Authors' response to reviews suggesting minor revision

For Manuscript esurf-2018-65: "How steady are steady-state mountain belts? - a re-examination of the Olympic Mountains (Washington State, USA)"

By: Michel et al.

Dear Editor,

we want to acknowledge the time and effort the two reviewers as well as the associate handling editor have spent in reviewing and handling our manuscript also during this second round of review. We are delighted that the changes we made to the first version of the manuscript meet with the reviewers' approval. The comments and suggestions the reviewers are providing during this second round of review are again very thoughtful and productive.

Based on these we made same minor changes to the manuscript, which do not conflict with the main story of the manuscript. Reviewer #2's issue with Equation 2 was, indeed, a typo, so the calculations provided in the paper are correct. We hope our response towards the comments by the reviewers and the changes made to the manuscript are sufficient and meet the editor's expectations.

In the following, we provide a point-to-point response to the comments by the reviewers. At the end, the manuscript can be found in a track-changes style, which allows to easily identify changes we made.

On behalf of the authors,

Lorenz Michel

Below you find our response to the reviewers' comments. The number lines refer to the new version of the manuscript.

Original reviewer comments are in italics. **Our response is in bold** and what we changed in this version of the manuscript is colored blue.

Response to comments from Reviewer #1:

The authors have in general responded well to all the comments raised by the reviewers. However, there is a tendency that the authors try to explain their way out of the comments instead of making changes to the manuscript. For instance, both reviewers have argued that they find the 2D flux calculation needless. The fix for these comments has been to elaborate even more on the text, which just makes the manuscript longer and protracted. In the interest of making the paper clearer, more transparent and more readable, the authors may want the change this strategy.

We thank the reviewer for acknowledging our responses towards the comments by the reviewers during the first round. Again we like to acknowledge for the time she or he has spent during this second round of revision. Regarding the issue of the 2D flux calculations we will provide a compromise and move the 2D calculations and discussion on these to an appendix. See also the more detailed comment below.

Below are a few comments that remained while reading the revised text.

1. Perhaps my comment was not clear earlier, regarding the use of Pecube in 3D. My suggestion was to use Pecube in 3D in inversion mode. However, I agree with the authors that this could be a next step, and something for the future. But such approach would allow you to include all available data, also the ones with only one thermochron, all together. An inversion like that would not be able to fit all data, but would find the best suitable model on the basis of all data.

Simulations using Pecube in 3D, either in inversion or forward mode, will always provide a sufficient fit between modeled ages and observed data. However, the robustness of a suggested cooling history from a 3D model depends to a large extent on the assumed boundary conditions like exhumation history, topographic evolution, thermal boundaries, and the kinematics. As we showed, the history and evolution of the Olympic Mountains is very complex, and several temporal variations in the described boundary conditions seem likely. This is where we currently see the possibility for over-interpreting the results from 3D simulations without more independent constraints on the boundary conditions. Our simple, 1D simulations provide a robust constraint on the exhumation history of a particular sample (but for example do not include the effects of topography). So the series of simulations should provide information on the exhumation within the Olympic Mountains at the respective sample location. That might be a starting point, where more sophisticated 3D models could tie in. As the reviewer indicates, we believe this is future work, which should include a thorough assessment of all the used boundary conditions.

2. Also related to the thermos-kinematic modeling, the new comment on time-step sensitivity is not very clear (new manuscript lines 255-257). If there is nothing to gain from the sensitivity analysis I don't see the need to include it. However, that being said, I am not sure that the original comment by reviewer 2 has been adequately met, since the authors only have tested a larger timestep and not a smaller one. But perhaps reviewer 2 has commented on this as well – the original comment by reviewer 2 on this could have been clearer.

Based on the comment by the reviewer, we included an additional simulation using a 0.5 Myr time step in the supplementary material. As we explain there, all investigated time steps (0.5 Myr, 1 Myr, 2 Myr, 3 Myr and a time step controlled by thermochronometer age) yield a similar pattern of exhumation for the considered sample. This is a clear indication, that the modeling is not sensitive to the time step. A time step shorter than 1 Myr results in exhumation rate "spikes" that are not resolvable with our thermochronometer data. Hence, using a 0.5 Myr time step would result in an over-interpretation of our data. Due to this, and other reasons outlined in the supplement, we use a time step of 1 Myr for our modeling effort.

In the revised version of the manuscript we changed the corresponding lines, so that it should now be more evident to the reader (Lines 250 – 254): "We performed a sensitivity analysis in order to find the most suitable time step for our simulations and the results of that analysis can be found in the supplementary material (Section S2). Based on the analysis, a time step interval of 1 Myr seems to be most appropriate to use, given the range of our thermochronometry ages and their respective uncertainties."

3. A minor comment regarding the flux calculations. I appreciate the efforts the authors have made to elaborate on this. However, I still feel that the authors could be a bit more specific, and simply mention explicitly that "the variable with the greatest uncertainty is the sediment thickness" is actually "the variable with the greatest uncertainty is the sediment thickness back in time, that has now been subducted below the Olympic Mountains". Since the authors mention that this is the variable with the largest uncertainty, I would think that they would include something on this in their new section 5.5 (on the actual numbers used for sediment thickness).

Thank you for again highlighting this aspect of our calculation and for bringing up this thoughtful sentence. We followed the suggestion by the reviewer and included a short discussion on the uncertainties of the sediment thickness in Section 5.5, indicating that the reported sediment thickness has uncertainties and could impact the calculated influx volumes.

We changed the wording of the sentence the reviewer is referring to, which now reads as "… the variable with the greatest uncertainty is the sediment thickness back in time, that has now been subducted below the Olympic Mountains" (Line 322 – 323).

In section 5.5 we included the following paragraph (Lines 625 – 639):

"As we mentioned in Section 3.3.1, a variable with great uncertainty is the sediment thickness over time, which has now been subducted below the Olympic Mountains. In the supplementary material (Section S3.1) we outlined our approach for assessing the pre-Quaternary sediment thickness, which is

used in our calculations. Although the reported 1.5 km sediment thickness seems to be a plausible value, we note that this value is afflicted with uncertainties and might have been higher. Nonetheless, our proposed balance between influx and outflux might still be tenable, even if the pre-Quaternary sediment thickness deviated from the assumed 1.5 km. I.e., we suggested an influx volume of 75–78 x 10^3 km^3 and calculated outflux volumes between 75 x 10^3 km^3 and 82 x 10^3 km^3 (Table 5), so even an additional influx volume due to a thicker, unnoticed sediment thickness could be balanced with our calculated outflux volumes. Another simplification in our calculation is the assumption of a spatially uniform sediment thickness over the considered length. Figure 3 shows that the sediment thickness along the deformation front is variable and is highest in the Nitinat and Astoria fans. However, an attempt to reconstruct along-strike variations in sediment thickness over time is challenging and would introduce further uncertainties, and thus, we assume an average, constant thickness."

4. It is a matter of taste, and I will leave it up to the authors to decide on this, but I just want to stress once again that you don't need to include both the 2D and 3D for influx/outflux in order to argue that the 3D is different/better. Of course 3D is different and better when there are large spatial variations in the pattern you are integrating. This is not "a new and unexpected observation" as you phrase it in your reply. Also, it is not necessary to do both 2D and 3D to link with previous work (especially since you do not discuss the actual difference between your 2D and the previous work). Your comparison of 2D/3D ends up being obvious and in fact dispensable. In the interest of making your paper clear and transparent, I would still suggest to leave it out. You can still argue that the 3D is better, just by referring to previous work.

The reviewer seems to be very concerned on this point and the specified advantages in terms of paper clarity and transparency are convincing to us. Hence, we tried to provide a compromise and removed the 2D calculations from the main manuscript to a short appendix at the end of the main text body. So an interested reader can still see our 2D calculations. The discussion in the main text focuses on the 3D calculations and only briefly mentions the 2D calculations and how they differ from the 3D calculations. We hope, this is in accordance with what the reviewer expects, and it definitely aids with clarifying the manuscript.

Most changes to the text regarding this point were made to section 5.4.2, Lines 580 – 585 contain the short comparison between 2D and 3D.

Because we now explicitly only consider a 3D geometry, we also modified Equation 2, which now contains the length l of the considered area. Furthermore Figure 6 was modified, where the initial subpanel e) was removed (it contained the 2D integrals of exhumation rates).

Comment Reviewer #2, (Phillipe Steer)

Dear authors,

I appreciate the amount of work you did to respond in a fair and correct manner to the comments raised by reviewer 1 and myself. This has helped increase the quality of the manuscript. Thanks for that. I therefore see no a priori major reason to preclude the publication of this interesting manuscript.

We are delighted that the reviewer is in favor of our replies to the first round of comments and the changes we made to the manuscript. Again, we thank the reviewer for the time he has spent on our resubmitted manuscript and for the comments and suggestion he is providing in this final round of revision.

Minor Comments:

1) I think there is a mistake in Equation 2. I believe this equation < Vsed=nu.d.t.u > is incorrect (with nu the porosity), as you are here estimating the volume of pores entering the wedge. This equation should be < Vsed=(1-nu).d.t.u > so that you compute the volume of rocks accreted in the wedge. I believe there are three possibilities:
- I am wrong.
- This is simply a typo, and the correct equation was used in the model, then all is fine. This is what I believe to be the most likely option, and in turn, this is why I consider this is simply a minor comment.
- This is not simply a typo, and your model also solves for the wrong equation. Then, this is a major issue with large implications, as this means you have underestimated the accretionary flux by a factor ~2 (nu=0.31) to ~4 (nu=0.22). This would completely change your results and their interpretation.
I am sorry I have not seen that during the first round of review.

Our apologies, if this created any confusion and thank you for indicating this flaw. The equation contains indeed a typo and the calculations were done with the correct equation (1-porosity), so the values reported in the manuscript are correct.

We now inserted the correct equation at the respective location in the manuscript (Line 315)

2) It is not clear to me if you correct for porosity (of exhumed and eroded rocks) when assessing volumes of denudation? If not, there is a consistency issue. Indeed, you should either consider a bulk volume, in both the accretionary and denudation fluxes (porosity is therefore not needed), or a rock volume (without pores), and in this case you need to multiply the

denudation by (1-nu), similarly to the accretionary flux. Moreover, the best would be probably to consider a mass budget with fluxes given in mass per time, as the density of accreted sedimentary rocks (without pores) is probably different than the density of eroded rocks (still without pores).

Thank you for indicating this inconsistency. Actually, in the previous version of the manuscript we corrected the volumes calculated within the sediment cross sections (Figure 7), but did not correct the denuded volumes. Now we follow the suggestion of the reviewer and also apply the porosity of 6% to the denuded volumes. This value is based on work from Davis and Hyndman (1989), who investigated sediment within the accretionary wedge offshore Vancouver Island and reported values of 4 – 10% for the porosity. We believe that an average porosity of 6% should yield a reasonable value for our calculations. However, this value is much smaller compared to the porosities of the incoming sediment stack (20 – 30 %), which is probably related to the reduction in pore space during sediment compaction and dehydration processes within the accretionary wedge. In the current, revised version of the manuscript all calculated volumes (influx, outflux, cross sections) are corrected for porosity and should yield a correct volume for the accreted or denuded volumes.

After applying the porosity to the denuded volumes, we even observe a better match between our calculated influx and outflux volumes, compared to the previous manuscript.

We provide a short paragraph on that issue in the manuscript within the section of the cross sections (Lines 369 – 372) and the outflux calculations (Lines 393 – 395).

Very minor comments:

Lines 699-703: These two sentences suggest that Batt et al. (2001) and Brandon et al. (1998) use a circular argument (assuming steady-state to ... interpret steady-state). Please rephrase as this is probably incorrect. For instance, in Batt et al. (2201) a convergence rate of 36 mm/yr is assumed based on geological observations.

Indeed, this is a circular argument, but this is the way how Batt et al. (2001) designed their flux analysis. They assumed flux steady-state as a null hypothesis and derived the kinematics of their thermo-kinematic model (the horizontal and vertical velocity component within their model domain) from the assumed balance between influx and outflux. They conclude that the mountain range is in flux steady-state, because their model can successfully predict the observed thermochronometer ages. So neither the influx nor the outflux are actually calculated and directly compared with each other. Furthermore, variations in parameters like convergence rate, sediment thickness or exhumation rate have not been

considered in their approach. Hence, our strategy differs and we calculate the influx and outflux independently from each other.

Equation 2: It is not really satisfying to use the word "volume" for Vsed as Vsed as a unit of [km2]. This is also annoying later in the text when you compare 2D and 3D "volumes". Maybe the easier would be to multiply this equation by a unit length (in the 2D case) to make a 3D column, and by the wedge length in the 3D case (this is done later in the manuscript anyway)

Thank you for pointing this out. Because we now focus on the 3D volumes for influx and outflux (related to the comments by reviewer #1), we modified Equation 2, so that it now also includes the length l and the calculated values have units of km^3. We removed some parts of the two-dimensional calculations to an appendix at the end of the manuscript. There, we implemented the comment of the reviewer and introduce a unit width of 1 km to yield units of km^3.

Equation 3: Please, precise the unit of z is [km] and not [m], if I am correct

We are not entirely sure, which units the reviewer is referring to. In Equation 3, z is inserted using units of km. In the original publication of Yuan et al. (1994) the equation has the shape of por = 0.6 x exp(-z/L). L is a constant and in our case we applied L = 1.0 km (because our considered sediments represent sediment before they are incorporated in the accretionary wedge). So z must also have units of km. Note however, that the porosity decreases exponentially with depth within the sediment stack of the considered thickness. For that reason we calculated mean porosities for the respective sediment thickness, which are reported in the text (31% and 22%, respectively).

Lines 1253-1255 - I would have said exactly the opposite. Generally, we expect the influx (or uplift) to set the outflux (or erosion) at steady-state. This is for instance what occurs in numerical models of landscape evolution. I am not aware of papers clearly demonstrating the opposite - that outflux sets the influx (but maybe this illustrates my lack of knowledge about sediment recycling in accretionary wedges)

We fully agree with the reviewer that generally rock uplift exerts a strong control on denudation, and it seems to be more intuitive to assume that the influx controls the outflux. Unfortunately, we formulated the part of the text the reviewer is referring to in a misleading way. Our intention was to raise the point of the origin of the accreted material (so the influx), and not to discuss the mutual control of both fluxes. If the accreted material is assumed to be recycled, such that for a given time the same amount of material denuded from an accretionary wedge (the outflux) might again be accreted to the wedge (the influx), then the

wedge behaves as a closed system and has to be in flux steady-state (because both the influx and outflux volumes are identical). However, as we indicated in the manuscript the origin of sediment accreted in the Olympic Mountains is from diverse source regions, in particular since the onset of Plio-Pleistocene glaciation.

In this revised version of the manuscript we reformulated the part, so that it should be less confusing. It now reads as (Lines 649 – 653):

"An inherent assumption is often that the material removed from a mountain range (the outflux) again enters the mountain range via the influx, which consists of the denuded material from the same source. So in case of an accretionary wedge, this implies that sediment is recycled and the system behaves as a closed system."

The Mark-up version of the revised manuscript is contained on the following pages

How steady are steady-state mountain belts? – a re-examination of the Olympic Mountains (Washington State, USA)

Lorenz Michel[1], Christoph Glotzbach[1], Sarah Falkowski[1], Byron A. Adams[1,2], Todd A. Ehlers[1]

[1]Department of Geosciences, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, 72074, Germany
5 [2]School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1RJ, United Kingdom

Correspondence to: Todd A. Ehlers (todd.ehlers@uni-tuebingen.de)

Abstract. The Olympic Mountains of Washington State (USA) represent the aerially exposed accretionary wedge of the Cascadia Subduction Zone and are thought to be in flux steady-state, whereby the mass outflux (denudation) and influx (tectonic accretion) into the mountain range are
10 balanced. We use a multi-method approach to investigate how temporal variations in the influx and outflux could affect previous interpretations of flux steady-state. This includes analysis of published and new thermochronometric ages for (U-Th)/He dating of apatite and zircon (AHe and ZHe, respectively) and fission track dating of apatite and zircon (AFT and ZFT, respectively), 1D thermo-kinematic modelling of thermochronometric data, and independent estimates of outflux and influx.

