The destiny of orogen-parallel streams in the Eastern Alps: the Salzach-Enns drainage system
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Abstract. The evolution of the drainage system in the Eastern Alps is inherently linked to different tectonic stages of the alpine orogeny. Crustal scale faults imposed east-directed orogen parallel flow on major rivers, whereas late orogenic surface uplift increased topographic gradients between foreland and range and hence the vulnerability of such rivers to be captured. This leads to a situation where major orogen-parallel alpine rivers such as the Salzach River or the Enns River are characterized by elongated east-west oriented catchments south of the proposed capture points, whereby almost the entire drainage area is located west of the capture point. To determine the current stability of drainage divides and to predict the potential direction of divide migration, we analyzed their geometry at catchment, headwater and hillslope scale. Therefore, we employ $\chi$ mapping for different base levels, generalized swath profiles along drainage divides and Gilbert metrics. Our results show that almost all drainage divides are asymmetric with steeper channels west and flatter channels east of a common drainage divide. Interpreting these results, we propose that drainage divides migrate from west towards east, so that the Inn catchment grows on expense of the Salzach catchment and the Salzach catchment consumes the westernmost tributaries of the Mur and Enns catchments. While Gilbert metrics show the same trend at hillslope scale at the Salzach–Enns and Salzach–Mur drainage divide, they show no significant asymmetry at the Inn–Salzach drainage divide. As topography at the latter divide is dominated by glacial landforms such as cirques and U-shaped valleys, we interpret the missing hillslope scale asymmetry of this divide as a result of Pleistocene climate modulations, which locally obscured the large-scale signal of drainage network reorganization. We suggest that the east-directed divide migration progressively leads to symmetric catchment geometries, where eventually tributaries west and east of the capture point contribute equally to the drainage area. To test this assumption we have reconstructed the proposed drainage network for different time slices. $\chi$ mapping of these reconstructed drainage networks indicates a progressive stability of the network topology in the Eastern Alps towards the present-day situation.
1 Introduction

The tectonic and climatic evolution of a collisional orogen is inherently linked to its drainage system (Beaumont et al., 1992; Bishop, 2007; Cederbom et al., 2004; Champagnac et al., 2012; Garcia-Castellanos et al., 2003; Herman et al., 2013; Montgomery et al., 2001; Robl et al., 2017a; Roe et al., 2008; Willett, 1999). In a zone of plate convergence, crustal shortening is a primary control of the horizontal and vertical metrics of the mountain range (Bartosch et al., 2017; Houseman and England, 1986; Robl et al., 2017a; Robl et al., 2008b; Robl and Stüwe, 2005b; Royden et al., 1997). Progressive shortening leads to thickening of light, buoyant crust, which results in surface uplift and formation of high alpine topography (e.g. Molnar and Lyon-Caen, 1988). The horizontal geometry of the mountain range reflects compression in, and stretching perpendicular to the direction of plate convergence. In such a stress field, blocks of the brittle upper crust are abundantly advected along major strike slip fault zones – a process, which is commonly referred to as lateral extrusion (e.g. Ratschbacher et al., 1991; Ratschbacher et al., 1989; Robl et al., 2008b; Robl and Stüwe, 2005b; Robl and Stüwe, 2005a; Tapponnier et al., 1982).

As a consequence of the horizontal and vertical velocity field of the crust, drainage systems are also advected (Castelltort et al., 2012; Clark et al., 2004; Goren et al., 2015; Fox et al., 2014; Guerit et al., 2018; Kirby and Whipple, 2012; Miller et al., 2012; Miller and Slingerland, 2006; Stüwe et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2016). However, rivers are not just passive markers of crustal deformation, but also adjust their channel slopes to the contributing drainage area, uplift rate and bedrock properties until longitudinal channel profiles are graded and long-term erosion rates are in balance with uplift rates (Goren et al., 2014; Kooi and Beaumont, 1996; Robl et al., 2017b; Whipple, 2001; Willett et al., 2001). Over an orogenic cycle, tectonic forcing and climatic conditioning are not steady. The signal of temporal variations is routed via mobile knickpoints in channels through the entire drainage system (Kirby and Whipple, 2012; Perron and Royden, 2013; Royden and Perron, 2013; Robl et al., 2017b; Wobus et al., 2006). Evidence for a previous tectonic phase is erased from their shapes once all knickpoints have left the drainage system at the drainage divides.

If a drainage divide becomes thereby asymmetric, it features an across divide gradient in erosion rate and starts migrating from the steep towards the less steep side (e.g. Forte and Whipple, 2018; Gilbert, 1877; Robl et al., 2017a; Robl et al., 2017b; Whipple et al., 2017). The reorganization of the drainage system due to divide migration (continuous) and river piracy events (discrete) lasts at least one order of magnitude longer than the upstream migration of knickpoints in channels (e.g. Goren, 2016; Robl et al., 2017b). Furthermore, changes in the contributing drainage area as consequence of mobile divides result in a positive feedback, where the adaption of channel profiles to changing catchment size amplifies across divide differences in erosion rate (Willett et al., 2014). As a consequence, information on major tectonic phases associated with a large scale reorganization of the drainage pattern persists in the drainage network topology and can be revealed from analyzing the geometric properties of the drainage system and its divides, even if direct evidence from channels profiles has already vanished.
In this study, we aim to decipher the morphological state of drainage divides in the Eastern Alps to (a) distinguish between mobile and immobile drainage divides and (b) constrain the potential direction of divide migration by applying a set of morphometric tools that consider divide disequilibrium at catchment, headwater and hillslope scale. Furthermore, we discuss our results in the light of proposed changes in the drainage pattern since onset of topography formation in the Eastern Alps and explore how these changes may have affected the stability of the divides compared to the present-day situation.

