# The destiny of orogen-parallel streams in the Eastern Alps: the Salzach-Enns drainage system

Georg Trost<sup>1</sup>, Jörg Robl<sup>2</sup>, Stefan Hergarten<sup>3</sup>, Franz Neubauer<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geoinformatics, Paris-Lodron University Salzburg, 5020, Austria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geography and Geology, Paris-Lodron University Salzburg, 5020, Austria

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Albert-Ludwigs University of Freiburg, 79104, Germany

Correspondence to: Georg Trost (georg.trost@sbg.ac.at)

5

Abstract. The evolution of the drainage system in the Eastern Alps is inherently linked to different tectonic stages of the alpine orogeny. Crustal scale faults imposed east-directed orogen parallel flow on major rivers, whereas late orogenic surface uplift

- 10 increased topographic gradients between foreland and range and hence the vulnerability of such rivers to be captured. This leads to a situation where major orogen-parallel alpine rivers such as the Salzach River or the Enns River are characterized by elongated east-west oriented catchments south of the proposed capture points, whereby almost the entire drainage area is located west of the capture point. To determine the current stability of drainage divides and to predict the potential direction of divide migration, we analysed their geometry at catchment, headwater and hillslope scale covering time scales from millions
- 15 of years to the millennial scale. We employ  $\chi$  mapping for different base levels, generalized swath profiles across drainage divides and Gilbert metrics – a set of local topographic metrics quantifying the asymmetry of drainage divides at hillslope scale. Our results show that most drainage divides are asymmetric with steeper channels west and flatter channels east of a common drainage divide. Interpreting these results, we propose that drainage divides migrate from west towards east, so that the Inn catchment grows at the expense of the Salzach catchment and the Salzach catchment consumes the westernmost
- 20 tributaries of the Mur and Enns catchments. Gilbert metrics across the Salzach Enns and Salzach Mur divides are consistent with inferred divide mobility. We attribute the absence of divide asymmetry at the Inn Salzach divide to glacial landforms such as circues and U-shaped valleys, which suggest that Pleistocene climate modulations are able to locally obscure the large-scale signal of drainage network reorganization. We suggest that the east-directed divide migration progressively leads to symmetric catchment geometries, where eventually tributaries west and east of the capture point contribute equally to the
- 25 drainage area. To test this assumption, we have reconstructed the proposed drainage network geometries for different time slices.  $\chi$  mapping of these reconstructed drainage networks indicates a progressive stability of the network topology in the Eastern Alps towards the present-day situation.

# **1** Introduction

- 30 The drainage system of a collisional orogen is inherently linked to its tectonic and climatic evolution (Beaumont et al., 1992; Willett, 1999; Montgomery et al., 2001; Willett et al., 2001; Garcia-Castellanos et al., 2003; Cederbom et al., 2004; Bishop, 2007; Miller et al., 2007; Roe et al., 2008; Champagnac et al., 2012; Herman et al., 2013; Robl et al., 2017a). In a zone of plate convergence, crustal shortening is a primary control of the horizontal and vertical metrics of the mountain range (Houseman and England, 1986; Royden et al., 1997; Robl and Stüwe, 2005a; Robl et al., 2008b; Bartosch et al., 2017; Robl et al., 2017a).
- 35 Progressive shortening leads to thickening of light, buoyant crust, which results in surface uplift and formation of high alpine topography (e.g. Molnar and Lyon-Caen, 1988). The horizontal geometry of the mountain range reflects compression in, and stretching perpendicular to the direction of plate convergence. In such a stress field, blocks of the brittle upper crust are advected along major strike slip fault zones. This process is commonly referred to as lateral extrusion (e.g. Tapponnier et al., 1982; Ratschbacher et al., 1989; Ratschbacher et al., 1991; Robl and Stüwe, 2005a; Robl and Stüwe, 2005b; Robl et al., 2008b).
- 40 As a consequence of the horizontal and vertical motion of the crust, drainage systems are also advected (Clark et al., 2004; Miller and Slingerland, 2006; Stüwe et al., 2008; Castelltort et al., 2012; Kirby and Whipple, 2012; Miller et al., 2012; Fox et al., 2014; Goren et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2016; Guerit et al., 2018; Eizenhöfer et al., 2019). However, rivers are not just passive markers of crustal deformation, but also adjust their channel slopes to the contributing drainage area, uplift rate and bedrock properties until longitudinal channel profiles are graded and long-term erosion rates are in balance with uplift rates (Kooi and
- 45 Beaumont, 1996; Whipple, 2001; Willett et al., 2001; Goren et al., 2014; Robl et al., 2017b). However, tectonic and climatic conditions are not steady over an orogenic cycle. The signal of temporal variations is routed via mobile knickpoints in channels through the entire drainage system (Wobus et al., 2006; Kirby and Whipple, 2012; Perron and Royden, 2013; Royden and Perron, 2013; Robl et al., 2017b). Evidence for a previous tectonic phase is erased from their shapes once all knickpoints have left the drainage system at the drainage divides. The velocity of knickpoint migration depends strongly on different factors
- 50 such as lithology, upstream drainage area, amplitude of baselevel drop, and sediment supply (Crosby and Whipple, 2006; Loget and Van Den Driessche, 2009) and ranges between 0.001 and 0.1 m/year (e.g. van Heijst and Postma, 2001). Across divide gradients in erosion rate (strictly speaking, the rate of change in surface elevation) result in lateral divide shifts and eventually in river captures. The difference in erosion rate is usually reflected in an asymmetric topography where the drainage divide migrates from the steep towards the less steep side (e.g. Gilbert, 1877; Robl et al., 2017a; Robl et al., 2017b;
- 55 Whipple et al., 2017; Forte and Whipple, 2018). The reorganization of the drainage system due to divide migration (continuous) and river piracy events (discrete) lasts at least one order of magnitude longer than the upstream migration of knickpoints in channels (e.g. Goren, 2016; Robl et al., 2017b). Furthermore, changes in the contributing drainage area as consequence of mobile divides introduce a positive feedback, where the adaption of channel profiles to changing catchment size amplifies across divide differences in erosion rate (Willett et al., 2014). As a consequence, information on long-term major tectonic
- 60 phases associated with a large-scale reorganization of the drainage pattern persists in the drainage network topology and can be revealed from analysing the geometric properties of the drainage system and its divides, albeit evidence from channel

profiles has already vanished (Willett et al., 2014; Goren et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Hergarten et al., 2016; Beeson et al., 2017; Robl et al., 2017a; Robl et al., 2017b; Winterberg and Willett, 2019). However, the relation between drainage divide migration and topographic asymmetry is not unique. While migrating drainage divides are usually asymmetric, there are specific tectonic, lithological or climatic scenarios where asymmetric drainage divides can be stable.

- In this study, we aim to decipher the morphological state of drainage divides in the Eastern Alps to (a) distinguish between mobile and immobile drainage divides and (b) constrain the potential direction of divide migration by applying a set of morphometric tools that consider divide disequilibrium at catchment, headwater and hillslope scale. Furthermore, we discuss our results in the light of proposed changes in the drainage pattern since onset of topography formation (Oligocene) in the
- 70 Eastern Alps (Frisch et al., 1998; Handy et al., 2015) and explore how these changes may have affected the stability of the divides compared to the present-day situation.

# 1.1 The drainage system of the Eastern Alps

The drainage system of the Eastern Alps is characterized by two principal drainage divides (Robl et al., 2008a; Robl et al., 2017a) (Fig. 1). One major divide follows the main ridge of the Eastern Alps including the highest peaks and separates the

- 75 Inn, Salzach and Enns catchments to the north from the Drau and Mur catchments to the south. The Danube (and eventually the Black Sea) represents the common base level of all those rivers, but their confluence is located in the Pannonian Basin hundreds of kilometers apart from the Eastern Alps. A second major drainage divide separates Alpine rivers that flow into the Adriatic Sea (e.g. Adige River) from the Mur – Drau drainage system. The configuration of the drainage systems was controlled by extrusion tectonics. Major tectonic lineaments (mainly strike-slip dominated faults, i.e. Inn Valley Fault, Salzach-Ennstal-
- 80 Mariazell-Puchberg Fault (SEMP), Mur-Mürz Fault, Periadriatic Lineament (PL), Möll Valley Fault) confine a corridor of lateral extrusion, where crustal blocks were actively squeezed out to the east towards the Pannonian Basin (e.g. Ratschbacher et al., 1989; Ratschbacher et al., 1991). Almost all major streams of the Eastern Alps follow these major tectonic lineaments for several tens of kilometers (Robl et al., 2008a; Bartosch et al., 2017; Robl et al., 2017a). Hence, they flow parallel to the strike of the mountain range, instead of leaving the orogen towards north and south, following the general topographic gradient
- 85 (Fig. 1).

65

The courses of the Salzach and Enns rivers in the north and the Mur and Mürz rivers in the south are characterized by kneeshaped bends and T-shaped river junctions, where rivers abruptly leave their tectonically preconditioned valleys and drain towards the forelands in the north and south, respectively (Robl et al., 2008a; Robl et al., 2017a). Such sudden river course changes in concert with the observation of wind gaps at the Salzach – Enns valleys and the Salzach – Saalach drainage divide

90 (Fig. 1), as well as the provenance of sediments along the Enns valley (Dunkl et al., 2005; Neubauer, 2016) are consistent with the proposed reorganization of the Salzach and Enns drainage systems (Kuhlemann et al., 2001; Dunkl et al., 2005; Robl et al., 2008a).

