investigated section 562 at Räbloch. Unfortunately, it is not known how much wood was transported from the upper reaches above 563 Kemmeriboden into the considered section, and therefore a mismatch exists between the estimated recruited, 564 565 deposited, and exported volumes.

Pieces of LW were surveyed and measured both along the Emme sub-reaches between Kemmeriboden and 566 Räbloch (Figure 1) and along the Sädelgrabe tributary. In total, 1995 (i.e. 1658 along the Emme and 297 on the 567 Sädelgrabe fan and nearby piles) pieces were measured and the size distribution was further analysed (Figure 11). 568 For both the Sädelgrabe and Emme River, piece frequency generally decreases with increasing piece length and 569 diameter. Regarding the relative diameter distribution, almost no differences exist between the two sites, and in 570 571 both cases the range class of 10-15 cm is the most frequent with approximately 50% of the total. The mean and median values of piece length and diameter are very similar in the Emme River (mean D: 16.6 cm, mean L: 4.04 572 573 m / median D: 15 cm, median L: 2.32 m) and Sädelgrabe torrent (mean D: 17.4 cm, mean L: 3.06 m; median D: 15 cm, median L: 2.5 m). Regarding the relative length distribution, short wood pieces (<2 m) were more 574 frequently found along the Emme River (almost 60 %), whereas longer pieces (>2 m) were more prevalent along 575





- the Sädelgrabe (around 60 %). However, the longest piece was found in the Emme (20.7 m), while the longest
- 577 piece measured in the Sädelgrabe was substantially shorter with a value of 12.0 m.
- 578



Figure 11: Size distribution (piece diameter and length) of deposited LW pieces in the Sädelgrabe (a) and (b) and
 in the Emme River (c) and (d). In all panels the bars relate to the relative frequency of pieces.

583

579

584 4 Discussion

585 4.1. Channel response to the 2014 flood

In this study, we presented an integration of different approaches and data sources (i.e., field survey, GIS-586 remotely sensed data and statistical analysis) at different spatial scales to better understand flood response in 587 terms of channel widening and LW dynamics. We proved the importance of performing an overall analysis over 588 the entire catchment, although the flood event and responses to it were restruicted to some areas of the catchment 589 only. This approach allowed identification of hydrometeorological and geomorphic thresholds for channel 590 591 widening, LW recruitment, and deposition. The inclusion of sub-reaches without important widening or without LW recruitment and deposition in the analysis showed that sub-reaches with similar characteristics may exhibit 592 593 significantly different responses during the same event, and that variables explaining these responses may not be 594 identified properly if only one part of the dataset is analysed.





595 Previous works observed that hydraulic forces (e.g., stream power) are not sufficient to explain geomorphic effects of floods (Nardi and Rinaldi, 2015), and other variables, such as initial channel width, 596 confinement or human interventions should be included in assessments (Surian et al., 2016 and references 597 therein). We confirmed with this study that the flood triggering precipitation is key in understanding the 598 magnitude and spatial variability of catchment response and that it should thus be included in future analyses. As 599 600 hypothesized, differences in spatial precipitation patterns led to differences in the geomorphic response of the catchment, regulating channel widening and thereby controlling LW dynamics. Albeit this observation may have 601 602 been expected, it has rarely been addressed in post-event surveys (Rinaldi et al., 2016) even in cases where data was available at the proper spatial scale (e.g., Surian et al., 2016). 603

604 Precipitation was the univocal variable to explain channel widening in statistically significant terms, provided that all sub-reaches were including, or whenever only sub-reaches along the Emme River or along 605 tributaries were analysed. A threshold value of around 80 mm precipitation was observed in sub-reaches with the 606 most important widening (Fig. 4). When sub-reaches without widening were removed from analysis, channel 607 morphology (in terms of initial channel width, confinement and gradient) and hydraulic conditions (i.e., estimated 608 peak discharge) were also significantly correlated with the width ratio. In fact, sub-reaches with a confinement 609 610 index larger than 10 (i.e., unconfined channels) and wider than 10 m experienced less widening. This means that 611 after intense precipitation events, channel morphology is a secondary driver for channel widening. Initial channel 612 width was significantly negatively correlated with width ratio, as previously observed by Surian et al. (2016), 613 Comiti et al. (2016) and Righini et al. (2017), who analysed reaches that showed important widening in several streams in Italy. These authors also found the confinement index to be an important variable controlling channel 614 615 widening. Regarding this variable, we observed contrasting a behaviour in the sub-reaches along the Emme River 616 (where the width ratio was positively correlated with the confinement index) and along tributaries (where the 617 width ratio was negatively correlated with the confinement index). This is because the largest widening was 618 observed along tributary sub-reaches, which are relatively more confined than the main river. In fact, only along the Emme River we observed that sub-reaches showing channel widening were significantly less confined than 619 sub-reaches not showing widening at all. In contrast, differences were not significant along the tributaries. This 620 apparently contradictory result might be explained by several reasons. First, some large width ratios derived from 621 aerial pictures (i.e., only on planimetric observations) may possibly include erosion of parts of the adjacent 622 hillslopes, a process that also occurs during the flood. This was observed along the Sädelgrabe, where some 623 624 highly confined transects showed widening ratios exceeding the confinement index (as also observed by Comiti