1.1 The drainage system of the Eastern Alps

The drainage system of the Eastern Alps is characterized by two principal drainage divides (Robl et al., 2008a; Robl et al., 2017a) (Fig. 1). One major divide follows the main ridge of the Eastern Alps including the highest peaks and separates the Inn, Salzach and Enns catchments to the north from the Drau and Mur catchments to the south. The Danube (and eventually the Black Sea) represents the common base level of all those rivers, but their confluence is located in the Pannonian Basin hundreds of kilometers apart from the Eastern Alps. A second major drainage divide separates Alpine rivers that flow into the Adriatic Sea (e.g. Adige River) from the Mur–Drau drainage system.

Fault tectonics controlled the configuration of the drainage systems. Major tectonic lineaments (mainly strike-slip dominated faults, i.e. Inn Valley Fault, Salzach-Ennstal-Mariazell-Puchberg Fault (SEMP), Mur-Mürz Fault, Periadriatic Lineament (PL), Möll Valley Fault) confine a corridor of lateral extrusion, where crustal blocks were actively squeezed out towards the Pannonian Basin (e.g. Ratschbacher et al., 1989; Ratschbacher et al., 1991). Almost all major streams of the Eastern Alps follow these major tectonic lineaments for several tens of kilometers (Bartosch et al., 2017; Robl et al., 2008a; Robl et al., 2017a). Hence, they flow parallel to the strike of the mountain range, instead of leaving the orogen towards north and south, following the general topographic gradient (Fig. 1).

The courses of the Salzach and Enns rivers in the north, and the Mur and Mürz rivers in the south are characterized by knee-shaped bends and T-shaped river junctions, where rivers abruptly leave their tectonically preconditioned valleys and drain towards the forelands in the north and south, respectively (Robl et al., 2008a; Robl et al., 2017a). Such sudden river course changes in concert with the observation of wind gaps at the Salzach–Enns valleys and the Salzach–Saalach drainage divide, as well as the provenance of sediments along the Enns valley (Dunkl et al., 2005; Neubauer, 2016) are consistent with the proposed reorganization of the Salzach and Enns drainage systems (Dunkl et al., 2005; Kuhlemann et al., 2001; Robl et al., 2008a).

South of the alpine main ridge, major valleys show also a strong tectonic control (Robl et al., 2017a). The eastern tributaries of the Adige River and the western tributaries of the Drau River follow roughly the Periadriatic Lineament (Fig. 1). The occurrence of a prominent wind gap between Adige and Drau rivers, as well as T-shaped river junctions at the tributaries of the Adige River is discussed in terms of river piracy events and an ongoing reorganization of the drainage system (Robl et al., 2017a).
1.2 Co-evolution of topography and drainage system of the Eastern Alps

Morphological observations (e.g. Robl et al., 2008a) and provenance analyses (e.g. Kuhlemann et al., 2001; Kuhlemann et al., 2002; Kuhlemann, 2007; Neubauer, 2016) give evidence for several large scale modifications of the Eastern Alpine drainage system. The evolution of the drainage system is inherently linked to the Late Oligocene–Early Miocene indentation (Handy et al., 2015) of the Adriatic into the European plate. At onset of indentation, the landscape of the Eastern Alps was characterized by a hilly topography (Frisch et al., 2001), which was drained by a series of northward flowing rivers (Frisch et al., 1998; Kuhlemann et al., 2006; Kuhlemann, 2007).

During Early to Middle Miocene times lateral extrusion tectonics, confined by a set of crustal scale strike-slip and associated normal faults, caused rapid exhumation of the Tauern Window. This process initiated a large scale reorganization of the drainage system, where faults imposed an east-directed orogen-parallel flow on major rivers (Frisch et al., 1998). This tectonic stage set the paleo-courses of the Enns, Mur and Drau Rivers (Dunkl et al., 2005; Kuhlemann et al., 2006; Kuhlemann, 2007). Evidence for a changing drainage pattern was recorded by the sedimentary pile deposited in the northern Molasse basin (Kuhlemann et al., 2006) and in inner alpine basins (Dunkl et al., 2005). The sedimentary record consists of characteristic rocks of surrounding Austroalpine units and later, during Middle and Late Miocene, of rocks from Penninic and Subpenninic units of the rising Tauern Window (Frisch et al., 1998). Provenance analyses of sediments reveal the reversal of flow directions and potential stream capture events during the Late Miocene (Kuhlemann, 2007). Frisch et al. (1998) and Dunkl et al. (2005) suggested that the initially northeast directed Mur River changed its course to the current southeast directed drainage path during the Middle Miocene (Fig. 1). The detection of wind gaps (Robl et al., 2008a) and the analysis of the sedimentary composition of intra-orogenic basins (Neubauer, 2016), suggest similar changes in the Salzach and Enns drainage systems. The abrupt increase in stream power, a few kilometers upstream, but mostly downstream of the knee-shaped river bend, and a knickpoint analysis of tributaries may indicate a base level lowering of the Salzach River in the recent geological past (Robl et al., 2008a).

However, the drainage development since the early Pliocene is poorly constrained. In particular, the impact of the Pleistocene glaciations, resulting in flat valley floors of the trunk streams and hanging valleys with large knickpoints at tributaries (Robl et al., 2008a; Norton et al., 2010; Valla et al., 2010) altered the geometry of rivers and obscured the tectonic record of preceding tectonic events (Robl et al., 2017a).