Major valleys south of the alpine main ridge also show a strong tectonic control (Robl et al., 2017a). The eastern tributaries of the Adige River and the western tributaries of the Drau River roughly follow the Periadriatic Lineament (Fig. 1). The

95 occurrence of a prominent wind gap between Adige and Drau rivers, as well as T-shaped river junctions at the tributaries of the Adige River is discussed in terms of river piracy events and an ongoing reorganization of the drainage system (Robl et al., 2017a).

#### 1.2 Co-evolution of topography and drainage system of the Eastern Alps

Morphological observations (e.g. Robl et al., 2008a) and provenance analyses (e.g. Kuhlemann et al., 2001; Kuhlemann et al., 2002; Kuhlemann, 2007; Neubauer, 2016) give evidence for several large-scale modifications of the Eastern Alpine drainage system. The evolution of the drainage system is inherently linked to the Late Oligocene–Early Miocene indentation (Handy et al., 2015) of the Adriatic into the European plate. At onset of indentation, the landscape of the Eastern Alps was characterized by a hilly topography (Frisch et al., 2001), which was drained by a series of northward flowing rivers (Frisch et al., 1998; Kuhlemann et al., 2006; Kuhlemann, 2007).

- 105 During Early to Middle Miocene times, lateral extrusion tectonics, confined by a set of crustal scale strike-slip and associated normal faults started and rocks at the Tauern Window were exhumed rapidly. These processes initiated a large scale reorganization of the drainage system, where faults imposed an east-directed orogen-parallel flow on major rivers (Frisch et al., 1998). This tectonic stage set the paleo-courses of the Enns, Mur and Drau Rivers (Dunkl et al., 2005; Kuhlemann et al., 2006; Kuhlemann, 2007). Evidence for a changing drainage pattern was recorded by the sedimentary pile deposited in the
- 110 northern Molasse basin (Kuhlemann et al., 2006) and in inner alpine basins (Dunkl et al., 2005). The sedimentary record consists of characteristic rocks of surrounding Austroalpine units. During Middle and Late Miocene, rocks from Penninic and Subpenninic units of the rising Tauern Window, which were previously overlain by Austroalpine units, appear in the sediments of the northern foreland basin (Frisch et al., 1998).

Provenance analyses of sediments reveal the reversal of flow directions and potential stream capture events during the Late
Miocene (Kuhlemann, 2007). Frisch et al. (1998) and Dunkl et al. (2005) suggested that the initially northeast directed Mur
River changed its course to the current southeast directed drainage path during the Middle Miocene (Fig. 1). The detection of
wind gaps (Fig. 1; Robl et al., 2008a) and the analysis of the sedimentary composition of intra-orogenic basins (Neubauer,
2016), suggest similar changes in the Salzach and Enns drainage systems. The abrupt increase in stream power, a few
kilometers upstream, but mostly downstream of the knee-shaped river bend (Fig. 1), and a knickpoint analysis of tributaries

120 may indicate a stream capture event during the Pleistocene forcing a base level lowering of the Salzach River (Robl et al., 2008a).

However, the drainage development since the early Pliocene is poorly constrained. In particular, the impact of the Pleistocene glaciations, resulting in flat valley floors of the trunk streams and hanging valleys with large knickpoints at tributaries (Robl et al., 2008a; Norton et al., 2010; Valla et al., 2010) altered the geometry of rivers and obscured the tectonic record of preceding

125 tectonic events (Robl et al., 2017a).

# 2 Method

All topographic analyses are based on the EU-DEM (data funded under GMES, Global Monitoring for Environment and Security preparatory action 2009 on Reference Data Access by the European Commission) digital elevation model with a spatial resolution of approx. 25 m.

# 130 2.1 χ-mapping

In order to detect potentially mobile drainage divides due to across divide differences in erosion rate, we follow the approach of Willett et al. (2014) by employing the so called  $\chi$  transform (Perron and Royden, 2013; Royden and Perron, 2013). This approach is based on the detachment-limited model for bedrock channel incision (Howard, 1980; Howard, 1994; Hergarten, 2002) where the erosion rate is

135

$$E = KA^m \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}\right)^n \tag{1}$$

Here, *H* and *x* are elevation and longitudinal coordinate along the river profile, increasing in the upstream direction. *K* represents the erosional efficiency. The contribution of the channel slope  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}$  and drainage area *A* to river incision is 140 represented by the exponents *m* and *n*. The change in surface elevation at a given uplift rate *U* is then given by

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = U - E \tag{2}$$

The increase of contributing drainage area (and hence discharge) with downstream distance leads to the curvature of the 145 channel profile, which obscures the record of spatial or temporal changes in uplift rate in the geometry of the river channel. The  $\chi$  transform eliminates the curvature of the river profile by transforming the longitudinal coordinate *x* to a new coordinate  $\chi$  (Perron and Royden, 2013; Royden and Perron, 2013). The contributing drainage area can be eliminated if the transformation satisfies the condition

150 
$$\frac{dx}{d\chi} = \left(\frac{A}{A_0}\right)^{\theta}$$
(3)

where  $\theta = m/n$  is the concavity index. This is achieved by

$$\chi = \int \left(\frac{A}{A_0}\right)^{-\theta} dx \tag{4}$$

where the integration starts from an arbitrary given reference point  $x_0$ , while  $A_0$  is an also arbitrary reference catchment size, which only affects the absolute scale of the  $\chi$  values ( $A_0 = 1 \text{ km}^2$  in this study).

Then the erosion rate is

$$E = K \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \chi}\right)^n \tag{5}$$

- 160 Under spatially and temporally uniform tectonic and climatic conditions, χ transformed steady-state river profiles are thus straight lines. We calculated χ values for all channels with a contributing drainage area A ≥ 1 km<sup>2</sup> and θ = 0.5. As χ is computed in upstream direction from a given base level, the restriction to A ≥ 1 km<sup>2</sup> does not affect the χ map itself, but only removes the uppermost river segments. Such a restriction is necessary as χ increases rapidly when approaching a drainage divide and the resulting high χ values would shadow across divide contrasts in χ. The value of 1 km<sup>2</sup> is a trade-off between data density and the deviation of the real erosion rate from the rate predicted by the stream power law. Hergarten et al. (2016) found a
- moderate deviation in slope of about 20 % at A = 1 km<sup>2</sup> for Taiwan. As this deviation applies to both sides of the considered drainage divides, it has a minor effect on the conclusions drawn from  $\chi$  mapping.

Major rivers of the Eastern Alps exit the mountain range to the foreland at an elevation of about 400 m. We therefore chose this elevation as the common base level ( $H(x_0) = 400$  m). In order to limit the influence of spatial heterogeneity in tectonics and climate on  $\chi$  at drainage divides, we also computed  $\chi$  for a series of higher base levels (600 m, 800 m and 1000 m).

- The analysis of across divide differences in  $\chi$  exploits the fact that channels, originating at a common drainage divide (i.e. similar channel head elevation) and sharing the same base level elevation, are steep, if  $\chi$  is small (Willett et al., 2014). Hence, across divide differences in  $\chi$  indicate differently steep rivers on both sides of the divide, averaged from the baselevel to the channel head. Generalizing the ideas of Gilbert (1877) and applying the stream power relation, steeper channels result in higher
- 175 erosion rates, and hence, drainage divides should migrate towards the high  $\chi$  catchments.

# 2.2 Generalized swath profiles

We employ generalized swath profiles (Hergarten et al., 2014) to explore differences in headwater relief across drainage divides. The drainage divide represents the curved baseline of the swath profile. The signed minimum distance (Euclidian distance) of every data point of the digital elevation model to the base line is computed and coordinate pairs (profile coordinate,

180

170

distance) of every data point of the digital elevation model to the base line is computed and coordinate pairs (profile coordinate, distance) are binned. Topographic maxima and minima representing the summit domain and the drainage system, respectively, as well as mean elevation and standard deviation indicating the degree of landscape dissection are represented as function of signed distance from the drainage divide. The half width of the swath profiles is 5 km.

## 2.3 Gilbert metrics

To investigate the symmetry of drainage divides and potential anomalies at the hillslope scale, we determine the so called Gilbert metrics, originally proposed by Gilbert (1877) and formalized by Whipple et al. (2017). Across divide differences in channel head elevation, hillslope gradient and local relief (represented by Gilbert metrics) were computed with Divide Tools (Forte and Whipple, 2018), a collection of morphometric functions based upon TopoToolbox (Schwanghart and Scherler, 2014).

- Channel heads at the transition from the hillslope to the fluvial domain are defined by a contributing drainage area threshold of 1 km<sup>2</sup>. Hence, channel head elevation is the elevation at this point. The local relief is the maximum elevation ( $H_{max}$ ) within a circular window minus the elevation of the channel head. We chose the default window size with a radius of 0.5 km (Forte and Whipple, 2018), which encloses the nearby ridge lines, but does not reach far beyond. The slope gradient is the average topographic gradient between the channel head and the highest point within the analysed window. These metrics are averaged (arithmetic averaging) at each side of the watershed.  $\Delta$ -values (e.g.  $\Delta_{Elevation}$ ) represent the difference of averaged metrics of
- 195 the two sides of the drainage divide. Eventually, Δ-values are normalized to a range from -1 to 1, so that every deviation from 0 evidences for an asymmetric drainage divide. Following the nomenclature of Forte and Whipple (2018), we refer to these metrics as Gilbert metrics.

#### **3 Results**

By applying a set of standard morphometric analyses, we discovered several distinctly asymmetric drainage divides. We found divide asymmetry considering information from entire catchments, headwaters, and even hillslopes.