15   In total, we present 61 new AHe, ZHe, AFT, and ZFT thermochronometric ages from 21 new samples. AHe ages are generally young (<4 Ma), and, in some samples, AFT ages (5–8 Ma) overlap with ZHe ages (7–9 Ma) within uncertainties. Thermo-kinematic modelling shows that exhumation rates are temporally variable, with rates decreasing from >2 km/Myr to <0.3 km/Myr around 5–7 Ma. With the onset of Plio-Pleistocene glaciation, exhumation rates increased to values >1 km/Myr. This
20 demonstrates that the material outflux is varying through time, requiring a commensurate variation in influx to maintain flux steady-state. Evaluation of the offshore and onshore sediment record shows that the material influx is also variable through time and that the amount of accreted sediment in the wedge is spatially variable. This qualitatively suggests that significant perturbations of steady-state occur on shorter timescales (10^5–10^6 yr), like those created by Plio-Pleistocene glaciation. Our quantitative
25 assessment of influx and outflux indicates that the Olympic Mountains could be in flux steady-state on long timescales (10^7 yr)~~, but that flux steady-state also depends on the assumed spatial geometry~~.

1

1 Introduction

The assumption of a balance between opposing processes has allowed geoscientists to use proxy measurements (like denudation rates) to constrain difficult to measure variables like rock uplift. This has given rise to the concept of steady-state landscapes or mountain ranges. Likewise, a steady-state (i.e., a mass balance) is commonly one of the boundary conditions in modelling studies investigating the evolution and dynamics of orogens in response to changes of other boundary conditions like climate or tectonic fluctuations (e.g., Batt et al., 2001; Stolar et al., 2007; Whipple and Meade, 2006; Willett, 1999). Two main types of steady-state are often used to interpret mountain building processes (e.g., Willett and Brandon, 2002): (1) Topographic steady-state, where the topography is invariant, because rock uplift and horizontal motion of material is balanced by denudation, and (2) flux steady-state, where the material influx (by accretion of sediment and rock) is balanced by the material outflux (by denudation) from a mountain range. The assumption of steadiness is both spatial- and timescale-dependent so that for a given timescale, steadiness might only be achieved on a large, orogen-wide spatial scale, due to the spatial averaging of single processes acting on a small scale (e.g., catchment-wide sediment discharge vs. orogen-wide sediment discharge). Furthermore, a possible perturbation of steady-state is sensitive to the timescale it takes for orogens to respond to variations in crustal deformation or a change in climate. If the timescales required for a change in the influx and outflux are significantly different from each other, a deviation from steady-state is likely.

Likewise, studies from different orogens worldwide suggest strong variations in denudation and exhumation on million-year timescales. These variations can be linked to changes in the tectonic conditions (e.g., Adams et al., 2015; Lease et al., 2016), internal dynamics of drainage basins (e.g., Willett et al., 2014; Yanites et al., 2013), changes in the magnitude of precipitation (e.g., Lease and Ehlers, 2013; Whipple, 2009), or the onset of glaciation (e.g., Berger et al., 2008; Bernard et al., 2016; Ehlers et al., 2006; Glotzbach et al., 2013; Gulick et al., 2015; Herman et al., 2013; Herman and Brandon, 2015; Lease et al., 2016; Thomson et al., 2010; Thomson et al., 2013; Valla et al., 2011; Yanites and Ehlers, 2012).

Based on thermo-kinematic modelling of thermochronometric cooling ages, the Olympic Mountains, USA, (Fig. 1a) have been proposed to be in flux steady-state since ca. 14 Ma (Batt et al.,

55 2001; Brandon et al., 1998). The approach of these studies was to assume flux steady-state along a two-dimensional profile across the Olympic Peninsula as a precondition in order to derive the kinematics of the model from the balance between accretionary influx (governed by the thickness of accreted sediment and plate convergence rate) and denudational outflux (as set by exhumation rates). Because the cooling ages can successfully be modelled with the used kinematics, the mountain range is then
60 interpreted to be in flux steady-state. However, possible temporal variations in parameters like sediment thickness, plate convergence rate or exhumation rates were not considered in these studies. Likewise, the impact of Plio-Pleistocene glaciation on the flux steady-state hypothesis has not been considered yet, although the range was extensively incised by glaciers (Adams and Ehlers, 2017; Montgomery, 2002; Montgomery and Greenberg, 2000; Porter, 1964) and experienced significant changes in climate
65 conditions over the past 3 Myr (Mutz et al., 2018). Numerical modelling studies investigated the mechanics of the wedge by either considering fluvial erosion (Stolar et al., 2007) or glacial erosion (Tomkin and Roe, 2007). A significant response of the orogenic wedge to glaciation was suggested (Tomkin and Roe, 2007) and recent studies proposed that exhumation rates in the Olympic Mountains increased due to Plio-Pleistocene glacial erosion (Herman et al., 2013; Michel et al., 2018). Resulting
70 high sedimentation rates during the Quaternary increased the sediment thickness on the oceanic plate and seem to have caused a change in the deformational style of the offshore part of the wedge (Adam et al., 2004).

In this study, we test the hypothesis of flux steady-state in the Olympic Mountains, considering variations in both the material influx and outflux. First, we test the temporal steadiness of exhumation
75 rates from bedrock cooling histories with a 1D thermo-kinematic model, capitalizing on new samples which have been dated with three to four thermochronometers (apatite and zircon (U-Th)/He and fission-track data; AHe, ZHe, AFT, and ZFT, respectively). Second, instead of assuming flux steady-state as a precondition, we attempt to estimate both the accretionary influx and denudational outflux independently from each other. We particularly consider possible temporal variations in parameters
80 affecting both fluxes by using published data of the off- and onshore sediment records, and exhumation rates from thermochronometry. To tie in with the previous flux steady-state assessment in the Olympic Mountains (which was performed in two dimensions), we evaluate whether the spatial geometry (two-

3

~~or three-dimensional) used for the flux calculations is important.~~ With our new thermochronometry data we reveal a previously undetected temporal variation in exhumation rates due to a change in the tectonics (a reduction in plate convergence rates that resulted in a decrease in exhumation rate), as well as the previously reported increase in exhumation rates related to the Plio-Pleistocene glaciation (reflecting a change in climate). Similarly, both material influx and outflux are temporally variable, especially during the Quaternary. A quantitative comparison between both fluxes suggests that the Olympic Mountains could be in flux steady-state ~~, but only~~ over longer timescales (e.g., 10^7 yr)~~., and also demonstrates that it is essential to consider the spatial geometry used for a flux steady state assessment (i.e., two- vs. three-dimensional).~~if a three-dimensional geometry is considered.

2 Background

2.1 Geology and glacial history of the Olympic Mountains

At present, the Juan de Fuca Plate subducts obliquely with respect to the overriding North American Plate (Fig. 1a) at 34 mm/yr at the latitude of the Olympic Mountains (Doubrovine and Tarduno, 2008). The forearc high of the subduction zone comprises (from north to south) Vancouver Island, the Olympic Mountains and the Oregon Coast Range, and lies west of a forearc low (e.g., Georgia Lowlands, Puget Lowlands) and the active volcanic arc (Fig. 1a). Seismic imaging suggests a flatter subduction angle beneath southern Vancouver Island and the Olympic Mountains (Hayes et al., 2012; McCrory et al., 2012), compared to areas in the north and south (Fig. 1a). The modern configuration of the subduction zone was established by the latest Eocene (e.g., Brandon and Vance, 1992) after accretion of the Coast Range Terrane to the North American continent (Fig. 1c). This terrane represents a large oceanic plateau and extends from the southern tip of Vancouver Island to Oregon (Eddy et al., 2017; Phillips et al., 2017; Wells et al., 2014).

The accretionary wedge of the subduction zone is exposed onshore within the Olympic Mountains (Fig. 1a) and is composed of Eocene–Miocene flysch (Brandon et al., 1998; Tabor and Cady, 1978). This part of the mountain range is known as the Olympic Structural Complex (Brandon et al., 1998) and is separated from the surrounding Coast Range Terrane by the Hurricane Ridge thrust fault (HRF; Fig. 1c), a major discontinuity traceable in seismic surveys (e.g., Clowes et al., 1987; Calvert et

4

110 al., 2011). Minor sedimentary rocks of Eocene age (Eddy et al., 2017; Tabor and Cady, 1978) are contained within the Coast Range Terrane besides the predominant ~50 Ma old marine and subaerial basaltic rocks (Eddy et al., 2017). Exhumation of the range commenced at 18 Ma and since 14 Ma, the orogen is supposed to be in flux steady-state (Batt et al., 2001; Brandon et al., 1998; Pazzaglia and Brandon, 2001).

115   Plio-Pleistocene glaciation has strongly influenced the present-day appearance of the Olympic Mountains (Fig. 1b). During its maximum extent at ~14 ka, the Cordilleran Ice Sheet advanced from the Coast Mountains of British Columbia and covered Vancouver Island and large parts of todays' continental shelf (Booth et al., 2003; Clague and James, 2002). The Puget and Juan de Fuca lobes of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet surrounded the Olympic Mountains in the east/southeast and in the north,

120 respectively (Fig. 1b). Alpine glaciers incised deep valleys in the landscape, particularly on the western side of the range (Adams and Ehlers, 2017; Montgomery, 2002), where piedmont glaciers almost reached the Pacific Ocean (Thackray, 2001). Glacial erosion varied across the range, as the location of the Pleistocene equilibrium line altitude increases from 1000 m in the west to 1800 m in the east (Porter, 1964), due to a strong precipitation gradient (> 6000 mm/yr in the west, < 1000 mm/yr in the east).

125 Determining the exact onset of glaciation in the Olympics has proven difficult, but the oldest deposits of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet in the Puget Lowland are as old as 2 Ma and deeply weathered alpine till on the west side of the Olympics is interpreted to be of the same age (Easterbrook, 1986).

2.2 Previous thermochronometry studies in the Olympic Mountains

      Within the Olympic Mountains, an extensive dataset of thermochronometric cooling ages from

130 bedrock samples (Figs. 1b and 2) exists for AHe (Batt et al., 2001; Michel et al., 2018), AFT (Brandon et al., 1998), ZHe (Michel et al., 2018) and ZFT (Brandon and Vance, 1992; Stewart and Brandon, 2004). These thermochronometer systems record cooling through a temperature range of ~60–240°C (e.g., Brandon et al., 1998; Farley, 2002; Gallagher et al., 1998; Reiners et al., 2004), as they have effective closure temperatures of 70°C, ~120°C, ~180°C and ~240°C, respectively, for a cooling rate of

135 ~10°C/Myr (Ehlers, 2005). The interpretation of thermochronometric cooling ages from sedimentary rocks (such as in the Olympic Mountains) is often complicated when the cooling signal from the

sediment source region(s) has not been reset due to reheating during subduction and metamorphism. If a sedimentary rock sample has not had sufficient exposure to temperatures above the closure temperature of a given thermochronometer, the sample might retain cooling ages that represent the source region's

140 cooling history (referred to as unreset) or might be a mixture of provenance cooling histories and the reheating process (incompletely reset sample). Determining, whether a sample is completely, incompletely or un-reset can be difficult and usually depends on the statistics of cooling age populations, derived from the dated mineral grains (e.g., Brandon et al., 1998). The reproducibility of single grain (U-Th)/He ages from a sample provides an indication of whether a sample is reset or not.

145 This is typically determined with n=4–7 grains. For the fission track method, a larger number of grains is typically dated (n=20–100) to reduce the uncertainty in the final cooling age calculation. For samples with a large population, statistical methods can be applied to decompose the chronometer date distribution into different populations, and to determine if some portion of the sample is reset (Brandon, 1992, 1996). In the case where a sample is incompletely reset, a significant young age peak is

150 determined and interpreted as the sample cooling age (e.g., Brandon et al., 1998).

In the Olympics, the youngest published reset AHe ages ($\leq$ 2.5 Ma) can be found in the western and central portions of the mountain range, and there are two unreset samples in the east (Fig. 2a). The pattern of AFT ages is more complicated (Fig. 2b), and most reset and incompletely reset samples are located in the central part of the mountain range, whereas unreset samples are restricted to areas outside

155 the central (high topography) part of the range. The youngest reported AFT samples (2–4 Ma) are incompletely reset samples and fully reset samples have cooling ages between 7 Ma and 27 Ma. ZHe data show a well-developed trend of unreset cooling ages at the coast and reset 5–6 Ma ages in the headwaters of Hoh and Elwha rivers (cf., Figs. 1b and 2c). Reset ZFT samples (~13–14 Ma) are confined to a small area east of Mt. Olympus (Fig. 2d).

160 Based on thermo-kinematic modelling, Michel et al. (2018) attributed the observed AHe and ZHe age pattern to an ellipse-shaped exhumation pattern (with highest exhumation rates in the central, high-topography part of the mountain range, Fig. 2e), as predicted for a mountain range situated in an orogenic syntaxis setting (Bendick and Ehlers, 2014). Here, a bend in the subducted slab creates a mechanical stiffening, which in turn leads to rapid and focused exhumation at the surface (Bendick and

6

165 Ehlers, 2014). High uplift rates in the central, high topography part of the mountain range are also corroborated by topographic analyses (Adams and Ehlers, 2017) and denudation rates based on cosmogenic nuclides (Adams and Ehlers, 2018). Furthermore, modelling of particularly young AHe ages (<2.5 Ma) suggests that exhumation rates increased significantly by 50–150 % due to Plio-Pleistocene glacial erosion (Michel et al., 2018).

170 **2.3 Offshore sediment record**

Data constraining the sediment thickness on the Juan de Fuca Plate before incorporation of sediment into the accretionary wedge are summarized in Figure 3. Three boreholes were drilled into the blanketing sediments of the Juan de Fuca Plate during deep-sea drilling projects (ODP 888, ODP 1027 and DSDP 174; Fig. 3 and Table 1), and provide estimates of the sediment thickness and age

175 constraints. The sediment thickness at the deformation front of the subduction zone has been estimated from three seismic studies (Adam et al., 2004; Booth-Rea et al., 2008; Han et al., 2016).

Most of the sediment is contained within two deep-sea sediment fans with different sediment sources. Today, sediment sources for the Nitinat Fan (offshore Vancouver Island and the Olympic Mountains) include detritus from Vancouver Island, the Olympic Mountains, and material delivered by

180 the Fraser river system (Fig. 3), which drains large parts of the Canadian Cordillera including the British Columbian Coast Mountains (Carpentier et al., 2014; Kiyokawa and Yokoyama, 2009). The Astoria Fan offshore the Oregon coast is mostly fed by the Columbia River and is sourced by a large area in the interior of the USA (Fig. 3).

The total sediment thickness varies between 2600–3500 m at the deformation front and

185 decreases rapidly to 600 or 900 m approximately 100 km away from the deformation front. At the locations of ODP 1027 and DSDP 174, up to 50–70 % of the total sediment thickness are Quaternary deposits, and sedimentation rates more than doubled during the Quaternary (from 80–110 m/Myr to 250–270 m/Myr, Table 1). At the location of ODP 888 the drilled 570 m of core were deposited over the past 600 kyr, suggesting very high sedimentation rates of 950 m/Myr compared to 400 m/Myr for

190 the total sediment thickness of 2600 m at the location of the core (Table 1). As determined from detailed, stratigraphic analysis of core ODP 888, sedimentation rates are also highly variable during the

7

Quaternary. Rates during glacial periods can be as high as 1900 m/Myr compared to 700 m/Myr during interglacials (Knudson and Hendy, 2009). At sites ODP 888 and 1027, the source region of the sediments has been the Canadian Cordillera for the past 3.5 Myr, which has not been affected by glacial-interglacial cycles (Carpentier et al., 2014; Kiyokawa and Yokoyama, 2009). The provenance of the sediments at DSDP 174 is mostly the Proterozoic Belt Supergroup in the interior of the USA and differs significantly from present-day detritus of the Columbia River (Prytulak et al., 2006). Hence, Prytulak et al. (2006) suggest that deposition of the upper 630 m of sediment at this site and the build-up of the Astoria Fan were governed by glacial outburst floods.

