In reviewing the final proofs for this paper, we have noted a significant error in a table that warrants correction prior to publication. Table 1 was created prior to our resolution of a data truncation issue that affected our results slightly. Through the resolution of this issue, the data were corrected in the text and thus submitted revisions, but in oversight on our part, were not corrected in Table 1. Lines 177, 182, and 189 of the accepted article version report these updated values. We have copied Table 1 below with the corrections that would be necessary to ensure consistency with the text.

**Table 1.** Summary table of accuracy rates for all confusion matrices of land cover and elevation comparisons. Accuracy rates are calculated by summing where predictions matched observations (the diagonal bolded terms in Tables S2-S4) and dividing by the total number of outcomes. Confusion matrices are available in supplemental materials (Tables S2-S4).

Confusion Matrix	Accuracy Rate
C-CAP vs. DSL Land Cover comparison	85%
Predicted vs. Observed Land Cover	
Elevation inputs; original distributions	
	<del>77<u>69</u>%</del>
Predicted vs. Observed Land Cover	
Elevation inputs; uniform distributions	
	<del>65.5</del> 56%
Predicted vs. Observed Elevation	
Land cover inputs; original distributions	
	66%
Predicted vs. Observed Elevation	
Land cover inputs; uniform distributions	<del>59</del> 58%