# 3.1 *x*-mapping: across divide differences at catchment scale

We find distinct  $\chi$  anomalies at the divides of the Salzach catchment and the Inn and Adige (WS 1) catchments in the west, the Saalach (WS 2) catchment in the north, and the Enns (WS 3) and Mur (WS 4) catchments in the east (Figs. 1, 2a-d). Across divide differences in  $\chi$  between the Salzach catchment and the Drau catchment in the south are small. As a clear trend, all

- 205 streams at the western side of roughly north-south trending drainage divides feature significantly lower  $\chi$  values than adjacent streams east of the divides. We observe this trend at WS 1, where tributaries of the eastern Salzach River show significantly higher  $\chi$  values than tributaries of the western Inn River. Similar anomalies in  $\chi$  occur at WS 3 and WS 4, where the tributaries of the Enns and Mur Rivers feature higher  $\chi$  values than tributaries of the Salzach River. At WS 2, separating the Salzach from the Saalach catchment, higher  $\chi$  values are observed north of the divide within the Saalach catchment.
- 210 Patterns of across divide gradients in  $\chi$  are insensitive to stepwise increases of the base level from 400 m (Fig. 2a) to 600 m (Fig. 2b) and 800 m (Fig. 2c), and tantamount a shift of the starting point of the  $\chi$  computation towards the headwaters. However, starting the  $\chi$  integration at the very headwaters of the investigated catchments by setting a baselevel of 1000 m (Fig. 2d), several across divide  $\chi$  gradients disappear or are even reverted, as observed at WS 1. There, and in contrast to lower base levels, tributaries of the Inn River feature higher  $\chi$  values than tributaries of the Salzach River. The rivers on both sides
- 215 of WS 2 and WS 3 show similar  $\chi$  values. However, the distinct  $\chi$  anomaly observed at WS 4 remains. All tributaries of the



Mur show higher  $\chi$  values than tributaries across the divides to the Drau, Salzach and Enns catchments. Beyond that, the analysis shows that  $\chi$  gradients across the Mur and Enns drainage divides increase with increasing baselevels.

For a baselevel of 400 m, absolute values of  $\chi$ , extracted at the channel heads on both sides of the investigated drainage divide, reflect the described across divide  $\chi$  gradients quantitatively (Fig. 3). At the westernmost drainage divide of the Salzach

- 220 catchment, the distribution of  $\chi$  ranges between 3190 m and 6740 m in the Inn / Adige catchment and between 5810 m and 8890 m in the Salzach catchment. Mean values in  $\chi$  are 4887 m at the Inn / Adige side and 7525 m at the Salzach side of the drainage divide. The  $\chi$  gradient indicates that the average steepness of the channels is higher at the Inn / Adige side than at the Salzach side of the divide. At the eastern drainage divides of the Salzach catchment, the Salzach – Enns and the Salzach – Mur divide, the  $\chi$  distribution of the Salzach ranges between 2670 m and 6530 m, while channel heads at the Enns and Mur
- 225 catchment feature  $\chi$  values between 4410 m and 9100 m. Mean values in  $\chi$  are 4267 m and 5443 m at the Salzach catchment, and 6360 m and 8093 m at the Enns and Mur catchments. The  $\chi$  gradients indicate higher average channel steepness at the Salzach side of the divides. Across divide gradients at the northern Salzach – Saalach divide are distinctly smaller than those at the western and eastern Salzach watersheds. The  $\chi$  distribution ranges between 3670 m and 6220 m at the Salzach side and between 3390 m and 8130 m at the Saalach side. Mean  $\chi$  is slightly shifted towards higher values at the Saalach (5834 m)
- relative to the Salzach side (4829 m). This, however, is caused by the long tail of the skewed right  $\chi$  distribution of the Saalach catchment.

# 3.2 Swath profiles: across divide differences at headwater scale

The four curved swath profiles perpendicular to the watershed segments WS1 - WS4 indicate a series of distinct across divide differences in the headwater relief (Figs. 1, 4). At first glance, WS 1 appears to be roughly symmetric with a steady decrease in mean ( $H_{mean}$ ) and minimum elevation ( $H_{min}$ ) with increasing distance from the divide. Up to a distance of 2 km, the drop in  $H_{mean}$  is larger at the Inn side of the divide. At a distance of 5 km,  $H_{min}$  is slightly lower at the Salzach side in comparison to the Inn side. At this distance, the swath corridor already reached the trunk valley of the Salzach drainage system, but reaches

only a small tributary of the Inn River at the other side of the divide. Overall, the relief  $(H_{\text{max}} - H_{\text{min}})$  is larger at the Inn than at the Salzach side of the divide. The Saalach – Salzach drainage divide (Fig. 4, WS2) shows a strong asymmetry in  $H_{\text{mean}}$  and relief, but no spatial trend in  $H_{\text{min}}$ . The latter is bound up with the fact that the drainage divide exhibits a wind gap, which connects the valley floors of the Salzach and Saalach rivers without a significant drop in valley floor elevation (Fig. 1). In contrast, the eastern divides of the Salzach catchment show a strong asymmetry (Fig. 4, WS3, 4). The drop in  $H_{\text{min}}$  and  $H_{\text{mean}}$ with increasing distance from the divides is distinctly more pronounced at the Salzach side than at the Enns and Mur sides of the drainage divide. In consequence, high gradients in  $H_{\text{min}}$  and  $H_{\text{mean}}$  form towards west, and gentle gradients arise towards

245 east.

## 3.3 Gilbert metrics: across divide differences at hillslope scale

The Gilbert metrics suggested by Forte and Whipple (2018) comprise three measures characterizing the local differences at drainage divides (i.e. channel head elevation, mean upstream relief, mean upstream gradient). Overall, a strong divide asymmetry at hillslope scale is only observed at the Salzach – Mur drainage divide (Fig. 5).

- 250 At the westernmost drainage divide of the Salzach catchment, elevations at channel heads (Fig 5, WS 1) lie in the range between 1100 m and 2600 m. At the Salzach basin, channel head elevations show a unimodal distribution with a mean value of 2044 m, while the distribution at the Inn / Adige basin is bimodal and has a mean value of 1977 m. Overall differences are small, but indicate a slight shift towards lower channel head elevations at the Inn / Adige side of the drainage divide. The upstream relief ranges between 200 m and 660 m and is uniformly distributed within the Salzach, but skewed-left distributed
- 255 in the Adige catchment. Mean values of upstream relief are similar in the Salzach and Inn / Adige catchment with 386 m and 382 m, respectively. Analogous to the upstream relief, upstream gradient is uniformly and skewed-left distributed in the Salzach and Inn / Adige catchments, respectively. Values for upstream gradient are in the range of 0.2 and 0.8, with mean values of 0.45 for the Salzach and 0.44 for the Inn / Adige catchments. Beside outliers, upstream relief and upstream gradient appears slightly larger in the Inn / Adige catchment than in the Salzach catchment.
- At the Salzach Saalach drainage divide, differences in all Gilbert metrics are small. Elevation at channel heads (Fig 5, WS 2) ranges between 740 m to 1750 m with mean values of 1424 m and 1370 m at the Salzach and Saalach side of the divide. The upstream relief and the upstream gradient range between 250 m and 760 m, and between 0.35 and 1.1 in the Salzach and Saalach catchment, respectively.

While the eastern drainage divide, separating the Salzach from the Enns and the Mur catchments, features consistently large anomalies in  $\chi$ , Gilbert metrics representing the hillslope scale indicate a largely symmetric Salzach – Enns, and a distinctly

- asymmetric Salzach Mur drainage divide. Channel head elevation of the Salzach Enns divide (Fig. 5, WS 3) ranges between 840 m and 2200 m, with mean values of 1206 m and 1296 m at the Salzach and Enns side of the divide. The lower channel head elevation is also reflected by a slightly higher mean upstream relief and mean upstream gradient in the Salzach, in comparison to the Enns catchment. Mean values are 351 m and 0.42 for the Salzach, and 343 m and 0.4 for the Enns catchment.
- 270 The divide between Salzach and Mur catchments is characterized by the largest across divide differences in all Gilbert metrics (Fig. 5, WS 4). Elevation at channel head lies between 1350 m and 2220 m. On average, channel head elevation is distinctly lower in the Salzach catchment (1747 m) than in the Mur catchment (1982 m). Lower channel head elevations result in a larger mean upstream relief and higher upstream gradient for the Salzach (425 m, 0.5), in comparison to the Mur catchment (375 m, 0.42).

# 275 4 Discussion

Gilbert (1877) already recognized that cross-divide differences in erosion rate result in mobile watersheds, whereby catchments featuring higher erosion rates grow on expense of adjacent catchments with lower erosion rates. In the simplest case with

overall uniform conditions, erosion rate increases with channel steepness in the drainage and topographic gradient in the hillslope domain. Hence, divide asymmetry suggests drainage divide migration from the steep towards the less steep side

- 280 (Gilbert, 1877; Willett et al., 2014; Robl et al., 2017b; Forte and Whipple, 2018). Asymmetry at drainage divides may occur at catchment, headwater and hillslope scale, but may not necessarily be observed at all these scales. For example, a change in drainage area due to a river capture event may cause a  $\chi$  anomaly at the drainage divide, which predicts drainage divide mobility. However, if the signal expressed by an upstream migrating knick point or knick zone has not yet reached the divide, the divide may still be symmetric at the hillslope scale indicating divide stability at that time. Glacially controlled base
- 285 level lowering (e.g. Hallet et al., 1996; Whipple et al., 1999; MacGregor et al., 2000; Brocklehurst and Whipple, 2002; Montgomery, 2002; Anderson et al., 2006; Haeuselmann et al., 2007; Züst et al., 2014) with an increase in local relief at the north facing side of divides may cause a strong asymmetry at hillslope scale, but will not result in an anomaly in  $\chi$  maps, as long as the drainage network topology remains unchanged.

