

## ***Interactive comment on “How concave are river channels?” by Simon M. Mudd et al.***

**Simon M. Mudd et al.**

simon.m.mudd@ed.ac.uk

Received and published: 21 April 2018

We thank the associate Editor (Jens Turowski) for steering this paper through such a helpful and efficient review process (with two detailed and useful reviews).

*We have now received two generally positive reviews of the paper. The issues raised by the reviewers seem self-explanatory and fairly straight-forward to deal with, and I do not think that I need to elaborate on them. I would just like to highlight a small comment by reviewer 1: there currently is a slight mismatch between the title and the content of the paper. By just reading the title, the reader may not expect a methodological paper, and the question currently posed in the title is not actually addressed in the paper. I encourage you to re-think the title such that it better reflects the content and aims of the paper.*

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



We see the rationale behind this comment and are sad because the current title is more fun than “Extraction of concavity and collinearity from channel networks”, which is probably what we will use in the revision.

---

Interactive comment on Earth Surf. Dynam. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-2018-7>, 2018.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