2 Method

All topographic analyses are based on the EU-DEM (data funded under GMES, Global Monitoring for Environment and Security preparatory action 2009 on Reference Data Access by the European Commission) digital elevation model with a spatial resolution of approx. 25 m.
2.1 χ-mapping

In order to detect potentially mobile drainage divides due to across divide differences in erosion rate, we follow the approach of Willett et al. (2014) by employing the so called χ transform (Perron and Royden, 2013; Royden and Perron, 2013). This approach is based on the detachment-limited model for bedrock channel incision (Hergarten, 2002; Howard, 1980; Howard, 1994) of the form

\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = U - K A^m \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}\right)^n
\]

(1)

where \(H\), \(t\) and \(x\) are elevation, time and the longitudinal coordinate along the river profile, increasing in the upstream direction. \(U\) and \(K\) represent the uplift rate and the erodibility of the bedrock. The nonlinear contribution of the channel slope \(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}\) and drainage area \(A\) to river incision is represented by the exponents \(m\) and \(n\).

In topographic steady state \(\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = 0\), Eq. (1) simplifies to

\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = \left(\frac{U}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} A^{-\frac{m}{n}}
\]

(2)

If \(U\) and \(K\) are constant, we get

\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \propto A^{-\theta}
\]

(3)

where \(\theta = \frac{m}{n}\) is the concavity index. Then, the product

\[
k_s = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} A^\theta
\]

(4)

is constant and known as the steepness index \(k_s\) (e.g. Snyder et al., 2000; Wobus et al., 2006), which directly represents the ratio between \(U\) and \(K\) for \(n = 1\).

The non-linear increase of contributing drainage area (and hence discharge) with downstream distance yields the curvature of the channel profile, which obscures the record of spatial or temporal changes in uplift rate or contributing drainage area in the geometry of the river channel. The \(\chi\) transform eliminates the curvature of the river profile by transforming the longitudinal...
coordinate x to a new coordinate χ (Perron and Royden, 2013; Royden and Perron, 2013). The contributing drainage area can be eliminated if the transformation satisfies the condition

\[ \frac{dx}{d\chi} = \left( \frac{A}{A_0} \right)^\theta \]  

(5)

which is achieved by

\[ \chi = \int_{x_0}^{x} \left( \frac{A}{A_0} \right)^{-\theta} dx \]  

(6)

where \( x_0 \) is an arbitrary reference point, and \( A_0 \) is an arbitrary reference catchment size (\( A_0 = 1 \) m²).

Under spatially and temporally uniform tectonic and climatic conditions, \( \chi \)-transformed river profiles are straight lines and their slope is constant and proportional to \( k_s \). We calculated \( \chi \)-values for all channels with a contributing drainage area > 1 km² and \( \theta = 0.5 \), using the numerical approach of (Hergarten et al., 2016).

The analysis of across divide differences in \( \chi \) exploits the fact that channels, originating at a common drainage divide (i.e. similar channel head elevation) and sharing the same base level elevation, are steep, if \( \chi \) is small (Willett et al., 2014). Hence, across divide differences in \( \chi \) indicate differently steep rivers on both sides of the divide, averaged from the baselevel to the channel head. Generalizing the ideas of Gilbert (1877), steeper channels result in higher erosion rates, and hence, drainage divides should migrate towards the high \( \chi \) catchments.

2.2 Generalized swath profiles

We employ generalized swath profiles (Hergarten et al., 2014) to explore differences in headwater relief across drainage divides. The drainage divide represents the curved baseline of the swath profile. The signed minimum distance (Euclidian distance) of every data point of the digital elevation model to the base line is computed and coordinate pairs (profile coordinate, distance) are binned. Topographic maxima and minima representing the summit domain and the drainage system, respectively, as well as mean elevation and standard deviation indicating the degree of landscape dissection are represented as function of signed distance from the drainage divide. The half width of the swath profiles is 5 km.

2.3 Gilbert metrics

To investigate the symmetry of drainage divides and potential anomalies at the hillslope scale, we determine the so called Gilbert metrics, originally proposed by Gilbert (1877) and formalized by Whipple et al. (2017). Across divide differences in channel head elevation, hillslope gradient and local relief were computed with Divide Tools (Forte and Whipple, 2018), a collection of morphometric functions based upon TopoToolbox (Schwanghart and Scherler, 2014).
Channel heads at the transition from the hillslope to the fluvial domain are defined by a contributing drainage area threshold of 1 km². Hence, channel head elevation is the elevation at this point. The local relief is the maximum elevation (E_max) within a circular window minus the elevation of the channel head. We chose the default window size with a radius of 0.5 km (Forte and Whipple, 2018), which encloses the nearby ridge lines, but does not reach far beyond. The slope gradient is the average topographic gradient between the channel head and the highest point within the analyzed window. These metrics are averaged (arithmetic averaging) at each side of the watershed. Δ-values (e.g. ΔElevation) represent the difference of averaged metrics of the two sides of the drainage divide. Eventually, Δ-values are normalized to a range from -1 to 1, so that every deviation from 0 evidences for an asymmetric drainage divide. Following the nomenclature of Forte and Whipple (2018), we refer to these metrics as Gilbert metrics.

3 Results

By applying a set of standard morphometric analyses, we discovered several distinctly asymmetric drainage divides. We found divide asymmetry considering information from entire catchments, headwaters, and even hillslopes.