et al., 2016). One may argue that these slope failures should not be considered as channel widening, because the 625 process here is more related to hillslopes movements (e.g., falls, slips, slabs, slumps) than to channel processes. 626 Second, some of the tributaries, especially the Sädelgrabe and Gärtelbach, received the highest amounts of 627 precipitation, resulting in a more intensive response. However, logistic regression showed that widening 628 probability increased with increasing confinement index. Besides channel confinement, lateral constraints, mainly 629 630 artificial rip-raps or channelization, or natural bedrock, were present before the flood occurred, especially along the Emme River (see Figure S2). These natural or artificial lateral constraints were not explicitly included in the 631 632 analysis; however, they may have influenced results (Hajdukiewicz et al., 2015; Surian et al., 2016), therefore blurring factors controlling these processes and making their identification more difficult. In addition, major 633 adjustments occurred during the last century, mostly channel narrowing and channel planform changes. These 634 changes occurred at tributary confluences and along some of the Emme River sub-reaches, especially in 635 unconfined sub-reaches where the stream changed from a braided to a single-thread pattern (Figure S2). These 636 anthropogenic changes may have an influence on current river response to floods and should thus be taken into 637 account as well. Historical analyses were out of the scope of this study, however, they provided key information 638 to assess whether and to what extent the response of a flood may involve channel segments that experienced 639 640 significant changes in historical times (Rinaldi et al., 2016).

641 As shown in the results, sub-reaches along tributaries experiencing large channel widening were 642 significantly steeper than those without widening, while along the Emme River channel, widening happened 643 mostly along the gentler sub-reaches. This contrasting effect is explained by the same reasons exposed above regarding widening and confinement. A negative correlation between width ratio and channel gradient as found 644 along the flatter Emme River reaches was also observed by Lucía et al. (2015), however, channel gradient is 645 646 much smaller along the Emme River than in the Italian streams analysed by these researchers. The hydraulic conditions represented here by the estimated discharge and the SPI were not found to be significantly correlated 647 648 with width ratio, although the multiple linear regression identified SPI as a significant variable explaining channel widening. Due to the large uncertainties related to the estimation of peak discharge at each transect and 649 sub-reach, we preferred not using total stream power or unit stream power for analysis, but selected SPI instead. 650 However, even when accurate discharge estimates are available, stream power has been shown to only partially 651 explain channel changes, as other factors might be more relevant (Krapesch et al., 2011, Comiti et al., 2016, 652 Surian et al., 2016; Righini et al., 2017). Finally, another morphological variable included in our analysis was 653 654 sinuosity. However, this variable was not significant and did not explain channel widening, even though the





655 logistic regression revealed that widening probability may increase for medium sinuous sub-reaches. Nardi and 656 Rinaldi (2015) also found higher width ratios for braided and wandering reaches than for straight or highly 657 sinuous reaches.

Besides channel morphology, the presence of vegetation also influenced channel response. Forested channel length was negatively correlated with width ratio, sub-reaches that experienced large widening were significantly less forested than those not experiencing channel widening. This illustrates the role of vegetation in protecting riverbanks from erosion (Abernethy and Rutherfurd, 1998). Other variables such as bank material (e.g., cohesive, non-cohesive, bedrock), type of vegetation, and vegetation density were not included in our analysis although they can be important factors affecting flood response; they should therefore be considered in future analyses.

