



Development of smart boulders to monitor mass movements via the Internet of Things: A pilot study in Nepal

Benedetta Dini¹, Georgina L. Bennett², Aldina M. A. Franco¹, Michael R. Z. Whitworth³, Kristen L. Cook⁴, Andreas Senn⁵, John M. Reynolds⁶

¹ School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, UK; ²College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, UK; ³AECOM, UK; ⁴GFZ-Potsdam, Germany; ⁵Miromico AG, Zurich, Switzerland; ⁶Reynolds International Ltd, UK

1 Abstract

2 Boulder movement can be observed not only in rock fall activity, but also in association with other 3 landslide types such as rock slides, soil slides in colluvium originated from previous rock slides and 4 debris flows. Large boulders pose a direct threat to life and key infrastructure, amplifying landslide 5 and flood hazards, as they move from the slopes to the river network. Despite the hazard they pose, 6 boulders have not been directly targeted as a mean to detect landslide movement or used in dedicated 7 early warning systems. We use an innovative monitoring system to observe boulder movement 8 occurring in different geomorphological settings, before reaching the river system. Our study focuses 9 on an area in the upper Bhote Koshi catchment northeast of Kathmandu, where the Araniko highway 10 is subjected to periodic landsliding and floods during the monsoons and was heavily affected by 11 coseismic landslides during the 2015 Gorkha earthquake. In the area, damage by boulders to properties, roads and other key infrastructure, such as hydropower plants, is observed every year. We 12 13 embedded trackers in 23 boulders spread between a landslide body and two debris flow channels, 14 before the monsoon season of 2019. The trackers, equipped with accelerometers, can detect small 15 angular changes in boulders orientation and large forces acting on them. The data can be transmitted 16 in real time, via a long-range wide area network (LoRaWAN®) gateway to a server. Nine of the tagged 17 boulders registered patterns in the accelerometer data compatible with downslope movements. Of 18 these, six lying within the landslide body show small angular changes, indicating a reactivation during the rainfall period and a movement consistent with the landslide mass. Three boulders, located in a 19 20 debris flow channel, show sharp changes in orientation, likely corresponding to larger free movements





and sudden rotations. This study highlights that this innovative, cost-effective technology can be used
to monitor boulders in hazard prone sites, identifying in real time the onset of movement, and may
thus set the basis for early warning systems, particularly in developing countries, where expensive
hazard mitigation strategies may be unfeasible.

25 1. Introduction

26 Landslides that affect and originate from mountainous bedrock hillslopes often contain boulders, large 27 fragments with diameter > 0.25 m and up to several metres. These boulders may have a significant 28 influence on the fluvial network in terms of landscape evolution, a topic receiving increased attention 29 in the recent literature (e.g. Shobe et al., 2020; Bennett et al., 2016). However, the presence in varying 30 proportions of large grain sizes within a landslide mass can also significantly influence its destructive 31 power and affect recovery operations. Large boulders can instantaneously destroy properties, 32 infrastructure and, critically, they can block lifelines for considerable periods of time, as they are the most difficult component of a deposit to remove (e.g. Serna & Panzar, 2018). Boulders can lie on 33 34 hillslopes for a long time, before being remobilised as a consequence of trigger events, such as intense rainfall and earthquakes, which may lead to hazard cascade chains involving boulder transport. In 35 time, boulders have the potential to move from hillslopes and to enter debris flow channels and 36 37 eventually rivers, posing a hazard along the way. Among the far-reaching effects of boulder 38 movements, damage to hydropower dams can have significant knock-on effects on local economies 39 (e.g. Reynolds, 2018a,b,c). Despite the potential that boulders have to amplify both landslide and 40 floods hazards and despite their ubiquitous occurrence, their presence, their movement and their 41 damaging power has neither been widely nor directly quantified in the available literature.

The direct and accurate monitoring of boulder movement, also in relation to environmental variables, is essential in order to achieve a better understanding of the implications of their presence on hillslopes in active landscapes, the dynamics of their remobilisation and their eventual entrainment in river systems. In this context, boulder tracking and real-time monitoring represents an important step





forward towards increased resilience in hazard prone areas and it could be performed in different 46 47 geomorphological settings, ranging from landslide bodies, to loose slope deposits, to debris flow channels and rivers, depending on the specific needs and aims. The ability to produce alerts for either 48 49 hazardous boulder movements, or to use the movement of boulders to identify hazardous 50 reactivations of existing large instabilities, requires the careful choice of monitoring techniques that 51 work in difficult and different environments, preferably wireless and that can reliably send information 52 in real time. Whilst various early warning systems have been experimented with and put in place for 53 landslides and debris flows, no early warning system has been used to detect and monitor large 54 boulders, thus improving resilience with respect to the additional hazards they pose.

55 Several techniques exist to monitor landslide movements, used also in the context of real time 56 extraction of displacements. For example, early warning systems have been based on traditional 57 techniques such as topographic benchmarks, or extensiometers, often in combination with more 58 advanced techniques such as ground based radar interferometry (GB-InSAR) (e.g. Intrieri et al., 2012; 59 Loew et al., 2017). Geodetic techniques based on GPS or total stations are also widely used and 60 documented to remotely monitor surface displacements of active landslides (e.g. Glueer et al., 2019). 61 On one hand, traditional techniques tend to be cheaper but they only allow the retrieval of point-like 62 information and they can pose challenges for installation. On the other hand, advanced techniques such as GB-InSAR allow for more continuous coverage but involve much higher costs related to both 63 64 equipment and data processing and cannot easily deliver information in real time. Wireless technologies are desirable, due to unfavourable terrain conditions in which landslide monitoring is 65 66 often needed. In this respect, passive radio-frequency (RFID) techniques have recently been used to 67 monitor landslide displacements, and they have been shown to be inexpensive and versatile (Le 68 Breton et al., 2019). Although this type of technique has not yet been used in early warning systems, 69 it is contended that the adaptability of such technology could be developed in this context. The main 70 advantage is their low cost, their wireless nature and also the ability of the sensors to work in the 71 presence of adverse environmental factors, that would impair other techniques such as GPS and total





stations (e.g. fog, snow, dense vegetation). However, passive RFID tags currently allow for a monitoring distance (distance between the tags and the receiving gateway) of a few tens of meters only, which is disadvantageous when monitoring large unstable slopes or different geomorphic settings in the same area, at the same time. None of the techniques mentioned above, however, have been used to monitor boulder movement and most of them would not be suitable for this purpose (perhaps with the exception of passive RFID), thus they have limited potential in capturing the amplification of landslide hazard posed by the presence of large boulders.

79 Monitoring movement of sediments within floods has also received much attention in the literature. 80 For example, bedload transport can be monitored with environmental seismology, in order to detect 81 the seismic noise generated by moving particles (Burtin et al., 2011; Tsai et al., 2012). Whilst this is 82 useful in order to identify flood events, or even debris flows events in nearby tributaries, this is also 83 unsuitable for individual boulder monitoring. Passive radio sensor technology has been used to 84 monitor movement of individual grains in rivers (e.g. Bennett & Ryan, 2018; Bradley & Tucker, 2012), 85 however, this technique only allows the quantification of total transport distances between successive 86 surveys and no real-time data transmission has yet been achieved in this context. Several studies in 87 coastal settings have tracked individual boulders with extensive field surveys (e.g. Cox, 2020; Naylor 88 et al., 2016) giving insights into boulder dynamics. Similar efforts to track boulders in fluvial settings 89 are underway (e.g. Carr et al., 2018). However, such efforts are very time demanding and are also not 90 suited for real-time detection of boulder movement.

Recently, the use of IMUs (Inertial Measurement Unit) has been tested for different applications in the field of geomorphology (e.g. Caviezel et al., 2018 and references therein). In particular, devices able to capture boulder or pebble accelerations and rotations have been tested in different set-ups in man-made environments. Gronz et al. (2016) have used devices equipped with a triaxial accelerometer, a triaxial gyroscope and a magnetometer embedded within pebbles, to reconstruct the path and movement of individual particles in a laboratory flume. Such devices, able to capture accelerations up to 4g at 10 Hz, send data via an 868 MHz radio gateway from where it is then either





forwarded to a wireless router or directly downloaded to a computer via an Ethernet cable. Induced 98 99 rockfall field experiments were carried out in the Swiss Alps by Caviezel et al. (2018) in order to test the applicability of IMUs to accurately measure boulder accelerations and rotations for the calibration 100 101 of rockfall models. The devices used in the latter study have high sampling frequency (1 kHz) and acceleration detection range up to 400 g, the data is stored on a micro SD card and is then downloaded 102 103 via cable onto a computer. However, the lifetime of these sensors is limited by battery life (1 to 56 104 hours, depending on the settings types), hence requiring development to monitor, in field set-ups, 105 naturally occurring processes, that occur rarely and unpredictably.

106 In this study, we aim at filling a gap in the available literature regarding the monitoring of individual 107 boulders, in real-time and in different geomorphological settings in the field. In the context of the 108 possible future development of an early warning system, the priority of this pilot study is heavily 109 focused on capturing the activation of boulder movement in real-time, rather than on the accuracy 110 and precision of the measurement itself, the latter requiring further development. We explore how 111 displacements or even subtle orientation changes of boulders lying within a large, slow moving and 112 potentially deep-seated landslide body can be used to identify landslide reactivation and evolution of 113 the activity levels of different sectors through time. We contend that this ability may allow to 114 investigate landslide dynamics, geometries and failure modes in future developments and with denser 115 networks. Additionally, we explore how rapid boulder movement within active tributary channels 116 could indicate events such as debris flows, and their monitoring could help identify in the future the energy thresholds required for remobilisation of different grain sizes. As mentioned above, 117 118 technologies that can work in real time and wireless are better suited for this purpose. For this reason, 119 in this work, we explore the transfer of a technology developed in the field of ecology to the 120 monitoring of boulders in slow moving landslides and debris flows. Wireless devices equipped with a 121 GPS module and an accelerometer originally developed for animal tracking, are modified and adapted 122 for the purpose of boulder tracking and monitoring. GPS trackers in combination with accelerometers 123 have been used to tag different animals in order to extract information on migratory, nesting and





124 feeding behaviours among other things (e.g. Soriano-Redondo et al., 2020; Panicker et al., 2019; 125 Gilbert et al., 2016). Whilst some trackers store the data internally and transmit it to a server via GSM when a network becomes available, the trackers used for this study have been developed to allow for 126 127 a network of nodes that communicate wireless and in real time through an Internet of Things (IoT) system (e.g. Panicker et al., 2019) that works with an gateway installed locally. In an IoT system, the 128 129 nodes of the network communicate to the gateway over radio frequencies and without the need for 130 human intervention. The gateway can then be directly connected to a computer or, crucially, it can 131 transmit the data via GSM network to a server in real time. 132 Transferring this type of technology to boulder monitoring brings several advantages in comparison

to other monitoring systems. The devices used in this work can be used to monitor several boulders at the same time and in different geomorphological settings within a large study area, thanks to the longer range achievable by the system in comparison to, for example, RFID techniques. Moreover, our long-range wireless devices can be directly activated by movement and have real-time communication, this potentially enabling us to 1) unravel landslide evolution and mechanics, provided a dense enough network, and 2) develop an early warning system for hazardous events that involve the presence of boulders.