3.1 χ-mapping: across divide differences at catchment scale

As already described by Robl et al. (2017a) and Winterberg and Willett (2019), we found distinct χ anomalies at the divides of the Salzach catchment and the Inn and Adige (WS 1) catchments in the west, the Saalach (WS 2) catchment in the north, and the Enns (WS 3) and Mur (WS 4) catchments in the east (Figs. 1, 2a-d). Across divide differences in χ between the Salzach catchment and the Drau catchment in the south are small. As a clear trend, all streams at the western side of roughly north-south trending drainage divides feature significantly lower χ values than adjacent streams east of the divides. We observe this trend at WS 1, where tributaries of the eastern Salzach River show significantly higher χ values than tributaries of the western Inn River. Similar anomalies in χ occur at WS 3 and WS 4, where the tributaries of the Enns and Mur Rivers feature higher χ values than tributaries of the Salzach River. At WS 2, separating the Salzach from the Saalach catchment, higher χ values are observed north of the divide within the Saalach catchment. A stepwise increase of the baselevel from 400 m (Fig. 2a) to 600 m (Fig. 2b) and 800 m (Fig. 2c), and tantamount a shift of the starting point of the χ computation towards the headwaters, changes the absolute χ values, but does not change the observed across divide gradients in χ. However, starting the χ integration at the very headwaters of the investigated catchments by setting a baselevel of 1000 m (Fig. 2d), several across divide χ gradients disappear or are even reverted, as observed at WS 1. There, and in contrast to lower base levels, tributaries of the Inn River feature higher χ values than tributaries of the Salzach River. The rivers on both sides of WS 2 and WS 3 show similar χ values. However, the distinct χ anomaly observed at WS 4 still remains. All tributaries of the Mur show higher χ values than tributaries across the divides to the Drau, Salzach and Enns...
catchments. Beyond that, the analysis shows that $\chi$ gradients across the Mur and Enns drainage divides increase with increasing baselevels.

For a baselevel of 400 m, absolute values of $\chi$, extracted at the channel heads on both sides of the investigated drainage divide, reflect the described across divide $\chi$ gradients quantitatively (Fig. 3). At the westernmost drainage divide of the Salzach catchment, the distribution of $\chi$ ranges between 3190 m and 6740 m in the Inn / Adige catchment and between 5810 m and 8890 m in the Salzach catchment. Mean values in $\chi$ are 4887 m at the Inn / Adige side and 7525 m at the Salzach side of the drainage divide. The $\chi$ gradient indicates that the average steepness of the channels is higher at the Inn / Adige side than at the Salzach side of the divide. At the eastern drainage divides of the Salzach catchment, the Salzach – Enns and the Salzach – Mur divide, the $\chi$ distribution of the Salzach ranges between 2670 m and 6530 m, while channel heads at the Enns and Mur catchment feature $\chi$ values between 4410 m and 9100 m. Mean values in $\chi$ are 4267 m and 5443 m at the Salzach catchment, and 6360 m and 8093 m at the Enns and Mur catchments. The $\chi$ gradients indicate higher average channel steepness at the Salzach side of the divides. Across divide gradients at the northern Salzach – Saalach divide are distinctly smaller than those at the western and eastern Salzach watersheds. The $\chi$ distribution ranges between 3670 m and 6220 m at the Salzach side and between 3390 m and 8130 m at the Saalach side. Mean $\chi$ is slightly shifted towards higher values at the Saalach (5834 m) relative to the Salzach side (4829 m). This, however, is caused by the long tail of the skewed right $\chi$ distribution of the Saalach catchment.

3.2 Swath profiles: across divide differences at headwater scale

The four curved swath profiles following the watershed segments WS1 – WS4 indicate a series of distinct across divide differences in the headwater relief (Figs. 1, 4). At first glance, WS 1 appears roughly symmetric with a steady decrease in mean ($E_{\text{mean}}$) and minimum elevation ($E_{\text{min}}$) with increasing distance from the divide. Up to a distance of 2 km, the drop in $E_{\text{mean}}$ is larger at the Inn side of the divide. At a distance of 5 km, $E_{\text{min}}$ is slightly lower at the Salzach side in comparison to the Inn side. At this distance, the swath corridor already reached the trunk valley of the Salzach drainage system, but reached only a small tributary of the Inn River at the other side of the divide. Overall, the relief ($E_{\text{max}} - E_{\text{min}}$) is larger at the Inn than at the Salzach side of the divide. The Saalach – Salzach drainage divide (Fig. 4, WS2) shows a strong asymmetry in $E_{\text{mean}}$ and relief, but no spatial trend in $E_{\text{min}}$. The latter is bound up with the fact that the drainage divide exhibits a wind gap, which connects the valley floors of the Salzach and Saalach rivers without a significant drop in valley floor elevation (Fig. 1). In contrast, the eastern divides of the Salzach catchment show a strong asymmetry (Fig. 4, WS3, 4). The drop in $E_{\text{max}}$ and $E_{\text{mean}}$ with increasing distance from the divides is distinctly more pronounced at the Salzach side than at the Enns and Mur sides of the drainage divide. In consequence, high gradients in $E_{\text{min}}$ and $E_{\text{mean}}$ form towards west, and gentle gradients arise towards east.
3.3 Gilbert Metrics: across divide differences at hillslopes scale

The Gilbert metrics suggested by Forte and Whipple (2018) comprise three measures characterizing the local differences at drainage divides (i.e. channel head elevation, mean upstream relief, mean upstream gradient). Overall, a strong divide asymmetry at hillslope scale is only observed at the Salzach – Mur drainage divide (Fig. 5).

At the westernmost drainage divide of the Salzach catchment, elevations at channel heads (Fig 5, WS 1) lie in the range between 1100 m and 2600 m. At the Salzach basin, channel head elevations show an unimodal distribution with a mean value of 2044 m, while the distribution at the Inn / Adige basin is bimodal and has a mean value of 1977 m. Overall differences are small, but indicate a slight shift towards lower channel head elevations at the Inn / Adige side of the drainage divide. The upstream relief ranges between 200 m and 660 m and is uniformly distributed within the Salzach, but skewed-left distributed in the Adige catchment. Mean values of upstream relief are similar in the Salzach and Inn / Adige catchment with 386 m and 382 m, respectively. Analogous to the upstream relief, upstream gradient is uniformly and skewed-left distributed in the Salzach and Inn / Adige catchments, respectively. Values for upstream gradient are in the range of 0.2 and 0.8, with mean values of 0.45 for the Salzach and 0.44 for the Inn / Adige catchments. Beside outliers, upstream relief and upstream gradient appears slightly larger in the Inn / Adige catchment than in the Salzach catchment.