3 Methods

We use a multi-method approach to assess flux steady-state in the Olympic Mountains. This includes thermochronometric dating, thermo-kinematic modelling of cooling ages to obtain exhumation rates, and independent estimates of accretionary influx and denudational outflux. We calculate the influx based on constraints of the incoming sediment thickness and plate convergence rate, and the outflux based on spatial constraints of exhumation rates within the Olympic Mountains. The procedure for each method is outlined below.

3.1 Thermochronometric methods

Our strategy with thermochronometric dating was (1) to obtain samples, which are multi-dated with up to four thermochronometer systems (because these are particularly sensitive to reveal variations in exhumation rate) and (2) to collect samples within vertical profiles in order to obtain estimates of the exhumation rate at the site of the respective profile. Therefore, we dated several literature samples with additional thermochronometer systems (Table 2) and we also present 19 new bedrock samples from vertical profiles (Fig. 4, Table 2) and two additional bedrock samples (OP1528 and OP1556; Fig. 2, Table 2) collected at an elevation of ~400 m, enlarging the existing ~400 m equal-elevation data of Michel et al. (2018). All new samples are sandstones of varying grain size. A sample transect at Mt. Olympus extends from the bottom of the Hoh Valley to the apex of the Olympic Peninsula (Mt. Olympus, 2428 m), covering ~2 km of relief (Figs. 4a and b). The Mt. Anderson transect starts in the

8

upper reaches of the Quinault Valley and terminates on the flank of Mt. Anderson covering a total elevation difference of ~1600 m (Figs. 4a and c). The Blue Mountain transect is located in the northern part of the Olympic Peninsula close to Blue Mountain, covering an elevation difference of ~1300 m (Figs. 4a and d). All collected samples were dated with the AHe and ZHe techniques, three of these were dated by AFT, and two were dated by the ZFT technique. Additionally, we dated 13 samples from Michel et al. (2018) by AFT and five by ZFT thermochronometry. This process yielded seven samples with AHe, AFT, ZHe and ZFT cooling ages (Table 2).

Standard mineral separation techniques (sieving, magnetic and gravimetric separation) were used to obtain apatite and zircon separates from crushed rock samples. For AHe and ZHe dating mineral grains were hand-picked and dated in the thermochronometry lab of the University of Tübingen, following the dating protocol of Stübner et al. (2016). The Ft-correction for apatite (Farley, 2002) and zircon (Hourigan et al., 2005) is applied to the measured amount of helium. The (U-Th)/He age equation is solved using the approach of Meesters and Dunai (2005). From each sample, we dated 4–7 apatite grains or 3–6 zircon grains and the results of single-grain analyses can be found in Tables S1 and S2. Our approach for assessing whether a sample is reset or unreset and the procedure for exclusion of outliers is explained in the supplementary material (Section S1.1). For reset samples, we calculate the arithmetic mean age from the accepted single-grain ages, which is reported in Table 2 along with a one standard deviation (1SD) uncertainty.

Fission-track dating of apatite and zircon was performed using the external detector and the ζ-calibration techniques (Hurford, 1990). Details about the treatment of the apatite and zircon mounts in the Tübingen thermochronometry laboratory can be found in Falkowski et al. (2014) and Falkowski and Enkelmann (2016). Table 3 contains the AFT and ZFT sample ages, and explains the procedure for assessing whether a sample is reset or unreset. Data for single-grain ages from fission-track dating of apatite and zircon are reported in Tables S3 and S4.

3.2 Thermo-kinematic modelling: model setup and boundary conditions

To interpret cooling histories recorded by our thermochronometers as exhumation histories, we used a modified version of the thermo-kinematic model Pecube (Braun, 2003), which contains a built-in

245 Monte Carlo approach to resolve temporal variations in exhumation histories (Adams et al., 2015; Thiede and Ehlers, 2013). The model allows exploring possible exhumation histories for a particular sample by varying exhumation rates through time at defined time steps. The accuracy of a particular exhumation rate history is estimated by comparing modelled with observed cooling ages. More age constraints, and hence thermochronometer systems, lead to better resolved, modelled exhumation

250 histories. Therefore, although we report 21 new thermochronometric ages, we only used the seven samples, which have age constraints from AHe, AFT, ZHe, and ZFT in our modelling efforts (OP1513, OP1517, OP1533, OP1539, OP1551, OP1573, OP1582; Table 2).

Thermo-physical parameters chosen for the modelling are typical values reported for the sandstones of the Olympic Mountains (Table 4). We performed a sensitivity analysis in order to find the

255 most suitable time step for our simulations and the results of that analysis can be found in the supplementary material (Section S2). Based on the analysis, a time step interval of 1 Myr seems to be most appropriate to use, given the range of our thermochronometry ages and their respective uncertainties. During further modelling, ~~W~~we initiated the models at 20 Ma and used ~~a~~ the time step interval of 1 Myr with a maximum testable exhumation rate of 6 km/Myr. ~~While our results are not~~

260 ~~sensitive to a 1 Myr time step, an assessment of time step intervals different from 1 Myr can be found in the supplementary material (Section S2).~~ For each sample, we ran 20,000 simulations (each corresponding to a different exhumation history) and assessed the goodness of fit between observed and modelled data for the respective exhumation history, using a reduced χ^2-test. Here, sample ages τ_o were compared with modelled ages τ_m, using the uncertainty of the sample age σ_o for the number (N) of

265 thermochronometer systems available for the respective sample:

$$\chi^2 = \left(\left(\frac{(\tau_o - \tau_m)^2}{\sigma_o^2} \right)_{AHe} + \left(\frac{(\tau_o - \tau_m)^2}{\sigma_o^2} \right)_{AFT} + \left(\frac{(\tau_o - \tau_m)^2}{\sigma_o^2} \right)_{ZHe} + \left(\frac{(\tau_o - \tau_m)^2}{\sigma_o^2} \right)_{ZFT} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{N} \quad (1)$$

If $\chi^2 \leq 2$, a specific model run was accepted as good. The number of accepted exhumation histories is shown in Figure 5 for each sample. From the range of acceptable exhumation rates at each time step (shown as blue shaded areas in Fig. 5), we calculated the mean exhumation rate together with 1 standard

270 deviation for each time step (red/dashed lines and grey areas in Fig. 5). Although the model provides

10

output for the entire model duration of 20 Myr, a meaningful exhumation rate can only be obtained for the time interval between oldest thermochronometric age of a sample and today (shown in Fig. 5).

For our purpose, we focus on exploring temporal variations in exhumation rates and therefore use a 1D model, where each sample is modelled independently from each other. In a 1D model, heat transport and movement of particles is only considered in the vertical dimension within a column of rock, ignoring topography. This mode of modelling was selected because it allowed us to efficiently perform thousands of simulations quickly in order to cover a large range of possible exhumation rates. The high number of exhumation histories accurately predicts our observed cooling ages and allows for a robust statistical assessment of the best-fitting exhumation history. Previous publications addressing exhumation histories in other orogens have also highlighted that 1D models are often sufficient to explain most of the signal recorded in thermochronometric systems (e.g., Adams et al., 2015; Thiede and Ehlers, 2013). In the Olympic Mountains, Michel et al. (2018) argued that exhumation histories for the thermochronometer systems considered here can be well explained by vertical velocity paths, too. Because the spatial resolution of our seven considered samples is poor and they are all from the interior part of the mountain range (Fig. 4), we cannot further resolve the exhumation rates outside this area, making a 3D model very difficult to validate. Therefore, we limit our interpretations to the better-resolved exhumation histories from the 1D model and focus on the primary temporal changes, rather than paleotopography, or specific differences in the exhumation rates between samples.

Five of the seven considered samples are from the same elevation range (400–580 m), but two samples are from higher elevations (1360 m and 1500 m, Fig. 5). Large differences in elevation between the samples can impact the direct comparison between them (e.g., it can affect how changes in exhumation rate are recorded from location to location). However, we are not able to correct for this circumstance (by using an age elevation relationship), and therefore try to consider this complication when interpreting our exhumation rate histories from the different samples.

3.3 Methods for estimating flux steady-state

To assess the flux steady-state hypothesis of the Olympic Mountains, we need independent estimates of the material influx and outflux over time. For this, we focus on the time period since 14

11

Ma, which corresponds to the proposed establishment of flux steady-state (Batt et al., 2001; Brandon et al., 1998). Flux steady-state requires that the material influx into the wedge equates the amount of accreted material, removed from the subducting slab. We assessed the amount of accreted sediment (material influx) with two approaches. First, we calculated the amount of sediment incorporated into the accretionary wedge at the deformation front (Fig. 6a) during the 14 Myr period. Second, we compared this amount of "expected" accreted sediment with the observed amount of sediment residing in the accretionary wedge along two cross sections. The material outflux from the mountain range is estimated using results from thermo-kinematic modelling, by equating modelled exhumation with denudation, which can then be integrated spatially and over the 14 Myr period.

The previous flux steady-state analyses in the Olympic Mountains were performed in two dimensions along a profile crossing the Olympic Peninsula. However, exhumation rates within the Olympic Mountains are known to vary spatially (Brandon et al. 1998; Michel et al., 2018). This suggests that the outflux is spatially variable, depending on the location within the mountain range. Hence, we performed our flux analysis in three dimensions and the resulting geometries are summarized in Figure 6. The influx is calculated along the length of the deformation front, and for the calculation of the outflux we considered almost the entire area of the Olympic Peninsula. ~~To tie in with the previous flux analyses we performed our analysis also in two dimensions, but additionally investigated a three-dimensional geometry to account for the spatially variable exhumation rates. The resulting geometries for the influx and outflux are summarized in Figure 6.~~

3.3.1 Calculating the accretionary influx

We used a similar approach as Batt et al. (2001) to calculate the accretionary influx, but used a three-dimensional geometry and additionally ~~we also~~ considered temporal variations of the used variables. Assuming all sediments resting on the subducting oceanic crust are incorporated into the accretionary wedge, the volume of accreted sediment (V_{sed}) can be approximated using the porosity of the sediment η, incoming sediment thickness d, length of the coast l, the duration of subduction t, and the subduction velocity perpendicular to the present-day deformation front u_{per}:

$$V_{sed} = (1 - \eta) \cdot d \cdot l \cdot t \cdot u_{per} \quad (2)$$

12

325   A limitation to this approach is the assumption that all sediment resting on the down-going plate is accreted. There is geochemical evidence that, at early stages of subduction at the Cascadia Subduction Zone, sediment has been incorporated into the mantle and been involved in the magmatism of the Cascades Arc (Leeman et al., 2005; Mullen et al., 2017). However, there are no estimates on the amount of sediment transported into the mantle at present, and most sediments seem to be accreted, either at the
330   deformation front or underplated at depth (Calvert et al., 2011).

   The variable with the greatest uncertainty in this calculation is the sediment thickness back in time that has now been subducted below the Olympic Mountains. As discussed above (Section 2.3), the present-day sediment thickness of 2.5 km is the product of increased offshore sedimentation during the Quaternary and the pre-Quaternary sediment thickness is difficult to determine. Following the approach
335   described in the supplementary material (Section S3.1), we estimated a pre-Quaternary sediment thickness of 1.5 km. In total, we calculated three different sediment volumes based on different sediment thicknesses (Table 5). Assuming a thickness of 1.5 km and 2.5 km for the 14 Myr period yields a minimum and maximum value for the accreted sediment volume, respectively, representing a sediment volume unaffected by Quaternary sedimentation (1.5 km) and a volume for a likely too high
340   sediment thickness, using the modern thickness (2.5 km). Alternatively, we considered an increase in sediment thickness from 1.5 km to 2.5 km at 2 Ma, which likely yields the geologically most meaningful volume.

   The porosity of the sediment stack depends on the thickness and decreases with increasing overburden. According to Yuan et al. (1994), the porosity at depth z of the sediment stack can be
345   approximated by

$$\eta = 0.6 \cdot e^{-z} \quad (3)$$

Using this equation, we calculated mean porosities of 31% and 22% for our sediment thicknesses of 1.5 km and 2.5 km, respectively.

   Because the dip direction of the present-day deformation front is 72° (Φ_{def}) and we only
350   considered accretion perpendicular to the deformation front, we corrected the convergence rate (u) by using the convergence angle (Φ) between the Juan de Fuca and the North American plates:

$$u_{per} = u \cdot \frac{\sin(\phi)}{\sin(\phi_{def})} \quad (4)$$

13

Both convergence rate and angle are variable over time and, therefore, we capitalized on the plate reconstruction model of Doubrovine and Tarduno (2008) to estimate these parameters over the past

355   14 Myr. Values shown in Figures 6b and 6c were calculated using the East-West Antarctica plate circuit model from Doubrovine and Tarduno (2008) for two different rotation models (Farallon M1 and M2 in the original publication). This yields a range of possible convergence rates and angles, providing an uncertainty on the calculated sediment volume. The temporal resolution is given by the number of magnetic isochrons used for the plate circuit reconstruction by Doubrovine and Tarduno (2008).

360   —From the temporal evolution of the corrected convergence rate (Fig. 6b), we calculated the sediment volume V_{sed} accreted during the 14 Myr period using Equation 2 and the parameters discussed above. For the length l in Equation 2 we assumed a value of 131 km, which corresponds to the length of the coastline in the area of the exhumation rate pattern (Fig. 6d). We The calculated sediment volumes are reported in Table 5. report sediment volumes for two-dimensional and three-dimensional

365   accretionary influx (Table 5). For the two-dimensional scenario, sediment can be viewed as being accreted at a single vertical column at the deformation front (Fig. 6a). For the three dimensional scenario, accretion occurs along a vertical plane at the deformation front (Fig. 6a), and results obtained from Equation 2 are multiplied by a length (l). The coastline and the deformation front are approximately parallel to each other at the latitude of the Olympic Mountains. Hence, the length of the

370   coastline in the area is used for integrating the exhumation rates to the required length of the deformation front. This yields a value of 131 km for the length (see Fig. 6d).

3.3.2 Sediment volumes along cross-sections

We estimated the actual volume of sediment currently residing in the accretionary wedge along

375   two cross-sections, which are approximately 50 km apart (Profile 1 and 2 in Fig. 7). The lower boundary of the accretionary wedge is the top of the subducting oceanic plate, which is constrained from the Slab 1.0 model (Hayes et al., 2012; McCrory et al., 2012). The upper boundary is defined by the present-day topography/bathymetry (from 10 m- and 500 m-resolution digital elevation models, respectively) and the Hurricane Ridge Fault (HRF). At the surface, the location of the HRF is adopted

14

380 from a geologic map (Tabor and Cady, 1978) and below the surface we use information provided by a seismic study at depths of 22 km and 34 km (Calvert et al., 2011). The uncertainty related to the position of the HRF (error bars at HRF nodes in Fig. 7) was propagated to estimate an uncertainty for the calculated sediment volumes. Further explanation of this approach is given in the supplementary material (Section S3.2). Because the location of the HRF is not resolved at greater depths, we truncate

385 the area considered for volume calculation at 34-km depth. Finally, the calculated volume is corrected for the porosity of the sediment stack. Davis and Hyndman (1989) use porosities of 4–10% for sediments contained within the accretionary wedge offshore Vancouver Island. Hence, we use an average porosity of 6% in our correction.