140 In this study, based in the Upper Bhote Koshi catchment (red square in inset in Fig. 1), Nepal, we 141 demonstrate the use of long-range wireless devices to detect hazardous boulder movement and 142 landslide reactivation in real time. We also demonstrate for the first time the use of this technology 143 in the field of geomorphology, and in a field setup, to monitor the movement of boulders embedded 144 within a landslide and in two debris flow channels.

145 2. Study area

146 2.1. Hazards and their interactions in the area of study

Nepal lies at the heart of the Himalayan arc and it is one of the most disaster-prone countries in the
world. In particular, the extreme topographic gradients, seismicity and monsoonal climate, coupled





149 with increased population pressure (Whitworth et al., 2020), make Nepal widely and frequently 150 affected by landslides and various types of floods. In 2015 a large number of coseismic landslides were triggered as a consequence of the Gorkha earthquake sequence, in particular in association with the 151 largest M 7.8 Gorkha earthquake (25 April 2015) and M 7.3 Dolakha earthquake (12 May 2015). 152 Several authors mapped coseismic landslides after the events and, although numbers vary greatly (a 153 154 few thousands to a few tens of thousands of landslides mapped in different studies), the impact from 155 these hazards has been unanimously recognised as very significant (Reynolds, 2018a,b; Roback et al., 156 2018; Martha et al., 2017; Kargel et al., 2016). The Bhote Koshi catchment, northeast of Kathmandu 157 (red square in inset in Fig. 1), was also identified as one of the most affected areas, showing the greatest density of landslides (Roback et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2017; Tanoli et al., 2017; Kargel et al., 158 159 2016; Collins & Jibson, 2015). The areal distribution of landslides away from the main shock epicentre 160 appears to have been controlled by a combination of PGA, slope and fault rupture propagation 161 (Roback et al., 2018; Martha et al., 2017; Regmi et al., 2016). Some authors pointed out that many 162 coseismic landslides occurred at high elevations (e.g. Tanoli et al., 2017), and it was observed that 163 after the earthquake, a large number of landslides remained disconnected from the channels, with 164 significant amounts of material stored on the hillslopes (Cook et al., 2016; Collins & Jibson, 2015), 165 including boulders that are still visible today on valley flanks. During the 2015 monsoon, new 166 landslides were triggered along with the expansion of coseismic landslides, but loose material 167 remained stored on the hillslopes by the end of the monsoon (Cook et al., 2016). The sediments produced with coseismic landslides are expected to move from the hillslopes and into the fluvial 168 169 system over several years after the earthquake (Collins & Jibson, 2015 and references therein).

The Bhote Koshi is also highly prone to glacial lakes outburst floods (GLOFs), with six events reported since 1935 (Khanal, 2015). Different authors have mapped in recent years glacial lakes within the Bhote Koshi catchment, the total number ranging between 74 and 122 (Khanal, 2015; Liu, 2020), making glacial lake density in this catchment four times higher than that of the central Himalaya (Liu, 2020). All available studies are in agreement regarding the recent increase in the total area of glacial





175 lakes in the region, in relation to increasing temperatures and glacial retreat (Liu 2020), with some 176 authors suggesting that this increase amounts to 47% and that some lakes doubled in size between 1981 and 2001 (Khanal, 2015). Some of these lakes have the potential to drain catastrophically, with 177 178 some authors indicating that this risk may increase in the future, as glacial lakes increase in number and volume. The floods originated from the outburst of glacial lakes can have short-lived discharges 179 that are several orders of magnitude higher than background discharges in receiving rivers (Cook et 180 181 al., 2018) and can have impacts for many tens of km downstream (Richardson & Reynolds, 2000; Huber 182 et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Khanal et al., 2015). The latest one in the Bhote Koshi catchment occurred 183 in July 2016, likely originated from a rain-induced debris flow into Gongbatongshacuo Lake, a moraine-184 dammed lake in Tibet (Autonomous Region of China) (Cook et al., 2018; Reynolds, 2018c), that drained 185 catastrophically impacting infrastructure and properties up to 40 km downstream. Boulders up to 8 m 186 long, weighing in excess of 150 tonnes, jammed the sluices gates of the Bhote Koshi Hydropower 187 project, diverting the debris-charged flash flood through and totally destroying the desilting basin, 188 inducing substantial damage to the site (Reynolds, 2018a). During the remedial works for the 189 reconstruction of the headworks infrastructure, a boulder with 17 m diameter (~4,500 tonnes) was 190 uncovered adjacent to the upstream wall of the headworks dam. This complex event has highlighted 191 the need for improved ways of understanding the interactions of cascading hydro-geomorphic 192 processes and to improve measures aimed at increasing resilience (Reynolds, 2018b,c). The availability 193 of loose material on hillslopes, the monsoonal climate and the GLOFs hazard in the area, enhance the 194 possibility of material containing large grain sizes to reach the river network via hillslope movements, 195 and eventually be remobilised by exceptionally large floods. Huber et al. (2020) highlight that very 196 large boulders (~10 m in diameter) present today in the Bhote Koshi river have likely been transported 197 by large GLOFs events, supporting the idea that it is unlikely that monsoon generated floods may have 198 the energy threshold required to remobilise very large grain sizes (Cook et al., 2018).

Landslides and debris flows can occur also as a consequence of heavy and persistent rainfall during
the monsoon. Every year the area receives up to 4100 mm of rainfall between June and September

8





(Tanoli et al., 2017). Active monsoons can trigger or reactivate landslides, an example is the Jure
landslide (roughly 15 km southwest of our study sites) occurred in August 2014 (Acharya et al., 2016).
Moreover, intense monsoon rainfall events can trigger debris flows in low order streams channels
within the region (Roback et al., 2018), this allowing for movement of some smaller boulders (> 0.25
m diameter) and allowing hillslope-channel coupling.

206 2.2. Geologic and tectonic setting

207 Our study sites lie within the Main Central Thrust (MCT) zone (Rai et al., 2017), where the rocks of the 208 Higher Himalaya Sequence (HHS) are thrusted over rocks of the Lesser Himalaya Sequence (LHS). The 209 MCT is one of the main faults that accommodate the subduction of the Indian subcontinent under the 210 Eurasian Plate. The MCT has been mapped at the top and bottom of the ~350 m thick Hadi Khola Schist 211 that is sandwiched between the Dhad Khola Gneiss above and the Robang Phyllite below at Tatopani, 212 some 5 km upstream of the study site (DMG, 2005, 2006; Rai, 2011; Reynolds, 2018b). The study site 213 lies entirely within the Benighat Slate, which comprises predominantly black schist, phyllite, quartzite 214 and carbonate rocks (DMG, 2005, 2006; Rai, 2011). The rocks belonging to the HHS are composed by 215 crystalline, amphibolite to granulite facies metamorphic rocks, mainly ortho- and paragneisses, 216 quartzite and schists. The LHS rocks present lower grade metamorphism, increasing towards the MCT, 217 and are largely comprised of phyllites, schists, metasandstones and quartzites (Basnet & Panthi, 2019; 218 Martha et al., 2017; Rai et al., 2017; Upreti, 1999; Gansser, 1964).

219 2.3. Economic assets in the study area – increased vulnerability

Our study sites are located along the Araniko Highway, a major route that connects Kathmandu to Kodari and then links Nepal to China. This main road was significantly affected by earthquake induced landslides in 2015, but is also subjected to landslides every year during the monsoon season (e.g. Whitworth et al., 2020). The area is of strategic importance for Nepal due to the high concentration of hydropower projects, either already in operation or under construction (Khanal et al., 2015). Moreover, the Araniko Highway is a key trade and transport link (Liu et al., 2020) and one of the two





routes between China and Nepal. Khanal et al. (2015) indicate that International trade and tourism between Nepal and China have been growing rapidly since the opening of the Araniko Highway and that this route is economically important, with the records of the Customs Office in Nepal showing a value of US\$ 135.9 million in imports and US\$ 4.1 million in exports in 2011/2012, with both governments benefiting from the revenue.

231 2.4. Selected sites

232 The study site is located at the northern edge of an inferred deep seated gravitational slope 233 deformation around 1.5 km wide that stretches from Hindi in the north to just upstream of Chakhu to 234 the south (Reynolds, 2018b). A secondary landslide body on the northwest-facing valley flank directly 235 impinging the settlement of Hindi, and two debris flow channels were chosen as tagging sites (Fig. 1). 236 The most active debris flow channel of the two marks the northeastern boundary of the landslide, 237 whilst the other channel, which appears to be less active, is located 360 m to the northeast, directly 238 upstream of the densest part of the settlement of Hindi. Both channels intersect the Araniko highway 239 and cross the settlement before merging with the Bhote Koshi. The landslide is a soil slide covering an 240 area of approximately 0.03 km². Colluvium material likely deposited from previous landslides is visible 241 at the headscarp and in the terraces along the southwestern flank, with the presence of large boulders 242 of diameter > 2 m. Large boulders are also observed scattered over the landslide body. The scarp 243 suggests a depth of the landslide of at least 2 m, and large, fresh cracks were observed in the crown 244 area in October 2019, indicating activity during the previous monsoon season.