At the Salzach–Saalach drainage divide, differences in all Gilbert metrics are small. Elevation at channel heads (Fig 5, WS 2) ranges between 740 m to 1750 m with mean values of 1424 m and 1370 m at the Salzach and Saalach side of the divide. The upstream relief and the upstream gradient range between 250 m and 760 m, and between 0.35 and 1.1 in the Salzach and Saalach catchment, respectively.

While the eastern drainage divide, separating the Salzach from the Enns and the Mur catchments, features consistently large anomalies in $\chi$, Gilbert metrics representing the hillslope scale indicate a largely symmetric Salzach – Enns, and a distinctly asymmetric Salzach – Mur drainage divide. Channel head elevation of the Salzach – Enns divide (Fig. 5, WS 3) ranges between 840 m and 2200 m, with mean values of 1206 m and 1296 m at the Salzach and Enns side of the divide. The lower channel head elevation is also reflected by a slightly higher mean upstream relief and mean upstream gradient in the Salzach, in comparison to the Enns catchment. Mean values are 351 m and 0.42 for the Salzach, and 343 m and 0.4 for the Enns catchment. The divide between Salzach and Mur catchments is characterized by the largest across divide differences in all Gilbert metrics (Fig. 5, WS 4). Elevation at channel head lies between 1350 m and 2220 m. On average, channel head elevation is distinctly lower in the Salzach catchment (1747 m) than in the Mur catchment (1982 m). Lower channel head elevations result in a larger mean upstream relief and higher upstream gradient for the Salzach (425 m, 0.5), in comparison to the Mur catchment (375 m, 0.42).

4 Discussion

Gilbert (1877) already recognized that cross-divide differences in erosion rate result in mobile watersheds, whereby catchments featuring higher erosion rates grow on expense of adjacent catchments with lower erosion rates. Given uniform climate and
lithology, erosion rate increases with channel steepness in the drainage and topographic gradient in the hillslope domain. Hence, divide asymmetry evidences for drainage divide migration from the steep towards the less steep side (Forte and Whipple, 2018; Gilbert, 1877; Robl et al., 2017b; Willett et al., 2014). Asymmetry at drainage divides may occur at catchment, headwater and hillslope scale, but may not necessarily be observed at all these magnitudes. For example, an increase or decrease in drainage area due to a river capture event may cause a $\chi$ anomaly at the drainage divide, which predicts drainage divide mobility. However, if the signal has not yet reached the divide, the divide may still be symmetric at the hillslope scale indicating divide stability at that time. Glacially controlled base level lowering with an increase in local relief at the north facing side of divides may cause a strong asymmetry at hillslope scale, but will not result in an anomaly in $\chi$ maps, as long as the drainage network topology remains unchanged.

4.1 Challenges and limitations interpreting drainage divide asymmetries

A direct determination of divide migration rates is unfeasible, as migration rates are in the range of millimeters per year (Goren et al., 2014) and major river capture events are rarely observed (Brocard et al., 2012; Yanites et al., 2013). In concert with sediment provenance (Frisch et al., 1998; Kuhlemann, 2007) and erosion rates based on cosmogenic nuclides (e.g. Dixon et al., 2016), topographic metrics serve as proxy for drainage divide mobility. In particular, in mid-latitude mountain ranges such as the Eastern Alps, gradients in erosion rate reflect rather a transient landscape state due to glacial–interglacial periods, than across divide differences resulting from the reorganization of the drainage system. However, the interpretation of topographic metrics derived from tectonically active, mid-latitude mountain ranges such as the Eastern Alps is not unique and paved with some pitfalls. The topography of the Eastern Alps resulted from different tectonic phases and hence spatial and temporal changes in the uplift pattern (Bartosch et al., 2017; Frisch et al., 1998; Robl et al., 2008a). Furthermore, hillslopes are abundantly at a critical slope angle affected by numerous landslides (Kühni and Pfiffner, 2001) and show in some regions a strong glacial imprint (e.g. Dixon et al., 2016), whereby the turnover time from glacial to fluvial landscape characteristics is controlled by lithology (Robl et al., 2015) and uplift rate (Prasicek et al., 2015).

Across divide differences in $\chi$ may indicate spatial or temporal variations of climate (e.g. precipitation) or tectonics (e.g. uplift rate) (e.g. Whipple et al., 2013), but may also reflect the long term reorganization of the drainage network accompanied by changes in contributing drainage area (Goren et al., 2014; Robl et al., 2017b; Willett et al., 2014). Contrasts in bedrock erodibility may also result in differently steep channels despite uniform erosion rates. Even if channel steepness and erosion rate differ across drainage divides, divides may still be stable if differently steep channels result from spatial variations in uplift rate. Alternative formulations of the $\chi$ transform, considering spatial variation in uplift rate (Willett et al., 2014) and erosion rate (Robl et al., 2017a) have been presented, but information on the long term uplift / erosion pattern is sparse in the Eastern Alps. The curvature of channel profiles removed by the $\chi$ transform is introduced by the non-linear increase of discharge in downstream direction. However, instead of discharge (or peak discharge) contributing drainage area as proxy for discharge in rivers is employed by the detachment limited version of the stream power equation, which in its original form neglects spatial
c climatic variations, such as orographic precipitation. Yang et al. (2016) considered precipitation computing $\chi$, but again long term precipitation rates are not well constrained and the control of precipitation on the geometry of river channels is still debated (e.g. Burbank et al., 2003; Dadson et al., 2003; Wobus et al., 2003; Hodges et al., 2004; Molnar, 2003; Reiners et al., 2003). Beyond methodical issues, the vertical distance between channel head and base level divided by $\chi$ is the average steepness of the channel, but provides no explicit information on the steepness of the dividing ridge itself. Consequently, a low increase in $\chi$ at the lower channel reach may result in a steep channel on average, and small $\chi$ values even at the channel heads. This, however, means that $\chi$ anomalies at drainage divides may indicate potential divide mobility in the future, rather than currently mobile divides. Without doubt, many factors and processes may lead to an amplification or emergence of across divide gradients in $\chi$ and complicate the interpretation of $\chi$ in terms of divide stability (Whipple et al., 2017; Forte and Whipple, 2018). As a strategy to counteract some of these pitfalls, a series of $\chi$-maps with progressively raised base levels narrows down the impact of spatial heterogeneity in tectonics and climate from catchment to headwater scale. This allows statements on the position of the disturbance within the drainage system and potential divide mobility in the far and in the near future.

