Current glacier recession causes significant rockfall increase:  
The immediate paraglacial response of deglaciating cirque walls
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Abstract. In the European Alps almost half the glacier volume disappeared over the past 150 years. The loss is reflected in glacier retreat and ice surface lowering even at high altitude. In steep glacial cirques surface lowering exposes rock to atmospheric conditions for the very first time in many millennia. Instability of rockwalls has long been identified as one of the direct consequences of deglaciation, but so far cirque-wide quantification of rockfall at high-resolution is missing. Based on terrestrial LiDAR a rockfall inventory for the permafrost-affected rockwalls of two rapidly deglaciating cirques in the Central Alps of Austria (Kitzsteinhorn) is established. Over six-years (2011-2017) 78 rockwall scans were acquired to generate data of high spatial and temporal resolution. 632 rockfalls were registered ranging from 0.003 to 879.4 m³, mainly originating from pre-existing structural rock weaknesses. 60 % of the rockfall volume detached from less than ten vertical meters above the glacier surface, indicating enhanced rockfall activity over tens of years following deglaciation. Debuttressing seems to play a minor effect only. Rather, preconditioning is assumed to start inside the Randkluft (gap between cirque wall and glacier) where sustained freezing and ample supply of liquid water likely cause enhanced physical weathering and high plucking stresses. Following deglaciation, pronounced thermomechanical strain is induced and an active layer penetrates into the formerly perennially frozen bedrock. These factors likely cause the observed paraglacial rockfall increase close to the glacier surface. This paper presents the most extensive dataset of high-alpine rockfall to date and the first systematic documentation of a cirque-wide erosion response of glaciated rockwalls to recent climate warming.

1 Introduction

High-alpine, glacial environments are severely affected by recent climate warming (WGMS, 2017). This is especially true for the European Alps, where mean temperature rise over the last 150 years more than doubled the global mean (Böhm, 2012) and over this period approximately 50 % of the glacier volume has disappeared (Haeberli et al., 2007). Glacier retreat rates increased since the 1980s and have been exceeding historical precedents in the early 21st century (Zemp et al., 2015). The consequences of these changes are most visible in lower lying glacierized cirques where ice-surface lowering in the ablation
area is particularly apparent (Kaser et al., 2006; Pelto, 2010) and exposes cirque walls to the atmosphere for the first time in many millennia (Hormes et al., 2001).

Rockwall characteristics strongly depend on preconditioning stress fields (Krautblatter and Moore, 2014). Especially parameters such as fracture density and orientation are first order controls on rock slope erosion (Sass, 2005; Moore et al., 2009). Glacial oversteepening increases the stress regime acting within cirque walls and promotes rock slope failures at various scales (Ballantyne, 2002; de Haas et al., 2015). Ice surface lowering alters ground thermal conditions (Wegmann et al., 1998) modifies pre-existing slope stresses (Augustinus, 1995; Leith et al., 2014) and therefore potentially causes local instability and elevated mass wasting activity. This has significant implications for risk management in high-alpine environments – especially when considering the growing popularity of glacier tourism (Fischer et al., 2011a; Purdie, 2013).

Glacial oversteepening of the surrounding rockwalls and the low friction on the glacier surface often results in long rockfall runouts underneath cirque walls (Schober et al., 2012) putting nearby infrastructure at risk. Continued climate warming is expected to exacerbate this issue, making long-term rockfall monitoring an essential prerequisite for rockfall risk assessment in glacial environments (Stoffel and Huggel, 2012).