245 3. Methodology

246 *3.1. Network setup and components*

Twenty-three long range wireless smart sensors, complying with the LoRaWAN® (Long Range Wide Area Network) specification, provided with external GPS and LoRa antennae and measuring 23 mm by 13 mm (Fig. 2B), were used as nodes in the system. The sensors are equipped with an accelerometer configured to sample at 2 Hz, as well as a GPS module. When movement is detected by the





251	accelerometer, so that tilt or acceleration exceed defined thresholds, collection of GPS and
252	accelerometer data is activated. In the absence of movement, the devices are programmed to record
253	and transmit one single location per day at a fixed time. The sensors, which were developed by
254	Movetech Telemetry and Miromico, transmit the acquired data to a LoRaWAN® gateway on the 868
255	MHz band wirelessly and in real time. A Multitech IP67 LoRaWAN® gateway, sends the payloads
256	received from the sensors to a Loriot LoRaWAN® network server through the local GSM network using
257	an agnostic SIM card (Fig.2A-D). The packages are then sent from Loriot to the Movetech Telemetry
258	server and are decoded providing the raw information collected by the nodes.
259	Each sensor was fitted with one (Fig. 2B) or two Lithium C-cells batteries connected in parallel. Twenty-
260	three boulders were individually tagged by embedding the sensors in a hole drilled in the rock (Fig.
261	2C). Each boulder was drilled with a 35 mm core drill, for a length of about 15 cm. The depth of the
262	hole allowed for the emplacement of the C-cell batteries and the sensor. After placement, each hole
263	was filled with epoxy resin, sealing the cavity, thus protecting the device from tampering and from the
264	elements, whilst allowing for unaffected connectivity to the gateway via LoRa.
264 265	elements, whilst allowing for unaffected connectivity to the gateway via LoRa. The position of the gateway, located in the opposite side of the valley at a distance of about 800 m
264 265 266	elements, whilst allowing for unaffected connectivity to the gateway via LoRa. The position of the gateway, located in the opposite side of the valley at a distance of about 800 m from the sensors, at 1330 m a.s.l. and roughly 60 m above the valley bottom was chosen to be within
264 265 266 267	elements, whilst allowing for unaffected connectivity to the gateway via LoRa. The position of the gateway, located in the opposite side of the valley at a distance of about 800 m from the sensors, at 1330 m a.s.l. and roughly 60 m above the valley bottom was chosen to be within reach of the GSM network and have direct line of sight with the sensors (Fig. 1 and 2E). Due to
264 265 266 267 268	elements, whilst allowing for unaffected connectivity to the gateway via LoRa. The position of the gateway, located in the opposite side of the valley at a distance of about 800 m from the sensors, at 1330 m a.s.l. and roughly 60 m above the valley bottom was chosen to be within reach of the GSM network and have direct line of sight with the sensors (Fig. 1 and 2E). Due to unreliable mains power supply, a 4-panels solar system was developed for this purpose. The initial set-
264 265 266 267 268 269	elements, whilst allowing for unaffected connectivity to the gateway via LoRa. The position of the gateway, located in the opposite side of the valley at a distance of about 800 m from the sensors, at 1330 m a.s.l. and roughly 60 m above the valley bottom was chosen to be within reach of the GSM network and have direct line of sight with the sensors (Fig. 1 and 2E). Due to unreliable mains power supply, a 4-panels solar system was developed for this purpose. The initial set- up did not allow for continuous power to the gateway and led to instability in the system with frequent
264 265 266 267 268 269 270	elements, whilst allowing for unaffected connectivity to the gateway via LoRa. The position of the gateway, located in the opposite side of the valley at a distance of about 800 m from the sensors, at 1330 m a.s.l. and roughly 60 m above the valley bottom was chosen to be within reach of the GSM network and have direct line of sight with the sensors (Fig. 1 and 2E). Due to unreliable mains power supply, a 4-panels solar system was developed for this purpose. The initial set- up did not allow for continuous power to the gateway and led to instability in the system with frequent offline times during the 2019 monsoon season. However, the system has been improved and it will
264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271	elements, whilst allowing for unaffected connectivity to the gateway via LoRa. The position of the gateway, located in the opposite side of the valley at a distance of about 800 m from the sensors, at 1330 m a.s.l. and roughly 60 m above the valley bottom was chosen to be within reach of the GSM network and have direct line of sight with the sensors (Fig. 1 and 2E). Due to unreliable mains power supply, a 4-panels solar system was developed for this purpose. The initial set- up did not allow for continuous power to the gateway and led to instability in the system with frequent offline times during the 2019 monsoon season. However, the system has been improved and it will
264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 271	elements, whilst allowing for unaffected connectivity to the gateway via LoRa. The position of the gateway, located in the opposite side of the valley at a distance of about 800 m from the sensors, at 1330 m a.s.l. and roughly 60 m above the valley bottom was chosen to be within reach of the GSM network and have direct line of sight with the sensors (Fig. 1 and 2E). Due to unreliable mains power supply, a 4-panels solar system was developed for this purpose. The initial set- up did not allow for continuous power to the gateway and led to instability in the system with frequent offline times during the 2019 monsoon season. However, the system has been improved and it will guarantee continuous power to the gateway for successive acquisition seasons. The panels currently charge two 12 V, 110 AH batteries that then provide continuous power to the gateway through a POE
264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 272	elements, whilst allowing for unaffected connectivity to the gateway via LoRa. The position of the gateway, located in the opposite side of the valley at a distance of about 800 m from the sensors, at 1330 m a.s.l. and roughly 60 m above the valley bottom was chosen to be within reach of the GSM network and have direct line of sight with the sensors (Fig. 1 and 2E). Due to unreliable mains power supply, a 4-panels solar system was developed for this purpose. The initial set up did not allow for continuous power to the gateway and led to instability in the system with frequent offline times during the 2019 monsoon season. However, the system has been improved and it will charge two 12 V, 110 AH batteries that then provide continuous power to the gateway through a POE (power over ethernet) supply. The solar system is composed by parts that can be sourced locally, at
264 265 267 268 269 270 271 272 272 273 274	elements, whilst allowing for unaffected connectivity to the gateway via LoRa. The position of the gateway, located in the opposite side of the valley at a distance of about 800 m from the sensors, at 1330 m a.s.l. and roughly 60 m above the valley bottom was chosen to be within reach of the GSM network and have direct line of sight with the sensors (Fig. 1 and 2E). Due to unreliable mains power supply, a 4-panels solar system was developed for this purpose. The initial set- up did not allow for continuous power to the gateway and led to instability in the system with frequent offline times during the 2019 monsoon season. However, the system has been improved and it will guarantee continuous power to the gateway for successive acquisition seasons. The panels currently charge two 12 V, 110 AH batteries that then provide continuous power to the gateway through a POE (power over ethernet) supply. The solar system is composed by parts that can be sourced locally, at
264 265 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 273 274 275	elements, whilst allowing for unaffected connectivity to the gateway via LoRa. The position of the gateway, located in the opposite side of the valley at a distance of about 800 m from the sensors, at 1330 m a.s.l. and roughly 60 m above the valley bottom was chosen to be within reach of the GSM network and have direct line of sight with the sensors (Fig. 1 and 2E). Due to unreliable mains power supply, a 4-panels solar system was developed for this purpose. The initial set- up did not allow for continuous power to the gateway and led to instability in the system with frequent offline times during the 2019 monsoon season. However, the system has been improved and it will guarantee continuous power to the gateway for successive acquisition seasons. The panels currently charge two 12 V, 110 AH batteries that then provide continuous power to the gateway through a POE (power over ethernet) supply. The solar system is composed by parts that can be sourced locally, at relatively low cost and that can be transported to sites without road access, such as the site chosen in this study. The nature of the local GSM network, relying on one individual antenna in the area at the





from communicating with the server. The devices deployed in the 2019 season were programmed to not store the data, but to send it immediately, causing the data transmitted during gateway offline time to be lost.

280 3.2. Choice of tracked boulders

281 The tagging sites were selected with the aim of covering different geomorphological settings whilst 282 retaining visibility to the gateway. The boulders identified for tagging are spread over three sites, two 283 debris flow channels and a landslide body (Fig. 1). The boulders cover a range of sizes and geologies, 284 though the geology in this context is not expected to play a significant role in affecting the connectivity 285 of the network. The smallest boulders tagged have b-axis of 0.3 m, whilst the largest boulder has a b-286 axis of 3.3 m (Appendix 1). The selected boulders are characterised by differences in their position at 287 their location. Boulder location and embedment influenced the choice of the accelerometer settings 288 used, as explained in the section below. They can be subdivided into three categories: in channel (IC), 289 partly embedded (PE) and fully embedded (FE) either within the landslide body or in the channel banks 290 (Fig. 3 and Appendix 2). Boulders in the channel are expected to move freely in case of a large event, 291 and to be potentially subjected to collisions. Such event could be a debris flow with sufficient intensity 292 to impart forces high enough to cause the boulder to move downslope within the flow. Fully 293 embedded boulders are not expected to move independently of the surrounding soil mass, as such, 294 they can only move coherently with the material on channel banks or with landslide body if these 295 were to undergo sliding episodes and reactivation. For these boulders, generally only the top part is 296 visible, whilst the bottom is fully surrounded by soil. On the other hand, partly embedded boulders, 297 found at the headscarp, along the southwestern flank of the landslide or in the channel banks, can either move coherently with the surrounding material or become dislodged and begin to move freely 298 on the surface. The second scenario is related to the little amount of soil covering the bottom part, 299 300 particularly in the downslope direction, and this scenario would occur if the soil were to be eroded 301 during intense rainfall events.





302 3.3. Sensors settings

303	The sensors were programmed to send a routine message every 24 hours. As mentioned in section
304	3.1, the sensors can also acquire and send data in association with an accelerometer event for which
305	activation thresholds can be set for impact forces and for angular variations. The sensors can be
306	programmed following two main modes: 1) the accelerometer data is averaged over a window of time
307	(over a number of recordings), we call this mode "average" settings (AVG in Appendix 2) and 2) the
308	absolute value of the maximum acceleration occurring in a time interval can be recorded, and we call
309	this mode "maximum" settings (MAX in Appendix 2). In the first case, the values of the three axes are
310	normalised and the measurements essentially represent the static angle of tilt or inclination, thus the
311	projection of the acceleration of gravity, g, on the three axes, ranging between 0 (for a horizontal axis)
312	and \pm 1 (for a vertical axis). In the second case, the absolute maximum value can be recorded and this
313	can exceed 1 g and can be set to be as high as 2, 4, 8 or 16 g. The measurement resolution changes
314	according to the chosen detectable maximum, so that a scale capped at 2 g has a resolution of 0.016
315	g, whilst a scale capped at 16 g has a resolution of 0.186 g (Appendix 3).

For an individual axis, the variation in the accelerometer values would correspond to an angularchange as shown in Eq. (1):

318

319
$$\gamma = \arcsin(m/1000) * 180^{\circ}/\pi$$
 (1)

320

where γ is the angular variation on a given axis and m is accelerometer value recorded on the same axis in g. According to Eq. (1), if the scale is capped at 2 g, for m = 0.016 g the corresponding angular variation is of ~0.9° if the axis is vertical, but ~5.5° if the axis approaches horizontal. Similarly, if the scale is capped at 16 g, m = 0.186 g corresponds to an angular variation of about 10° when the axis is near-vertical, but this increases to as high as ~21° when the axis approaches the horizontal (Appendix 3). As a consequence of the different resolutions, we observed acquisitions of data triggered by small





- 327 variability in accelerometer measurements around a stable value, rather than true movement, with
- this effect becoming more important in sensors programmed with the coarser scale.