The Gilbert metrics, a set of local topographic measures characterize hillslopes at both sides of the investigated divide (Forte and Whipple, 2018) and hence the (a)symmetry of the divide itself. In contrast to $\chi$ mapping, there are no far field effects and significant asymmetry of the dividing ridge should correspond in principle to across divide gradients in erosion rate and divide mobility. However, in active, mid-latitude mountain ranges, several factors and processes make the interpretation of these metrics challenging. Landslide-controlled threshold hillslopes emerge, where incision rates in the drainage system are high (Montgomery et al., 2001). Then the relationship between topographic gradient and hillslope erosion rate breaks, and dividing ridges become symmetric although they feature across divide gradients in erosion rate and migrate. For the European Alps, an average limiting slope stability angle of 25° is reported (Schmidt and Montgomery, 1995; Kühni and Pfiffner, 2001), so that most of the divides in the study area are prone to landsliding. However, in particular within the formerly glaciated realm of the Alps, many of the non-soil mantled hillslopes are distinctly steeper and still feature glacial landscape characteristics (Robl et al., 2015). There, local metrics such as relief, gradient or channel head elevation rather indicate the impact of the last glaciations on topography than long-term trends in drainage network reorganization. Glacial overprint does not primarily affect the first order drainage networks, but has a strong impact on local relief (e.g. Brocklehurst and Whipple, 2002; Norton et al., 2010; Salcher et al., 2014; van der Beek and Bourbon, 2008). Aspect-controlled differences in relief formation due to glacial erosion (e.g. north versus south facing mountain flanks) result in local, reversible compensating motions of the divides (Robl et al., 2017a) that may counteract the regional trend during the turn overtime from glacial to fluvial landscapes. Hence, such local disturbances cover large scale and long lasting changes in the drainage network topology. Generalized swath profiles and $\chi$ maps with a base level at the headwaters may bridge the gap between catchment and hillslope scale and assist detecting local peculiarities as described above.

Summarizing, the major advantage of Gilbert metrics lies in the analysis of short wavelength – high amplitude signals, e.g. the development of escarpments (e.g. Tucker and Slingerland, 1994). In contrast, the reorganization of drainage patterns forced
by tectonic processes represents a high length scale – low amplitude signal taking place in millions of years (Robl et al., 2015), which can be targeted best by the calculation of $\chi$ maps. Headwater processes and the position of the erosional signal can be addressed by varying the base level for the $\chi$ transformation and the extraction of generalized swath profiles. We hereinafter discuss the mobility of the drainage divides in consideration of the described pitfalls.

### 4.2 Mobility of Drainage Divides in the Eastern Alps

Our results imply that drainage divides of the investigated catchments are mobile and follow a general trend: at north – south running drainage divides, tributaries feature lower $\chi$ values west of the dividing ridge and hence are steeper on average than tributaries draining towards east (Fig. 2). Given uniform tectonic, climatic and lithological conditions, steeper channels are characterized by higher erosion rates (e.g. Howard, 1994), so that the observed morphological divide asymmetry at the Salzach – Enns, Salzach – Mur and Inn – Salzach watersheds may result in erosion rate gradients across the divides. However, uniform tectonic and climatic conditions and substrate properties on the scale of major drainage systems cannot be assumed in a tectonically active mountain range, such as the Eastern Alps. This means that the observed $\chi$ pattern may not necessarily indicate migrating divides, but may result from spatial variations on climate (e.g. precipitation, Yang et al., 2016) and tectonics (e.g. uplift rate, Willett et al., 2014). However, progressively increasing the base level for $\chi$ computation and shifting the observational scale from presumable tectonically and climatically heterogeneous catchments to their more homogenous headwaters shows no qualitative changes in the $\chi$ pattern. The $\chi$ anomalies across the divides remain up to a baselevel of 800 m (Fig. 2, 3) and indicate that divides are mobile. However, this trend in $\chi$ breaks down at some divides for a base level of 1000 m characterizing the very headwaters, only.

Gilbert metrics characterizing divides at hillslope scale are consistent with the $\chi$ pattern at the Salzach – Enns and Salzach – Mur drainage divide and indicate that these divides are currently mobile. However, and in contrast to the $\chi$ pattern (up to a base level of 800 m), they indicate divide stability at the Inn – Salzach drainage divide (Figs. 2, 3). In particular at the latter divide, glacial landforms such as cirques and U-shaped valleys are abundant and we interpret the missing hillslope scale asymmetry of this divide as a result of glacial erosion, which temporally stops divide migration. However, Robl et al. (2017a) showed that the impact of variable glacial erosion across divides is small and reversible. We suggest that the topographic signal of cold climate processes, primarily acting during the Pleistocene, locally obscures the large-scale signal of drainage network reorganizations in many parts of the Eastern Alps and in general limits the applicability of Gilbert metrics in glacially shaped mountain ranges.