665

666

667 4.2. LW recruitment and deposition during the flood

LW recruitment was controlled primarily by bank erosion (i.e., channel widening) and thus, factors 668 controlling this process were identified as significant factors for LW recruitment. We observed a significant 669 correlation between LW recruited volume and width ratio, precipitation, initial width, and channel gradient (i.e. 670 the correlation with the last two variables was significant just for volume of wood recruited per channel hectare). 671 672 The confinement index was also included in the final logistic regression model. There are not many previous studies that analysed LW recruitment after a single large flood. At the time of writing this manuscript, only the 673 674 work of Lucía et al. (2015) was available, reporting on results from Northern Italy. The 2011 flood in the Magra river basin recruited large amounts of LW as well, mostly by bank erosion too. In their work, the authors did not 675 find many significant correlations for total recruited wood volume, only a negative correlation with channel 676 gradient. Our findings agree with their study and confirm the important role of bank erosion in recruiting wood 677 material in mountain rivers, thereby highlighting that hillslope processes were not the dominant LW supplier 678 (contrary to what was proposed by Rigon et al., 2012). 679

This means that more attention should be paid to the understanding of bank erosion processes and the interactions with vegetation to predict or identify LW recruitment sources. Our findings also revealed that morphological variables alone may not explain or predict LW recruitment, and that other factors should be considered as well, such as the triggering precipitation of the recruitment processes. As expected, the percentage





of forested channel was also significant in the multiple linear regression model. However, other vegetation characteristics could play a role, such as the type and density of vegetation (Ruiz-Villanueva et al., 2014).

In our study, LW deposition was controlled mostly by channel morphology. We found significant 686 correlations between LW deposited volume and initial channel width, channel gradient and SPI. Sub-reaches 687 where LW was deposited were significantly less confined (mainly in sub-reaches with confinement index higher 688 689 than 7), wider (LW deposit was enhanced in sub-reaches wider than 15 m), and gentler than sub-reaches with no LW deposits. According the multiple linear regression model, 67 % of the variance was explained by these 690 691 variables. These results are contrasting with those found by Lucía et al. (2015), who did not find any statistically 692 significant relationship with the controlling variables, although they observed that LW was more pronounced in the wider, milder slope reaches, typically located in the lower river sections (Lucía et al., 2015). However, in 693 their case, LW deposition was severely affected by the presence of several bridges and the formation of new in-694 channel islands due to bed aggradation. 695

We could compute wood budgets just for one tributary (Sädelgrabe) and one segment of the Emme river. 696 Similarly to what is commonly done for sediment transport, a wood budget for a river basin should be a 697 698 quantitative statement of the rates of recruited (delivered), deposited, and transported wood volumes (Benda and 699 Sias, 2003). Detailed quantitative information about previously stored wood in the river channels was not 700 available and we therefore had to assume that a value of 100 m³ was reliable for this area based on previous 701 studies (Rickli und Bucher 2006). In addition, the budget for the Emme river segment was not completed, as we 702 could not compute all elements (e.g., previously stored wood, deposited wood during the flood) of the budget in all sub-catchments upstream. Computing wood budgets at the catchment scales is very challenging but might be 703 704 crucial for the proper management of river basins and when it comes to wood-flood hazard mitigation (Comiti et 705 al., 2016).

Regarding the size of deposited logs, the median diameter observed in the field was equal to 15 cm and the median length was equal to 2.3 m and 2.5 m in the Sädelgrabe and Emme River, respectively. These values were slightly smaller than values observed after the flood in August 2005 in central Switzerland (Steeb et al., 2017; Rickli et al., 2018), or after the flood in the Magra river (although only log length was reported by Lucía et al., 2015), but in line with logs deposited along several streams in the Italian Alps (Rigon et al., 2012). We found smaller pieces along the Emme River as compared to the Sädelgrabe, indicating that pieces in the Emme may have travelled longer distances, and that pieces have been broken during transport.





713 The flood event analysed here was a large flood, and although the recruited and transported LW resulted in significant damage (i.e., clogging bridges and damaging buildings), the exported volume was not extremely high. 714 According to our estimations, most LW recruited in the Sädelgrabe (480 m³) and along the lower reach in the 715 Emme River (890m³) was not transported long distances downstream but deposited nearby its source. Part of the 716 material was clogged in the Räbloch gorge (between the villages of Schangnau and Eggiwil) including the woody 717 718 material from the bridge destroyed at Bumbach. Still, LW was transported further downstream and stored in several hydropower dams and reservoirs along the Aare (downstream its confluence with the Emme river). 719 According to the dam managers' estimations, a total of 1500 m³ of wood was stored in five dams. However, it 720 was not possible to compute precise budgets for the entire Emme catchment and its tributaries, and this value thus 721 needs to be confirmed. Nevertheless, the exported LW volume in our study can be classified as very low when 722 compared with volumes transported during the flood in August 2005 in Switzerland (Steeb et al., 2017) and with 723 other events, as illustrated in the review by Ruiz-Villanueva et al. (2016). 724

Due to the complexity inherent to channel widening and LW dynamics, predictions on the location of major geomorphic changes and the magnitude of LW recruitment during large floods are very challenging (Buraas et al., 2014; Surian et al., 2016). Documenting events like the one reported here is fundamental for a better understanding of the processes involved and for the development of reliable and robust tools and approaches to facilitate the inclusion of such processes in flood hazard assessments (Comiti et al., 2016). As such, a real need exists to complement current inundation mapping with a geomorphic approach (Rinaldi et al., 2015 and 2016; Righini et al., 2017) and an integrative analysis of LW dynamics (Mazzorana et al., 2017).