, assuming an average porosity of 6%, similar to Davis and Hyndman (1989).

390 ### 3.3.3 Calculating the denudational outflux

In the absence of extensional faults, denudation acts as the prime mechanism for exhumation in the Olympic Mountains. Therefore, exhumation can be equated with denudation and the denudational outflux from the range can be obtained from the spatial and temporal integration of exhumation rates.

The exhumation histories presented in this paper (Fig. 5) are well-suited to resolve temporal

395 variations in exhumation, and hence provide qualitative information about variations in the denudational outflux. The low spatial density of the seven considered samples prohibits a quantitative assessment of the denudational outflux. To overcome this problem, we reverted to the pattern and exhumation rates suggested by Michel et al. (2018), providing good spatial coverage of almost the entire Olympic Peninsula (Fig. 6d). The total amount of exhumation, which is used for calculating the outflux and

400 corresponds to the temporal integration of the exhumation rates, is similar within uncertainty in both data sets. For example, the modelled exhumation rate is sufficient to explain the ZHe age of 10.2 Ma for sample OP1513 in both studies (Michel et al., 2018 and this study).

Using the pattern and rates displayed in Figure 6d, we Our outflux calculations are based on the spatial integration of the entire exhumation rate pattern displayed in Figure 6d, which is then temporally

405 integrated over the 14 Myr period. considered the outflux for two- and three-dimensional geometries to compare the calculated volumes with the values derived from the two- and three-dimensional influx

15

~~calculations. In the two-dimensional scenario, we extracted the exhumation rates along a line, crossing the Olympic Peninsula (Fig. 6d) and the values for the integrals along this line are shown in Figure 6e. In the three-dimensional scenario, we spatially integrated over the entire pattern of exhumation rates~~
410 ~~(Fig. 6d). In both scenarios, we temporally integrated over the 14 Myr period.~~ Additional to a constant exhumation scenario, we also considered an increase in exhumation rates, which is related to an increase in erosion due to Plio-Pleistocene glaciation of the Olympic Mountains (Michel et al., 2018). In Table 5, we report the denuded volumes for the case of constant exhumation rates, and for the two possible increase scenarios suggested by Michel et al. (2018), equating a 50% increase in rates
415 occurring at 3 Ma or a 150% increase in rates occurring at 2 Ma. In order to account for the porosity of the denuded rocks, we corrected the denuded volumes by a porosity of 6%, the same value we applied in the estimation of the volumes in the sedimentary cross sections

4 Results

4.1 Thermochronometry

420   Along the Mt. Olympus elevation transect (Fig. 4b), AHe ages (1.9–3.7 Ma) overlap with each other within sample error (except for the uppermost sample). ZHe ages (4.8–8.5 Ma) show a similar behaviour (with the exception of the lowermost sample; Fig. 4b). AFT ages for two samples are 5.1 Ma and 6.2 Ma, and the obtained ZFT ages of this transect are all unreset. Within the Mt. Anderson transect (Fig. 4c), AHe ages (1.5–3.9 Ma) increase with elevation up to an elevation of 1400 m and decrease
425 between 1400 and 2100 m. ZHe ages vary between 6.5–8.9 Ma and one sample at ~1400 m has an AFT age of 7.8 Ma. For the Blue Mountain transect (Fig. 4d), AHe ages (3.6–30.1 Ma, and one unreset sample) do not show a clear correlation with elevation, but, interestingly, the uppermost sample yields the youngest age. ZHe ages of dated samples of this transect are all unreset.

      Clear spatial patterns for the multi-dated thermochronometer samples are observable (cf., Fig. 2
430 and 4). AHe ages are reset (apart from one sample in the north-east of the mountain range) and decrease towards the centre of the mountain range, where very young ages (< 2.5 Ma) can be found. Seven fully reset AFT samples (5.0–7.8 Ma) are confined to the centre of the range (samples OP1513, OP1517, OP1533, OP1539, OP1551, OP1573, OP1582), overlapping with the area of reset ZHe samples. The

16

remaining eight AFT samples are unreset (Table 3 and Fig. 4). Two samples at the north and east coast
(OP1502 and OP1510) have the youngest age peaks at 26 Ma (comprising 29% of the dates) and 36 Ma
(35%), respectively. Samples from the western part of the mountain range (OP1521, OP1522, OP1527,
OP1528, OP1531) have younger age peaks of 5–16 Ma (comprising 20–76% of the dates). Furthermore,
the youngest age peak of these samples decreases in age towards the area of fully reset AFT samples.

We also collected samples (OP1527 and OP1528) close to sample locations with the youngest
AFT ages of Brandon et al. (1998), which were reported as incompletely reset samples (with youngest
peak ages of 3.9 and 2.3 Ma). In the original publication, only a small number of grains were dated
(n=31 and n=12). To improve the statistics of these two samples, we merge our single grain ages with
those of Brandon et al. (1998) and obtain more robust age distributions (n=134 and n=80; Table 3). The
youngest peak ages of the age populations for the two merged samples are 7.4 Ma and 4.7 Ma (2–4 Myr
older than age populations reported by Brandon et al., 1998).

ZHe ages constrain an area of reset ages (4.8–10.2 Ma) in the central, high-topography portion of
the mountain range (light grey-shaded area in Fig. 4a). Five of these samples have AFT (5.1–7.8 Ma)
and ZHe (4.8–8.9 Ma) ages that overlap within sample errors, implying rapid cooling (and hence fast
exhumation) through both systems' closure isotherms. AHe ages of these samples are younger (1.7–3.9
Ma) and do not overlap with AFT ages, indicating that exhumation rates decreased after cooling below
the AFT closure isotherm.

Of the seven samples dated with the ZFT method, only sample OP1539 has a fully reset age (12.6
Ma). Together with data from Brandon and Vance (1992) and Stewart and Brandon (2004) this confines
reset ZFT samples to a very small area east/southeast of Mt. Olympus, encompassing the headwaters of
Elwha and Quinault rivers (area outlined with a red dashed line in Fig. 4a).

4.2 Exhumation histories from thermo-kinematic modelling

Between 13,000–17,800 simulations provide a good fit to the data for each of the seven samples
used in the thermo-kinematic modelling (Fig. 5). As expected, the four samples (OP1533, OP1539,
OP1551, OP1582; Fig. 5) with overlapping AFT and ZHe ages require fast exhumation rates of >3
km/Myr between 5 Ma and 8 Ma, followed by a reduction to <0.2 km/Myr at 5 Ma or 7 Ma. The

17

reduction of rates for sample OP1573 occurs at ~9 Ma. However, for this sample the AFT age has a larger uncertainty, hence we consider the 5–7 Ma decrease in exhumation rates as a more robust signal. Six of the seven samples (except for sample OP1517) also record an increase in exhumation rates at 2–3 Ma to rates >1 km/Myr.

4.3 Estimating the flux steady-state balance

The calculated volumes of the accretionary influx depend strongly on the incoming sediment thickness (Table 5). ~~In the two-dimensional scenario, volumes vary between ~530 km³ (for a 1.5 km sediment thickness), ~600 km³ (for an increasing sediment thickness from 1.5 km to 2.5 km at 2 Ma), and ~1000 km³ (for a 2.5 km sediment thickness). In the three-dimensional scenario, where accretion is considered along a length of 131 km (cf., Section 3.3.1),~~ With our used three-dimensional geometry (Fig. 6a) volumes vary between ~70,000 km³ (1.5 km), ~76,000 km³ (1.5 km to 2.5 km at 2 Ma) and ~130,000 km³ (2.5 km).

The estimated amount of sediment within the accretionary wedge varies depending on the position within the wedge (Fig. 7). Offshore Vancouver Island, there is 950–1,000 km³ of sediment within the wedge (Davis and Hyndman, 1989), while on the Olympic Peninsula there is up to ~5,300 km³ and 3,600 km³ of sediment within the central and southern parts of the mountain range, respectively.

~~—~~Our estimates of the denudational outflux vary for the different exhumation rate scenarios (Table 5) and ~~. In the two-dimensional scenario, the volume of denuded material is 960 km³ for constant exhumation rates. Estimated volumes increase to 1060–1160 km³, if an increase in exhumation rates due to Plio-Pleistocene glaciation is considered. In the three-dimensional scenario, estimated v~~volumes range from ~~72~~68,000 km³ for constant exhumation rates to ~~80~~75,000–~~88~~82,000 km³ for the exhumation scenario with increasing rates.

5 Discussion

In the following, implications of the above described observations will be discussed in order to assess the flux steady-state balance between accretionary influx and denudational outflux within the Olympic Mountains. To do that, it is pivotal to have an understanding of both temporal and spatial

18

variations in exhumation of the Olympic Mountains. First, we elaborate on results from thermochronometric dating, including the applicability of age-elevation relationships to reconstruct exhumation rates in the Olympic Mountains (Section 5.1). Second, we analyse the general pattern of
490   exhumation based on the spatial distribution of cooling ages (Section 5.2). Third, we link thermochronometric cooling ages with thermo-kinematic modelling, which reveals the temporal evolution of exhumation rates (Section 5.3). Fourth, we discuss the outcome of our qualitative and quantitative assessment of flux steady-state in the Olympic Mountains (Section 5.4). Finally, in Section 5.5, we elaborate on the limitations of the different approaches.

495   ## 5.1 Age-elevation relationships

The cooling ages of samples collected from a quasi-vertical elevation profile (e.g., Fitzgerald et al., 1993; Reiners et al., 2003) can be analysed by looking at the age-elevation relationship. Often, the purpose is to determine an apparent exhumation rate by fitting a line through the data points when ages are positively correlated with elevation. However, the prerequisite for this approach is that, over the
500   lateral extent of the sampled transect, no significant gradient in exhumation rates exists. This is not necessarily given in the Olympic Mountains (Michel et al., 2018; see also Fig. 2e) and the new data represent this complication (Figs. 4b–d).

At Mt. Olympus, the AHe and ZHe age-elevation relationships do show a positive correlation, suggesting fast exhumation rates of ~1 km/Myr between ~8 and 2 Ma (Fig. 4b). The Mt. Anderson age-
505   elevation relationship for AHe shows a break in slope at ~1400 m and decreasing AHe ages at higher elevations, and the large uncertainties of the ZHe ages limit an interpretation (Fig. 4c). While such an 'inverse' age-elevation relationship could be caused by a change in relief (Braun, 2002), we interpret it to be a result of the strong spatial variation in exhumation rates along the horizontal distance of the transect (e.g., rates increase from 0.25 km/Myr to 0.9 km/Myr over a horizontal distance of 15–20 km;
510   Fig. 2e). In the case of the Blue Mountain transect (Fig. 4d), we relate the non-correlation of AHe ages and elevation to an incomplete resetting of the AHe system in this area. Here, some samples experienced high enough temperatures to start, or even complete, resetting of the AHe thermochronometric system, causing the observed variability in AHe ages. All ZHe ages from this

19

transect are unreset, corroborating that this part of the Olympic Mountains has not experienced high temperatures, compared to the other transects. Indeed, the Blue Mountain transect belongs to the Coast Range Terrane (CRT), which is at a structurally higher level compared to the accretionary wedge (Fig. 1c). In summary, the age-elevation plots support previous results of strong lateral variations in exhumation and incomplete resetting of thermochronometer systems in the outer part of the mountain range.

5.2 Pattern of exhumation

A well-constrained spatial pattern of exhumation is needed for calculating the denudational outflux. Looking at the spatial distribution of thermochronometric cooling ages provides qualitative information about the pattern of exhumation. In general, the distribution of thermochronometric ages indicates that in the Olympic Mountains the magnitude of exhumation increases from the coast to the centre. As discussed above, areas belonging to the Coast Range Terrane (close to the coast or the Blue Mountain area, where unreset AHe ages can be found, Fig. 2a) correspond to the structurally highest parts within the range (Fig. 1c) and were not sufficiently reheated to reset the AHe system. Assuming a geothermal gradient typical for the Cascadia Subduction Zone of ~20 °C/km (Booth-Rea et al., 2008; Hyndman and Wang, 1993) and an AHe closure temperature of ~60–70°C, the cumulative exhumation magnitude since onset of exhumation at ~18 Ma cannot have been greater than 2–3 km.

The aerial exposure of the accretionary wedge (the Olympic Structural Complex, Fig. 1c) records exhumation from greater depths. Here, all samples yield reset AHe ages, requiring a minimum exhumation depth of 2–3 km. In the centre of the mountain range (encompassing the headwaters of Hoh, Queets, Quinault and Elwha rivers; Fig. 1b) the area of reset AFT ages approximately overlaps with the area of reset ZHe ages (Fig. 4a), requiring deeper exhumation, compared to the coastal part of the Olympic Structural Complex.

The area east/south-east of Mt. Olympus (corresponding to the area of reset ZFT samples, Fig. 4a) has been exhumed from the greatest depths within the Olympic Mountains. For an average ZFT closure temperature of ~240 °C (Ehlers, 2005) and the above geothermal gradient this corresponds to a

20

540 maximum exhumation from depths of 10–12 km, confirming previous estimates (Brandon and Calderwood, 1990; Brandon and Vance, 1992).

In summary, the central, high topography part of the mountain range corresponds to the most deeply exhumed part. This corroborates the exhumation rate pattern (Fig. 2e) suggested by Michel et al. (2018), the pattern of denudation rates based on cosmogenic nuclide dating (Adams and Ehlers, 2018),

545 and results from topographic analysis (Adams and Ehlers, 2017), which all suggest that most of the exhumation/denudation occurs at this location. Hence, we use this pattern for the calculation of the denudational outflux.

5.3 Temporal variations in exhumation

Our new thermo-kinematic modelling revealed temporal variations in exhumation rates in the

550 Olympic Mountains (Fig. 5). The decrease of exhumation rates at 5–7 Ma can be readily explained by the reduction in plate convergence rate and the change in convergence direction (Fig. 8). A Pacific-wide reorganization of plate movement at 5.9 Ma has been suggested (Wilson, 2002), and rapid uplift of the Oregon Coast Range at 6–7.5 Ma with a subsequent cessation in uplift has also been attributed to variations in the plate subduction parameters (McNeill et al., 2000). Furthermore, the volcanic record of

555 the Cascadia Subduction Zone shows temporal variations, where the strongest volcanic activity lasted from 25 Ma until 18 Ma (du Bray and John, 2011). A period of volcanic quiescence, lasting from 17 Ma until 8 Ma, was then followed by increased activity, starting at ~7 Ma. A change in the stress field of the Cascadia Subduction Zone occurred at 7 Ma, which likely also affected the composition of the magmatism (Priest, 1990). Therefore, we interpret our observed 5–7 Ma drop in exhumation rates in the

560 Olympic Mountains as a response to changes in the plate tectonic conditions.

In contrast, the increase in exhumation rates at ~2 Ma indicates a response to climatic rather than tectonic changes. As previously suggested by Michel et al. (2018), increased denudation due to the heavy glaciation of the mountain range led to an increase in exhumation rates by 50–150%, starting at 2–3 Ma. Our study corroborates these findings and shows that the observed young AHe ages require a

565 recent increase in exhumation rates from slower rates (<0.2 km/Myr) lasting from ~7 Ma until ~2 Ma. Glaciation of the North American continent commenced at 2.7 Ma (Haug et al., 2005) and the oldest

glacial deposits within the Olympics could be as old as 2 Ma (Easterbrook, 1986), overlapping with our modelled increase in rates at ~2 Ma. Due to the strong spatial variation of the Pleistocene equilibrium line altitude within the Olympic Mountains (Porter, 1964), glacial erosion likely also varied spatially,

570   which could explain the different magnitude in increase of exhumation rates suggested for the different samples. Increased offshore sedimentation related to glacially eroded sediment affected the deformational style of the offshore wedge leading to formation of west-ward dipping thrust faults, which changed at ~1.5 Ma (Adam et al., 2004; Flueh et al., 1998; Gutscher et al., 2001).