The peculiar west – east directed migration of drainage divides is inherently linked to the plan view geometry of the Salzach and Enns catchments south of the Northern Calcareous Alps (Figs. 1, 2, 6). In this domain, the main stem of the Salzach and Enns still follows the SEMP, which is one the major tectonic lineaments of the Eastern Alps (Wang and Neubauer, 1998). It has been proposed that during the Mid-Miocene, Salzach and Enns formed a common catchment with an east-directed flow path (e.g. Neubauer, 2016), but were separated by major river piracy events due headward eroding south – north draining rivers.
As a consequence, the major portion of the Salzach and Enns drainage areas are located west of their capture points and by reversing the flow direction only to a minor amount east of the capture points. This is consistent with the current asymmetry of the catchments, with a large western and a small eastern sub-catchment and explains the observed across divide gradients in $\chi$. Long east-directed channel segments in concert with distinctly elongated catchments result in a slow decrease in catchment size in upstream direction. Hence, $\chi$, which is the measure for upstream flow length after channel length profile linearization (c transform, Perron and Royden, 2013; Royden and Perron, 2013), accumulates to large $\chi$ values at the western and low $\chi$ values at the eastern drainage divides. Integrating from a common base level up to the same channel head elevation, large and small $\chi$ values on different sides of a common divide are the expression of a low and high average channel steepness of long west–east and short east–west draining channel segments, respectively. This, however, implies that observed $\chi$ anomalies at the investigated drainage divides are the consequence of the Early to Mid-Miocene lateral extrusion tectonics (Ratschbacher et al., 1989; Ratschbacher et al., 1991), where the activity of crustal scale faults imposed non-ideal flow-direction to major rivers. The indicated drainage network reorganization from orogen-parallel to orogen-perpendicular flow is a long-lasting process. While river piracy events cause a sudden large-scale modification of the drainage network, drainage divide migration and flow direction reversal is a slow continuous process at rates of few millimeters per year (Goren et al., 2014), which explains the longevity of morphological disequilibrium after changes in the tectonic forcing.

4.3 Stability of divides for different evolutionary states

Based on provenance analyses and geomorphological studies, it has been proposed that different tectonic phases have triggered a repeated reorganization of the drainage system since the onset of topography formation in the Eastern Alps (Frisch et al., 2000; Kuhlemann et al., 2001; Dunkl et al., 2005; Kuhlemann, 2007; Keil and Neubauer, 2009; Neubauer, 2016). As the position of past drainage divides is not well constrained, we test if and how different catchment geometries, roughly mimicking the catchment geometry suggested for different phases of the drainage evolution, affect the stability of drainage divides (Fig. 7). We focus on the plan view geometry of catchments only and do not consider potential topographic (e.g. uplift of the Northern Calcareous Alps) or base level changes (e.g. inversion of the northern foreland basin, the Molasse basin).

For the period of lateral extrusion during the Early to Mid-Miocene, it was suggested that the Salzach and Enns formed a common drainage system (Paleo-Enns) with an orogen-parallel flow path following the SEMP fault from west to east (Fig. 7a) (Frisch et al., 1998). Compared to the present-day drainage pattern (Fig. 7d), the elongated catchment with its long main stem and numerous short tributaries contributing drainage area from south and north cause very high $\chi$ values at the eastern domain of the drainage system. In particular, the Inn – Paleo-Enns drainage divide is characterized by high across divide gradients in $\chi$, but even the southern drainage divide between Paleo-Enns and Drau indicates a strong $\chi$ anomaly. This suggests that the Early to Mid-Miocene situation, with elongated catchments featuring hundreds of kilometers of orogen-parallel flow, were prone to river piracy events and the migration of drainage divides.
The timing of the following drainage network reorganization is not well constrained. However, streams originating south of the SEMP fault and draining towards the northern foreland basin eroded headwards and captured the eastward draining Paleo-Enns (Salzach – Enns) drainage system (Frisch et al., 1998). Currently, two rivers, the Salzach and the Enns, follow the SEMP for more than 100 km each, but abruptly change their course in a knee-shaped bend towards north. These sudden changes in flow direction most likely indicate capture points. In addition, a suspicious wind gap separating the Saalach from the Salzach valley with a vertical drop of only a few meters may indicate that the Paleo-Enns was once captured by the Saalach River, but redirected again potentially during the Pleistocene glaciations (Robl et al., 2008a) (Fig. 7b, c). Although not yet constrained by provenance studies, a potential capture of the Paleo-Enns drainage system by the Saalach River would stabilize the westernmost drainage divide (Inn – Saalach divide). This is indicated by a decrease of the across divide $\chi$ gradient similar to the present situation (Fig. 7d). However, in this scenario the western drainage divide of the Enns catchment shows a distinct across divide $\chi$ gradient with high $\chi$ values at the Paleo-Enns and low $\chi$ values at the Saalach side of the divide, which would result in a progressive flow direction reversal of the upper Enns River.

It is still debated when the orogen-parallel Paleo-Enns River was captured by the south–north draining Salzach River (Fig. 7c). A Pleistocene, glacial-induced activation of the northward directed drainage outflow and blocking the passage at the Saalach – Salzach windgap is discussed (Robl et al., 2008a). However, a similar event recently happened in Yukon, Canada due to climate warming and glacial retreat (Headley, 2017; Shugar et al., 2017). Assuming a Pleistocene capture event due to waxing or waning of glaciers, the origin of the Salzach (similar to the nearby Lammer River) must have inevitable been located south of the NCA. Beyond others, one argument for such a scenario is the small amount of flow reversal of the former Enns River east of the capture point. However, the concurrent drainage through Saalach and Salzach valley would lead to the disappearance of the $\chi$ gradient across the Saalach and Salzach watershed (Fig. 7c). The watershed separating Salzach from Enns basin complies with the present-day location of the watershed (Fig. 7c, d) and the $\chi$ distribution shows a similar $\chi$ anomaly indicating an eastward drainage division migration as proposed for the present-day situation.