732

733

734 5. Conclusions

Channel widening and LW dynamics are usually neglected in flood hazard mapping and river basin 735 736 management. However, the present study clearly shows the importance of these processes during floods in mountain rivers. Still, a proper identification of factors controlling river basin response remains challenging. In 737 that regard, our results also show that the identification of significant variables may be difficult, and that 738 depending on how the data is collected and analysed (e.g., whether non-affected sub-reaches are included or not 739 or which variables are considered), different outcomes are possible. However, we also showed that precipitation 740 and variables such as forested channel length may play an important role in explaining flood response, and that 741 742 they should thus be taken into consideration. Precipitation was the univocal statistically significant variable to





explain channel widening, and only when sub-reaches without widening were removed from the analysis, channel 743 morphology (i.e. initial channel width, confinement, and gradient) and hydraulic conditions (in terms of estimated 744 peak discharge) were also significantly correlated with width ratio. LW recruitment was controlled primarily by 745 746 bank erosion, and thus by the same variables controlling this process. This finding points to the need to better understand bank erosion processes and the interactions with vegetation so as to predict or to identify LW 747 recruitment sources. LW deposition was mostly controlled by channel morphology (i.e., initial channel width and 748 gradient), and studies like this one are therefore crucial to identify preferential reaches for wood deposition. This 749 is an important component of the full wood budget, but not the only one. Further efforts in wood budgeting at the 750 single event temporal scale are key to better understand LW dynamics during floods in mountains rivers. 751 752

753

754 Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN) in the framework of the "Large Wood 755 during the July 2014 Emme Flood Project" (00.0157.PZ/N414-1285) and the "Research Project WoodFlow" 756 (15.0018.PJ/O192-3154). A part of the work presented here was carried out within the scope of the local, 757 solution-oriented event analysis of the 24 July 2014 Emme flood event (Lokale, lösungsorientierte 758 Ereignisanaylse (LLE) Schangnau-Eggiwil) commissioned by the canton of Berne. We thank ARGE GEOTEST 759 AG and Geo7 AG for the good collaboration. The Forest Division of the Canton of Bern (KAWA) provided the 760 forest information for the canton of Bern. Massimiliano Zappa helped with the precipitation data handling and 761 Ramon Stalder and Karl Steiner assisted in the field. 762



764 References

- 765 Abernethy, B., Rutherfurd, I. D. 1998. Where along a river's length will vegetation most effectively stabilise
- 766 stream banks? Geomorphology, 23(1), 55–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(97)00089-5 Alber, A.,
- 767 Piégay, H., 2011. Spatial disaggregation and aggregation procedures for characterizing fluvial features at
- the network-scale: Application to the Rhone basin (France). Geomorphology 125, 343–360.
- 769 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.09.009
- Andres, N., Badoux, A., Hegg, C., 2015. Unwetterschäden in der Schweiz im Jahre 2014. Wasser Energie Luft
 107(1), 47-54
- ARGE LLE Schangnau-Eggiwil. 2015. Lokale, lösungsorientierte Ereignisanalyse (LLE) Schangnau-Eggiwil,
- 773 Unwetter 24. Juli 2014. Report no. 1414 126.1.
- 774 Arnaud-Fassetta, G., Cossart, E., Fort, M., 2005. Hydro-geomorphic hazards and impact of man-made structures
- during the catastrophic flood of June 2000 in the Upper Guil catchment (Queyras, Southern French Alps).
- 776 Geomorphology 66, 41–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2004.03.014
- 777 Arnaud-Fassetta G. 2013. Dyke breaching and crevasse-splay sedimentary sequences of the Rhône Delta, France,
- caused by extreme river- flood of December 2003. Geografia Fisica e Dinamica Quaternaria 36(1): 7–26
- 779 Badoux, A., Andres, N., Turowski, J.M., 2014. Damage costs due to bedload transport processes in Switzerland.
- 780 Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. 14, 279–294. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-14-279-2014
- 781 Badoux, A., Böckli, M., Rickenmann, D., Rickli, C., Ruiz-Villanueva, V., Zurbrügg, S., Stoffel, M., 2015. Large
- wood transported during the exceptional flood event of 24 July 2014 in the Emme catchment
- (Switzerland). In: Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference Wood in World Rivers, Padova, Italy,July 2015.
- 785 Becker, R. A., Chambers, J. M. and Wilks, A. R. 1988. The New S Language. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole.
- Benda, L.E., Sias, J.C., 2003. A quantitative framework for evaluating the mass balance of in-stream organic
 debris. For. Ecol. Manage. 172, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(01)00576-X
- 788 Benito, G., 1997. Energy expenditure and geomorphic work of the cataclysmic Missoula flooding in the
- 789 Columbia river GGorge, USA. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 22, 457–472.
- 790 Bezzola, G. R., Hegg, C. (Eds.), 2007. Ereignisanalyse Hochwasser 2005, Teil 1 Prozesse, Schäden und erste
- 791 Einordnung, Umwelt-Wissen Nr. 0707, Bundesamt für Umwelt BAFU, Bern), Eidg. Forschungsanstalt
- 792 WSL, Birmensdorf, Switzerland, 215 pp. (in German).