The boulders expected to move coherently with the soil in which they are embedded, and that are 329 330 more likely to experience small and gradual angular variations as the surrounding material gently 331 slides, were programmed with the average settings. We chose to cap accelerometer data for average 332 settings at 2 g, as high impact forces were not expected, and we assigned thresholds for activation on 333 accelerometer events of ~0.4 g and 5° for impact forces and angular changes respectively. The sensors 334 in the two debris flow channels and some of those only partly embedded within the landslide were 335 programmed to record high impact forces using the maximum settings (Appendix 2). In this case, the scale was capped at the maximum detectable force of 16 g and the impact and angular thresholds 336 337 were set at ~4 g and 5° respectively. This angular threshold yielded noisier data with respect to the 338 sensors programmed with the average settings type, because of the direct consequence of a drastic 339 reduction in measurement resolution (Appendix 3). Measurement variability and errors related to the 340 sensors led to spurious data, given the relatively small angular threshold assigned for the highest 341 detectable maximum of 16 g. In other words, given that the step of accelerometer measurement is as 342 high as 0.186 g, a spurious angular variation of more than 5° is often detected even when the boulder 343 is stable, due to intrinsic measurement variability (up to 2 bits).

344 In order to reduce the noise in the data due to these fluctuations, a three-stages smoothing is applied 345 to the raw data. First, a moving window covering 5 successive data points is used. The median value of the 5 data points is assigned to all points in the window that lie within ± 0.186 g of the data point 346 347 immediately before the window. If any of the values lie outside the \pm 0.186 g threshold, then the raw 348 data points are left unchanged. In the second stage, peaks of one data point are removed (i.e. one 349 point above or below two points with the same value), this is because if a high impact force is imparted 350 to a boulder, the position of the boulder is expected to change. This would mean that a high value 351 would likely be followed by a change in the static angle of tilt of the three axes. Therefore, it is 352 unrealistic to have a peak value followed by a value equal to that observed before the peak. In the





third and final stage, another moving window of 5 consecutive data points searches for values that lie within \pm 0.186 g threshold with respect to the last point immediately before the window. The same value of the last point before the window is assigned if all points are within the threshold. If any of the points lie outside of the \pm 0.186 g threshold, the values are left unchanged.

The sensors are equipped with a GPS module, which is currently also used to retrieve the date and 357 358 time of the data acquisition, whilst the data transmission has another timestamp related to the arrival 359 of the data string to the server. The accelerometer readout in the current version of the software is 360 tied to a GPS acquisition, this means that although the accelerometer is measuring as soon as 361 movement is detected, the acquisition is obtained only when the GPS has successfully retrieved the 362 position. An acquisition of accelerometer data with no GPS position can be obtained and transmitted 363 (in which case it would only be associated with a server timestamp), but only after the GPS has 364 attempted to retrieve the position and failed. The timeout for the GPS search has been set to 120 365 seconds. This is because due to the local topographic settings, the availability of enough satellites at a 366 given time may be low. During this time, no accelerometer acquisition can be recorded and 367 transmitted in the current firmware version of the devices, although development has already been 368 made to separate the accelerometer acquisition from the GPS for future acquisition seasons.

369 3.4. Validation data

370 A Bushnell NatureView HD camera was installed at the gateway location. The camera was set to 371 acquire an image every 30 minutes and the field of view included the landslide and the southwestern 372 debris flow channel to around 35 m below the Araniko Highway. Given the rugged terrain and the line of sight, the visibility in the area around the southwestern flank of the landslide is limited and the 373 374 observation is best for the lower part of the slope. Image cuts were performed for analysis over the visible parts of the southern channel and of the landslide (Fig. 1). Pixels visually recognisable in all 375 376 image frames were selected. These correspond to individual trees or boulders and were identified in 377 successive frames. This allowed for a rough estimate of the displacements of these features in the 378 image plane through the available image sequence.





379	Moreover, the landslide body and the southwestern channel (Fig. 1) were scanned with a Faro Focus
380	3D X330 terrestrial laser scanner (TLS) in two successive campaigns in April and in October 2019. Each
381	site was scanned from two scan locations and the point clouds were aligned by matching stable areas
382	using the Multistation Adjustment algorithm in Riegl RiSCAN Pro (v. 2.3.1). The data were analysed to
383	obtain ground displacements during the monsoon season, and processed using the point-to-point
384	cloud comparison method M3C2 in CloudCompare (Lague et al., 2013). Field camera and TLS data
385	were used to identify days characterised by sliding of the landslide body, sliding of the channel banks,
386	boulder movements and areas that underwent significant changes of the ground surface. This data is
387	used in a qualitative way for comparison with and validation of the accelerometer data obtained with
388	the wireless devices and, despite the qualitative approach, this data provided a quite detailed
389	overview of the days in which movement occurred. Two Pe6B 3-component geophones recording at
390	200 Hz were installed on fluvial terraces below the study site to monitor debris flow activity in the
391	debris flow channels (Burtin et al., 2009).

392 **4.** Results

393 We observed that during the 2019 monsoon season, there were important sliding episodes of the 394 main landslide body, which caused small and gradual tilt of the tagged boulders embedded within it. 395 Moreover, although there is no evidence of large debris flows in either of the channels tagged (for 396 example in the seismometer record), some boulders within the southern channel bounding the 397 landslide show data that could indicate rapid movement. Of the 23 boulders tagged, nine show 398 accelerometer time series that are compatible with downslope movement (yellow to red symbols in 399 Fig. 4). Of these, six lie within the landslide body and were programmed with the average settings in 400 order to detect small angular changes (Fig. 5). The remaining three were located within the southern 401 debris flow channel and were programmed with the maximum settings, to capture large (> 1 g) 402 impacts (Fig. 6).



403



ranging from 0.4 to 2.75 m, whilst those that moved in the southern channel have b-axes comprised
between 0.4 and 0.5 m (Appendix 1), thus covering a much smaller range.
The 4 boulders within the landslide that do not show evidence of movement (white circles in Fig. 4),
were fitted with sensors programmed with the *maximum* settings (Appendix 2), due to the fact that
they are partly embedded in the landslide and to the potential to become detached from the landslide
body, and thus given the lower accuracy and coarser scale they could not have detected small, gradual

In terms of boulder sizes, boulders that appeared to have moved within the landslide have b-axes

410 movements even if they had been subjected to them.

411 4.1 Slow movements within the landslide body

412 The movement recorded by boulders embedded within the landslide body is consistent with slow, gradual tilting that occurred coherently with the sliding of the landslide mass. Small rotational 413 414 components of the displacement vector that can either be related to the whole mass or, most likely, 415 to different sectors of the landslide, induce small angular variations to the boulders embedded within 416 the soil, at the surface. Figure 5 shows the accelerometer data for fully or partly embedded boulders programmed with the average settings. An interpretation of this type of movement, related to gentle 417 418 tilting of the boulder within the soil mass, is shown in panels A and B in Fig. 5. The data shows that all 419 sensors that detected movement were appropriately charged throughout the season. Time is shown 420 on the x axis, from 15 May 2019 to 31 Oct 2019, whilst the y axis indicates the value of the projection 421 of g on each accelerometer axis in mg (g-3). The values of each axis are recalculated to show the 422 deviation from the original position for visualisation purposes, rather than the actual values measured (hence all raw data curves begin at 0, and the smoothed curves around zero, due to the smoothing). 423 424 The variations of the accelerometer axes from the original position range from 10 mg to 200 mg in the 425 different sensors. For an individual axis, the variation in the values would correspond to an angular 426 change as shown in Eq. (1). Thus, for m = 10 mg, $\gamma \simeq 0.6^{\circ}$ and $\gamma \simeq 8^{\circ}$ for a near horizontal and near vertical axis respectively and for $m = 200 \text{ mg}, \gamma \cong 12^\circ \text{ and } \gamma \cong 37^\circ \text{ in the horizontal and vertical cases.}$ 427 428 In all boulders the rotation is oblique with respect to all axes and does not occur around any of them.





429	The images acquired by the timelapse camera (a video is provided in supplements), indicate that the
430	landslide moved slowly at the beginning of the rainy season and then accelerated later in the season,
431	most likely in relation to an increase in the pore water pressure within the soil. This temporal evolution
432	is also observed in our accelerometer data. Moreover, it is likely that the landslide is divided in sectors
433	with different activity levels and different response to rainfall through time (e.g. Bonzanigo, 2021). In
434	particular, Fig. 4 and 5 show that the movements of boulders within the landslide not only differ in
435	the magnitude of the angular variations recorded, which is an order of magnitude higher for B# A226
436	and B# 9A41 in comparison to other boulders, but also in the evolution with time. Three boulders (B#
437	33EB, not shown in Fig. 5, B# F3CE and B# 5B6A, the positions of which are also labelled in Appendix
438	2) show movements early in the time series, already during May and June. The other three boulders
439	(B# 96F2, B# A226 and B# 9A41) show a later onset of the movement between late August and mid-
440	September. The boulders with early movements are located below the main scarp (B# F3CE) and in
441	the middle part of the landslide (B# 33EB and B# 5B6A), closer to the channel, whilst those that move
442	later are closer to the southwestern flank of the landslide (B# 9A41 and B# 96F2), thus farther away
443	from the channel, and in the lower half of the landslide body (B# A226).

444 Visual interpretation of the images acquired by the field camera (section 3.4) indicates that significant movements of the landslide body occurred during sliding episodes within the orange hatched area in 445 446 Fig. 4. The area in which visible changes occurred is about 5000 m² and corresponds to the lower 447 portion of the landslide. Fig. 5H indicates the estimated movement magnitudes in the image plane for 448 the lower, medium and upper parts of the visible sliding area (indicated by L, M, U in Fig. 4). 449 Displacements of up to ~2 m in the image plane are detected in the lower and mid-slope parts of the 450 moving area (Fig. 5H and 7A) between the end of August and the beginning of September. The 451 movement observed in the accelerometer data of B# A226 and B# 9A41 (Fig. 5F-G) corresponds to the 452 periods in which higher displacement magnitudes are inferred from the images. Fig. 4 and Fig. 7B also 453 show that boulders B# 5B6A, B# 33EB and B# 9A41 are located in areas surrounded by displacements 454 as seen by the TLS data (yellow hatched areas in Fig. 4). Moreover, two boulders within the upper part





- of the landslide were not found in the field campaign carried out in October 2019 (B# 33EB and B#
 625C), likely due to fresh accumulation of material from the scarp. Indeed, TLS scan data show
- 457 cumulative displacements of up to 1 m over large areas between April and October 2019 (Fig. 7).