## 4.1 Challenges and limitations interpreting drainage divide asymmetries

- A direct determination of present-day divide migration rates is challenging as migration rates are in the range of millimeters per year (Goren et al., 2014) and major river capture events are rarely observed (Brocard et al., 2012; Yanites et al., 2013). In concert with sediment provenance (Frisch et al., 1998; Kuhlemann, 2007) and erosion rates based on cosmogenic nuclides (e.g. Dixon et al., 2016), topographic metrics serve as proxy for drainage divide mobility.
- Due to the superposition of climatic, tectonic and lithological signals in tectonically active, glacially modified mountain ranges, the interpretation of topographic metrics in terms of stable versus mobile drainage divides is not unique and paved with some pitfalls. For example, the topography of the Eastern Alps reflects different tectonic phases with a spatiotemporally diverse vertical and horizontal crustal velocity field controlling uplift rates and horizontal advection (i.e. lateral extrusion) (Ratschbacher et al., 1989; Ratschbacher et al., 1991; Robl et al., 2008b; Bartosch et al., 2017), changing climatic conditions governing peculiarity and even rates of erosional surface processes (e.g. Herman et al., 2013; Dixon et al., 2016), and substrate
- 300 properties limiting the steepness of landforms as expression of the long-term tectono-metamorphic evolution of the mountain range (Schmidt and Montgomery, 1995; Kühni and Pfiffner, 2001; Schmid et al., 2004; Robl et al., 2015). In particular the strong glacial imprint altered topographic metrics and affected exhumation and erosion rates (e.g. Dixon et al., 2016; Fox et al., 2016), whereby the turnover time from glacial to fluvial landscape characteristics is controlled by lithology (Robl et al., 2015) and uplift rate (Prasicek et al., 2015). Then gradients in erosion rate reflect rather a transient landscape state due to 305 glacial–interglacial periods than across divide differences resulting from the reorganization of the drainage system.
- While a transient state caused by changing climatic or tectonic conditions is often considered as the most likely reason for divide asymmetry, spatial heterogeneity in tectonics and climate may in principle reproduce the same topographic characteristics, but even in a steady state (e.g. Whipple et al., 2017). In the fluvial regime, contrasts in uplift rate, lithology, and precipitation play similar roles. The crucial question in this context is whether there is a sharp topographic contrast at the
- 310 drainage divide or a gradual variation. In a steady state with only vertical tectonic movement, the local steepness of the

topography is related to the properties at the respective point. Thus, sharp across divide contrasts in topography require discontinuous variations in precipitation or lithology or the existence of active faults close to the drainage divide, i.e. a sharp contrast in uplift rate. However, drainage divides do not move towards such discontinuities in general (Robl et al., 2017b), so that sharp across divide contrasts in topography due to tectonics or lithology should be rare. This is, however, not necessarily

- 315 true if horizontal advection is involved. Then a divide that is stable in an absolute frame is mobile in the moving system and thus asymmetric with a sharp contrast. The conditions for the development of such stable divides were investigated by Eizenhöfer et al. (2019) by computing the crustal velocity governed by over-thrusting at a flat-ramp-flat geometry and modelling the response of the drainage system. Beyond this, contrasts in precipitation may cause sharp asymmetries at drainage divides because the pattern of precipitation is influenced by the topography, although the control of precipitation on the
- 320 geometry of river channels is still debated (e.g. Burbank et al., 2003; Dadson et al., 2003; Molnar, 2003; Reiners et al., 2003; Wobus et al., 2003; Hodges et al., 2004). Concerning the question whether the across divide asymmetry of the topography is pronounced or rather subtle, the analysis of stream profiles has only limited benefits as the stream power law does not capture the hillslopes. This limitation also affects all analyses based on the  $\chi$  transform. The vertical distance between channel head and base level divided by  $\chi$  is the average
- 325 steepness of the channel, but provides no explicit information on the steepness of the dividing ridge itself. Consequently, a low increase in  $\chi$  at the lower channel reach may result in a steep channel on average, and small  $\chi$  values even at the channel heads. Even if a stable divide can be excluded by other arguments, this implies that  $\chi$  anomalies at drainage divides may indicate potential divide mobility in the future, rather than currently mobile divides (Forte and Whipple, 2018). Without doubt, many factors and processes may lead to an amplification or emergence of across divide gradients in  $\chi$  and complicate the
- 330 interpretation of  $\chi$  in terms of divide stability (Whipple et al., 2017; Forte and Whipple, 2018). As a strategy to counteract some of these pitfalls, a series of  $\chi$  maps with progressively raised base levels narrows down the impact of spatial heterogeneity in tectonics and climate from catchment to headwater scale. This allows statements on the position of the disturbance within the drainage system and potential divide mobility in the far and in the near future.
- In this context, the question may arise whether  $\chi$  mapping, i.e., the consideration of  $\chi$  alone without regard to differences in 335 elevation, is as good as computing an average channel steepness from the differences in elevation and in  $\chi$  values. According to Eq. (5), the slope of a  $\chi$  transformed river profile is a proxy for the erosion rate at given erodibility. The  $\chi$  values at the end of the rivers would be inversely proportional to this slope if they were at the same elevation everywhere. This means that both approaches are equivalent if the steepness of the hillslopes is the same at both sides of the drainage divides. Otherwise, the interpretation of  $\chi$  maps is not entirely free from an influence of the hillslopes, even if the lower limit of catchment size is
- 340 large enough to ensure the applicability of the stream power law. If the hillslopes at one side are steeper, the channel heads (here defined by a minimum drainage area of 1 km<sup>2</sup>) are at a lower elevation, so that the consideration of  $\chi$  alone overestimates the mean steepness of the channel. This means that  $\chi$  mapping implicitly captures the steepness of the hillslopes to some degree
  - 11

if applied across drainage divides. With regard to the relevance of the hillslope regions for the migration of the drainage divides, this might even be seen as an advantage of  $\chi$  mapping over mean channel steepness.

- The Gilbert metrics, a set of local topographic measures, characterize hillslopes at both sides of the investigated divide (Forte and Whipple, 2018) and hence the (a) symmetry of the divide itself. In contrast to  $\chi$  mapping, there are no far field effects and significant asymmetry of the dividing ridge should correspond in principle to across divide gradients in erosion rate and divide mobility. However, in active, glacially modified mountain ranges, several factors and processes make the interpretation of these metrics challenging. Landslide-controlled threshold hillslopes emerge, where incision rates in the drainage system are
- 350 high (Montgomery et al., 2001). Then the relationship between topographic gradient and hillslope erosion rate breaks down, and dividing ridges become symmetric although they feature across divide gradients in erosion rate and migrate. For the European Alps, an average limiting slope stability angle of 25° is reported (Schmidt and Montgomery, 1995; Kühni and Pfiffner, 2001), so that most of the divides in the study area are prone to landsliding. However, in particular within the formerly glaciated realm of the Alps, many of the non-soil mantled hillslopes are distinctly steeper and still feature glacial landscape
- 355 characteristics (Robl et al., 2015). There, local metrics such as relief, gradient or channel head elevation rather indicate the impact of the last glaciations on topography than long-term trends in drainage network reorganization. Glacial overprint does not primarily affect the first order drainage networks, but has a strong impact on local relief (e.g. Brocklehurst and Whipple, 2002; van der Beek and Bourbon, 2008; Norton et al., 2010; Salcher et al., 2014). Aspect-controlled differences in relief formation due to glacial erosion (e.g. north versus south facing mountain flanks) result in local, reversible compensating
- 360 motions of the divides (Robl et al., 2017a) that may counteract the regional trend during the turnover time from glacial to fluvial landscapes. Hence, such local disturbances cover large-scale and long-lasting changes in the drainage network topology. Generalized swath profiles and  $\chi$  maps with a base level at the headwaters may bridge the gap between catchment and hillslope scale and assist detecting local peculiarities as described above.
- Summarizing, the major advantage of Gilbert metrics lies in the analysis of short wavelength high amplitude signals, e.g. the 365 development of escarpments (e.g. Tucker and Slingerland, 1994). In contrast, the reorganization of drainage patterns forced by tectonic processes represents a large length scale – low amplitude signal taking place in millions of years (Robl et al., 2015), which can be targeted by the calculation of  $\chi$  maps. Headwater processes and the position of the erosional signal can be addressed by varying the base level for the  $\chi$  transformation and the extraction of generalized swath profiles. We hereinafter discuss the behaviour of the drainage divides in consideration of the described pitfalls.