Taken together, these observations indicate that temporal variations in exhumation rates within

575   the Olympic Mountains are subject to both changes in the tectonic and climatic conditions (as summarized in Fig. 8). The implication of these variations should be considered for the flux steady-state assessment.

5.4 Flux steady-state in the Olympic Mountains

5.4.1 A qualitative perspective

580   Several variables that affect both the accretionary influx and the denudational outflux show temporal variations. Exhumation rates decrease at 5–7 Ma and increase at ~2 Ma (Fig. 8) and since exhumation is primarily controlled by denudation, we equate these variations in exhumation with variations in the denudational outflux. According to the model of Doubrovine and Tarduno (2008), the plate subduction velocity decreased at ~6 Ma (see Fig. 6b) after an earlier major decrease at ~25 Ma,

585   causing a decrease in the accretionary influx. Conversely, the accretionary influx increased significantly during the Quaternary due to high offshore sedimentation rates and increased sediment thicknesses as a result of effective glacial erosion on the North American continent (i.e., 50–70 % of the present-day sediment thickness on the subducting Juan de Fuca Plate consists of Quaternary-aged sediments, Table 1 and Fig. 3).

590   It follows that, qualitatively, both influx and outflux vary through time and are heavily influenced by the Plio-Pleistocene glaciation, which increased denudation rates and offshore sedimentation rates. However, we cannot quantitatively constrain whether variations in the influx and outflux on these short timescales (2–3 Myr) balance each other (and the system would still be in a flux

steady-state). Interestingly, measured denudation rates based on cosmogenic nuclide dating (temporally
595 integrating over the Holocene) suggest that modern denudation rates have not been significantly
influenced by Plio-Pleistocene glaciation, but are mostly driven by tectonic rock uplift (Adams and
Ehlers, 2018). The Holocene accretionary influx, however, is still affected by the increased sediment
thickness since the onset of glaciation. Hence, the current accretionary influx seems to exceed the
denudational outflux in the Olympic Mountains.

600 **5.4.2 A quantitative perspective**

Here, we discuss the quantitative assessment of influx and outflux for the last 14 Myr (Table 5),
the time since when the Olympic Mountains are supposed to be in flux steady-state (Batt et al., 2001;
Brandon et al., 1998).

In ~~the three-dimensional scenario~~<u>our used geometry</u> (Figs. 6a and d), <u>we calculate the</u>
605 <u>accretionary influx over a distance along the deformation front and</u> the spatial exhumation rate pattern is
integrated to infer the denudational outflux (Fig. 6d). Assuming an increase in sediment thickness at 2
Ma yields an accretionary volume (~76,000 km³) ~~close~~ <u>similar</u> to the denudational outflux (7~~58~~0,000–
8~~88~~2,000 km³). ~~Contrary to the two-dimensional scenario, a~~<u>A</u>ssuming a maximum sediment thickness
of 2.5 km for the 14 Myr period yields an accretionary volume of ~130,000 km³, which cannot be
610 reconciled with our denudational outflux (Table 5). <u>These results indicate that if temporal variations in</u>
<u>the sediment thickness and denudation are considered, a reasonable balance between influx and outflux</u>
<u>is attained. The previous flux steady-state analysis (Batt et al., 2001) was performed in two dimensions</u>
<u>and used a constant sediment thickness of 2.0 km. We also performed an influx and outflux calculation</u>
<u>using a two-dimensional geometry to tie in with previous work (see appendix). However, the results</u>
615 <u>from the two-dimensional analysis suggest that for an area with spatially variable exhumation rates like</u>
<u>the Olympic Mountains a three-dimensional geometry yields a more accurate prediction of influx and</u>
<u>outflux.</u>

~~Hence, flux steady state is a plausible assumption over longer timescales (i.e., 14 Myr), if~~
~~accretion and denudation are considered over large areas in three dimensions. This highlights that the~~
620 ~~spatial geometry considered for the flux analysis is crucial. Contrary to an earlier study, which~~

23

Sediment volumes integrated along the cross-sections (Fig. 7) also provide an interesting perspective on the accretionary influx in the Olympic Mountains. These volumes are not directly comparable with the influx/outflux volumes discussed above (calculated from 14–0 Ma), because the sediment contained within the cross-sections (Fig. 7) records accretion since the ~40 Ma onset of subduction (Brandon et al., 1998; du Bray and John, 2011). Furthermore, these estimates are minimum volumes, because the amount of material that has been eroded during the 40 Myr period is not considered. Nevertheless, the amount of sediment currently residing in the accretionary wedge is variable along strike of the subduction zone (1000–5400 km^3) and is highest below the central part of the Olympic Mountains (Fig. 7). This requires that parameters affecting the accretionary influx (like plate subduction velocity or sediment thickness) are highly variable over short distances (Profile 1 and Profile 2 are only 50 km apart; Fig. 7). Another explanation might be that considering accretion only perpendicular to the deformation is an oversimplification and another velocity component also contributes to material transport (see Section 5.5). This is in accordance with the conclusion drawn above that considering flux steady-state in a two-dimensional scenario (as it is done with the cross-sections) leads to ambiguous results.

In summary, the assessment of flux steady-state in the Olympic Mountains is non-trivial and ~~many~~ several scenarios are possible. From a qualitative viewpoint, flux steady-state is probably not achieved on short timescales (few Myr), because the thickness of incoming sediment, plate subduction velocity, and exhumation rates show strong temporal variations on timescales of 2–3 Myr. From a quantitative viewpoint, influx and outflux volumes equate each other over longer timescales (i.e., 14 Myr), if influx and outflux are considered in three dimensions.

5.5 Restrictions and limitations of our approaches

In the sections above, we discussed exhumation in the Olympic Mountains and the results from our flux calculations. In the following section, we want to elaborate on possible restrictions or limitations in our approaches.

With our 1D modelling, we revealed strong temporal variations in exhumation rates (Fig. 5) related both to variations in tectonic and climatic conditions (Fig. 8). However, two of our modelled samples (OP1513 and OP1517) do not display the decrease in exhumation rates at ~5–7 Ma. These are from the Elwha valley (Fig. 4), in contrast to the five samples displaying the decrease, which are located in the western part of the mountain range. This suggests that the response of the orogenic wedge to a variation in the tectonic conditions affects only parts of the wedge and might be controlled by discrete structures. Further sampling and thermochronometric dating would be required to localize possible faults. Furthermore, this places a limitation on the application of a refined 3D model, because it requires to constrain parameters such as fault location or displacement on these faults. Besides the importance of single structures, the general pattern of deformation in the Olympic Mountains should still be viewed as controlled by the geometry of the subducted plate (Adams and Ehlers, 2017; Adams and Ehlers 2018; Brandon and Calderwood, 1990; Michel et al., 2018).

Regarding our flux analysis, we based our calculations on the volume of accreted sediment within a certain time (governed by the sediment thickness and the plate convergence rate) and the amount of denuded material (governed by the exhumation rates). As we mentioned in Section 3.3.1, a variable with great uncertainty is the sediment thickness over time, which has now been subducted below the Olympic Mountains. In the supplementary material (Section S3.1) we outlined our approach for assessing the pre-Quaternary sediment thickness, which is used in our calculations. Although the reported 1.5 km sediment thickness seems to be a plausible value, we note that this value is afflicted with uncertainties and might have been higher. Nonetheless, our proposed balance between influx and outflux might still be tenable, even if the pre-Quaternary sediment thickness deviated from the assumed 1.5 km. I.e., we suggested an influx volume of 75–78 x 10^3 km^3 and calculated outflux volumes between 75 x 10^3 km^3 and 82 x 10^3 km^3 (Table 5), so even an additional influx volume due to a thicker, unnoticed sediment thickness could be balanced with our calculated outflux volumes. Another

25

675 simplification in our calculation is the assumption of a spatially uniform sediment thickness over the considered length. Figure 3 shows that the sediment thickness along the deformation front is variable and is highest in the Nitinat and Astoria fans. However, an attempt to reconstruct along-strike variation in sediment thickness over time is challenging and would introduce further uncertainties, and thus, we assume an average, constant thickness.

680 During our influx calculations, we did not distinguish between different modes of accretion, such as frontal accretion or underplating. Batt et al. (2001) concluded that most accretion occurs at the front of the wedge. However, a recent seismic study showed that sedimentary underplating is taking place below the Olympic Mountains (Calvert et al., 2011). For our approach, the mechanism of accretion does not matter, because we are only interested in whether mass is balanced over the entire

685 wedge and not at a specific point. As indicated, this is a limitation of our approach and might lead to an overestimation of the actual influx volume, because we do not account for the amount of sediment transported towards the mantle.

Flux steady-state implies that the outflux from and influx into a mountain range balance each other. An inherent assumption is often that the material removed from a mountain range (the outflux)

690 again enters the mountain range via the influx, which consists of the denuded material from the same source. So in case of an accretionary wedge, this implies that sediment is recycled and the system behaves as a closed system. from the mountain range controls the influx, so that in the case of an accretionary wedge, sediment is basically recycled. As we described in Section 2.3 of the manuscript, the sediment currently entering the accretionary wedge of the Cascadia Subduction Zone is a mixture of

695 sediment from different source regions (e.g., Olympic Mountains, Vancouver Island, Canadian Cordillera and in case of the Astoria fan the interior USA, Fig. 3). With the increased detrital input from the Cordilleran Ice Sheet from outside the Olympic Mountains, this effect became particularly pronounced since the onset of Plio-Pleistocene glaciation. Hence, our influx/outflux calculations for the Olympic Mountains do not represent a closed system, where the influx into the Olympic Mountains is

700 solely controlled by the outflux out of the system. However, our calculations indicate that on long timescales (i.e., over 14 Myr) flux steady-state is attained, which might seem surprising given that the sediment thickness is governed by contributions from different source regions. We suspect that

processes during sediment deposition, like redistribution by turbidity currents and redeposition in more proximal parts of the Juan de Fuca Plate, play an important role in the final sediment budget. As a consequence, the amount of sediment denuded from the Olympic Peninsula in a given time period (the outflux) is dispersed as it enters the ocean, so that for the same time period only a fraction of the sediment thickness (governing the influx) is composed of material originating from the Olympic Peninsula.

Variations in the geometry or extent of the accretionary wedge were also not included in our flux analysis. Since onset of subduction at the Cascadia Subduction Zone with the present geometry at ~40 Ma, the wedge must have grown over time in order to attain its present shape. As soon as a balance between accretion and erosion is established, the shape of an orogenic wedge remains constant, controlled by its critical taper (e.g., Davis et al., 1983). However, Adam et al. (2004) showed that the Cascadia accretionary wedge responded to increased offshore sedimentation during the Quaternary by development of west-ward dipping thrust faults, shifting the deformation front further seawards thereby increasing the extent and volume of the wedge. An important parameter contributing to the shape of the accretionary wedge is the angle of subduction, which is flatter below the Olympic Mountains (compared to areas north or south) due to the bend in the subducted slab (Fig. 1a). A reason hypothesized for bending the subducting slab is extension in the Basin and Range Province, starting in the middle Miocene (Brandon and Calderwood, 1990). All these points indicate that parameters controlling the size and volume of the accretionary wedge are both spatially and temporally variable. However, we cannot account for all of these circumstances in our flux calculations, because they are difficult to constrain quantitatively from available observations. Furthermore, because we based our flux calculations only on volumes of accreted or eroded material over the 14 Myr period, a comparison between these two volumes itself should not depend on a change in the shape or extent of the accretionary wedge.

As we pointed out in Section 5.4.2, flux steady-state is obtained by using a three-dimensional geometry. the assumed geometry for the flux balance is crucial. However, both in the two- and three-dimensional scenarios, we only considered the deformation front-perpendicular velocity component for our influx calculations. The different sediment volumes contained in the reported cross-sections (Fig. 7) could indicate that on long timescales additional velocity components must be considered. We can only

27

speculate that margin-parallel transport, which is a contentious topic at the Cascadia Subduction Zone (e.g., Batt et al., 2001; McCrory, 1996; Wang, 1996), also contributes to the accretionary influx. Present-day GPS velocities corroborate this hypothesis, indicating northward movement of coastal areas south of the Olympic Mountains (e.g., McCaffrey et al., 2013; Wells and McCaffrey, 2013).

735   To summarize, several parameters like the location of faults within the orogenic wedge, the sediment source region, the temporal evolution of the wedge geometry or margin parallel transport are difficult to constrain from current observations. Although we emphasized that not all of these parameters affect our flux analysis, further knowledge of these will refine the current understanding of steady-state in the Olympic Mountains.

740

6 Conclusion

Our new data set of multi-dated thermochronometer bedrock samples together with thermo-kinematic modelling suggests that several mechanisms contribute to the evolution of the Olympic Mountains. Modelling of the observed AHe, AFT, ZHe, and ZFT ages shows that variations in both 745   tectonic and climatic conditions result in temporal variations of exhumation rates. We revealed a hitherto unnoticed response of exhumation to the tectonic signal (a reduction in plate convergence rate causing a drop in exhumation rates), which can also be observed in other parts of the Cascadia Subduction Zone. Plio-Pleistocene glaciation of the Olympic Mountains led to increased denudation, resulting in increased exhumation rates.

750   Our approach of assessing flux steady-state in the Olympic Mountains by estimating the material influx and outflux independently from each other is promising, but yields ambiguous results. The observed temporal variations in exhumation rate require a variation in the denudational outflux. Likewise, the accretionary influx is also temporally variable, because the plate subduction velocity and incoming sediment thickness are variable through time. Qualitatively, this suggests that flux steady-755   state is perturbed on short timescales by variations in the tectonic or climatic conditions. Our quantitative calculations of the influx and outflux show flux steady-state may be achievable over long timescales (i.e., 14 Myr), but that it is important to consider whether flux steady-state is assessed in two

28

or three dimensions.). Contrary to a previous flux steady-state analysis in the Olympic Mountains, our calculated influx and outflux volumes only balance each other, if a three-dimensional geometry is considered.

This study demonstrates the timescale (10^5–10^6 vs. 10^7 Myr) and spatial (two or three dimensional) dependence of a steady-state assessment in an orogenic wedge, an outcome that can also be considered for other mountain ranges. Furthermore, the tremendous effect of the Plio-Pleistocene glaciation is demonstrated, which is capable of significantly perturbing the development of an orogenic wedge, where both the influx and outflux are affected. Because the spatial geometry used for assessing flux steady state is crucialwe obtain flux steady-state for a three-dimensional geometry (but only consider velocities parallel to the subduction direction), more work is needed to constrain the role of material transport parallel to the deformation front. Such studies will lead to a better understanding of the development of orogenic wedges situated in a complex tectonic setting like the Olympic Mountains.

Appendix: Two-dimensional flux steady-state analysis

In Section 3.3 we performed our flux analysis in three dimensions due to the spatially variable exhumation rates (Fig. 6d). In the following, we also calculate the influx and outflux using a two-dimensional geometry, so that our calculations can be compared to those from Batt et al. (2001). Here, the accretionary influx occurs at a single location at the deformation front, and the sediment volume (V_{sed2D}) is obtained by using a slightly modified version of Equation 2:

$$V_{sed2D} = (1 - \eta) \cdot d \cdot t \cdot u_{per} \quad (5)$$

Variables are porosity (η), incoming sediment thickness (d), time (t) and the deformation front perpendicular convergence rate (u_{per}). The further procedure is identical to the procedure outlined in Section 3.3.1. Although the volumes obtained with this equation have a unit of km^2, no great uncertainty is introduced if the analysis is expanded over a width of 1 km, which then yields values of km^3 and "true" volumes. Calculated volumes are 520–540 km^3 (for a 1.5 km thick sediment stack), 980–1020 km^3 (for a 2.5 km thick sediment stack), and 580–600 km^3 (for the increase in sediment thickness from 1.5 km to 2.5 km at 2 Ma).