458 4.2 Rapid orientation changes of boulders in the southern debris flow channel

459 Fig. 6 shows the accelerometer data obtained for boulders located within the southern debris flow channel or on its banks, between 15 May 2019 and 22 October 2019. The difference in the scale of the 460 accelerometer output with respect to Fig. 5 is explained by the different settings. These boulders were 461 programmed to retrieve actual g values (as opposed to normalised values) and forces up to 16 g. The 462 raw data (grey curves) shows frequent oscillations often within ± 0.186 g around a value 463 464 (corresponding to one step in the accelerometer scale, or one bit) and occasionally up to \pm 0.372 g 465 (two steps in the scale, two bits), associated with measurement variability and the coarse scale used 466 (Section 3.3).

467 As an example, in the graph for B# 4C02, we observe a change from the initial position equivalent to 468 1000 mg in y and around 700 mg in x and z. This is compatible with a change between the initial 469 position (1) and position 2, attained by the boulder by 4 June 2019, as visualised in Fig. 6B. The current 470 settings have not captured how the boulder transitioned between position 1 and position 2, likely due 471 to the very short time interval during which the change is expected to have happened. The GPS 472 acquisition is likely to have taken longer than the movement that triggered the recording and delayed 473 the accelerometer acquisition. This applies to the other two boulders shown in Fig. 6. We do not 474 observe forces > 1 g for any of the sensors programmed with the *maximum* settings, despite the ability 475 of the sensors to detect up to 16 g. This is consistent with a lack of debris flow activity recorded by cameras or seismometers, the more prolonged activity of which would have generated sustained 476 boulder movement, beyond the time needed for GPS acquisition as explained below. 477

Fig. 6G shows rainfall data (daily and cumulative) from GPM IMERG (Bolvin et al., 2015) in green, while
the orange bars indicate days in which movement (sliding of the banks and/or individual boulder
movement) is observed within the channel in the images acquired by the field camera. Often periods

19





481 with movement observations occur after days of moderate to intense and/or persistent rainfall. B# 482 4C02 shows movement data recorded by the accelerometer as early as beginning of June. Even though this is early in the monsoon season, this movement falls within a few days of moderate rainfall at the 483 484 beginning of June during which movements in the channel are already visible in the camera's images. Similarly, B# 57B9 and B# FB58 show movement (i.e. changes in orientation) that are very close in time 485 486 to periods for which other movements are visible within the channel in the images. An example of 487 boulder movement that occurred roughly 25 m downstream of the tagging area is shown in Fig. 8A-B, 488 whilst Fig. 8C shows the areas on the northeastern channel bank and the channel bed for which 489 significant changes in the ground surface during the monsoon season are detected with the TLS data. 490 The vertical green bars in the graphs of B# 57B9 and B# FB58 (Fig. 6C and E) show the uncertainty 491 regarding the timing of the recorded movements. Essentially, each green bar indicates a window of 492 time during which the movement observed may have occurred. The data of each orientation change 493 marked by a green bar may have been transmitted at a different time from the acquisition time, as 494 explained below. An explanation of the different scenarios that are described below is also given in 495 the flowchart in Fig. 9. The position change of B# 4C02, the second event of B# 57B9 and the first event 496 of B# FB58 are characterised by equal GPS timestamp (time of acquisition) and server timestamp (time 497 of transmission). This indicates that the data transmission occurred within seconds of the data 498 acquisition. B# 57B9 shows two changes in orientation between 26 and 30 July 2019. The sensor 499 experienced a gap in the GPS timestamp between 06:15 UTC on 22 July and 06:21 UTC on 28 July, as the GPS failed to obtain a position during this time. Moreover, during this period the gateway went 500 501 temporarily offline. Due to these reasons, it impossible to know whether the movement that cause 502 the orientation chance shown in the data transmitted on 26 July occurred immediately before 503 transmission or during the window for which the GPS timestamp is not available. The gateway 504 experienced another offline period between 09:36 UTC on 28 July and 03:51 UTC on 30 of July, by 505 which time the data shows that an orientation change has occurred. Although the acquisitions have

20





- 506 both GPS and server timestamps and these are the same (i.e. acquisitions sent in real time), the actual 507 movement may have happened at any time between those two timestamps. During the period encompassing the two recorded movements (26 - 30 July), the field camera images 508 509 indicate overcast, rainy conditions that corresponded with important sliding of the right bank of the channel, offering supporting evidence for movement within the channel. B# FB58 sent data from 15 510 511 August 2019 up to 07:17 UTC on 24 August 2019 regularly (based on the server timestamp) but 512 without a GPS time stamp. A small gap follows, due to the gateway being offline, from 07:17 UTC on 513 24 August until 16:00 UTC on 25 August, by when the change of orientation has occurred and the GPS 514 and server time stamp are the same (data sent in real time). Thus, the second movement of B# FB58 515 is likely to have occurred between these two times, even if the data acquired after the gateway was 516 online again has been sent in real time on 25 August. The camera images show that movements on 517 the right bank of the channel occur between 22 and 24 August. The scan data also shows important 518 displacements in the channel right bank (Fig. 8C). Moreover, 5 boulders in the channel (or on the bank) 519 were not found in October 2019 at their original location. Two of these are boulders that appear to 520 have moved in the smart sensors' data and the other three may have been covered by deposition of 521 loose material.
- No boulder movement was recorded for the northern channel, and field observations in October 2019
 revealed no signs of recent activity in the channel, which was completely overgrown with vegetation.

524 4.3 GPS module limitation

The GPS had an overall poor performance across all the sensors during the data acquisition season. The average success rate of GPS acquisition (the ratio between the number of acquisitions with GPS time stamp and all acquisitions) for the 23 sensors is ~49%, with two sensors never acquiring a GPS position throughout the time they have been active. Moreover, the standard deviation of positions ranges between 4.3 m and 15.8 in the x and 5.5 m and 22.6 m in y after removing outliers. The GPS data acquired is unrealistic not only for the magnitude of the position differences of the same boulder, but also because the direction is often inverted in time, which is not compatible with possible boulder





movement. However, the poor performance of the GPS for the purpose of boulder tracking has only
limited impact on the ability to detect movement or orientation changes using the accelerometer, as
outlined in the previous sections.

535 5. Discussion

Our data show that nine out of 23 sensors emplaced in boulders at our tagging sites have transmitted data compatible with real boulder movement, this indicating the potential of the technology used for detecting both gradual angular variations and changes in boulder orientation associated with rapid movements in real time. This result, based on the first deployment of this network, is very promising for the use of this technology in early warning systems in the future, because it shows that the onset of movement can be identified in real time, provided that all components of the network operate correctly.

543 The movements observed for the boulders scattered on the landslide body and embedded within the 544 material can be described as small angular variations that occurred gradually during the season. Visual 545 recognition of such movements in the field or in the camera images and scan data would be unfeasible for individual boulders because they correspond only to small tilt that is difficult to detect with such 546 547 methods. However, there are elements that support the fact that the data acquired by the 548 accelerometer is real and caused by gradual tilting. The images acquired by the cameras show 549 important sliding of the landslide in August-September (Fig. 7A), when the boulders located around 550 the southwestern flank and in the lower part of the landslide show higher magnitude of the angular 551 variations with respect to other boulders (Fig. 5F-G). The fact that the onset of movement observed 552 in six boulders in the landslide is not random but follows a spatial and temporal pattern also supports 553 the idea of a landslide reactivation that causes smaller movements around the headscarp and nearer 554 the channel to occur earlier. The headscarp activity may not only be related to the movement of the 555 entire mass, but also to small collapses of the colluvium material in the steep exposure. This may have 556 led to small movements already from the onset of the monsoon. Movements in this area are





557 supported by data obtained with the TLS that indicate that displacements in the line of sight of up to 558 1 m occurred at or just below the headscarp during the season (Fig. 7B). Moreover, two boulders in this area were not found in October 2019, most likely because they have been covered by recent 559 560 collapses of loose material from the headscarp. The area near the northeastern flank may have experienced an increase in pore pressures due to earlier saturation of the soil here than in the area at 561 the opposite flank, also related to a more rapid increase of the ground water table nearer the channel 562 563 driven by topography. We also observe that the magnitude of movements of boulders closer to the 564 southwestern flank and in the lower slope is higher than elsewhere; this is well supported by 565 observations obtained through the field camera.

Four partly embedded boulders in the landslide (Appendix 2) were programmed with the maximum 566 567 settings and showed no movement (Fig. 4). The reason to choose this setting type for these boulders 568 is that the nature of their position (PE) may have led to larger and faster downslope movements if 569 they had become dislodged. Given the lower resolution of the data obtainable from the maximum 570 settings, it is possible that nothing is observed for these boulders even if they moved consistently with 571 the landslide body and experienced tilting of a few degrees. In other words, it is possible that such 572 boulders also moved but that the nature of the movements may have been too subtle to be captured 573 with the settings applied. It is also possible that these boulders found themselves outside of the active 574 sectors of the landslide, although this seems less likely given the observations obtained in the field 575 and also from camera images and scan data.

Another element that supports the fact that the recorded accelerometer data is associated with real boulder movement is related to boulder size. Appendix 1 shows boulder sizes for boulders with and without movement in the three different tagging sites. For boulders within the landslide body, a size control on movement was not anticipated. This is because boulders were expected to move coherently with the landslide mass and thus their potential to be transported would be independent from their size. On the contrary, in the channel, and particularly for boulders lying in the channel bed, a size control on movement is expected, because the size of boulders that could be mobilised by a





flow depends on the flow intensity (Clarke, 1996). Therefore, a flow with low intensity could not be expected to mobilise the largest boulders tagged. The observations indicate that boulders that show movements in the landslide are characterised by a much higher range of b-axes than those in the channel (Appendix 1).

For boulders programmed with the *maximum* settings, we observed noisier accelerometer data than for those programmed with the *average* settings. What controls this behaviour is not the fact that the sensors were programmed to detect the maximum force or the static tilt respectively, but rather the scale that was chosen and associated with the two settings types. As mentioned before, 16 g and 2 g

591 were chosen as values to cap the scale in the *maximum* and *average* settings respectively.