#### **4.2 Mobility of Drainage Divides in the Eastern Alps**

The observed asymmetry of drainage divides in the study region, with steep western and less steep eastern sides may in principle result from spatial heterogeneity at the drainage divides with sharp contrasts in uplift rate, substrate properties or precipitation. Furthermore over-thrusting along ramps may result in asymmetric but still stable drainage divides. Hence, divide asymmetry does not necessarily indicate divide mobility. However, there is no evidence that the drainage divides analysed

- 375 here follow such lithological or tectonic structures. Sharp contrasts in precipitation require (i) a sequence of decrease, recovery, and decrease in precipitation rate in east-west direction and (ii) an inversion of the north-south contrast along a drainage divide (WS1), both at rather small scales. Furthermore, the observed west east asymmetry of divides is not consistent with the thrusting direction of major alpine units, which occurred roughly from south to north. In consequence, it appears unrealistic that the observed pattern is entirely controlled by climate, lithology or active faults, although some influence of climate (and
- also of tectonics or lithology) cannot be excluded. Summarizing, the known long-term reorganization of the drainage network (Frisch et al., 1998; Frisch et al., 2001) accompanied by changes in contributing drainage area appears to be the most likely interpretation of the observed topographic pattern and is enhanced by progressively increasing the base level for  $\chi$  computation. Shifting the observational scale from presumable tectonically and climatically heterogeneous catchments to their more homogenous headwaters shows no qualitative changes in the  $\chi$  pattern. The  $\chi$  anomalies across the divides – as already
- described by Robl et al. (2017a) and Winterberg and Willett (2019) remain up to a baselevel of 800 m (Fig. 2, 3). Our results, therefore, suggest that drainage divides of the investigated catchments are mobile and follow a general trend. At north – south running drainage divides, tributaries feature lower  $\chi$  values west of the dividing ridge and hence are steeper on average than tributaries draining towards east (Fig. 2). However, this trend in  $\chi$  breaks down at some divides for a base level of 1000 m characterizing the very headwaters, only.
- 390 Gilbert metrics characterizing divides at hillslope scale are consistent with the  $\chi$  pattern at the Salzach Enns and Salzach Mur drainage divides and indicate that these divides are currently mobile. However, and in contrast to the  $\chi$  pattern (up to a base level of 800 m), they indicate divide stability at the Inn – Salzach drainage divide (Figs. 2, 3). In particular at the latter divide, glacial landforms such as circues and U-shaped valleys are abundant and we interpret the missing hillslope scale asymmetry of this divide as a result of glacial erosion, which temporally stops divide migration (Robl et al., 2017b). However,
- 395 Robl et al. (2017a) showed that the impact of variable glacial erosion across divides is small and reversible. We suggest that the topographic signal of cold climate processes, primarily acting during the Pleistocene, locally obscures the large-scale signal of drainage network reorganizations in many parts of the Eastern Alps and in general limits the applicability of Gilbert metrics in glacially shaped mountain ranges.
- We suggest that the proposed drainage divide migration from west to east is inherently linked to the plan view geometry of the 400 Salzach and Enns catchments south of the Northern Calcareous Alps (Figs. 1, 2, 6). In this domain, the main stem of the Salzach and Enns still follows the SEMP, which is one the major tectonic lineaments of the Eastern Alps (Wang and Neubauer, 1998). It has been proposed that during the Mid-Miocene, Salzach and Enns formed a common catchment with an east-directed flow path (e.g. Neubauer, 2016), but were separated by major river piracy events due headward eroding south – north draining rivers (Kuhlemann et al., 2001; Dunkl et al., 2005; Robl et al., 2008a). As a consequence, the major portion of the Salzach and
- 405 Enns drainage areas are located west of their capture points and by reversing the flow direction only to a minor amount east of the capture points. This is consistent with the current asymmetry of the catchments, with a large western and a small eastern sub-catchment and explains the observed across divide gradients in  $\chi$ . Long east-directed channel segments in concert with

distinctly elongated catchments result in a slow decrease in catchment size in upstream direction. Hence,  $\chi$  accumulates to large  $\chi$  values at the western and low  $\chi$  values at the eastern drainage divides. Integrating from a common base level up to the

- 410 same channel head elevation, large and small  $\chi$  values on different sides of a common divide (Inn / Salzach, Salzach / Enns and Salzach / Mur divides) are the expression of a low and high average channel steepness of long west–east and short east– west draining channel segments, respectively. This, however, implies that observed  $\chi$  anomalies at the investigated drainage divides are the consequence of the Early to Mid-Miocene lateral extrusion tectonics (Ratschbacher et al., 1989; Ratschbacher et al., 1991), where the activity of crustal scale faults imposed non-ideal flow-direction to major rivers (Robl et al., 2008b;
- 415 Robl et al., 2017a). The indicated drainage network reorganization from orogen-parallel to orogen-perpendicular flow is a long-lasting process. While river piracy events cause a sudden large-scale modification of the drainage network, drainage divide migration and flow direction reversal is a slow continuous process at rates of few millimeters per year (Goren et al., 2014), which explains the longevity of morphological disequilibrium after changes in the tectonic forcing.

## 4.3 Stability of divides for different evolutionary states

- 420 Based on provenance analyses and geomorphological studies, it has been proposed that different tectonic phases have triggered a repeated reorganization of the drainage system since the onset of topography formation in the Eastern Alps (Frisch et al., 2000; Kuhlemann et al., 2001; Dunkl et al., 2005; Kuhlemann, 2007; Keil and Neubauer, 2009; Neubauer, 2016). As the position of past drainage divides is not well constrained, we test if and how different catchment geometries, roughly mimicking the catchment geometry suggested for different phases of the drainage evolution, affect the stability of drainage divides (Fig.
- 425 7). We focus on the plan view geometry of catchments only and do not consider potential topographic (e.g. uplift of the Northern Calcareous Alps) or base level (e.g. inversion of the northern foreland basin, the Molasse basin) changes. In order to create the proposed drainage patterns for different time slices, we dammed valleys and forced rivers to drain across prominent wind gaps (see Fig. 1 for wind gaps), which changes the large scale system of rivers but leaves the small scale network topology unaffected. As the  $\chi$  computation considers the network topology only and does not require further topographic information,
- 430 it is less important to reconstruct the evolution of the topography of the Eastern Alps in detail. For the period of lateral extrusion during the Early to Mid-Miocene, it was suggested that the Salzach and Enns formed a common drainage system (Paleo-Enns) with an orogen-parallel flow path following the SEMP fault from west to east (Fig. 7a) (Frisch et al., 1998). Compared to the present-day drainage pattern (Fig. 7d), the elongated catchment with its long main stem and numerous short tributaries contributing drainage area from south and north, causes very high  $\chi$  values at the eastern domain
- 435 of the drainage system. In particular, the Inn Paleo-Enns drainage divide is characterized by high across divide gradients in  $\chi$ , but even the southern drainage divide between Paleo-Enns and Drau indicates a strong  $\chi$  anomaly. This suggests that the Early to Mid-Miocene situation, with elongated catchments featuring hundreds of kilometers of orogen-parallel flow, were prone to river piracy events and the migration of drainage divides.

The timing of the following drainage network reorganization is not well known. However, streams originating south of the

- 440 SEMP fault and draining towards the northern foreland basin eroded headwards and captured the eastward draining Paleo-Enns (Salzach – Enns) drainage system (Frisch et al., 1998). Currently, two rivers, the Salzach and the Enns, follow the SEMP for more than 100 km each, but abruptly change their course in a knee-shaped bend towards north (Fig. 1). These sudden changes in flow direction most likely indicate capture points. In addition, a suspicious wind gap separating the Saalach from the Salzach valley with a vertical drop of only a few meters (Fig. 1) may indicate that the Paleo-Enns was once captured by
- the Saalach River, but redirected again potentially during the Pleistocene glaciations (Robl et al., 2008a) (Fig. 7b, c). Although not yet constrained by provenance studies, a potential capture of the Paleo-Enns drainage system by the Saalach River would stabilize the westernmost drainage divide (Inn – Saalach divide). This is indicated by a decrease of the across divide  $\chi$  gradient similar to the present situation (Fig. 7d). However, in this scenario, the western drainage divide of the Enns catchment shows a distinct across divide  $\chi$  gradient with high  $\chi$  values at the Paleo-Enns and low  $\chi$  values at the Saalach side
- 450 of the divide, which would result in a progressive flow direction reversal of the upper Enns River. It is still debated at which time the orogen-parallel Paleo-Enns River was captured by the south–north draining Salzach River (Fig. 7c). A Pleistocene, glacial-induced activation of the northward directed drainage outflow and blocking the passage at the Saalach – Salzach wind gap is discussed (Robl et al., 2008a). A similar event recently happened in Yukon, Canada due to climate warming and glacial retreat (Headley, 2017; Shugar et al., 2017). Assuming a Pleistocene capture event due to waxing
- 455 or waning of glaciers, the origin of the Salzach (similar to the nearby Lammer River) must have inevitable been located south of the NCA. Beyond others, one argument for such a scenario is the small amount of flow reversal of the former Enns River east of the capture point. However, the concurrent drainage through Saalach and Salzach valley would lead to the disappearance of the  $\chi$  gradient across the Saalach and Salzach watershed (Fig. 7c). The watershed separating Salzach from Enns basin complies with the present-day location of the watershed (Fig. 7c, d) and the  $\chi$  distribution shows a similar  $\chi$  anomaly indicating

460 an eastward drainage divide migration as proposed for the present-day situation.

## **5** Conclusion

The tectonic evolution of the Eastern Alps caused a repeated reorganization of the drainage network since onset of topography formation in the Late Oligocene / Early Miocene. We applied various morphometric methods to constrain the potential mobility of drainage divides on catchment, headwater and hillslope scale. Based on our analysis, we came to the following conclusions.

465

- The vast majority of drainage divides of the investigated domain are asymmetric at catchment, headwater, and even hillslope scale, which evidences for drainage divide mobility, where the steeper side of the divide migrates towards the less steep side of the divide.
- The western side of the considered drainage divides is in general steeper than the eastern side, so that the general direction of divide migration is west towards east. This implies that the Inn catchment grows on expense of the Salzach catchment and the Salzach catchment consumes tributaries of the Enns and Mur catchments.