- 793 Bezzola, G. R., Ruf, W., 2009. Ereignisanalyse Hochwasser August 2007, Umwelt-Wissen Nr. 0927, Bundesamt
- für Umwelt BAFU, Bern, Switzerland, 209 pp. (in German).
- 795 Böckli, M., Badoux, A., Rickli, C., Forsting, D., Rickenmann, D., Ruiz-villanueva, V., Zurbrügg, S., Stoffel, M.,
- 2016. Large wood-related hazards during the extreme flood of 24 July 2014 in the Emme catchment
- 797 (Switzerland), in: Interpraevent 2016. pp. 190–191.
- Brassel, P., Lischke, H.: Swiss National Forest Inventory: Methods and models of the second assessment. Swiss
 Federal Research Institute WSL, Birmensdorf, Switzerland, 336 pp., 2001.
- 800 Bracken, L.J., Turnbull, L., Wainwright, J., Bogaart, P., 2015. Sediment connectivity: a framework for
- understanding sediment transfer at multiple scales. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 188, 177–188.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3635
- 803 Burkhardt-Holm, P., Scheurer, K., 2007. Application of the weight-of-evidence approach to assess the decline of
- brown trout (Salmo trutta) in Swiss rivers. Aquat. Sci. 69, 51–70. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-006 0841-6
- Buraas, E. M., Renshaw, C. E., Magilligan, F. J., Dade, W. B. 2014. Impact of reach geometry on stream channel
 sensitivity to extreme floods. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 1789(April), 1778–1789.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3562
- Cenderelli, D.A., Kite, J.S., 1998. Geomorphic effects of large debris flows on channel morphology at North
 Fork Mountain, eastern West Virginia, USA. Earth Surf. Process. Landf. 23, 1–19.
- 811 Comiti, F., Lucía, A., Rickenmann, D., 2016. Large wood recruitment and transport during large floods: a review.
- 812 Geomorphology submitted, 23–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.06.016
- Croke, J., Fryirs, K., Thompson, C., 2013. Channel-floodplain connectivity during an extreme flood event:
 Implications for sediment erosion, deposition, and delivery. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 38, 1444–
- 815 1456. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3430.
- B16 Daniels, M. D. 2006. Distribution and dynamics of large woody debris and organic matter in a low-energy
- meandering stream. Geomorphology, 77(3–4), 286–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.01.011
- Dilts, T.E. 2015. Polygon to Centerline Tool for ArcGIS. University of Nevada Reno. Available at:
 http://www.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=bc642731870740aabf48134f90aa6165
- 820 Dragulescu A.A. 2014. xlsx: Read, write, format Excel 2007 and Excel 97/2000/XP/2003 files. R package
- 821 version 0.5.7. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=xlsx