592 When a sensor is programmed to be capable of capturing forces impacting a boulder as high as 16 g, 593 the resolution currently available for the accelerometer's reading is of 0.186 g. Although this is a 594 relatively small value with respect to 16 g, this corresponds to an angular variation of 10.7°. Moreover, 595 we observe that measurement variability is often 1 bit, but occasionally 2 bits, the latter corresponding 596 to 0.372 g and an angular variation of 21.8°. As the sensors can be activated on both an angular 597 threshold or an impact threshold detected on any of the axes, care must be taken when selecting the 598 angular threshold in relation to the achievable accuracy. An angular threshold of 5° at this resolution 599 is below the measurement error and can trigger a large amount of spurious data strings. This has the 600 negative effect of diluting the signal with noise and, crucially, to reduce battery lifetime. The downside 601 of programming sensors with the settings for high impacts recording is that small angular variations cannot be detected. Future improvements of the accelerometer accuracy, resulting for example from 602 603 the activation of the 9-axes IMU present in the hardware of the devices, could reduce this problem. Although the GPS module is expected to produce readings with a positional error of less than 2 m in 604

normal conditions, we observed a significant increase in the standard deviation of the measurements
in northing and easting. This could be caused by three effects: 1) the narrow valley drastically reduces
the visibility time of any passing satellites and thus the chances that a suitable number of satellites
will be available to each sensor for calculating the position; 2) the GPS is activated relatively rarely and





609	this may reduce accuracy (and thus in time precision) of the obtained positions; 3) the rock in which
610	the sensors are embedded appears to deteriorate the signal. Experiments carried out at the sites have
611	shown that even sensors placed outside of a boulder, held in the open air and away from obstacles,
612	needed several minutes to get a GPS position. Moreover, experiments carried out in the UK, at an
613	open site, have shown that the same sensors at the same site retrieved a position within a radius of
614	~50 m when placed inside a boulder and within a radius of ~2 m when held in the open air. The
615	acquisition of a GPS position is also what causes the largest battery expenditure in the sensors and it
616	is therefore detrimental for long-term data acquisition on boulder movement. The high positional
617	errors and the important battery expenditure make the current GPS module not fit for the purpose of
618	tracking boulders in rugged terrains.

As mentioned above, it is possible to retrieve data strings from the sensors without a GPS timestamp. So, even if a GPS position, date and time cannot be acquired, the accelerometer data can be recorded and transmitted anyway, with the server timestamp. In this sense, the fact that the accelerometer was tied to the GPS during the 2019 acquisition season, so that the accelerometer data could be recorded only once the GPS acquisition has been attempted and failed, did not invalidate completely the data output.

625 However, there are also important limitations related to this. As the time for the GPS acquisition 626 attempt was set to 120 seconds, the sensor measures the acceleration already during this time, but it does not record it nor transmit it until the GPS position is either acquired or fails. In the case of fast 627 movements, or relatively large impacts caused by the sudden movements of boulders within the flow, 628 629 120 seconds (this would often be even more, in case a GPS acquisition is being obtained) may be 630 enough time for the movement to begin and stop. This may explain why, although the boulders in the 631 channel were programmed to detect high forces, they never show accelerometer values higher than 632 1 g (either negative or positive). In essence, these sensors have also only recorded the static tilt and 633 different orientations acquired by the boulders in time, but not the actual movement as it unfolded. 634 For instance, the position change of B# 4C02, B# 57B9 (second event, i.e. event that causes transition





from position 2 and 3) and B# FB58 (first event, i.e. event that causes transition from position 1 and 635 636 2) were received in real time. This means that as soon as the data string indicating a different orientation with respect to the previous data string was acquired, it was also sent. In this type of 637 638 situation, the GPS timestamp is the same as the server timestamp, but there is no recording of the movement as it unfolded. The event of B# 4C02 points to the fact that the GPS delayed the acquisition 639 640 of the accelerometer data, because the gateway was online during the time in which the orientation 641 change must have occurred. Given that there is no evidence of large debris flows during the 2019 642 monsoon season, B# 4C02 may just be one example of minor boulder movement that started and 643 stopped within the ~120 seconds time interval. This may be improved in successive acquisition seasons, since development has been made in order to separate the GPS from the accelerometer 644 645 acquisitions. The next batch of devices that will be deployed in the network will thus be able to capture 646 faster rotation already from the start of the movement.

647 The picture may be complicated even further by the fact that occasionally the gateway experienced 648 some offline time, due either to the battery not being recharged properly or to GSM connection loss. 649 This is the case of B# 57B9 (second event) and B# FB58 (first event), in which we observe that the data 650 string indicating an orientation change is sent in real time, but follows a gap in the gateway 651 connectivity. In this case, the movement may have occurred at any point during the offline period of the gateway, then the first acquisition since the gateway became once again online is sent in real time. 652 653 However, a new solar system is now in place and will prevent future power issues during future 654 acquisition seasons.

655 5.1 Advantages and limitations of this technology

The LoRaWAN[®] smart active sensors developed in this study for the purpose of identifying boulder movements has already shed light on its potential advantages and its limitations. The technology used is independent of weather conditions. The communication between the tags and the gateway is not hampered by adverse weather conditions and movements were observed during overcast and rainy days. This is of course true if the gateway is powered with batteries of sufficient capacity to withstand





661 days with insufficient sunlight, which may occur during the monsoon season. Although a good visibility 662 of the sensors from the gateway increases connectivity between the nodes and the gateway, the longrange nature of the system allows for a network that extends over a relatively large area. In our case, 663 664 we were able to obtain data from boulders located at up to 800 m from the gateway, covering an area of about 0.25 km², this likely not being the upper limit of the achievable range. This is especially 665 666 advantageous for a number of reasons. Different geomorphic features can be monitored with the 667 same gateway, in our case including a landslide and two debris flow channels. Moreover, in 668 comparison with other innovative and promising techniques such as passive RFID technology (Le 669 Breton et al., 2019), which can currently allow for a range of about 60 m, our network offer the 670 advantage of covering different sectors of the main landslide, in case of large unstable areas, thus not 671 limiting the observation to restricted sectors, which could offer a more complete picture of the 672 instability dynamics. Moreover, the long range of our devices can allow to increase the monitoring 673 area further, thus potentially enabling us to identify movement further upstream in the monitored 674 channels, which is essential to provide enough lead time to secure operations at major infrastructure 675 sites or to alert downstream populations.

676 An important characteristic of the devices used in this study as opposed with other techniques is that 677 they are active and can easily be assigned thresholds (e.g. acceleration or tilt) that can be used in an 678 early warning system context. Moreover, the devices can be embedded directly inside boulders, 679 without the need for additional supports that may 1) make the devices more visible/exposed and thus 680 more subjected to intentional tampering or animal damage, 2) there is no additional movement to be 681 accounted for (e.g. tilting of supporting poles). The technology is also relatively low cost and has the 682 potential to become competitive and cost-effective in the future. The most expensive component is 683 the gateway (~1000 USD), whilst the devices are around 200 USD each. The ability to retrieve the tags 684 after battery consumption has already been investigated and will be implemented in successive 685 acquisition seasons, will allow for a durable, cost-effective network. This may make this technology

27





- 686 more affordable than other more expensive techniques such as GB-InSAR, GPS or total stations and
- 687 can allow dense networks.
- The main drawback encountered in this study is the poor performance of the GPS module, which made 688 689 it impossible to directly evaluate the magnitude of displacements either of the landslide or of individual boulders. Measurements of displacement are ideally needed to understand landslide 690 691 velocity changes in time and space for example in response to climatic forcing (e.g. Handwerger et al., 692 2019; Bennett et al., 2016) as well as to identify the acceleration of a landslide towards failure (e.g. 693 Carlà et al., 2019; Handwerger et al., 2019). Moreover, the GPS acquisition, tied to the recording of 694 accelerometer data, has hampered in some cases the ability to obtain the full sequence of 695 accelerations experienced by the boulders. This issue will however be resolved in the next acquisition 696 season, since further development has allowed us to make the accelerometer independent of GPS 697 acquisitions. Work is also planned to write the firmware to enable the gyroscope and magnetometer 698 on the device, which will give more detail of boulder dynamics such as rotations. Finally, the 699 connectivity of the gateway to the server (during offline periods) has prevented some of the time the 700 ability to receive the movement signal in real time. This problem has now been resolved, with a more 701 stable solar system currently powering the gateway, thus future acquisition seasons should benefit of 702 higher robustness and less connectivity loss.

703 6. Conclusions

We show the application of a smart sensor LoRaWAN® network for the detection of boulder movements within a landslide and a debris flow channel in the Upper Bhote Koshi catchment (northeastern Nepal). We tagged 23 boulders ahead of the 2019 monsoon season with devices equipped with an accelerometer and able to send data in real time to a LoRaWAN® gateway. Of these 23 boulders, nine sent data compatible with movement. Six of these were fully or partly embedded in a soil slide and are characterised by accelerometer time series that indicate slow, gradual angular variations. Such angular variations reflect the coherent movement of boulders within the landslide





mass. The reactivation of the landslide is confirmed by both timelapse cameras and TLS data. Also, the movements show staggered onset, so that the boulders nearer the scarp or the lower boundary, near the channel, began to move earlier in the season than other boulders. In the channel, only three boulders show data likely corresponding to sharp, sudden movements and rotations that occurred in response to intense or persistent rainfall. The sizes of the boulders that moved in the channel are towards the smallest end of the boulders tagged in the channel, reflecting the fact that no large debris flows were observed in the channel during the 2019 monsoon season.

718 Though with some limitations, the technology has proven able to detect boulder movements with this 719 type of device, for the first time in a field setup as opposed to a laboratory setup. In the optimal 720 conditions of all the component of the network operating properly, the ability to capture the onset of 721 movement in real-time is an important premise to the use of this technology in early warning systems 722 of slope movements that involve the presence of hazardous boulders. This pilot study also hints at the 723 potential of these devices to further understanding of landslide dynamics, for example the timing of 724 movement in response to rainfall and the spatial sequencing of movement across a landslide. The 725 most important challenge that we believe has prevented the recording of the complete movement for 726 the boulders in the channel is related to the current requirement for a GPS position to be acquired for 727 the accelerometer data to be recorded and transmitted. Furthermore, the poor GPS performance 728 currently precludes the measurement of displacement of the landslide. However, the sensors are 729 already equipped with a 9-axis IMU comprising an accelerometer, a gyroscope and a magnetometer, 730 that have not been ready for the field tests in Nepal, but that in the future are expected to replace the 731 need for an accurate GPS.

Future work will involve the tagging of more boulders at the same sites of the current network to improve the accelerometer sampling frequency, the now improved the stability of the network connectivity, more suitable programming settings and the ability to retrieve and reuse the tags. In the next batch of devices, we will be able to activate the accelerometer and record movement data independently of the GPS acquisition. This is expected to significantly speed up data acquisition and





transmission to the server, which will be a step forward in view of using this technology for early warnings. Moreover, this will also allow us to capture the whole accelerations sequence associated with fast rotations induced by large impact forces and may enhance the understanding of boulder movement from the hillslopes into the river network.