- At some divides, metrics characterizing hillslopes (Gilbert metrics) are not consistent with those characterizing larger scales. We found that glacial imprint locally obscures large-scale signals of drainage network reorganization. Hence, the applicability of the classical Gilbert metrics in glacially modified mountain ranges such as the Eastern Alps is limited.
- The general drainage migration trend from west towards east is probably caused by the geometry of catchments, which dates back to the period of lateral extrusion in the Early to Mid-Miocene. The activity of major faults north and south of the central axis of the Eastern Alps imposed orogen-parallel flow directions to major rivers. Subsequent capture events restored orogen-perpendicular flow, but relics of the lateral extrusion period remained: elongated catchments west of the capture point, with an about 100 km long east-draining main stem and short tributaries draining south–north and north–south.
  - Analysing catchment geometries that roughly mimic the drainage pattern from Early to Mid-Miocene towards the present situation shows that anomalies in *χ* at the divides decreased, indicating that divide stability increased over time. Currently, across divide anomalies in average channel steepness (and hence erosion rate) occur mostly at north–south running watersheds (i.e. Inn Salzach, Salzach Enns, Salzach Mur), where tributaries with short and long flow lengths from channel heads to the base level meet at the divides. However, as continuous divide migration is slow and major capture events at these divides are not expected, we suggest that the observed disequilibrium is long-lasting.
    - Timing of river piracy events and rates of drainage divide migration are still not well constrained. There is great need for additional provenance studies of river sediments, and dating river terraces and cave sediments.

485

# Data availability

The EU-DEM (data funded under GMES, Global Monitoring for Environment and Security preparatory action 2009 on Reference Data Access by the European Commission) is available from the European Environment Agency.

# Author contribution

495 GT and JR conceived the study. SH and JR developed the algorithms for  $\chi$  mapping and the calculation of generalized swath profiles. GT performed the geomorphological analyses. FN contributed to the understanding of the tectonic evolution of the study area. All authors contributed to the final form of the manuscript.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## 500

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Adam Forte and Paul Eizenhöfer for their constructive reviews and Wolfgang Schwanghart for the editorial handling. This research was funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the Doctoral College GIScience at the University of Salzburg (DK W 1237-N23).

505

#### References

Anderson, R. S., Molnar, P., and Kessler, M. A.: Features of glacial valley profiles simply explained, J Geophys Res-Earth, 111, 1-14, 10.1029/2005jf000344, 2006.

Bartosch, T., Stüwe, K., and Robl, J.: Topographic evolution of the Eastern Alps: The influence of strike-slip faulting activity, Lithosphere, 510 9, 384-398, 10.1130/I594.1, 2017.

Beaumont, C., Fullsack, P., and Hamilton, J.: Erosional control of active compressional orogens, in: Thrust Tectonics, edited by: McClay, K. R., Springer, Dordrecht, 1-18, 1992.

Beeson, H. W., McCoy, S. W., and Keen-Zebert, A.: Geometric disequilibrium of river basins produces long-lived transient landscapes, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 475, 34-43, 10.1016/j.epsl.2017.07.010, 2017.

515 Bishop, P.: Long-term landscape evolution: linking tectonics and surface processes, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 32, 329-365, 10.1002/esp.1493, 2007.

Brocard, G., Willenbring, J., Suski, B., Audra, P., Authemayou, C., Cosenza-Muralles, B., Moran-Ical, S., Demory, F., Rochette, P., Vennemann, T., Holliger, K., and Teyssier, C.: Rate and processes of river network rearrangement during incipient faulting: The case of the Cahabon River, Guatemala, American Journal of Science, 312, 449-507, 10.2475/05.2012.01, 2012.

- Brocklehurst, S. H., and Whipple, K. X.: Glacial erosion and relief production in the Eastern Sierra Nevada, California, Geomorphology, 42, 1-24, 10.1016/s0169-555x(01)00069-1, 2002.
  Burbank, D. W., Blythe, A. E., Putkonen, J., Pratt-Sitaula, B., Gabet, E., Oskin, M., Barros, A., and Ojha, T. P.: Decoupling of erosion and precipitation in the Himalayas, Nature, 426, 652-655, 10.1038/nature02187, 2003.
  Castelltort, S., Goren, L., Willett, S. D., Champagnac, J. D., Herman, F., and Braun, J.: River drainage patterns in the New Zealand Alps
- 525 primarily controlled by plate tectonic strain, Nature Geoscience, 5, 744-748, 10.1038/ngeo1582, 2012. Cederbom, C. E., Sinclair, H. D., Schlunegger, F., and Rahn, M. K.: Climate-induced rebound and exhumation of the European Alps, Geology, 32, 709-712, 10.1130/g20491.1, 2004. Champagnac, J. D., Molnar, P., Sue, C., and Herman, F.: Tectonics, climate, and mountain topography, Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, 117, 10.1029/2011jb008348, 2012.
- 530 Clark, M. K., Schoenbohm, L. M., Royden, L. H., Whipple, K. X., Burchfiel, B. C., Zhang, X., Tang, W., Wang, E., and Chen, L.: Surface uplift, tectonics, and erosion of eastern Tibet from large-scale drainage patterns, Tectonics, 23, 1-21, 10.1029/2002TC001402, 2004. Crosby, B. T., and Whipple, K. X.: Knickpoint initiation and distribution within fluvial networks: 236 waterfalls in the Waipaoa River, North Island, New Zealand, Geomorphology, 82, 16-38, 10.1016/j.geomorph.2005.08.023, 2006.

Dadson, S. J., Hovius, N., Chen, H., Dade, W. B., Hsieh, M.-L., Willett, S. D., Hu, J.-C., Horng, M.-J., Chen, M.-C., Stark, C. P., Lague, D.,
and Lin, J.-C.: Links between erosion, runoff variability and seismicity in the Taiwan orogen, Nature, 426, 648-651, 10.1038/nature02150, 2003.

Dixon, J. L., von Blanckenburg, F., Stuwe, K., and Christl, M.: Glaciation's topographic control on Holocene erosion at the eastern edge of the Alps, Earth Surface Dynamics, 4, 895-909, 10.5194/esurf-4-895-2016, 2016.

Dunkl, I., Kuhlemann, J., Reinecker, J., and Frisch, W.: Cenozoic relief evolution of the Eastern Alps–con-straints from apatite fission track age-provenance of Neogene intramontane sediments, Mitteilungen der österreichischen geologischen Gesellschaft, 98, 92-105, 2005.

Eizenhöfer, P. R., McQuarrie, N., Shelef, E., and Ehlers, T. A.: Landscape Response to Lateral Advection in Convergent Orogens Over Geologic Time Scales, J Geophys Res-Earth, 124, 2056-2078, 10.1029/2019jf005100, 2019.

Forte, A. M., and Whipple, K. X.: Criteria and tools for determining drainage divide stability, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 493, 102-117, 10.1016/j.epsl.2018.04.026, 2018.

- 545 Fox, M., Goren, L., May, D. A., and Willett, S. D.: Inversion of fluvial channels for paleorock uplift rates in Taiwan, J Geophys Res-Earth, 119, 1853-1875, 10.1002/2014jf003196, 2014.
  - Fox, M., Herman, F., Willett, S. D., and Schmid, S. M.: The exhumation history of the European Alps inferred from linear inversion of thermochronometric data, American Journal of Science, 316, 505-541, 2016.

Frisch, W., Kuhlemann, J., Dunkl, I., and Brugel, A.: Palinspastic reconstruction and topographic evolution of the eastern Alps during late 550 Tertiary tectonic extrusion, Tectonophysics, 297, 1-15, 10.1016/s0040-1951(98)00160-7, 1998.

Frisch, W., Dunkl, I., and Kuhlemann, J.: Post-collisional orogen-parallel large-scale extension in the Eastern Alps, Tectonophysics, 327, 239-265, 10.1016/s0040-1951(00)00204-3, 2000.

Frisch, W., Kuhlemann, J., Dunkl, I., and Szekely, B.: The Dachstein paleosurface and the Augenstein Formation in the Northern Calcareous Alps - a mosaic stone in the geomorphological evolution of the Eastern Alps, International Journal of Earth Sciences, 90, 500-518, 10.1007/s005310000189, 2001.

Garcia-Castellanos, D., Verges, J., Gaspar-Escribano, J., and Cloetingh, S.: Interplay between tectonics, climate, and fluvial transport during the Cenozoic evolution of the Ebro Basin (NE Iberia), Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, 108, 10.1029/2002jb002073, 2003. Gilbert, G. K.: Geology of the Henry Mountains, US Geological and Geographical Survey of the Rocky Mountain Region, Government Printing Office, Washington D.C., 1877.

- Goren, L., Willett, S. D., Herman, F., and Braun, J.: Coupled numerical-analytical approach to landscape evolution modeling, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 39, 522-545, 10.1002/esp.3514, 2014.
   Goren, L., Castelltort, S., and Klinger, Y.: Modes and rates of horizontal deformation from rotated river basins: Application to the Dead Sea fault system in Lebanon, Geology, 43, 843-846, 10.1130/G36841.1, 2015.
   Goren, L.: A theoretical model for fluvial channel response time during time-dependent climatic and tectonic forcing and its inverse
- applications, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 10753-10763, 10.1002/2016gl070451, 2016.
   Guerit, L., Goren, L., Dominguez, S., Malavieille, J., and Castelltort, S.: Landscape 'stress' and reorganization from chi-maps: Insights from
- experimental drainage networks in oblique collision setting, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 43, 3152-3163, 10.1002/esp.4477, 2018.

Hallet, B., Hunter, L., and Bogen, J.: Rates of erosion and sediment evacuation by glaciers: A review of field data and their implications, Global and Planetary Change, 12, 213-235, 10.1016/0921-8181(95)00021-6, 1996.

Handy, M. R., Ustaszewski, K., and Kissling, E.: Reconstructing the Alps–Carpathians–Dinarides as a key to understanding switches in subduction polarity, slab gaps and surface motion, International Journal of Earth Sciences, 104, 1-26, 10.1007/s00531-014-1060-3, 2015.