785   The outflux calculations are not based on the integration of the entire exhumation pattern in Figure 6d, but rates are only integrated along the white line in Figure 6. These integrals yield values of 68 km^2/Myr (constant rate), 103 km^2/Myr (50% increase in rate) and 171 km^2/Myr (150% increase in rate). After also integrating temporally (and assuming a width of 1 km, in order to get units of km^3, see above), the respective volumes of two-dimensional outflux are 900 km^3, 1000 km^3, and 1090 km^3.

790   A comparison of two-dimensional influx and outflux shows that the accretionary influx (~1000 km^3) only balances out the denudational outflux (1060–1160 km^3), if an incoming sediment thickness of 2.5 km is assumed for the 14 Myr period. Hence, flux steady-state can only be obtained using a two-dimensional geometry, if an unrealistically high sediment thickness is assumed. Contrary to that, the three-dimensional geometry yields flux steady-state using a more reasonable sediment thickness (an
795 increase in sediment thickness from 1.5 km to 2.5 km at 2 Ma). This indicates that assuming a two-dimensional geometry during the flux steady-state analysis is an oversimplification.

References

Adam, J., Klaeschen, D., Kukowski, N. and Flueh, E.: Upward delamination of Cascadia Basin sediment infill with landward frontal accretion thrusting caused by rapid glacial age material flux, Tectonics, 23(3), doi:10.1029/2002TC001475, 2004.
810
Adams, B. A. and Ehlers, T. A.: Deciphering topographic signals of glaciation and rock uplift in an active orogen: a case study from the Olympic Mountains, USA: Signals of glaciation and rock uplift in

the Olympic Mountains, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 42(11), 1680–1692, doi:10.1002/esp.4120, 2017.

815

Adams, B. A. and Ehlers, T. A.: Tectonic controls of Holocene erosion in a glaciated orogen, Earth Surf. Dynam., 6(3), 595–610, doi:10.5194/esurf-6-595-2018, 2018.

Adams, B. A., Hodges, K. V., Whipple, K. X., Ehlers, T. A., van Soest, M. C. and Wartho, J.:
820 Constraints on the tectonic and landscape evolution of the Bhutan Himalaya from thermochronometry: Late Cenozoic Evolution of Bhutan, Tectonics, 34(6), 1329–1347, doi:10.1002/2015TC003853, 2015.

Batt, G. E., Brandon, M. T., Farley, K. A. and Roden-Tice, M.: Tectonic synthesis of the Olympic Mountains segment of the Cascadia wedge, using two-dimensional thermal and kinematic modeling of
825 thermochronological ages, J. Geophys. Res., 106(B11), 26731–26746, doi:10.1029/2001JB000288, 2001.

Bendick, R. and Ehlers, T. A.: Extreme localized exhumation at syntaxes initiated by subduction geometry, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(16), 2014GL061026, doi:10/f6kfqz, 2014.
830

Bernard, T., Steer, P., Gallagher, K., Szulc, A., Whitham, A. and Johnson, C.: Evidence for Eocene–Oligocene glaciation in the landscape of the East Greenland margin, Geology, 44(11), 895–898, 2016

Berger, A. L., Gulick, S. P. S., Spotila, J. A., Upton, P., Jaeger, J. M., Chapman, J. B., Worthington, L.
835 A., Pavlis, T. L., Ridgway, K. D., Willems, B. A. and McAleer, R. J.: Quaternary tectonic response to intensified glacial erosion in an orogenic wedge, Nature Geoscience, 1(11), 793–799, doi:10.1038/ngeo334, 2008.

Booth, D. B., Troost, K. G., Clague, J. J. and Waitt, R. B.: The Cordilleran Ice Sheet, in Developments
840 in Quaternary Sciences, vol. 1, pp. 17–43, Elsevier, 2003.

Booth-Rea, G., Klaeschen, D., Grevemeyer, I. and Reston, T.: Heterogeneous deformation in the Cascadia convergent margin and its relation to thermal gradient (Washington, NW USA), Tectonics, 27(4), doi:10.1029/2007TC002209, 2008.
845

Brandon, M. T.: Decomposition of fission-track grain-age distributions, American Journal of Science, 292(8), 535–564, 1992.

Brandon, M. T.: Probability density plot for fission-track grain-age sample, Radiation Measurements,
850 26, 663–676, 1996.

Brandon, M. T. and Calderwood, A. R.: High-pressure metamorphism and uplift of the Olympic subduction complex, Geology, 18(12), 1252, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1990)018<1252:HPMAUO>2.3.CO;2, 1990.

31

855

Brandon, M. T. and Vance, J. A.: Tectonic evolution of the Cenozoic Olympic subduction complex, Washington State, as deduced from fission track ages for detrital zircons, American Journal of Science, 292(8), 565–636, doi:10.2475/ajs.292.8.565, 1992.

860 Brandon, M. T., Roden-Tice, M. K. and Garver, J. I.: Late Cenozoic exhumation of the Cascadia accretionary wedge in the Olympic Mountains, northwest Washington State, Geological Society of America Bulletin, 110(8), 985–1009, doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1998)110<0985:LCEOTC>2.3.CO;2, 1998.

865 Braun, J.: Estimating exhumation rate and relief evolution by spectral analysis of age–elevation datasets, Terra Nova, 14(3), 210–214, 2002.

Braun, J.: Pecube: a new finite-element code to solve the 3D heat transport equation including the effects of a time-varying, finite amplitude surface topography, Computers & Geosciences, 29(6), 787–
870 794, doi:10.1016/S0098-3004(03)00052-9, 2003.

du Bray, E. A. and John, D. A.: Petrologic, tectonic, and metallogenic evolution of the Ancestral Cascades magmatic arc, Washington, Oregon, and northern California, Geosphere, 7(5), 1102–1133, 2011.
875
Calvert, A. J., Preston, L. A. and Farahbod, A. M.: Sedimentary underplating at the Cascadia mantle-wedge corner revealed by seismic imaging, Nature Geosci., 4(8), 545–548, doi:10.1038/ngeo1195, 2011.

880 Carpentier, M., Weis, D. and Chauvel, C.: Fractionation of Sr and Hf isotopes by mineral sorting in Cascadia Basin terrigenous sediments, Chemical Geology, 382, 67–82, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.05.028, 2014.

Clague, J. J. and James, T. S.: History and isostatic effects of the last ice sheet in southern British
885 Columbia, Quaternary Science Reviews, 21(1), 71–87, 2002.

Clowes, R. M., Brandon, M. T., Green, A. G., Yorath, C. J., Brown, A. S., Kanasewich, E. R. and Spencer, C.: LITHOPROBE-southern Vancouver Island: Cenozoic subduction complex imaged by deep seismic reflections, Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 24(1), 31–51, 1987.
890
Davis, D., Suppe, J. and Dahlen, F. A.: Mechanics of fold-and-thrust belts and accretionary wedges, J. Geophys. Res., 88(B2), 1153–1172, 1983.

Davis, E. E. and Hyndman, R. D.: Accretion and recent deformation of sediments along the northern
895 Cascadia subduction zone, Geological Society of America Bulletin, 101(11), 1465–1480, 1989.

Doubrovine, P. V. and Tarduno, J. A.: A revised kinematic model for the relative motion between Pacific oceanic plates and North America since the Late Cretaceous, Journal of Geophysical Research, 113(B12), doi:10.1029/2008JB005585, 2008.

900

Easterbrook, D. J.: Stratigraphy and chronology of quaternary deposits of the Puget Lowland and Olympic Mountains of Washington and the Cascade Mountains of Washington and Oregon, Quaternary Science Reviews, 5, 145–159, doi:10.1016/0277-3791(86)90180-0, 1986.

905 Eddy, M. P., Clark, K. P. and Polenz, M.: Age and volcanic stratigraphy of the Eocene Siletzia oceanic plateau in Washington and on Vancouver Island, Lithosphere, 9(4), 652–664, doi:10.1130/L650.1, 2017.

Ehlers, T. A.: Computational Tools for Low-Temperature Thermochronometer Interpretation, Reviews 910 in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 58(1), 589–622, doi:10.2138/rmg.2005.58.22, 2005.

Ehlers, T. A., Farley, K. A., Rusmore, M. E. and Woodsworth, G. J.: Apatite (U-Th)/He signal of large-magnitude accelerated glacial erosion, southwest British Columbia, Geology, 34(9), 765, doi:10.1130/G22507.1, 2006.

915

Falkowski, S. and Enkelmann, E.: Upper-crustal cooling of the Wrangellia composite terrane in the northern St. Elias Mountains, western Canada, Lithosphere, 8(4), 359–378, doi:10.1130/L508.1, 2016.

Falkowski, S., Enkelmann, E. and Ehlers, T. A.: Constraining the area of rapid and deep-seated 920 exhumation at the St. Elias syntaxis, Southeast Alaska, with detrital zircon fission-track analysis, Tectonics, 33(5), 597–616, doi:10.1002/2013TC003408, 2014.

Farley, K. A.: (U-Th)/He Dating: Techniques, Calibrations, and Applications, Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 47(1), 819–844, doi:10.2138/rmg.2002.47.18, 2002.

925

Fitzgerald, P. G., Stump, E. and Redfield, T. F.: Late Cenozoic uplift of Denali and its relation to relative plate motion and fault morphology, Science, 259, 497–497, 1993.

Flueh, E. R., Fisher, M. A., Bialas, J., Childs, J. R., Klaeschen, D., Kukowski, N., Parsons, T., Scholl, 930 D. W., ten Brink, U. and Tréhu, A. M.: New seismic images of the Cascadia subduction zone from cruise SO108—ORWELL, Tectonophysics, 293(1), 69–84, 1998.

Galbraith, R. F.: Statistics for fission track analysis, CRC Press., 2005.

935 Gallagher, K., Brown, R. and Johnson, C.: FISSION TRACK ANALYSIS AND ITS APPLICATIONS TO GEOLOGICAL PROBLEMS, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 26(1), 519–572, doi:10/cj5xp8, 1998.

33

Glotzbach, C., van der Beek, P., Carcaillet, J. and Delunel, R.: Deciphering the driving forces of erosion rates on millennial to million-year timescales in glacially impacted landscapes: An example from the
940 Western Alps, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 118(3), 1491–1515, doi:10.1002/jgrf.20107, 2013.

Gulick, S. P. S., Jaeger, J. M., Mix, A. C., Asahi, H., Bahlburg, H., Belanger, C. L., Berbel, G. B. B., Childress, L., Cowan, E., Drab, L., Forwick, M., Fukumura, A., Ge, S., Gupta, S., Kioka, A., Konno, S.,
945 LeVay, L. J., März, C., Matsuzaki, K. M., McClymont, E. L., Moy, C., Müller, J., Nakamura, A., Ojima, T., Ribeiro, F. R., Ridgway, K. D., Romero, O. E., Slagle, A. L., Stoner, J. S., St-Onge, G., Suto, I., Walczak, M. D., Worthington, L. L., Bailey, I., Enkelmann, E., Reece, R. and Swartz, J. M.: Mid-Pleistocene climate transition drives net mass loss from rapidly uplifting St. Elias Mountains, Alaska, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112(49), 15042–15047,
950 doi:10.1073/pnas.1512549112, 2015.

Gutscher, M.-A., Klaeschen, D., Flueh, E. and Malavieille, J.: Non-Coulomb wedges, wrong-way thrusting, and natural hazards in Cascadia, Geology, 29(5), 379–382, 2001.

955 Han, S., Carbotte, S. M., Canales, J. P., Nedimović, M. R., Carton, H., Gibson, J. C. and Horning, G. W.: Seismic reflection imaging of the Juan de Fuca plate from ridge to trench: New constraints on the distribution of faulting and evolution of the crust prior to subduction, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 121(3), 1849–1872, doi:10.1002/2015JB012416, 2016.

960 Haug, G. H., Ganopolski, A., Sigman, D. M., Rosell-Mele, A. and others: North Pacific seasonality and the glaciation of North America 2.7 million years ago, Nature, 433(7028), 821, 2005.

Hayes, G. P., Wald, D. J. and Johnson, R. L.: Slab1.0: A three-dimensional model of global subduction zone geometries:, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 117(B1), doi:10.1029/2011JB008524,
965 2012.

Herman, F. and Brandon, M.: Mid-latitude glacial erosion hotspot related to equatorial shifts in southern Westerlies, Geology, 43(11), 987–990, doi:10.1130/G37008.1, 2015.

970 Herman, F., Seward, D., Valla, P. G., Carter, A., Kohn, B., Willett, S. D. and Ehlers, T. A.: Worldwide acceleration of mountain erosion under a cooling climate, Nature, 504(7480), 423–426, doi:10.1038/nature12877, 2013.

Hourigan, J. K., Reiners, P. W. and Brandon, M. T.: U-Th zonation-dependent alpha-ejection in (U-
975 Th)/He chronometry, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 69(13), 3349–3365, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2005.01.024, 2005.

Hurford, A. J.: Standardization of fission track dating calibration: Recommendation by the Fission Track Working Group of the I.U.G.S. Subcommission on Geochronology, Chemical Geology, 80, 171–178, 1990.

Hyndman, R. D. and Wang, K.: Thermal constraints on the zone of major thrust earthquake failure: The Cascadia Subduction Zone, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 98(B2), 2039–2060, doi:10.1029/92JB02279, 1993.

Hyndman, R. D., Yorath, C. J., Clowes, R. M. and Davis, E. E.: The northern Cascadia subduction zone at Vancouver Island: Seismic structure and tectonic history, Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 27(3), 313–329, 1990.

Kiyokawa, S. and Yokoyama, K.: Provenance of turbidite sands from IODP EXP 1301 in the northwestern Cascadia Basin, western North America, Marine Geology, 260(1–4), 19–29, doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2009.01.003, 2009.

Knudson, K. P. and Hendy, I. L.: Climatic influences on sediment deposition and turbidite frequency in the Nitinat Fan, British Columbia, Marine Geology, 262(1–4), 29–38, doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2009.03.002, 2009.

Kulm, L. V. D., von Huene, R., Duncan, J. R., Ingle, J. C., Kling, S. A., Musich, L. F., Piper, D. J. W., Pratt, R. M., Schrader, H.-J., Weser, O. E. and Wise, S. W.: Site 174, edited by L. V. D. Kulm, R. von Huene, J. R. Duncan, J. C. Ingle, S. A. Kling, D. J. W. Piper, R. M. Pratt, H.-J. Schrader, S. W. Wise, L. F. Musich, and O. E. Weser, Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, 18, 97, 1973.

Lease, R. O. and Ehlers, T. A.: Incision into the Eastern Andean Plateau During Pliocene Cooling, Science, 341(6147), 774–776, doi:10.1126/science.1239132, 2013.

Lease, R. O., Haeussler, P. J. and O'Sullivan, P.: Changing exhumation patterns during Cenozoic growth and glaciation of the Alaska Range: Insights from detrital thermochronology and geochronology, Tectonics, 35(4), 934–955, doi:10.1002/2015TC004067, 2016.

Leeman, W. P., Lewis, J. F., Evarts, R. C., Conrey, R. M. and Streck, M. J.: Petrologic constraints on the thermal structure of the Cascades arc, Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 140(1–3), 67–105, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2004.07.016, 2005.

Lewis, T. J. and Bentkowski, W. H.: Potassium, Uranium and Thorium Concentrations of Crustal Rocks: a Data File, Open File Report 1744, Geological Survey of Canada, Sidney, 1988.

Lewis, T. J., Bentkowski, W. H., Davis, E. E., Hyndman, R. D., Souther, J. G. and Wright, J. A.: Subduction of the Juan de Fuca Plate: Thermal consequences, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 93(B12), 15207–15225, doi:10.1029/JB093iB12p15207, 1988.