741 Acknowledgments

742 This work was carried out as part of the BOULDER project, funded by the NERC/SHEAR Catalyst 743 program (NE/S005951/1). Nick Griffin carried out essential work related to powering the devices and 744 setting up the solar system. Gareth Flowerdew indefatigably carried out the drilling, essential for 745 embedding the devices in the boulders. Phil Atkinson has contributed to this work by helping decoding 746 the raw data and managing SIM card usage of the gateway. Shuva Sharma and Pawan Timsina from 747 Scott Wilson Nepal (SWN) provided support during the initial phases of the work, network installation 748 and helped organising dissemination workshops for the project. Bhairab Sitaula's contribution to 749 logistical and technical aspects of the field campaigns was essential. Bibek Raj Shreshta contributed to 750 boulder tagging and Joshua Jones helped finding the tagged boulders after the monsoon. Luc Illien helped placing the seismometers for detection of debris flows for validation of our data. Alan Rae and 751 752 Stephen Drewett provided support related to the LoRaWAN® server and the gateway. Stephen 753 Laycock at UEA shared a code to visualise our accelerometer data and the orientation changes with a 754 model boulder.

755

756 Author Contributions

B.D. tested and programmed the sensors, analysed the data and wrote the paper; G.L.B. shaped the
idea, wrote the proposal obtaining funding for this work and contributed to the data analysis; A.M.F.
tested and programmed the sensors and contributed to the data analysis. B.D., G.L.B., A.M.F. and
M.R.Z.W. carried out field work and network installation. C.L.K. installed the seismometers, carried
out the two scans of the area and contributed to the analysis of the scan data. A.S. carried out software





- 762 development and participated to field work. J. M. R. contributed to the original idea of the project. All
- authors revised and made contributions to the manuscript.
- 764

765 **Competing Interests statement**

- 766 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 767
- 768





769 7. References

Acharya, T. D., Mainali, S. C., Yang, I. T. and Lee, D. H.: Analysis of jure landslide dam, Sindhupalchowk
using GIS and Remote Sensing, Int. Arch. Photogramm. Remote Sens. Spat. Inf. Sci. - ISPRS Arch., 41,
201–203, doi:10.5194/isprsarchives-XLI-B6-201-2016, 2016.

773 Basnet, C. B. and Panthi, K. K.: Evaluation on the Minimum Principal Stress State and Potential Hydraulic

Jacking from the Shotcrete-Lined Pressure Tunnel: A Case from Nepal, Rock Mech. Rock Eng., 52(7),
2377–2399, doi:10.1007/s00603-019-1734-z, 2019.

776 Bennett, G. L. and Ryan, S.: Rock and Roll: Passive sensing of fluvial bedload and wood transport and 777 interaction, Eguga, 18272, 2018.

778 Bennett, G. L., Roering, J. J., Mackey, B. H., Handwerger, A. L., Schmidt, D. A. and Guillod, B. P.: Historic

drought puts the brakes on earthflows in Northern California, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43(11), 5725–5731,
doi:10.1002/2016GL068378, 2016a.

781 Bennett, G. L., Miller, S. R., Roering, J. J. and Schmidt, D. A.: Landslides, threshold slopes, and the survival
of relict terrain in the wake of the Mendocino Triple Junction, Geology, 44(5), 363–366,
doi:10.1130/G37530.1, 2016b.

784 Bolvin, D. T., Braithwaite, D., Hsu, K., Joyce, R., Kidd, C., Nelkin, E. J., Xie, P., Huffman, G., Bolvin, D. T., 785 Braithwaite, D., Hsu, K., Joyce, R., Kidd, C., Nelkin, E. J. and Xie, P.: NASA Global Precipitation 786 Measurement (GPM) Integrated Multi-satellitE Retrievals for GPM (IMERG) Prepared for: Global 787 Precipitation Measurement (GPM) National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Algorithm 788 Basis Doc. Version 4.5, 4(November), 26 [online] Available Theor. from: 789 https://pmm.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/imce/times_allsat.jpg%0Ahttps://pmm.nasa.gov/sites/def

ault/files/document_files/IMERG_ATBD_V4.5.pdf%0Ahttps://pmm.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/docu
 ment_files/IMERG_ATBD_V4.5.pdf, 2015.

792 Bonzanigo, L.: The Landslide of Campo Vallemaggia, in World Geomorphological Landscapes, pp. 379–
386, Springer., 2021.

Passive radio-frequency identification ranging, a dense and weather-robust technique for landslide
displacement monitoring, Eng. Geol., 250, 1–10, doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2018.12.027, 2019.

797 Burtin, A., Bollinger, L., Cattin, R., Vergne, J. and Nábělek, J. L.: Spatiotemporal sequence of Himalayan
debris flow from analysis of high-frequency seismic noise, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 114(4),
doi:10.1029/2008JF001198, 2009.

800 Burtin, A., Cattin, R., Bollinger, L., Vergne, J., Steer, P., Robert, A., Findling, N. and Tiberi, C.: Towards the
hydrologic and bed load monitoring from high-frequency seismic noise in a braided river: The "torrent
de St Pierre", French Alps, J. Hydrol., 408(1–2), 43–53, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.07.014, 2011.

803 Carlà, T., Intrieri, E., Raspini, F., Bardi, F., Farina, P., Ferretti, A., Colombo, D., Novali, F. and Casagli, N.:
804 Authoritic Perspectives on the prediction of catastrophic slope failures from satellite InSAR
805 (Sciencific Perspective) 2010 (2010) 10 (2010) 2010 (2010) 2010 (2010) 2010

805 (Scientific Reports, (2019), 9, 1, (14137), 10.1038/s41598-019-50792-y), Sci. Rep., 9(1), 1–9,
 806 doi:10.1038/s41598-019-55024-x, 2019.

807 Carr, J. C., DiBiase, R. A., Yeh, E. C., Carr, J. C., DiBiase, R. A. and Yeh, E. C.: High resolution UAV surveys of
bedrock rivers in Taiwan reveal connections between lithology, structure, and channel morphology,
in Agufm, vol. 2018, pp. T23A-0340., 2018.

810 Caviezel, A., Schaffner, M., Cavigelli, L., Niklaus, P., Bühler, Y., Bartelt, P., Magno, M. and Benini, L.: Design
and Evaluation of a Low-Power Sensor Device for Induced Rockfall Experiments, IEEE Trans. Instrum.
Meas., 67(4), 767–779, doi:10.1109/TIM.2017.2770799, 2018.

813 Clarke, A. O.: Estimating probable maximum floods in the Upper Santa Ana basin, Southern California,
from stream boulder size, Environ. Eng. Geosci., 2(2), 165–182, doi:10.2113/gseegeosci.ii.2.165, 1996.
815 Collins, B. D. and Jibson, R. W.: Assessment of Existing and Potential Landslide Hazards Resulting from the

April 25, 2015 Gorkha, Nepal Earthquake Sequence (ver.1.1, August 2015) U.S. Geological Survey
Open-file Report 2015-1142, US Geological Survey., 2015.

818 Cook, K., Andermann, C., Adhikari, B., Schmitt, C. and Marc, O.: Post-earthquake modification of 2015





- Gorkha Earthquake landslides in the Bhote Koshi River valley, Eguga, EPSC2016-9482, 2016. 819
- 820 Cox, R.: Megagravel deposits on the west coast of Ireland show the impacts of severe storms, Weather, 821 75(3), 72-77, doi:10.1002/wea.3677, 2020.
- 822 Gansser, A.: Geology of the Himalayas. Regional Geology Series, Wiley, London., 1964.
- 823 Gilbert, N. I., Correia, R. A., Silva, J. P., Pacheco, C., Catry, I., Atkinson, P. W., Gill, J. A. and Aldina, A. M.:
- Are white storks addicted to junk food? Impacts of landfill use on the movement and behaviour of 824 825 resident white storks (Ciconia ciconia) from a partially migratory population, Mov. Ecol., 4(1), 7,
- 826 doi:10.1186/s40462-016-0070-0, 2015.
- 827 Glueer, F., Loew, S., Manconi, A. and Aaron, J.: From Toppling to Sliding: Progressive Evolution of the 828 Moosfluh Landslide, Switzerland, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 124(12), 2899-2919, doi:10.1029/2019JF005019, 2019. 829
- 830 Gronz, O., Hiller, P. H., Wirtz, S., Becker, K., Iserloh, T., Seeger, M., Brings, C., Aberle, J., Casper, M. C. and 831 Ries, J. B.: Smartstones: A small 9-axis sensor implanted in stones to track their movements, Catena, 832 142, 245-251, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2016.03.030, 2016.
- 833 Guo, C. wen, Huang, Y. dan, Yao, L. kan and Alradi, H.: Size and spatial distribution of landslides induced 834 by the 2015 Gorkha earthquake in the Bhote Koshi river watershed, J. Mt. Sci., 14(10), 1938–1950, 835 doi:10.1007/s11629-016-4140-y, 2017.
- 836 Handwerger, A. L., Fielding, E. J., Huang, M. H., Bennett, G. L., Liang, C. and Schulz, W. H.: Widespread 837 Initiation, Reactivation, and Acceleration of Landslides in the Northern California Coast Ranges due to Extreme Rainfall, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 124(7), 1782–1797, doi:10.1029/2019JF005035, 2019. 838
- 839 Huber, M., Lupker, M., Gallen, S., Christl, M. and Gajurel, A.: Timing of exotic, far-travelled boulder
- 840 emplacement and paleo-outburst flooding in the central Himalaya, Earth Surf. Dyn. Discuss., 1–29, 841 doi:10.5194/esurf-2020-17, 2020.
- 842 Intrieri, E., Gigli, G., Mugnai, F., Fanti, R. and Casagli, N.: Design and implementation of a landslide early 843 warning system, Eng. Geol., 147–148, 124–136, doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2012.07.017, 2012.
- 844 Kargel, J. S., Leonard, G. J., Shugar, D. H., Haritashya, U. K., Bevington, A., Fielding, E. J., Fujita, K., 845 Geertsema, M., Miles, E. S., Steiner, J., Anderson, E., Bajracharya, S., Bawden, G. W., Breashears, D. F., 846 Byers, A., Collins, B., Dhital, M. R., Donnellan, A., Evans, T. L., Geai, M. L., Glasscoe, M. T., Green, D., 847 Gurung, D. R., Heijenk, R., Hilborn, A., Hudnut, K., Huyck, C., Immerzeel, W. W., Jiang, L., Jibson, R.,
- 848 Kääb, A., Khanal, N. R., Kirschbaum, D., Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Lamsal, D., Liu, S., Lv, M., McKinney, D.,
- 849 Nahirnick, N. K., Nan, Z., Ojha, S., Olsenholler, J., Painter, T. H., Pleasants, M., Pratima, K. C., Yuan, Q. 850
- I., Raup, B. H., Regmi, D., Rounce, D. R., Sakai, A., Shangguan, D., Shea, J. M., Shrestha, A. B., Shukla,
- 851 A., Stumm, D., Van Der Kooij, M., Voss, K., Wang, X., Weihs, B., Wolfe, D., Wu, L., Yao, X., Yoder, M. R.
- 852 and Young, N.: Geomorphic and geologic controls of geohazards induced by Nepal's 2015 Gorkha 853 earthquake, Science (80-.)., 351(6269), doi:10.1126/science.aac8353, 2016.
- 854 Khanal, N. R., Hu, J. M. and Mool, P.: Glacial lake outburst flood risk in the Poiqu/Bhote Koshi/Sun Koshi 855 river basin in the Central Himalayas, Mt. Res. Dev., 35(4), 351–364, doi:10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-15-856 00009, 2015.
- 857 Lague, D., Brodu, N. and Leroux, J.: Accurate 3D comparison of complex topography with terrestrial laser 858 scanner: Application to the Rangitikei canyon (N-Z), ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens., 82, 10–26, 859 doi:10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2013.04.009, 2013.
- 860 Liu, M., Chen, N., Zhang, Y. and Deng, M.: Glacial lake inventory and lake outburst flood/debris flow 861 hazard assessment after the gorkha earthquake in the Bhote Koshi Basin, Water (Switzerland), 12(2), 862 464, doi:10.3390/w12020464, 2020.
- 863 Loew, S., Gschwind, S., Gischig, V., Keller-Signer, A. and Valenti, G.: Monitoring and early warning of the 864 2012 Preonzo catastrophic rockslope failure, Landslides, 14(1), 141-154, doi:10.1007/s10346-016-865 0701-y, 2017.
- 866 Martha, T. R., Roy, P., Mazumdar, R., Govindharaj, K. B. and Kumar, K. V.: Spatial characteristics of 867 landslides triggered by the 2015 Mw 7.8 (Gorkha) and Mw 7.3 (Dolakha) earthquakes in Nepal, 868 Landslides, 14(2), 697–704, doi:10.1007/s10346-016-0763-x, 2017.
- 869 Nathan Bradley, D. and Tucker, G. E.: Measuring gravel transport and dispersion in a mountain river using