575 Headley, R. M.: River redirected, Nature Geoscience, 10, 327, 10.1038/ngeo2946, 2017.
Hergarten, S.: Self organized criticality in earth systems, Springer, Berlin, 272 pp., 2002.
Hergarten, S., Robl, J., and Stüwe, K.: Extracting topographic swath profiles across curved geomorphic features, Earth Surface Dynamics, 2, 97-104, 10.5194/esurf-2-97-2014, 2014.
Let a superscript and the superscript of the supersc

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Hergarten, S., Robl, J., and Stüwe, K.: Tectonic geomorphology at small catchment sizes - extensions of the stream-power approach and the $$ \chi$ method, Earth Surface Dynamics, 4, 1-9, 10.5194/esurf-4-1-2016, 2016. \end{array}$ 

Herman, F., Seward, D., Valla, P. G., Carter, A., Kohn, B., Willett, S. D., and Ehlers, T. A.: Worldwide acceleration of mountain erosion under a cooling climate, Nature, 504, 423-426, 2013.

Hodges, K. V., Wobus, C., Ruhl, K., Schildgen, T., and Whipple, K.: Quaternary deformation, river steepening, and heavy precipitation at the front of the Higher Himalayan ranges, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 220, 379-389, 10.1016/s0012-821x(04)00063-9, 2004.

- 585 Houseman, G., and England, P.: Finite strain calculations of continental deformation. 1. Method and general results for convergent zones, Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth and Planets, 91, 3651-3663, 10.1029/JB091iB03p03651, 1986. Howard A. D.: Thresholds in river regimes. Thresholds in geomorphology, edited by: D. L. George Allen and Unwin London. United
  - Howard, A. D.: Thresholds in river regimes, Thresholds in geomorphology, edited by: D., J., George Allen and Unwin, London, United Kingdom (GBR), 227-258 pp., 1980.
- Howard, A. D.: A detachment-limited model of drainage-basin evolution, Water Resources Research, 30, 2261-2285, 10.1029/94wr00757, 1994.

Keil, M., and Neubauer, F.: Initiation and development of a fault-controlled, orogen-parallel overdeepened valley: The Upper Enns Valley, Austria, Austrian Journal of Earth Sciences, 102, 80-90, 10.1127/0372-8854/2012/0074, 2009.

Kirby, E., and Whipple, K. X.: Expression of active tectonics in erosional landscapes, Journal of Structural Geology, 44, 54-75, 10.1016/j.jsg.2012.07.009, 2012.

595 Kooi, H., and Beaumont, C.: Large-scale geomorphology: Classical concepts reconciled and integrated with contemporary ideas via a surface processes model, Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, 101, 3361-3386, 10.1029/95jb01861, 1996.

Haeuselmann, P., Granger, D. E., Jeannin, P.-Y., and Lauritzen, S.-E.: Abrupt glacial valley incision at 0.8 Ma dated from cave deposits in 570 Switzerland, Geology, 35, 143-146, 10.1130/g23094a, 2007.

Kuhlemann, J., Frisch, W., Dunkl, I., Szekely, B., and Spiegel, C.: Miocene shifts of the drainage divide in the Alps and their foreland basin, Zeitschrift Fur Geomorphologie, 45, 239-265, 2001.

- Kuhlemann, J., Frisch, W., Szekely, B., Dunkl, I., and Kazmer, M.: Post-collisional sediment budget history of the Alps: tectonic versus climatic control, International Journal of Earth Sciences, 91, 818-837, 10.1007/s00531-002-0266-y, 2002.
  - Kuhlemann, J., Dunkl, I., Brugel, A., Spiegel, C., and Frisch, W.: From source terrains of the Eastern Alps to the Molasse Basin: Detrital record of non-steady-state exhumation, Tectonophysics, 413, 301-316, 10.1016/j.tecto.2005.11.007, 2006.

Kuhlemann, J.: Paleogeographic and paleotopographic evolution of the Swiss and Eastern Alps since the Oligocene, Global and Planetary Change, 58, 224-236, 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2007.03.007, 2007.

605 Kühni, A., and Pfiffner, O. A.: The relief of the Swiss Alps and adjacent areas and its relation to lithology and structure: topographic analysis from a 250-m DEM, Geomorphology, 41, 285-307, 10.1016/S0169-555X(01)00060-5, 2001.

Loget, N., and Van Den Driessche, J.: Wave train model for knickpoint migration, Geomorphology, 106, 376-382, 10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.10.017, 2009.

- MacGregor, K. R., Anderson, R. S., Anderson, S. P., and Waddington, E. D.: Numerical simulations of glacial-valley longitudinal profile evolution, Geology, 28, 1031-1034, 10.1130/0091-7613(2000)28<1031:NSOGLP>2.0.CO, 2000.
- Miller, S. R., and Slingerland, R. L.: Topographic advection on fault-bend folds: Inheritance of valley positions and the formation of wind gaps, Geology, 34, 769-772, 10.1130/g22658.1, 2006.

Miller, S. R., Slingerland, R. L., and Kirby, E.: Characteristics of steady state fluvial topography above fault-bend folds, J Geophys Res-Earth, 112, 10.1029/2007jf000772, 2007.

- 615 Miller, S. R., Baldwin, S. L., and Fitzgerald, P. G.: Transient fluvial incision and active surface uplift in the Woodlark Rift of eastern Papua New Guinea, Lithosphere, 4, 131-149, 10.1130/L135.1, 2012. Molnar, P., and Lyon-Caen, H.: Some simple physical aspects of the support, structure, and evolution of mountain belts, in: Processes in Continental Lithospheric Deformation. Geological Society of America Special Paper, edited by: Clark, S. P., Jr., Burchfiel, B. C., and Suppe, J., Geological Society of America, Boulder, 179-207, 1988.
- Molnar, P.: Nature, nurture and landscape, Nature, 426, 612-613, 10.1038/426612a, 2003. Montgomery, D. R., Balco, G., and Willett, S. D.: Climate, tectonics, and the morphology of the Andes, Geology, 29, 579-582, 10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029<0579:ctatmo>2.0.co;2, 2001. Montgomery, D. R.: Valley formation by fluvial and glacial erosion, Geology, 30, 1047-1050, 10.1130/0091-7613(2002)030<1047:VFBFAG>2.0.CO;2, 2002.
- Neubauer, F.: Formation of an intra-orogenic transtensional basin: the Neogene Wagrain basin in the Eastern Alps, Swiss Journal of Geosciences, 109, 37-56, 10.1007/s00015-016-0206-7, 2016.
   Norton, K. P., Abbuhl, L. M., and Schlunegger, F.: Glacial conditioning as an erosional driving force in the Central Alps, Geology, 38, 655-658, 10.1130/g31102.1, 2010.
- Perron, J. T., and Royden, L.: An integral approach to bedrock river profile analysis, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 38, 570-576, 10.1002/esp.3302, 2013.

Prasicek, G., Larsen, I. J., and Montgomery, D. R.: Tectonic control on the persistence of glacially sculpted topography, Nature Communications, 6, 8028, 10.1038/ncomms9028, 2015.

Ratschbacher, L., Frisch, W., Neubauer, F., Schmid, S. M., and Neugebauer, J.: Extension in compressional orogenic belts: the eastern Alps, Geology, 17, 404-407, 1989.

635 Ratschbacher, L., Frisch, W., Linzer, H.-G., and Merle, O.: Lateral extrusion in the Eastern Alps, part 2: structural analysis, Tectonics, 10, 257-271, 1991.

Reiners, P. W., Ehlers, T. A., Mitchell, S. G., and Montgomery, D. R.: Coupled spatial variations in precipitation and long-term erosion rates across the Washington Cascades, Nature, 426, 645-647, 10.1038/nature02111, 2003.

Robl, J., and Stüwe, K.: Continental collision with finite indenter strength: 2. European Eastern Alps, Tectonics, 24, 1-21, 10.1029/2004TC001741, 2005a.

Robl, J., and Stüwe, K.: Continental collision with finite indenter strength: 1. Concept and model formulation, Tectonics, 24, 10.1029/2004tc001727, 2005b.

Robl, J., Hergarten, S., and Stüwe, K.: Morphological analysis of the drainage system in the Eastern Alps, Tectonophysics, 460, 263-277, 10.1016/j.tecto.2008.08.024, 2008a.

645 Robl, J., Stüwe, K., Hergarten, S., and Evans, L.: Extension during continental convergence in the Eastern Alps: The influence of orogenscale strike-slip faults, Geology, 36, 963-966, 2008b.

Robl, J., Prasicek, G., Hergarten, S., and Stüwe, K.: Alpine topography in the light of tectonic uplift and glaciation, Global and Planetary Change, 127, 34-49, 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2015.01.008, 2015.

Robl, J., Heberer, B., Prasicek, G., Neubauer, F., and Hergarten, S.: The topography of a continental indenter: The interplay between crustal deformation, erosion, and base level changes in the eastern Southern Alps, J Geophys Res-Earth, 122, 310-334, 10.1002/2016JF003884, 2017a.

Robl, J., Hergarten, S., and Prasicek, G.: The topographic state of fluvially conditioned mountain ranges, Earth-Science Reviews, 168, 190-217, 10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.03.007, 2017b.

Roe, G. H., Whipple, K. X., and Fletcher, J. K.: Feedbacks among climate, erosion, and tectonics in a critical wedge orogen, American Journal of Science, 308, 815-842, 10.2475/07.2008.01, 2008.

Royden, L., and Perron, J. T.: Solutions of the stream power equation and application to the evolution of river longitudinal profiles, J Geophys Res-Earth, 118, 497-518, 10.1002/jgrf.20031, 2013.

Royden, L. H., Burchfiel, B. C., King, R. W., Wang, E., Chen, Z. L., Shen, F., and Liu, Y. P.: Surface deformation and lower crustal flow in eastern Tibet, Science, 276, 788-790, 10.1126/science.276.5313.788, 1997.