Frost action is considered a key agent in preparing and triggering high-alpine rockfall (Draebing and Krautblatter, 2019) and a major driver of rock slope erosion in cold environments (e.g. Hales and Roering, 2009). Only recently a number of studies demonstrated cirque wall retreat rates exceeding rates of glacial incision, underlining the contribution of frost weathering to the shaping of ‘glacial’ landscapes (Oskin and Burbank, 2005; Naylor and Gabet, 2007; Scherler et al, 2011). Frost weathering processes encompass volumetric ice expansion and ice segregation which are theoretically able to produce pressures exceeding the tensile strength of rocks (Matsuoka and Murton, 2008; Hallet et al., 1991). Volumetric expansion results from freezing of in-situ water and requires high water saturation and extreme cooling rates (Walder and Hallet, 1986; Matsuoka and Murton, 2008). Ice segregation causes cryosuction-induced migration of unfrozen water toward freezing fronts (Walder and Hallet, 1985) and is effective in hard, low-porosity rock at a wide range of sustained sub-zero temperatures (Girard et al., 2013; Duca et al., 2014; Murton et al., 2016). Recent lab studies highlight the importance of fatigue damage under different frost weathering regimes and in different rock types and indicate that subcritical crack propagation plays a key role in the generation of rockfalls in periglacial environments (Jia et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2017).

Rockfall or rock slope failures that are spatiotemporally related to the transition from glacial conditions to non-glacial conditions have been termed ‘paraglacial’ (McColl, 2012). The paraglacial concept incorporates processes, materials and landforms that are directly conditioned by former glaciation and deglaciation (Church and Ryder, 1972; Ballantyne, 2002). Studies on paraglacial rock slope readjustment often focus on enhanced rates of geomorphic activity after/during deglaciation mainly on rare high-magnitude slope failures. Frequent low-magnitude failure patterns have received comparably little attention. Numerous studies on paraglacial bedrock erosion have focused on Late Pleistocene to Holocene timescales that relate to glacier retreat from Last Glacial maximum (LGM) positions. Relevant studies include extensive mapping of slope instabilities (Allen et al., 2010), terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide dating of post-glacial rock slope failures (Cossart et al. 2008,
Ballantyne et al., 2014), effects of glacial debuttressing (McColl and Davies, 2012) and numerical modelling of fracture initiation and propagation during glacial (un)loading (Grämiger et al., 2017).

On a more recent time scale the effects of glacier shrinkage from Little Ice Age (LIA) limits and increased mass wasting activity are unravelled using field mapping (Deline, 2009), photograph comparisons (Ravanel and Deline, 2010), GIS analyses (Holm et al., 2004) and historical documentation (Noetzli et al., 2003). Paraglacial adjustment to the most recent episode of glacial recession – i.e. the dramatic glacier retreat observed over the past few decades – has so far only marginally been addressed. In the Alps, singular, high-magnitude events were examined in the Mont Blanc Massif, France (Deline et al., 2008), at the Piz Kesch, Switzerland (Phillips et al., 2017) and adjacent to the Aletsch Glacier, Switzerland (Manconi et al., 2018) and have at least partially been attributed to current glacier melting. Quantitative studies of lower magnitude paraglacial rockfalls are rare and include a detailed topographic study of rock and ice avalanches in the Monte Rosa east-face, Italy (Fischer et al. 2011b), a four-year time series on a paragneiss ridge at the Gemsstock ski area, Switzerland (Kenner et al., 2011), a two-year monitoring from the Tour Ronde east-face, France (Rabatel et al., 2008), and slope stability surveys from the surroundings of the Refuge des Cosmiques, France (Ravanel et al., 2013).

Quantification of paraglacial rockfall release over larger surfaces and over several years is missing – in large parts due to the harsh, high-alpine environmental conditions – and effectively hinders evaluating the impacts of current glacier retreat on rockfall occurrence. Using data from a six-year terrestrial LiDAR monitoring campaign (2011-2017), we present a rockfall inventory from the Central Alps of Austria that is unique for high-alpine study areas in spatial and temporal extent, and level of detail. We (i) systematically quantify rockfall in two neighbouring, glacial cirques, (ii) reveal significantly increased (paraglacial) rockfall in recently deglaciated rockwall sections immediately above the current glacier surface, and (iii) identify antecedent rockfall preparation inside the Randkluft (subcritical crack propagation, plucking-related tensile stress) and subsequent deglaciation-induced thermal forcing as most likely causes for the observed glacier-proximal concentration of rockfall source areas.

