

Interactive comment on “Acoustic wave propagation in rivers: an experimental study” by Thomas Geay et al.

Turowski (Editor)

turowski@gfz-potsdam.de

Received and published: 4 February 2019

Dear authors,

the two reviewers give a host of comments that can be roughly classed in 3 groups: - requests for clarification of statements, methods and other content - language issues - suggestions for the improvement of the analysis and interpretation. Some of these are rather major (e.g., comments 11 and 12, which relate to the description of central analysis for the paper). I ask you, when revising the paper, to think in particular about the reproducibility of your work. Do you provide all necessary information, in terms of methods, assumptions, algorithms etc., that is necessary for a reader to reproduce your analysis? Is all this information organised in an easily accessible way? Is it clearly

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



and unambiguously communicated?

Please provide, in addition to the revised manuscript, a detailed rebuttal describing how you dealt with the comments.

I am looking forward to reading your revised paper,
best wishes, Jens Turowski

Interactive comment on Earth Surf. Dynam. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-2018-80>,
2018.

ESurfD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