1020

McCaffrey, R., King, R. W., Payne, S. J. and Lancaster, M.: Active tectonics of northwestern U.S. inferred from GPS-derived surface velocities, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 118(2), 709–723, doi:10.1029/2012JB009473, 2013.

1025 McCrory, P. A.: Tectonic model explaining divergent contraction directions along the Cascadia subduction margin, Washington, Geology, 24(10), 929, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1996)024<0929:TMEDCD>2.3.CO;2, 1996.

McCrory, P. A., Blair, J. L., Waldhauser, F. and Oppenheimer, D. H.: Juan de Fuca slab geometry and
1030 its relation to Wadati-Benioff zone seismicity, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 117(B9), doi:10.1029/2012JB009407, 2012.

McNeill, L. C., Goldfinger, C., Kulm, L. D. and Yeats, R. S.: Tectonics of the Neogene Cascadia forearc basin: Investigations of a deformed late Miocene unconformity, Geological Society of America
1035 Bulletin, 112(8), 1209–1224, 2000.

Meesters, A. G. C. A. and Dunai, T. J.: A noniterative solution of the (U-Th)/He age equation, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 6(4), doi:10.1029/2004GC000834, 2005.

1040 Michel, L., Ehlers, T. A., Glotzbach, C., Adams, B. A. and Stübner, K.: Tectonic and glacial contributions to focused exhumation in the Olympic Mountains, Washington, USA, Geology, 46(6), 491–494, doi:10/gc8z9w, 2018.

Montgomery, D. R.: Valley formation by fluvial and glacial erosion, Geology, 30(11), 1047–1050,
1045 doi:10.1130/0091-7613(2002)030<1047:VFBFAG>2.0.CO;2, 2002.

Montgomery, D. R. and Greenberg, H. M.: Local relief and the height of Mount Olympus, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 25(4), 385–396, 2000.

1050 Mullen, E. K., Weis, D., Marsh, N. B. and Martindale, M.: Primitive arc magma diversity: New geochemical insights in the Cascade Arc, Chemical Geology, 448, 43–70, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.11.006, 2017.

Mutz, S. G., Ehlers, T. A., Werner, M., Lohmann, G., Stepanek, C. and Li, J.: Estimates of late
1055 Cenozoic climate change relevant to Earth surface processes in tectonically active orogens, Earth Surface Dynamics, 6(2), 271–301, doi:10/gc8sbw, 2018.

Pazzaglia, F. J. and Brandon, M. T.: A fluvial record of long-term steady-state uplift and erosion across the Cascadia forearc high, western Washington State, Am. J. Sci., 301(4–5), 385–431, 2001.
1060

36

Phillips, B. A., Kerr, A. C., Mullen, E. K. and Weis, D.: Oceanic mafic magmatism in the Siletz terrane, NW North America: Fragments of an Eocene oceanic plateau?, Lithos, 274–275, 291–303, doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2017.01.005, 2017.

1065 Porter, S. C.: Composite Pleistocene snow line of Olympic Mountains and Cascade Range, Washington, Geological Society of America Bulletin, 75(5), 477–482, 1964.

Priest, G. R.: Volcanic and tectonic evolution of the Cascade Volcanic Arc, central Oregon, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 95(B12), 19583–19599, doi:10.1029/JB095iB12p19583, 1990.

1070

Prytulak, J., Vervoort, J. D., Plank, T. and Yu, C.: Astoria Fan sediments, DSDP site 174, Cascadia Basin: Hf–Nd–Pb constraints on provenance and outburst flooding, Chemical Geology, 233(3–4), 276–292, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2006.03.009, 2006.

1075 Reiners, P. W., Zhou, Z., Ehlers, T. A., Xu, C., Brandon, M. T., Donelick, R. A. and Nicolescu, S.: Post-orogenic evolution of the Dabie Shan, eastern China, from (U-Th)/He and fission-track thermochronology, American Journal of Science, 303(6), 489–518, 2003.

Reiners, P. W., Spell, T. L., Nicolescu, S. and Zanetti, K. A.: Zircon (U-Th)/He thermochronometry: He

1080 diffusion and comparisons with ^{40}Ar/^{39}Ar dating, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 68(8), 1857–1887, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2003.10.021, 2004.

Stewart, R. J. and Brandon, M. T.: Detrital-zircon fission-track ages for the "Hoh Formation": implications for late Cenozoic evolution of the Cascadia subduction wedge, Geological Society of

1085 America Bulletin, 116(1–2), 60–75, 2004.

Stolar, D., Roe, G. and Willett, S.: Controls on the patterns of topography and erosion rate in a critical orogen, Journal of Geophysical Research, 112(F4), doi:10.1029/2006JF000713, 2007.

1090 Stübner, K., Drost, K., Schoenberg, R., Böhme, M., Starke, J. and Ehlers, T. A.: Asynchronous timing of extension and basin formation in the South Rhodope core complex, SW Bulgaria, and northern Greece, Tectonics, 35(1), 136–159, doi:10.1002/2015TC004044, 2016.

Su, X., Baumann, K. H. and Thiede, J.: Calcareous nannofossils from Leg 168: biochronology and

1095 diagenesis, in Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results, vol. 168, pp. 39–50., 2000.

Tabor, R. W. and Cady, W. M.: The structure of the Olympic Mountains, Washington: Analysis of a subduction zone, US Govt. Print. Off., 1978.

1100

Thackray, G. D.: Extensive Early and Middle Wisconsin Glaciation on the Western Olympic Peninsula, Washington, and the Variability of Pacific Moisture Delivery to the Northwestern United States, Quaternary Research, 55(3), 257–270, doi:10.1006/qres.2001.2220, 2001.

Thiede, R. C. and Ehlers, T. A.: Large spatial and temporal variations in Himalayan denudation, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 371–372, 278–293, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.03.004, 2013.

Thomson, S. N., Brandon, M. T., Tomkin, J. H., Reiners, P. W., Vásquez, C. and Wilson, N. J.: Glaciation as a destructive and constructive control on mountain building, Nature, 467(7313), 313–317, doi:10.1038/nature09365, 2010.

Thomson, S. N., Reiners, P. W., Hemming, S. R. and Gehrels, G. E.: The contribution of glacial erosion to shaping the hidden landscape of East Antarctica, Nat. Geosci., 6(3), 203–207, doi:10.1038/ngeo1722, 2013

Tomkin, J. H. and Roe, G. H.: Climate and tectonic controls on glaciated critical-taper orogens, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 262(3–4), 385–397, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2007.07.040, 2007.

Valla, P. G., Shuster, D. L. and van der Beek, P. A.: Significant increase in relief of the European Alps during mid-Pleistocene glaciations, Nature Geosci, 4(10), 688–692, doi:10.1038/ngeo1242, 2011.

Wang, K.: Simplified analysis of horizontal stresses in a buttressed forearc sliver at an oblique subduction zone, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23(16), 2021–2024, doi:10/dz2xxt, 1996.

Wells, R. E. and McCaffrey, R.: Steady rotation of the Cascade arc, Geology, 41(9), 1027–1030, doi:10.1130/G34514.1, 2013.

Wells, R. E., Bukry, D., Friedman, R., Pyle, D., Duncan, R., Haeussler, P. and Wooden, J.: Geologic history of Siletzia, a large igneous province in the Oregon and Washington Coast Range: Correlation to the geomagnetic polarity time scale and implications for a long-lived Yellowstone hotspot, Geosphere, 10(4), 692–719, 2014.

Westbrook, G., Carson, B. and Musgrave, R.: Shipboard Scientific Party, 1994, Initial reports of the Ocean Drilling Program, 146(pt 1), 1994.

Whipple, K. X.: The influence of climate on the tectonic evolution of mountain belts, Nature Geosci., 2(2), 97–104, doi:10.1038/ngeo413, 2009.

Whipple, K. X. and Meade, B.: Orogen response to changes in climatic and tectonic forcing, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 243(1–2), 218–228, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.12.022, 2006.

Willett, S. D.: Orogeny and orography: The effects of erosion on the structure of mountain belts, J. Geophys. Res., 104(B12), 28957–28981, doi:10.1029/1999JB900248, 1999.

1145 Willett, S. D. and Brandon, M. T.: On steady states in mountain belts, Geology, 30(2), 175–178, doi:10.1130/0091-7613(2002)030<0175:OSSIMB>2.0.CO;2, 2002.

Willett, S. D., McCoy, S. W., Perron, J. T., Goren, L. and Chen, C.-Y.: Dynamic Reorganization of River Basins, Science, 343(6175), 1248765–1248765, doi:10.1126/science.1248765, 2014.

1150

Wilson, D. S.: Confidence intervals for motion and deformation of the Juan de Fuca Plate, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 98(B9), 16053–16071, doi:10.1029/93JB01227, 1993.

Wilson, D. S.: The Juan de Fuca plate and slab: Isochron structure and Cenozoic plate motions, in: The
1155 Cascadia Subduction Zone and related subduction systems: seismic structure, intraslab earthquakes and processes, and earthquake hazards, US Geological Survey, Reston, VA., 2002.

Yanites, B. J. and Ehlers, T. A.: Global climate and tectonic controls on the denudation of glaciated mountains, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 325–326, 63–75, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2012.01.030, 2012.

1160

Yanites, B. J., Ehlers, T. A., Becker, J. K., Schnellmann, M. and Heuberger, S.: High magnitude and rapid incision from river capture: Rhine River, Switzerland, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 118(2), 1060–1084, doi:10.1002/jgrf.20056, 2013.

1165 Yuan, T., Spence, G. D. and Hyndman, R. D.: Seismic velocities and inferred porosities in the accretionary wedge sediments at the Cascadia margin, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 99(B3), 4413–4427, doi:10/dfwxqf, 1994.

Figure 1: a) Overview map of the Cascadia Subduction Zone, showing the extent of the accretionary wedge. White dashed lines are contour lines for the top of the subducted oceanic plate from the Slab1.0 model (Hayes et al., 2012; McCrory et al., 2012), the black arrow indicates the present-day convergence rate and direction at the latitude of the Olympic Mountains (Doubrovine and Tarduno, 2008), red triangles denote the location of active volcanoes. VI = Vancouver Island, OM = Olympic Mountains, CR = Oregon Coast Range. b) Topography of the Olympic Mountains, major river valleys (Elwha, Hoh, Quinault, Queets) and major Quaternary features are indicated. Limit of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet from Porter (1964), alpine moraines after geologic map of Tabor and Cady (1978). Locations of samples from this study (filled yellow circles) and previous studies (open white circles) are indicated. The white triangle denotes the location of Mt. Olympus. c) Geologic and structural map of the Olympic Mountains after Tabor and Cady (1978) and Brandon et al. (1998). The line pattern indicates the occurrence of sediments within the Coast Range Terrane. HRF = Hurricane Ridge Fault.

Figure 2: Map of new and previously published thermochronometric ages within the Olympic Mountains for a) AHe, b) AFT, c) ZHe and d) ZFT. Data from literature (Batt et al., 2001; Brandon et al., 1998; Brandon and Vance, 1992; Michel et al., 2018; Stewart and Brandon, 2004) are indicated by circles with black dot. Note that the colour coding of the symbols varies between panels. For AFT literature samples, the different reset states (fully reset, incompletely reset and unreset) are indicated by symbol shape. Maps of exhumation rates, as suggested by (e) Michel et al. (2018) and (f) Brandon et al. (1998). The white triangle denotes the location of Mt. Olympus.

Figure 3: Map of the Cascadia Subduction Zone, showing the age of the oceanic crust (Wilson, 1993) and sediment thickness, estimated from sediment cores of the ocean drilling programs (holes ODP 888, OPD 1027 and DSDP 174) and seismic studies (Adam et al., 2004; Booth-Rea et al., 2008; Han et al., 2016). The amount of Quaternary sediment material estimated from cores is also included (Kulm et al., 1973; Su et al., 2000; Westbrook et al., 1994), more information about the drill cores is provided in Table 1. The locations of two major submarine fans (Nitinat Fan and Astoria Fan) are indicated by the dotted pattern. The Fraser and Columbia rivers are the main modern sediment sources for Nitinat and Astoria fans, respectively. White, dashed lines indicate the position of cross-sections presented in this study (cf., Fig. 7).

Figure 4: a) Map of samples, for which three to four different thermochronometer systems are available. The pie charts show the reset stage of a particular thermochronometer system for the sample. If AFT ages are unreset, the peak age of the youngest age population is given as sample age (see Table 3 for older populations). Ages denoted with an asterisk are taken from Michel et al. (2018). The Hurricane Ridge Fault (HRF) separates the rocks of the accretionary wedge from the surrounding Coast Range Terrane in the hanging wall. The locations of the three different elevation transects (Mt. Olympus, Mt. Anderson and Blue Mountain) are indicated on the map and the resulting age-elevation plots are shown in b) to d). In b) and c) the dashed coloured lines correspond to possible exhumation rates interpreted from the respective thermochronometer. All uncertainties are 1 standard deviation, SGA = single-grain age.

43

Figure 5: Results from the thermo-kinematic Monte Carlo modelling for the seven considered samples (OP1513, OP1517, OP1533, OP1539, OP1551, OP1573, OP1582). Location of each sample within the Olympic Peninsula is shown, together with the respective elevation (Elev). The entire range of exhumation rates from the number of accepted model runs (N) is outlined by the blue shaded area, from which the mean rate and one standard deviation (1SD) is calculated at each time step. Black, green, and blue stippled boxes outline measured AHe, AFT, and ZHe ages of the samples with 1SD.

1205

44

Figure 6: Constraints used for our quantitative accretionary influx and denudational outflux calculations. a) Cartoon illustrating our approach for calculating the accretionary influx. The influx corresponds to the sediment scraped off from the subducting Juan de Fuca Plate, and is governed by the plate convergence rate (u) and the incoming sediment properties (thickness d, porosity η). ~~We discern a two-dimensional geometry, where sediment is accreted at a single location within a vertical column at the deformation front, and a three-dimensional geometry,~~ Because we use a three-dimensional geometry, ~~where a~~accretion is considered along a length (l) within a vertical plane. This length corresponds to the length of the coastline indicated in panel (d). OM = Olympic Mountains, CRT = Coast Range Terrane. b) Temporal evolution of the plate convergence rate used in the calculations, considering only the component perpendicular to the deformation front (black envelope), and the original output (blue envelope) from the plate reconstruction model of Doubrovine and Tarduno (2008). To provide an uncertainty for our calculations, we consider a range of convergence rates (comprising the width of the envelope) for each time step, based on two different rotation models in the model of Doubrovine and Tarduno (2008) (see text for details). c) Temporal evolution of the plate convergence angle (Doubrovine and Tarduno, 2008) used to correct the plate convergence rate in b). d) Exhumation rate pattern from Michel et al. (2018) used for our outflux calculations. The range of displayed rates (0.25–0.9 km/Ma) corresponds to the rates prior to the glacially induced increase in exhumation rates. ~~In the three-dimensional scenario, we integrate over the entire pattern~~The outflux is based on the spatial integration of the exhumation rate pattern. Values for the integrals are listed below the plot for the respective increase in exhumation and time. The white dashed line was used for integrating the exhumation rate using a two-dimensional geometry, which is further explained in the appendix~~In the two-dimensional scenario, we integrate the exhumation rates along the dashed line. . .~~ ~~.e) Exhumation rates along dashed line shown in d) and the resulting value of the integral, prior to the glacially induced increase in rates, for a 50% increase and a 150% increase. The duration over which the respective integral is applied is indicated as well.~~

45

Figure 7: Sediment volumes calculated along two cross-sections spanning the Olympic Peninsula (Profile 1 and 2, vertical exaggeration=2, see inset for location). For explanation of the used procedure see text. The reported uncertainties for the volume are based on the uncertainties in the position of the Hurricane Ridge Fault (indicated with error bars at the respective symbol). Numbers in inset correspond to (1) = position of Profile 1, (2) = position of Profile 2, (3) = position of profile by Davis and Hyndman (1989), referred to in the text.