Here, after documenting study area and method applied, an inventory of mass movements is presented. Data quality is analysed, spatial patterns of rockfall and rockfall failure depth are presented and causes of the observed rockfall patterns discussed. Magnitude-frequency relationships and rockwall retreat rates derived from this data are discussed in a companion study (Hartmeyer et al., submitted).

2 Study Area

Two cirques located in the summit region of the Kitzsteinhorn (3,203 m a.s.l.), Hohe Tauern Range, Austria (Fig. 1) immediately northwest of the summit were selected for monitoring. Both cirques are occupied by the Schmiedingerkees glacier, which is home to Austria’s oldest glacier ski-area. Since 2010 an extensive, multi-scale monitoring of permafrost-rockfall interaction (‘Open-Air-Lab Kitzsteinhorn’) (Keuschnig et al., 2015) includes several deep and shallow boreholes (Hartmeyer et al., 2012), two permanently installed electrical resistivity tomography profiles (Supper et al., 2014; Keuschnig
et al., 2016), rock anchor load loggers (Plaesken et al., 2017), extensometers in fractures (Ewald et al., 2019) and several fully automated weather stations.

All rockwalls investigated here tower above the Schmiedingerkees glacier: the Kitzsteinhorn north-face (KN), the Kitzsteinhorn northwest-face (KNW), the Magnetkoepfl east-face (MKE), the Magnetkoepfl west-face (MKW) and the Maurergrat east-face (MGE). The total surface area of all rockwalls studied is 234,700 m² and with an area of 133,400 m² and a mean height of roughly 200 m KNW is the largest rockwall studied. Slope gradients within and across the rockwalls vary greatly. Typically, gradients increase towards the glacier surface, as is characteristic for cirque walls worldwide (Sanders et al. 2012). With 72 ° the steepest mean gradient occurs at MKE, followed by MKW (63 °), and KNW displays the lowest gradient (44 °) (Table 1 and Table S1).

Table 1: Selected morphometric parameters for all five investigated rockwalls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rockwall</th>
<th>Area (m²)</th>
<th>Altitude (min/max m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Avg. Height (m)</th>
<th>Avg. Slope (°)</th>
<th>Avg. Aspect (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kitzsteinhorn north-face (KN)</td>
<td>23,500</td>
<td>2,921 / 3,060</td>
<td>~ 70</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>(N) 357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitzsteinhorn northwest-face (KNW)</td>
<td>133,400</td>
<td>2,768 / 3,203</td>
<td>~ 200</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>(NW) 322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetkoepfl east-face (MKE)</td>
<td>11,100</td>
<td>2,853 / 2,953</td>
<td>~ 55</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>(E) 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetkoepfl west-face (MKW)</td>
<td>6,300</td>
<td>2,876 / 2,944</td>
<td>~ 35</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>(W) 268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurergrat east-face (MGE)</td>
<td>60,400</td>
<td>2,742 / 2,994</td>
<td>~ 55</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>(E) 86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The investigated rockwalls developed in rocks of the Glockner Nappe, mainly calcareous micaschists with isolated occurrences of marble and serpentinite especially at the Magnetkoepfl (Cornelius and Clar, 1935; Hoek et al., 1994). Cleavage orientation in the predominant calcareous micaschists is similar at all rockwalls studied and dips steeply (~ 45 °) to NNE. Numerous pronounced joint-sets indicate high degrees of fracturing, which is particularly evident along existing tectonic faults (e.g. at KNW) and along distinct cleavage planes (e.g. at KN, MKE). Investigations of rock mass strength carried out in all investigated rockwalls indicate highly variable lithologic strength due to the high spatial variability in fracture density (Terweh, 2012).