660 Salcher, B. C., Kober, F., Kissling, E., and Willett, S. D.: Glacial impact on short-wavelength topography and long-lasting effects on the denudation of a deglaciated mountain range, Global and Planetary Change, 115, 59-70, 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.01.002, 2014. Schmid, S. M., Fügenschuh, B., Kissling, E., and Schuster, R.: Tectonic map and overall architecture of the Alpine orogen, Eclogae

Geologicae Helvetiae, 97, 93-117, 2004. Schmidt, K. M., and Montgomery, D. R.: Limits to relief, Science, 270, 617-620, 10.1126/science.270.5236.617, 1995.

- 665 Schwanghart, W., and Scherler, D.: Short Communication: TopoToolbox 2-MATLAB-based software for topographic analysis and modeling in Earth surface sciences, Earth Surface Dynamics, 2, 1-7, 10.5194/esurf-2-1-2014, 2014.
- Shugar, D. H., Clague, J. J., Best, J. L., Schoof, C., Willis, M. J., Copland, L., and Roe, G. H.: River piracy and drainage basin reorganization led by climate-driven glacier retreat, Nature Geoscience, 10, 370, 10.1038/ngeo2932, 2017.
- Stüwe, K., Robl, J., Hergarten, S., and Evans, L.: Modeling the influence of horizontal advection, deformation, and late uplift on the drainage development in the India-Asia collision zone, Tectonics, 27, 10.1029/2007tc002186, 2008.
- Tapponnier, P., Peltzer, G., Ledain, A. Y., Armijo, R., and Cobbold, P.: Propagating extrusion tectonics in Asia New insights from simple experiments with plasticine, Geology, 10, 611-616, 10.1130/0091-7613(1982)10<611:petian>2.0.co;2, 1982. Tucker, G. E., and Slingerland, R. L.: Erosional dynamics, flexural isostasy, and long-lived escarpments - A numerical modeling study,
- Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, 99, 12229-12243, 10.1029/94jb00320, 1994.
- 675 Valla, P. G., van der Beek, P. A., and Lague, D.: Fluvial incision into bedrock: Insights from morphometric analysis and numerical modeling of gorges incising glacial hanging valleys (Western Alps, France), J Geophys Res-Earth, 115, 10.1029/2008jf001079, 2010. van der Beek, P., and Bourbon, P.: A quantification of the glacial imprint on relief development in the French western Alps, Geomorphology, 97, 52-72, 10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.02.038, 2008.
- van Heijst, M., and Postma, G.: Fluvial response to sea-level changes: a quantitative analogue, experimental approach, Basin Research, 13, 269-292, 10.1046/j.1365-2117.2001.00149.x, 2001.

Wang, X., and Neubauer, F.: Orogen-parallel strike-slip faults bordering metamorphic core complexes: the Salzach-Enns fault zone in the eastern Alps, Austria, Journal of Structural Geology, 20, 799-818, 10.1016/S0191-8141(98)00013-3, 1998.

Whipple, K. X., Kirby, E., and Brocklehurst, S. H.: Geomorphic limits to climate-induced increases in topographic relief, Nature, 401, 39-43, 10.1038/43375, 1999.

685 Whipple, K. X.: Fluvial landscape response time: How plausible is steady-state denudation?, American Journal of Science, 301, 313-325, 10.2475/ajs.301.4-5.313, 2001.

Whipple, K. X., Forte, A. M., DiBiase, R. A., Gasparini, N. M., and Ouimet, W. B.: Timescales of landscape response to divide migration and drainage capture: Implications for the role of divide mobility in landscape evolution, J Geophys Res-Earth, 122, 248-273, 10.1002/2016jf003973, 2017.

- Willett, S. D.: Orogeny and orography: The effects of erosion on the structure of mountain belts, Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, 104, 28957-28981, 10.1029/1999jb900248, 1999.
   Willett, S. D., Slingerland, R., and Hovius, N.: Uplift, shortening, and steady state topography in active mountain belts, American journal of Science, 301, 455-485, 2001.
- Willett, S. D., McCoy, S. W., Perron, J. T., Goren, L., and Chen, C. Y.: Dynamic reorganization of river basins, Science, 343, 1248765, 10.1126/science.1248765, 2014.

Winterberg, S., and Willett, S. D.: Greater Alpine river network evolution, interpretations based on novel drainage analysis, Swiss Journal of Geosciences, 112, 3-22, 10.1007/s00015-018-0332-5, 2019.

Wobus, C. W., Hodges, K. V., and Whipple, K. X.: Has focused denudation sustained active thrusting at the Himalayan topographic front?, Geology, 31, 861-864, 10.1130/g19730.1, 2003.

- 700 Wobus, C. W., Whipple, K. X., Kirby, E., Snyder, N. P., Johnson, J., Spyropolou, K., Crosby, B., and Sheehan, D.: Tectonics from topography: Procedures, promise, and pitfalls, in: Tectonics, Climate, and Landscape Evolution, edited by: Willett, S. D., Hovius, N., Brandon, M. T., and Fisher, D. M., Geological Society of America Special Papers, 55-74, 2006. Yang, R., Willett, S. D., and Goren, L.: In situ low-relief landscape formation as a result of river network disruption, Nature, 520, 526-529, 10.1038/nature14354, 2015.
- 705 Yang, R., Fellin, M. G., Herman, F. d. r., Willett, S. D., Wang, W., and Maden, C.: Spatial and temporal pattern of erosion in the Three Rivers Region, southeastern Tibet, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 433, 10-20, 10.1016/j.epsl.2015.10.032, 2016.

Yanites, B. J., Ehlers, T. A., Becker, J. K., Schnellmann, M., and Heuberger, S.: High magnitude and rapid incision from river capture: Rhine River, Switzerland, J Geophys Res-Earth, 118, 1060-1084, 10.1002/jgrf.20056, 2013.

Züst, F., Dahms, D., Purves, R., and Egli, M.: Surface reconstruction and derivation of erosion rates over several glaciations (1Ma) in an alpine setting (Sinks Canyon, Wyoming, USA), Geomorphology, 219, 232-247, 10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.05.017, 2014.





Figure 1. Topographic map of the study area and the drainage pattern of the Eastern Alps. The inset shows the position of the study within the European Alps. Blue lines indicate the drainage pattern, whereby the line width is proportional to log<sub>10</sub> of the contributing drainage area. Drainage divides are shown by thick white lines. Major faults are indicated by solid black lines and the direction of motion is shown by arrows. The red line and the grey hull indicate the course of the swath profiles shown on Figure 3. Yellow triangles illustrate the occurrence of prominent wind gaps.





Figure 2. Drainage pattern of the Eastern Alps calculated for increasing baselevels and color-coded for  $\chi$ . All streams with a contributing drainage area larger than 1 km<sup>2</sup> are shown. The line width of the channels is proportional to  $\log_{10}(drainage area)$ . White lines and annotations represent the major drainage divides. (A) Baselevel set to 400 m of elevation. (B) Baselevel set to 600 m of elevation. (C) Baselevel set to 800 m of elevation. (D) Baselevel set to 1000 m of elevation.



Figure 3.  $\chi$  values measured at channel heads of the investigated catchments. Histograms with a black outline represent the Salzach drainage basin. Histograms with a blue filling represent the adjacent Inn/Adige (WS 1), Saalach (WS 2), Enns (WS 3) and Mur (WS 4) drainage basins with n as the total number of data points. Data are divided in 10 equally-spaced bins. Error bars indicate the standard deviation and filled circles are the mean values of the dataset.





Figure 4. Generalized swath profiles (Hergarten et al., 2014) across the profile lines (drainage divides) shown in Figure 1. The profiles have a half-width of 5 km to each side of the profile line (drainage divide). The black line indicates the mean elevation. The hull of the blue area is the standard deviation and the hull of the grey area the extreme values within the swath segments.



Figure 5. Gilbert metric histograms (Forte and Whipple, 2018) for the investigated watersheds of the study area. Histograms with a blue filling represent the adjacent Inn/Adige (WS 1), Saalach (WS 2), Enns (WS 3) and Mur (WS 4) drainage basins with n as the total number of data points. Data are divided in 10 equally-spaced bins. Error bars indicate the standard deviation and filled circles are the mean values of the dataset.



Figure 6. Proposed direction of drainage migration of the main drainage divides of the study area. (A) The major drainage basins are annotated. Orange arrows indicate the proposed migration direction of divides based on χ. Gilbert metrics for each investigated
catchment are shown. Positive ΔElevation and Δχ values as well as negative ΔRelief and ΔGradient indicate migration towards the Salzach drainage basin. (B) Normalized Δ plot of Gilbert metrics. Negative values of ΔRelief and ΔGradient are standardized to positive values such that all positive values indicate a migration towards the Salzach basin. Error bar and filled circles indicate 1 – standard deviation and mean values, respectively.



- 850 Figure 7. X colored drainage pattern of reconstructed paleo drainage geometries of the Eastern Alps. All streams with a contributing drainage area larger than 1 km<sup>2</sup> are shown and the line width of the channels is proportional to log<sub>10</sub>(drainage area). The baselevel for X computation is set to 400 m. White lines and annotations represent the major drainage divides. (A) Enns drainage path drainage scenario assuming an elongated Enns catchment representing the Mid-Miocene situation as suggested by Frisch et al. (1998). (B) Saalach drainage path drainage scenario assuming that the Saalach captured the westernmost part of the Paleo-Enns catchment. (C) Salzach drainage path drainage scenario assuming that both Saalach and Salzach took over parts of the Paleo-Enns
- Enns catchment as suggested by Robl et al. (2008a). (D) Present day drainage pattern for comparison.