- 822 Ferreira, 2014. Perpendicular Transects (Ferreira). GIS 4 Geomorphology. Available:
 823 http://gis4geomorphology.com/stream-transects-partial/
- FOEN (ed.), 2015. Hydrological Yearbook of Switzerland 2014. Federal Office for the Environment, Bern,
- Environmental Status no. UZ-1511-E, 36 p.
- 826 FOEN, 2017. Hochwasserwahrscheinlichkeiten (Jahreshochwasser) Emme Eggiwil, Heidbüel (EDV: 2409).
- Federal Office for the Environment, Bern, available at:
 https://www.hydrodaten.admin.ch/lhg/sdi/hq_studien/hq_statistics/2409hq.pdf (last access: 9 February
 2018)
- Fox, J. 2005. The R Commander: A Basic Statistics Graphical User Interface to R. Journal of Statistical
 Software, 14(9): 1--42
- Fox, J. 2016. Using the R Commander: A Point-and-Click Interface or R. Boca Raton FL: Chapman and
 Hall/CRC Press.
- 834 Fox, J., Bouchet-Valat, M. 2017. Rcmdr: R Commander. R package version 2.3-2.
- Gaume, E., Borga, M., 2008. Post-flood field investigations in upland catchments after major flash floods:
 proposal of a methodology and illustrations. J. Flood Risk Manag. 1, 175–189.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-318X.2008.00023.x
- Gaume, E., Livet, M., Desbordes, M., Villeneuve, J.P., 2004. Hydrological analysis of the river Aude, France,
- flash flood on 12 and 13 November 1999. J. Hydrol. 286, 135–154.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2003.09.015
- 641 Gurnell, A.M., 2007. Analogies between mineral sediment and vegetative particle dynamics in fluvial systems.
- 842 Geomorphology 89, 9–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.07.012
- Hajdukiewicz, H., Wyżga, B., Mikuś, P., Zawiejska, J., Radecki-Pawlik, A., 2015. Impact of a large flood on
 mountain river habitats, channel morphology, and valley infrastructure. Geomorphology.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.09.003
- 846 Harrell Jr, F.E. 2016. Hmisc: Harrell Miscellaneous. R package version 4.0-2. https://CRAN.R847 project.org/package=Hmisc
- Harvey, A.M., 1986. Geomorphic effects of a 100-year storm in the Howgill Fells, northwest England. Zeitschrift
 fur Geomorphologie 30, 71–91.





- Harvey, A.M., 2001. Coupling between hillslopes and channels in upland fluvial systems: Implications for
 landscape sensitivity, illustrated from the Howgill Fells, northwest England. Catena 42, 225–250.
- 852 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0341-8162(00)00139-9
- 853 Heritage, G.L., Large, A.R.G., Moon, B.P., Jewitt, G., 2004. Channel hydraulics and geomorphic effects of an
- extreme flood event on the Sabie River, South Africa. Catena 58, 151-181.
- Hilker, N., Badoux, A., Hegg, C., 2009. The Swiss flood and landslide damage database 1972–2007. Nat.
 Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. 9, 913–925. doi:10.5194/nhess-9-913-2009, 2009.
- 857 Hollis, G.E. 1975. The effect of urbanization on floods of different recurrence interval. Water Resources
- 858 Research 11(3), 431–435.
- Howard, A., Dolan, R., 1981. Geomorphology of the Colorado River in the Grand Canyon. J. Geol. 89, 269–298.
- Kevin Wright 2017. corrgram: Plot a Correlogram. R package version 1.12. https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=corrgram
- Krapesch, G., Hauer, C., & Habersack, H. 2011. Scale orientated analysis of river width changes due to extreme
 flood hazards. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 11(8), 2137–2147.
- https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-11-2137-2011Lapointe, M.F., Secretan, Y., Driscoll, S.N., Bergeron, N.,
- Leclerc, M., 1998. Response of the Ha! Ha! River to the flood of July 1996 in the Saguenay Region of Quebec: large-scale avulsion in a glaciated valley. Water Resour. Res. 34 9, 2383–2392.
- 867 Lehmann, C. 2001. Geschiebestudie hinteres Lauterbrunnental. Unveröffentlicht. Bericht, TBA-OIK-II. Thun
- Lin GW, Chen H, Hovius N, Horng MJ, Dadson S, Meunier P, Lines M. 2008. Effects of earthquake and cyclone
- sequencing on landsliding and fluvial sediment transfer in a mountain catchment. Earth Surf Proc
 Landforms 33:1354–1373
- Lucía, a., Comiti, F., Borga, M., Cavalli, M., Marchi, L., 2015. Dynamics of large wood during a flash flood in
 two mountain catchments. Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss. 3, 1643–1680.
 https://doi.org/10.5194/nhessd-3-1643-2015
- Macklin, M.G., Rumsby, B.T. and Heap, T., 1992. Flood alluviation and entrenchment: Holocene valley floor
 development and transformation in the British uplands. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 104, 631–
 643.
- 877 Magilligan, F.J., Phillips, J.D., James, L.A., Gomez, B., 1998. Geomorphic and Sedimentological Controls on the
- 878 Effectiveness of an Extreme Flood. J. Geol. 106, 87–96. https://doi.org/10.1086/516009