The Schmiedingerkees glacier has retreated considerably in recent decades and ice-faces have degraded significantly in the surrounding cirque walls (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). The oldest useable aerial photos date back to 1953 (Land Salzburg, 1953) and demonstrate a glacier area of 3.2 km². Since then the Schmiedingerkees glacier lost more than half of its size (-56 %) and the glaciated area decreased to 1.4 km² (2017). In 2008 the first comprehensive terrain data was acquired for the Schmiedingerkees (Land Salzburg, 2008) using airborne lasercanning. The comparison with current UAV-derived terrain data demonstrates that in the period between 2008 and 2017 glacier volume decreased by 9.8 million m³. Mass loss was most pronounced near the terminus, but also in the root zone, i.e. adjacent to the rockwalls in focus here. Distinct ice-face degradation and glacier retreat is evident with annual surface lowering rates of around 0.5 m that exposed large, fresh bedrock surfaces (Fig. 1).

According to a recent empirical-statistical model of permafrost distribution for the Hohe Tauern range permafrost can be expected above 2,500 m a.s.l. on north-facing slopes and above 3,000 m a.s.l. on south-facing slopes (Schrott et al., 2012). In the study area, permafrost temperatures are monitored since December 2015, in two 30 m deep boreholes located at the north- and west-face of the Kitzsteinhorn, at approximately 3,000 m a.s.l.. Bedrock temperatures below the zero annual amplitude...
are -1.8 °C and -1.3 °C at the north- and west-face, respectively. Maximum active layer thickness usually occurs in early September and at the north-face increased in thickness from 3.0 m in 2016, to 3.7 m in 2017, and 3.9 m in 2018.

Figure 1: Hillshade of study area with monitored rockwalls, scan positions and elevation changes of the surface of the Schmiedingerkees glacier between 2008 and 2017. While glacial thinning is most evident near the terminus, pronounced ice surface lowering (~ 0.5 m a-1) is also observed adjacent to the monitored cirque walls. Elevation changes were calculated based on comparison between airborne laserscanning data (2008) and UAV-derived photogrammetric data (2017). Inset (top left) shows location of study site within Austria. Abbreviations: K = Kitzsteinhorn (Summit), SMK = Scan Position ‘Magnetkoepfl’, SCC = Scan Position ‘Cable Car Top Station’, SG1 = Scan Position ‘Glacier 1’, SG2 = Scan Position ‘Glacier 2’, SMG = Scan Position ‘Maurergrat’, BN = Permafrost Borehole North-Face (30 m), BW = Permafrost Borehole West-Face (30 m), (for other abbreviations see text).
Figure 2: View of Kitzsteinhorn (K) (3,203 m a.s.l.) and Schmiedingerkees glacier (S) from (a) September 1928 (Photo: Stadtarchiv Salzburg, Fotosammlung Josef Kettenhuemer) and (b) September 2011 (Photo: Heinz Kugler). During the reference period the ice surface has lowered considerably while all ice-faces have completely disappeared. Much of the surface change has occurred since the 1980s. Abbreviations: BN = Borehole North-Face, SCC = Scan Position ‘Cable Car Top Station’, SMK = Scan Position ‘Magnetkoepfl’ (for other abbreviations see text).

3 Methods

3.1 Data Acquisition

Terrestrial LiDAR data acquisition was performed using a Riegl LMS-Z620i laserscanner (Table 1). A calibrated high-resolution digital camera was mounted on the laserscanner for capturing referenced colour images. Reflectivity on bedrock surfaces was excellent in the near-infrared wavelength used by the scanner, while reflectivity on fresh snow or ice was poor and returned little or no data. Reflectors were not used during data acquisition due to considerable rockfall hazard in the steep, unstable rockwalls.

First LiDAR data was acquired in July/August 2011 at all monitored rockwalls except MKW where data acquisition started in 2012. Data acquisition was restricted to the summer season (May to October). Access to all scan positions required ropes and mountaineering gear, except at the Cable Car Top Station. In total 78 rockwall scans were carried out from five different scan positions. Of these 22 scans were excluded from further analyses due to snow cover. Scan position ‘Maurergrat’ was abandoned in 2016, as due to continued glacial thinning site access was lost. Rockwall scans were repeated several times per summer season and at least once per season towards the end of the ablation period. The last scan of all rockwalls was carried out in August 2017, except for MKW that was excluded from further analysis, as unstable blocks were cleared away earlier in 2017 to reduce hazards for a new lift track.