870 passive radio tracers, Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 37(10), 1034–1045, doi:10.1002/esp.3223, 2012. 871 Naylor, L. A., Stephenson, W. J., Smith, H. C. M., Way, O., Mendelssohn, J. and Cowley, A.: 872 Geomorphological control on boulder transport and coastal erosion before, during and after an 873 extreme extra-tropical cyclone, Earth Surf. Process. Landforms, 41(5), 685-700. 874 doi:10.1002/esp.3900, 2016.

875 Panicker, J. G., Azman, M. and Kashyap, R.: A LoRa Wireless Mesh Network for Wide-Area Animal Tracking, in Proceedings of 2019 3rd IEEE International Conference on Electrical, Computer and 876 877 Communication Technologies, ICECCT 2019, pp. 1–5, IEEE., 2019.

878 Rai, S. M., Yoshida, M., Upreti, B. N. and Ulak, P. Das: Geology of the Lesser and Higher Himalayan 879 sequences along the Bhotekoshi River section between Syabru Besi and Rasuwa Gadhi (Nepal- China 880 border) area, central Nepal Himalaya, Bull. Nepal Geol. Soc., 34(April), 2017.

881 Regmi, A. D., Dhital, M. R., Zhang, J. qiang, Su, L. jun and Chen, X. qing: Landslide susceptibility assessment 882 of the region affected by the 25 April 2015 Gorkha earthquake of Nepal, J. Mt. Sci., 13(11), 1941–1957,

883 doi:10.1007/s11629-015-3688-2, 2016.

884 Reynolds, J. M.: Integrated Geohazard Assessments in high mountain environments : examples from the 885 Hindu Kush-Karakoram- Himalayan Region, in Proceedings of ASIA, pp. 1–8, Da Nang, Vietnam., 2018.

886 Roback, K., Clark, M. K., West, A. J., Zekkos, D., Li, G., Gallen, S. F., Chamlagain, D. and Godt, J. W.: The 887 size, distribution, and mobility of landslides caused by the 2015 Mw7.8 Gorkha earthquake, Nepal, 888 Geomorphology, 301, 121–138, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.01.030, 2018.

889 Shobe, C. M., Bennett, G. L., Tucker, G. E., Roback, K., Miller, S. R. and Roering, J. J.: Boulders as a lithologic 890 control on river and landscape response to tectonic forcing at the Mendocino triple junction, GSA Bull., 891 doi:10.1130/b35385.1, 2020.

892 Soriano-Redondo, A., Acácio, M., Franco, A. M. A., Herlander Martins, B., Moreira, F., Rogerson, K. and 893 Catry, I.: Testing alternative methods for estimation of bird migration phenology from GPS tracking 894 data, Ibis (Lond. 1859)., 162(2), 581-588, doi:10.1111/ibi.12809, 2020.

895 Tanoli, J. I., Ningsheng, C., Regmi, A. D. and Jun, L.: Spatial distribution analysis and susceptibility mapping 896 of landslides triggered before and after Mw7.8 Gorkha earthquake along Upper Bhote Koshi, Nepal, Arab. J. Geosci., 10(13), 277, doi:10.1007/s12517-017-3026-9, 2017. 897

898 Tsai, V. C., Minchew, B., Lamb, M. P. and Ampuero, J. P.: A physical model for seismic noise generation 899 from sediment transport in rivers, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39(2), doi:10.1029/2011GL050255, 2012.

900 Upreti, B. N.: An overview of the stratigraphy and tectonics of the Nepal Himalaya, J. Asian Earth Sci., 901 17(5-6), 577-606, doi:10.1016/S1367-9120(99)00047-4, 1999.

902 Whitworth, M. R. Z., Moore, A., Francis, M., Hubbard, S. and Manandhar, S.: Building a more resilient 903 Nepal - The utilisation of the resilience scorecard for Kathmandu, Nepal following the Gorkha 904 Earthquake of 2015, Lowl. Technol. Int., 21(4), 229-236, 2020.

905

906







Fig. 1. Overview of study area and network, including three tagged sites (two debris flow channels and a landslide body). Red box, zoom of two tagged sites. Yellow boxes, terrestrial laser scanner areas. Orange box, field view of field camera. Image: Pleiades (CEOS Landslides Pilot).







Fig. 2. A) Sketch of the network, its components and communication methods. B-C) Sensor and tagging of a boulder. D) Gateway setup. E) Overview of the tagging sites from the gateway. Gateway visible in the far left of the image. Blue dashed lines mark the debris flow channels and red dashed lines mark the boundaries of the landslide.







Fig. 3. A) Sketch of boulder position types. B-C) Examples of partly embedded (PE) boulders within the landslide body. D-E-F) Examples of fully embedded (FE) boulders within the landslide body. G-H) Examples of boulders inside the main channel (IC). I) Example of fully embedded (FE) boulder within the channel bank.







Fig. 4. Zoom of two tagged sites. The sizes are scaled according to the b-axis of the boulders (example of scales given for boulders without movement in legend but applies to all boulders). White squares are boulders that did not move or for which movement was not recorded. Green circles are boulders in the debris flow channel. Yellow to red symbols are boulders within the landslide body. Hatched areas are zones with observed movement through images (L: lower, M: mid-slope, U: upper). and terrestrial laser scanning. Image: Pleiades (CEOS Landslides Pilot).







Fig. 5. Accelerometer data deviation from initial position for boulders within the landslide body through the monsoon season. A-B) Sketch of possible movement of embedded or partly embedded boulders. C) Estimated displacements of lower, mid-slope and upper parts of the slope obtained through field camera images. The yellow, orange and red curves in the line plots (Fig. 5C-G) represent the smoothed data of the accelerometer x, y, and z axes respectively, the grey curves represent the raw data for each axis. The blue curve shows the battery voltage, and the blue horizontal dashed line represent the 3.3 V threshold below which the battery is discharged and faulty behaviour may be expected.







Fig. 6. Accelerometer data deviation from initial position for boulders in the debris flow channel and its banks through the monsoon season. Light green bars represent uncertainty in the movement timing due to lack of GPS acquisition (i.e. no time recorded) or offline gateway. A) Daily and cumulative rainfall data from GPM. Yellow bars represent days in which movements are observed in the channel and/or on its banks in the field camera images. B-C-D) Model boulder 3D visualisation to represent the change from the initial positions of the boulders and the positions acquired after the recorded movement. Numbers of positions are marked in the accelerometer graphs.







Fig. 7. Examples of movements in the landslide body between A and B. Coloured circles represent visually traceable pixels. Their movement is visible through the superposed grid. Approximate location of B# A226 is shown. C) Scan data for the upper part of the landslide area shows several zones of movement, where red represents accumulation and blue erosion. Black crosses over the boulders represent boulders that were not found after the monsoon season. Image: Pleiades (CEOS Landslides Pilot).







Fig. 8. Example of movements in the debris flow channel between A and B. Example of movements in the channel banks and in the channel between C and D. Coloured circles represent traceable pixels. Coloured boxes represent areas in which large changes are observed. E) Scan data for the channel showing several zones of movement, blue represent collapse of parts of the orographic right bank, red represents accumulation areas. Black crosses over the boulders represent boulders that were not found after the monsoon season. Image: Pleiades (CEOS Landslides Pilot).







Fig. 9. Flowchart illustrating the presence of GPS timestamp (GPS TS) and server timestamp (SV TS) and the different scenario of GPS acquisition and data transmission.







A1. Histograms of boulders b-axis. Colours indicate boulders with movement (light red) or no movement (grey), whilst the panels, top to bottom represent all boulders, landslide boulders, and boulders in the south and north channels respectively. Boulders within the landslide show movements even when their sizes are large, whilst those in the southern channel had preferentially b-axis between 0.4 and 0.5 m.







A2. Zoom of two tagged sites. Sizes represent the range between the a-axis and the c-axis of the boulders (equal axes, range 0; most elongated boulders, range 2.2). Sizes are shown in legend for squared symbols but apply to all boulders. Colours represent setting types and symbols represent location type. Image: Pleiades (CEOS Landslides Pilot).







A3. Resolution and sensitivity of the accelerometer with scale capped at 2, 4, 8, and 16 g respectively. The vertical lines represent the angular variation corresponding to each step in the scale (mg). The graphs show that for increasing maximum detectable value, the resolution decreases significantly. Moreover, the sensitivity is higher when the axis is vertical than when the axis is horizontal, i.e. when the axis is near horizontal, a larger angular variation is required to make one step in the g scale. Thus, the angular threshold used to trigger a fix has to be higher than the maximum angular change needed to make a step in the g scale when the axis is near horizontal. This is shown as H in the text box in the plots.