- Marchi L & Dalla Fontana G. 2005. GIS morphometric indicators for the analysis of sediment dynamics in
 mountain basins, Environmental Geology, 48(2): 218–228.
- 881 Marchi, L., Borga, M., Preciso, E., Sangati, M., Gaume, E., Bain, V., Delrieu, G., Bonnifait, L., Pogačnik, N.,
- 882 2009. Comprehensive post-event survey of a flash flood in Western Slovenia: Observation strategy and
- 883 lessons learned. Hydrol. Process. 23, 3761–3770. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7542.
- Marcus, W. A., Marston, R. a, Colvard, C. R., Gray, R. D. 2002. Mapping the spatial and temporal distributions
 of woody debris in streams of the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, USA. Geomorphology, 44(3–4), 323–
- 886 335. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(01)00181-7.
- Mazzorana, B., Hübl, J., Zischg, A., Largiader, A. 2011. Modelling woody material transport and deposition in
 alpine rivers. Natural Hazards, 56(2), 425–449. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-009-9492-y
- 889 Mazzorana, B., Ruiz-Villanueva, V., Marchi, L., Cavalli, M., Gems, B., Gschnitzer, T., Valdebenito, G. 2017.
- 890 Assessing and mitigating large wood-related hazards in mountain streams: recent approaches. Journal of
- 891 Flood Risk Management. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfr3.12316
- 892 Merritts, D., 2011. The effects of variable river flow on human communities. In:Wohl, E.E. (Ed.), Inland Flood
- Hazards: Human, Riparian, and Aquatic Communities. Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, pp. 271–290.
- 894 MeteoSwiss: 24-28 July 2014 Weather situation, available at:
- 895 http://www.meteoswiss.admin.ch/home/climate/past/climate-extremes/extreme-value-analyses/high-
- impact-precipitation-events/24-28-july-2014.html, last access 20 April 2017.
- 897 Milan, D., 2012. Geomorphic impact and system recovery following an extreme flood in an upland stream,
- 898 Thinhope Burn, northern England, UK. Geomorphology 138, 319-328.
- Miller, A.J., 1990. Flood hydrology and geomorphic effectiveness in the central Appalachians. Earth Surf.
 Process. Landf. 15, 119–134.
- 901 Nardi, L., Rinaldi, M. 2015. Spatio-temporal patterns of channel changes in response to a major flood event: The
- 902 case of the Magra River (central-northern Italy). Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 40(3), 326–339.
- 903 https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3636
- R Core Team 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical
 Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- 906 Rickenmann, D., Koschni, A., 2010. Sediment loads due to fluvial transport and debris flows during the 2005
- 907 flood events in Switzerland. Hydrol. Process. 24, 993–1007. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7536





- Rickenmann, D., Badoux, A., Hunzinger, L., 2016. Significance of sediment transport processes during piedmont
 floods: The 2005 flood events in Switzerland. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 230, 224–230.
- 910 https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3835
- 911 Rickli, C., Bucher, H. 2006. Einfluss ufernaher Bestockungen auf das Schwemmholzvorkommen in Wildbächen.
- 912 Projektbericht Dezember 2006.
- 913 Rickli, C., Böckli, M., Badoux, A., Rickenmann, D., Zurbrügg, S., Ruiz-Villanueva, V., and Stoffel, M 2016.:
- Schwemmholztransport während des Hochwasserereignisses vom 24. Juli 2014 im Einzugsgebiet der
 Emme, Wasser Energie Luft, 108(3), 225-231, (in German).
- Rickli, C., Badoux, A., Rickenmann, D., Steeb, N., Waldner, P., 2018. Large wood potential, piece
 characteristics, and flood effects in Swiss mountain streams. Physical Geography.
- 918 https://doi.org/10.1080/02723646.2018.1456310.
- 919 Righini, M., Surian, N., Wohl, E., Marchi, L., Comiti, F., Amponsah, W., Borga, M., 2017. Geomorphic response
- to an extreme flood in two Mediterranean rivers (northeastern Sardinia, Italy): Analysis of controlling
 factors. Geomorphology 290, 184–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.04.014.
- Rigon, E., Comiti, F., Lenzi, M. A. 2012. Large wood storage in streams of the Eastern Italian Alps and the
 relevance of hillslope processes. Water Resources Research, 48(1), W01518.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2010WR009854
- Rinaldi, M., Surian, N., Comiti, F., Bussettini, M. 2015. A methodological framework for hydromorphological
 assessment, analysis and monitoring (IDRAIM) aimed at promoting integrated river management.
- 927 Geomorphology, 251(November), 122–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2015.05.010
- 928 Rinaldi, M., Amponsah, W., Benvenuti, M., Borga, M., Comiti, F., Lucía, A., Marchi, L., Nardi, L., Righini, M.,
- 929 Surian, N., 2016. An integrated approach for investigating geomorphic response to extreme events:
- 930 methodological framework and application to the October 2011 flood in the Magra River catchment, Italy.
- 931 Earth Surf. Process. Landforms n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3902
- Roux, C., Alber, A., Bertrand, M., Vaudor, L., Piégay, H., 2014. "FluvialCorridor": A new ArcGIS toolbox
 package for multiscale riverscape exploration. Geomorphology.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.04.018