The mean object distances (i.e. distance between scanner and rockwall) differed considerably, varying between 140 m for MKW and 650 m for MGE. The resulting spatial resolution typically ranged between 0.1-0.3 m (see Table S2 for full list of data acquisition parameters).
Table 2: Properties of the Riegl LMS-Z620i terrestrial laserscanner used for this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Riegl LMS-Z620i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement rate (pts/sec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of view (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam divergence (mrad)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam footprint at 100 m (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. angular step width (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referenced images</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Data Analysis

Airborne LiDAR datasets acquired in 2008 (Land Salzburg, 2008) were used as base data for georeferencing. Alignment of the acquired sequential point clouds was performed based on surface geometry matching within RiScanPro 1.8. First, point clouds were coarsely registered using the GPS location of the scan position and the azimuth angle of the laserscanner. Numerous techniques exist for the fine registration of point clouds, which include the Iterative-Closest-Point (ICP) algorithm (Chen and Medioni, 1992; Besl and McKay, 1992), 3D Least Squares Matching (Akca, 2007), point-to-plane approaches (Grant et al., 2012) and others. Here we used the ICP-algorithm, a popular cloud matching technique for finding the transformation between two point clouds by minimizing the square errors between corresponding entities. Consistent with previous studies on rock slope systems (Rosser et al., 2007; Abellán et al., 2011), alignment errors were negligible and typically ranged between 1 and 2 cm.

The two most prominent approaches to identify surface changes in successive point clouds include the identification of homologous objects to calculate displacement fields (Teza et al., 2007; Monserrat and Crosetto, 2008) and direct distance calculation (Rosser et al., 2005). Here, the latter type was applied using the M3C2 algorithm which was specifically designed for orthogonal distance measurement in complex terrain (Lague et al., 2013). During the analysis, surface normal orientation is measured at a scale consistent with the local surface roughness and mean surface change is calculated along the normal direction.

The M3C2 algorithm has the benefits of: (i) operating directly on point clouds without the need for meshing or gridding, and thus reduces uncertainties; (ii) computing local distances between point clouds along the surface normal direction, which specifically account for terrain roughness; (iii) providing confidence intervals for all distance measurements and thus allowing to assess the significance of surface changes determined; and (iv) providing robust measures on irregular surfaces and with irregularly-spaced data which is important when comparing point clouds of variable resolutions.

Volumes of detached rock were derived from the distance calculations by identifying source areas, creating local grids and by subsequent grid-cell aggregation. Uncertainties in distance data were propagated using Gaussian error propagation to compute overall uncertainties. In addition, following parameters were determined for each source area: mean slope aspect and gradient, elevation above glacier surface as well as maximum depth of rock detachment (determined as the maximum Euclidean nearest-neighbour distance between the pre-event and the post-event point cloud). Source areas were differentiated as bedrock
(rockwall) or unconsolidated sediments (intra-rockwall sediment deposits) based on shape, inclination and image colour values. Long return periods between surveys increase the chance of superimposition and coalescence effects, i.e. adjacent or subsequent events are sampled as one failure only (van Veen et al., 2017; Williams et al., 2018). To improve readability ‘rockfall source areas’ are referred to as ‘rockfalls’.

4 Results

4.1 Data Quality

To investigate the level of confidence that can be given to the scan results, instrumental and referencing uncertainties were quantified, assumed to be normally distributed, propagated using Gaussian error law and are given at one sigma level. The mean relative error associated with rockfall volumes is 0.1 %. Relative errors are smaller for large rockfall volumes than for small volumes closer to detection limits. Uncertainty for rockfalls smaller than 1 m³ is 0.8 %, while for large rockfalls over 100 m³ relative errors drop to 0.01 % (see Table S3).

