

## ***Interactive comment on “The effects of topography and soil properties on radiocesium concentrations in forest soils in Fukushima, Japan” by Misa Yasumiishi et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 12 December 2020

Results and discussion are interesting. But, motivation of this study is poor. You reviewed some papers and showed table 1 about soil sampling papers. But you should review papers about topography effects. For example, Atarashi-Andoh et al (2015) JER explained topographic effects to variation of radiocesium. You need to do more carefully review and lead to motivation of this study from review results. Then you should compare your results and reviewed results in discussion section. If you doing that, this paper will be good.

Table 6 in p39 is Table 10? Table 7 in p41 is Tbalet 11?

Interactive comment on Earth Surf. Dynam. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-2020-72>,  
C1

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



2020.

**ESurfD**

---

Interactive  
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