- Ruiz-Villanueva, V., Díez-Herrero, A., Ballesteros-Canovas, J.A., Bodoque, J.M., 2014. Potential large woody
 debris recruitment due to landslides, bank erosion and floods in mountain basins: a quantitative estimation
- 937 approach. River Res. Appl. 30, 81–97. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra
- 938 Ruiz-Villanueva, V., Piégay, H., Gurnell, A. A., Marston, R. A., Stoffel, M. 2016. Recent advances quantifying
- the large wood dynamics in river basins: New methods and remaining challenges. Reviews of Geophysics,
- 940 54(3). https://doi.org/10.1002/2015RG000514
- 941 Savi, S., Schneuwly-Bollschweiler, M., Bommer-Denns, B., Stoffel, M., Schlunegger, F. 2013. Geomorphic
- 942 coupling between hillslopes and channels in the Swiss Alps. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms,
 943 38(9), 959–969. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3342.
- Seo, J. Il, Nakamura, F., Akasaka, T., Ichiyanagi, H., Chun, K. W. 2012. Large wood export regulated by the
 pattern and intensity of precipitation along a latitudinal gradient in the Japanese archipelago. Water

946 Resources Research, 48(3), n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR010880

- Sideris, I. V., Gabella, M., Sassi, M., Germann, U., 2014. The CombiPrecip experience: development and
 operation of a real-time radar-raingauge combination scheme in Switzerland. Int. Symp. Weather Radar
 Hydrol.
- 949 Hydron.
- Steeb, N., Rickenmann, D., Badoux, A., Rickli, C., Waldner, P., 2017. Large wood recruitment processes and
 transported volumes in Swiss mountain streams during the extreme flood of August 2005. Geomorphology

952 279, 112–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.10.011

- 953 Surian, N., Righini, M., Lucía, A., Nardi, L., Amponsah, W., Benvenuti, M., Viero, A. 2016. Channel response to
- extreme floods: Insights on controlling factors from six mountain rivers in northern Apennines, Italy.
 Geomorphology. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.02.002.
- 956 Thévenet, A., Citterio, A., Piegay, H. 1998. A new methodology for the assessment of large woody debris
- 957 accumulations on highly modified rivers (example of two French piedmont rivers). Regulated Rivers:
- Research & Management, 14, 467–483. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1646(1998110)14:6<467:
 AID-RRR514>3.0.CO;2-X
- Thompson C, Croke J., 2013. Geomorphic effects, flood power and channel competence of a catastrophic flood
 in confined and unconfined reaches of the upper Lockyer valley, south east Queensland, Australia.
 Geomorphology 197(1): 156-169. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.05.006





- 963 Wei, T., Simko V. 2017. R package "corrplot": Visualization of a Correlation Matrix (Version 0.84). Available
- 964 from https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot
- 965 Wells SG, Harvey A. 1987. Sedimentologic and geomorphic variations in storm-generated alluvial fans, Howgill
- 966 Fells, northwest England. Geological Society of America Bulletin 98: 182–198.
- 967 Wohl, E., Cenderelli, D. a., Dwire, K. a., Ryan-Burkett, S.E., Young, M.K., Fausch, K.D., 2010. Large in-stream
- wood studies: a call for common metrics. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 625, n/a-n/a.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1966
- 970 Wohl, E.E., Greenbaum, N., Schick, A.P., Baker, V.R., 1994. Controls on bedrock channel incision along Nahal
- 971 Paran, Israel. Earth Surf. Process. Land. 19, 1–13.
- 972 Wohl, E., 2017. Connectivity in rivers. Prog. Phys. Geogr. 41, 345–362.
 973 https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133317714972
- 974 Wyżga, B., 1997. Methods for studying the response of flood flows to channel change. J. Hydrol. 198, 271–288.
- 975 Zurbrügg, 2015. Hochwasseruntersuchung und Feldstudie zur Quantifizierung des Transports und der
- 976 Ablagerung von Schwemmholz während einem extremen Ereignis: Die Überschwemmung vom Juli 2014
- 977 in der Emme (Schweiz). Bsc Thesis, University of Bern, Switzerland (in German).