1230

**Figure 8:** Summary of volcanic activity, tectonic and climatic events, and convergence rate and angle at the Cascadia Subduction Zone in comparison with our interpreted exhumation rates for the past 25 Myr. Exhumation rates are limited to the time interval covered by our thermochronometric ages (0–11 Ma). The curve depicts the interpreted evolution of exhumation rates, based on the modelling results shown in Figure 5 (see text for details). Volcanic activity after du Bray and John (2011). Tectonic and climatic events are (1) start of exhumation of the Olympic Mountains (Brandon et al., 1998), (2) onset of uplift of the Oregon Coast Range (McNeill et al., 2000), (3) rotation in stress field (Priest, 1990), (4) faster uplift in Oregon Coast Range (McNeill et al., 2000), (5) Pacific-wide plate reorganization (Wilson, 2002), (6) onset of North American glaciation (Haug et al., 2005), (7) onset of glaciation within the Olympic Mountains (Easterbrook, 1986), (8) change in the deformational style of the offshore accretionary wedge (Flueh et al., 1998). Convergence rate and angle from Doubrovine and Tarduno (2008).

47

Table 1: Data for the ocean drill cores shown in Fig. 3.

Core	ODP 888	OPD 1027	DSDP 174
Drilled/total sediment thickness[a] (m)	570/2600	620/620	880/910
Cored Quaternary sediment (m)	570	460	430[b]
Maximum age of Quaternary sediments[c] (Ma)	0.6	1.7	1.7
Amount of Quaternary section of total core (%)	-	74	47
Age of oceanic crust[d] (Ma)	6.5	3.2	7.5
Quaternary sedimentation rate (m/Myr)	950[e]	270	250
Pre-Quaternary/total sedimentation rate[f] (m/Myr)	-/400	110/190	80/120

Notes: For core ODP 888, information is taken from Westbrook et al. (1994), for ODP 1027 from Su et al. (2000), and for DSDP 174 from Kulm et al. (1973). Sedimentation rates are calculated in this study using the reported thicknesses and age constraints.

[a]: If total thickness exceeds drilled thickness, then the total thickness was estimated from seismic data (e.g., ODP 888).

[b]: Due to poor core recovery, the Plio-Pleistocene boundary can only be confined to be between 418 and 446 m.

[c]: Ages based on biostratigraphy. For cores ODP 1027 and DSDP 174, the Plio-Pleistocene boundary was recovered and an age of 1.7 Ma is used here as reported by Su et al. (2000).

[d]: For cores ODP 888 and DSDP 174, the age refers to the age of the oceanic crust and is taken from Figure 3 at the respective location of the core. For ODP 1027, the age refers to the age of the oldest sediment in the core taken from Su et al. (2000).

[e]: This rate is calculated for the recovered core interval, which only encompasses 600 ka.

[f]: Total sedimentation rate = total thickness divided by age of oceanic crust.

1255

1260

1265

1270

1275

Table 2: Coordinates, elevations, and thermochronometric cooling ages for samples considered in this study.

Sample	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Elevation (m)	AHe ± 1SD (Ma)	AFT ± 1SD (Ma)	ZHe ± 1 SD (Ma)	ZFT ± 1SD (Ma)
Mount Olympus transect samples							
OP1533[a]	*47.87572*	*-123.69427*	*430*	*2.5 ± 0.4*	*5.1 ± 0.6*	*4.8 ± 0.6*	*unreset*
OP1550	47.81568	-123.69601	1825	2.0 ± 0.7	nd	7.0 ± 0.8	nd
OP1551	*47.82647*	*-123.68324*	*1509*	*3.3 ± 0.6*	*6.2 ± 0.8*	*7.0 ± 0.5*	*unreset*
OP1552	47.80155	-123.71102	2377	3.7 ± 0.2	nd	8.5 ± 1.5	unreset
OP1553	47.80377	-123.70244	2188	2.9 ± 1.6	nd	7.6 ± 0.4	nd
OP1554	47.83979	-123.69330	1222	1.9 ± 0.8	nd	7.1 ± 0.5	nd
OP1555	47.85457	-123.69194	851	2.5 ± 1.0	nd	7.2 ± 1.3	nd
Blue Mountain transect samples							
OP1548[a]	48.02186	-123.34295	410	14.8 ± 1.2	nd	unreset	nd
OP1557	47.98098	-123.31173	917	4.4 ± 2.5	nd	nd	nd
OP1558	47.97233	-123.30092	1032	8.6 ± 1.2	nd	unreset	nd
OP1559	47.97287	-123.28636	1184	10.8 ± 1.9	nd	nd	nd
OP1560	47.96709	-123.27110	1324	unreset	nd	nd	nd
OP1561	47.95783	-123.26785	1500	30.1 ± 2.0	nd	nd	nd
OP1562	47.95696	-123.26078	1778	3.6 ± 1.3	nd	unreset	nd
Mount Anderson transect samples							
OP1570	47.70483	-123.32813	1624	nd	nd	8.2 ± 1.1	nd
OP1571	47.71657	-123.32927	2035	3.0 ± 0.5	nd	8.1 ± 0.9	nd
OP1572[b]	47.71473	-123.32815	1842	3.3 ± 0.4	nd	8.4 ± 1.4	nd
OP1573	*47.69400*	*-123.32765*	*1363*	*3.9 ± 0.4*	*7.8 ± 1.5*	*8.9 ± 0.9*	*nd*
OP1574	47.68899	-123.35093	881	3.1 ± 1.1	nd	7.8 ± 0.9	nd
OP1576[b]	47.67451	-123.39235	614	1.5 ± 0.2	nd	6.5 ± 0.2	nd
OP1577	47.64185	-123.43398	470	nd	nd	7.0 ± 0.8	nd
Equal-elevation samples							
OP1502[a]	47.90796	-122.92804	325	unreset	unreset	unreset	nd
OP1510[a]	48.09852	-123.62231	273	8.3 ± 1.2	unreset	unreset	nd
OP1513[a]	*47.96015*	*-123.57273*	*402*	*1.5 ± 0.3*	*5.7 ± 0.7*	*10.2 ± 1.0*	*unreset*
OP1517[a]	*47.93891*	*-123.51376*	*423*	*3.7 ± 0.9*	*5.0 ± 0.8*	*9.0 ± 0.6*	*unreset*
OP1521[a]	48.04832	-124.08702	390	2.0 ± 0.4	unreset	unreset	nd
OP1522[a]	48.00530	-124.41620	367	9.1 ± 0.9	unreset	unreset	nd
OP1527[a]	47.82500	-124.05184	280	2.8 ± 1.0	unreset	unreset	nd
OP1528	47.80681	-123.99661	140	3.0 ± 0.3	unreset	nd	nd
OP1529[a]	47.78265	-124.14257	343	6.2 ± 1.1	unreset	unreset	nd
OP1531[a]	47.63659	-124.34966	50	7.5 ± 0.5	unreset	unreset	nd
OP1539[a]	*47.64151*	*-123.65870*	*446*	*2.1 ± 0.6*	*7.1 ± 0.9*	*6.8 ± 0.4*	*12.6 ± 1.5*
OP1542[a]	47.56001	-123.37533	450	1.9 ± 0.7	unreset	8.6 ± 0.9	nd
OP1556	48.00848	-123.89398	470	3.3 ± 0.9	nd	nd	nd
OP1582[a]	*47.95595*	*-123.83732*	*578*	*1.7 ± 0.5*	*6.0 ± 0.6*	*7.1 ± 0.5*	*unreset*

Notes: Samples in italics are used for 1D thermo-kinematic modelling. Results from single-grain analyses for AHe and ZHe are reported in Tables S1 and S2, respectively. Further details for AFT and ZFT dating can be found in Table 3, and single-grain analyses for apatite and zircon are reported in Tables S3 and S4, respectively. 1SD = one standard deviation, nd = not determined.
[a]: AHe and ZHe ages of the respective samples are from Michel et al. (2018).
[b]: Reported sample AHe ages are single-grain ages, because the yield of suitable apatite grains did not allow to date more grains.

1280

Table 3: Results from fission-track dating.

Reset samples

Sample +Mineral	Grain ages (Ma)	χ^2 (%)	N	reset state	Sample age ± 1SD (Ma)
OP1513 ap	0.9–17	47	24	R	5.7 ± 0.7
OP1517 ap	0–13	25	17	R	5.0 ± 0.8
OP1533 ap	2–15	11	20	R	5.1 ± 0.6
OP1539 ap	3–31	21	21	R	7.1 ± 0.9
OP1539 zr	8–18	19	21	R	12.6 ± 1.5
OP1551 ap	0–16	76	21	R	6.2 ± 0.8
OP1573 ap	5–17	11	6	R	7.8 ± 1.5
OP1582 ap	0–19	55	22	R	6.0 ± 0.6

Notes: For AFT and ZFT, 20 grains per sample were dated in a first step and it was checked whether the sample passes the χ^2-test and can be considered as reset (i.e., > 5%; an indication for belonging to the same age population, e.g., Galbraith, 2005). If so, the pooled ζ-age was considered as the sample age and reported here. If a sample failed the χ^2-test (i.e., < 5%), the sample is considered unreset and, in the case of AFT, 100 grains were dated if enough grains are available. The detrital age distribution was then decomposed into detrital age populations using BINOMFIT (Brandon, 1992, 1996) and the peak ages of those populations (with asymmetric error range for each age peak, corresponding to the 68% confidence interval, CI) are reported. For the ZFT method, the information whether the sample is reset or unreset is sufficient for this study and no further grains were dated. Fraction equals the amount of grains contained within the respective age peak. N=number of counted grains. Contrary to Brandon et al. (1998) we did not consider multiply or partially reset AFT samples but treated them as unreset, because our thermo-kinematic model can only be applied to fully reset samples.
[a]: Results for this sample are obtained by merging grains from our sample OP1527 (n=103) and sample AR39 (n=31) from Brandon et al. (1998).
[b]: Results for this sample are obtained by merging grains from our sample OP1528 (n=68) and sample AR40 (n=12) from Brandon et al. (1998).

Unreset samples | Age peaks of the age populations

Sample +Mineral	Grain ages (Ma)	χ^2 (%)	N	reset state	Age (Ma)	68% CI (Ma)		Fraction (%)	Age (Ma)	68% CI (Ma)		Fraction (%)	Age (Ma)	68% CI (Ma)		Fraction (%)
OP1502 ap	10–630	0	94	UR	25.9	-2.5	+2.7	29.4	84.7	-8.1	+9.0	48.3	243	-54.6	+70.0	22.2
OP1510 ap	18–191	0	80	UR	35.5	-5.4	+6.4	34.5	52.6	-6.0	+6.8	52.9	100.3	-23.6	+30.7	12.6
OP1513 zr	17–82	0	23	UR	30.9	-3.5	+4.0	70.9	52.6	-7.5	+8.8	29.1	-	-	-	-
OP1517 zr	27–57	0	25	UR	33.7	-8.0	+10.5	15.5	41.4	-4.5	+5.1	84.5	-	-	-	-
OP1521 ap	0.5–499	0	103	UR	9.8	-0.8	+0.8	60.5	35.1	-4.0	+4.5	30.6	261.9	-50.4	+62.2	8.9
OP1522 ap	6–237	0	20	UR	16.3	-2.8	+3.4	20.2	41.8	-3.5	+3.8	59.7	130.1	-32.7	+43.5	20
OP1527[a] ap	1–992	0	134	UR	7.4	-0.5	+0.6	67.7	24.0	-2.0	+2.2	28.0	209.3	-65.4	+94.5	3.5
OP1528[b] ap	0.4–237	0	80	UR	4.7	-0.4	+0.4	75.7	14.6	-2.2	+2.5	24.1	-	-	-	-
OP1531 ap	6–684	0	100	UR	10.7	-0.5	+0.6	50.6	30.2	-1.8	+1.9	40.1	149.0	-21.7	+25.3	6.5
OP1533 zr	29–106	0	23	UR	35.6	-4.0	+4.5	43.5	53.5	-5.7	+6.4	47.7	95.8	-12.5	+14.3	8.7
OP1542 ap	3–43	0	19	UR	8.1	-0.8	+0.9	77.1	27.9	-3.6	+4.2	22.9	-	-	-	-
OP1551 zr	9–57	0	23	UR	15.1	-1.8	+2.0	62.7	25.5	-3.6	+4.1	21.0	49.9	-6.5	+7.4	16.3
OP1552 zr	10–38	0	24	UR	14.0	-1.5	+1.7	79.7	32.3	-3.7	+4.2	20.3	-	-	-	-
OP1582 zr	28–68	0	23	UR	31.1	-3.5	+3.9	35.0	52.1	-5.5	+6.2	65.0	-	-	-	-

Table 4: List of parameters used for the Pecube modelling.

Parameter	Value	Source
Thermal conductivity	1.83 W m^{-1}K^{-1}	average value for six drill cores in sediment material in the shelf offshore from Vancouver Island (Lewis et al., 1988)
Specific heat capacity	1200 J kg^{-1}K^{-1}	
Crustal density	2700 kg m^{-3}	
Mantle density	3200 kg m^{-3}	
Temperature at the base of the model	400 °C	extrapolation to greater depths from temperature estimates based on heat flow measurements on the shelf (Hyndman et al., 1990; Hyndman and Wang, 1993; Booth-Rea et al., 2008)
Temperature at sea level	8 °C	
Atmospheric lapse rate	6.69 °C km^{-1}	
Crustal heat production	0.77 µW m^{-3}	average value from drill cores on the shelf offshore Vancouver Island (Lewis and Bentkowski, 1988)
Model depth	20 km	minimum thickness of the accretionary wedge below the Olympic Mountains (e.g., Davis and Hyndman, 1989)

5

Table 5: Results from influx and outflux calculations ~~for~~ using a ~~both two-dimensional (2D) and~~ three-dimensional ~~(3D)~~ geometr~~yies~~.

	Accretionary influx over 14 Myr period [a]				Denudational outflux over 14 Myr period		
	Minimum [a] (1.5 km)	Maximum [a] (2.5 km)	Increase at 2 Ma [a] (1.5 → 2.5 km)		Constant rates [b]	50% increase at 3 Ma [b]	150% increase at 2 Ma [b]
~~2D[c]~~	~~520–540 km³~~	~~980–1020 km³~~	~~580–600 km³~~		~~960 km³~~	~~1060 km³~~	~~1160 km³~~
3D	68–71 x 10³ km³	128–133 x 10³ km³	75–78 x 10³ km³		68 x 10³ km³	~~80~~ 75 x 10³ km³	~~88~~ 82 x 10³ km³

Notes:

The entire procedure for calculating the influx and outflux is described in Section 3.3. The influx volumes are reported as ranges, because
10   minimum and maximum convergence rates (Figure 6b) have been obtained from the plate reconstruction model of Doubrovine and Tarduno (2008).

[a]: Sensitivity to incoming sediment thickness: The accretionary influx volume is calculated for three different sediment thicknesses, yielding a minimum volume (1.5 km thickness), maximum volume (2.5 km thickness), and a more realistic volume (where the volume increases from 1.5 km to 2.5 km at 2 Ma).

15   [b]: Sensitivity to an increase in exhumation rates: The denudational outflux volume is calculated assuming constant exhumation rates, and considering the increase in exhumation rates due to glacial erosion, with an increase by 50% at 3 Ma or an increase by 150% at 2 Ma. Exhumation rates are based on Michel et al. (2018) and displayed in Figs. 6d and e.

[e]: Strictly speaking, the volumes calculated for the 2D scenarios have units of km^2 or km^3 per km of width/depth (of either influx or outflux). However, to not confuse the reader when we talk of volumes, we decided to use the unit km^3, which does not change the actual value, but only the unit of the respective calculated volume.