5 Conclusion

The tectonic evolution of the Eastern Alps caused a repeated reorganization of the drainage network since onset of topography formation in the Late Oligocene / Early Miocene. We applied various morphometric methods to constrain the potential mobility of drainage divides on catchment, headwater and hillslope scale. Based on our analysis, we came to the following conclusions.

- Almost all drainage divides of the investigated domain are asymmetric at catchment, headwater, and even hillslope scale, which evidences for drainage divide mobility, where the steeper side of the divide migrates towards the less steep side of the divide.
- It turned out that the western side of the considered drainage divides is in general steeper than the eastern side, so that the general direction of divide migration is west towards east. This implies that the Inn catchment grows on expense of the Salzach catchment and the Salzach catchment consumes tributaries of the Enns and Mur catchments.
At some divides, metrics characterizing hillslopes (Gilbert metrics) are not consistent with those characterizing larger scales. We found that glacial imprint locally obscures large-scale signals of drainage network reorganization. Hence, the applicability of the classical Gilbert metrics in mid-latitude mountain ranges such as the Eastern Alps is limited.

The reason for the general drainage migration trend from west towards east is caused by the geometry of catchments, which dates back to the period of lateral extrusion in the Early to Mid-Miocene. The activity of major faults north and south of the central axis of eastern Alps imposed non-ideal, orogen-parallel flow directions to major rivers. Subsequent capture events restored orogen-perpendicular flow, but relics of the lateral extrusion period remained: elongated catchments west of the capture point, with an about 100 km long east-draining main stem and short tributaries draining south–north and north–south.

A rough restoration of the drainage networks from Early to Mid-Miocene towards the present situation shows that anomalies in $\chi$ at the divides decreased, indicating that divide stability increased over time. Currently, large across divide gradients in average channel steepness (and hence erosion rate) occur mostly at north–south running watersheds (i.e. Inn–Salzach, Salzach–Enns, Salzach–Mur), where tributaries with short and long flow lengths from channel heads to the base level meet at the divides. However, as divide migration is slow and major capture events at these divides are not expected, we suggest that the observed disequilibrium is long-lasting.

Timing of river piracy events and rates of drainage divide migration are still not well constrained. There is great need for additional provenance studies of river sediments, and dating river terraces and cave sediments.
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Figure 1. Topographic map of the study area and the drainage pattern of the Eastern Alps. The inset shows the position of the study within the European Alps. Blue lines indicate the drainage pattern, whereby the line width is proportional to log10 of the contributing drainage area. Drainage divides are shown by thick white lines. Major faults are indicated by solid black lines and the direction of motion is shown by arrow. The red line and the grey hull indicate the course of the swath profiles shown on Figure 3.
Figure 2. Drainage pattern of the Eastern Alps calculated for increasing baselevels and color-coded for \( \chi \). All streams with a contributing drainage area larger than 1 km² are shown. The line width of the channels is proportional to \( \log_{10}(\text{drainage area}) \). White lines and annotations represent the major drainage divides. (A) Baselevel set to 400 m of elevation. (B) Baselevel set to 600 m of elevation. (C) Baselevel set to 800 m of elevation. (D) Baselevel set to 1000 m of elevation.
Figure 3. χ values measured at channel heads (Forte and Whipple, 2018) of the investigated catchments. Histograms with a black outline represent the Salzach drainage basin. Histograms with a blue filling represent the adjacent Inn/Adige (WS 1), Saalach (WS 2), Enns (WS 3) and Mur (WS 4) drainage basins. Data are divided in 10 equally-spaced bins. Error bars indicate the standard deviation and filled circles are the mean values of the dataset. n is the total number of data points.
Figure 4. Generalized swath profiles (Hergarten et al., 2014) along the profile lines shown in Figure 1. The profiles have a half-width of 5 km to each side of the profile line (drainage divide). The black line indicates the mean elevation. The hull of the blue area is the standard deviation and the hull of the grey area the extreme values within the swath segments.
Figure 5. Gilbert metric histograms (Forte and Whipple, 2018) for the investigated watersheds of the study area. Histograms with a blue filling represent the adjacent Inn/Adige (WS 1), Saalach (WS 2), Enns (WS 3) and Mur (WS 4) drainage basins. Data are divided in 10 equally-spaced bins. Error bars indicate the standard deviation and filled circles are the mean values of the dataset. n is the total number of data points.
Figure 6. Proposed direction of drainage migration of the main drainage divides of the study area. (A) The major drainage basins are annotated. Orange arrows indicate the proposed migration direction of divides based on $\chi$, Gilbert metrics for each investigated catchment are shown. Positive $\Delta$Elevation and $\Delta\chi$ values as well as negative $\Delta$Relief and $\Delta$Gradient indicate migration towards the Salzach drainage basin. (B) Normalized $\Delta$ plot of Gilbert metrics. Negative values of $\Delta$Relief and $\Delta$Gradient are standardized to positive values such that all positive values indicate a migration towards the Salzach basin. Error bar and filled circles indicate 1 – standard deviation and mean values, respectively.
Figure 7. χ colored drainage pattern of reconstructed paleo drainage geometries of the Eastern Alps. All streams with a contributing drainage area larger than 1 km² are shown and the line width of the channels is proportional to log10(drainage area). The baselevel for χ computation is set to 400 m. White lines and annotations represent the major drainage divides. (A) Enns drainage path - drainage scenario assuming an elongated Enns catchment representing the Mid-Miocene situation as suggest by Frisch et al. (1998). (B) Saalach drainage path - drainage scenario assuming that the Saalach captured the westernmost part of the Paleo-Enns catchment. (C) Salzach drainage path - drainage scenario assuming that both Saalach and Salzach took over parts of the Paleo-Enns catchment as suggested by Robl et al. (2008a). (D) Present day drainage path for comparison.