The resulting resolution varies between different scans and theoretically leads to the detection of a larger number of small rockfalls in high-resolution scans than in low-resolution scans. This correlation is problematic when scans with different resolutions are compared. To constrain this influence the mean resulting resolution is compared to the normalized number of rockfalls detected, which suggests a weak correlation ($R^2 = 0.18$) (Fig. 3). For rockfalls larger than 0.1 m³ the number of rockfalls is independent of resolution and further analyses was limited to this size class. This level of detection is less precise than related LiDAR-based change detection surveys relying on shorter object distances and higher point densities (e.g. Rosser et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2018) but is in good agreement with similar monitoring campaigns carried out in high-alpine settings (e.g. Strunden et al., 2015).

![Figure 3: Detected number of rockfalls per 10,000 m² a⁻¹ plotted against scan resolution. Varying resolutions between acquired scans do not bias the detection of rockfalls larger than 0.1 m³.](https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-2020-8)
4.2 Inventory of Mass Movements

During the six-year monitoring period (2011-2017) 632 rockfalls were registered with a total volume of $2,564.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ m}^3$. When omitting rockfalls below the threshold of $0.1 \text{ m}^3$ (Sect. 4.1), the total number drops to 374, while the overall volume is reduced only marginally to $2,551.4 \pm 1.3 \text{ m}^3$ (Table 3).

Table 3: Number and volume of registered rockfalls (> 0.1 m$^3$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume Range</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>KN</th>
<th>KNW</th>
<th>MKE</th>
<th>MKW</th>
<th>MGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1 - 1 m$^3$</td>
<td>Number (n)</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 10 m$^3$</td>
<td>Number (n)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>151.8</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 - 100 m$^3$</td>
<td>Number (n)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>547.8</td>
<td>104.6</td>
<td>156.7</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>136.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 - 1,000 m$^3$</td>
<td>Number (n)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>1757.0</td>
<td>1278.0</td>
<td>272.7</td>
<td>206.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Rockfalls</td>
<td>Number (n)</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume (m$^3$)</td>
<td>2,551.4</td>
<td>1,455.4</td>
<td>541.2</td>
<td>279.6</td>
<td>149.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Large rockfalls over 100 m$^3$ are rare ($n = 5$) but account for more than two thirds (68.5 %) of the total volume. The largest registered rockfall has a volume of 879.4 m$^3$, the volumes of the three next largest rockfalls range between 200-300 m$^3$. With increasing volume an exponential decrease in number of rockfalls can be observed. Small rockfalls below 1 m$^3$ represent 80 % of the total number but account for only 3.7 % of the overall rockfall volume (see companion study (Hartmeyer et al., submitted) for detailed discussion of magnitude-frequency distributions).

Frontal photographs of the monitored rockwalls are provided in Fig. 4. The source areas and rockfall volumes (> 0.1 m$^3$) indicate that pre-existing weaknesses exert a strong control on rockfall occurrence. Concentration of rockfalls around fracture systems is particularly evident at KN (along cleavage planes) and KNW (along a prominent fault across the entire rockwall). The highest number of rockfalls was found at the largest rockwall KNW ($n = 179$). Lowest rockfall numbers were recorded at the smallest rockwalls at Magnetkoepfl (MKE, MKW) (Table 1). **By far the highest total rockfall volume (1,455.4 ± 0.2 m$^3$) was found at KN despite its relatively small size**. Three of the five largest rockfalls occurred here. The second highest total volume was detected at KNW (541.2 ± 0.6 m$^3$), while the lowest volume was recorded at MGE (125.5 ± 0.2 m$^3$).

In addition to rockfalls, 113 source areas of slide-, creep- or debris-flow-like mass movements were identified in unconsolidated sediments. The total volume of these mass movements is $292.0 \pm 0.4 \text{ m}^3$. Nine mass movements larger than 10 m$^3$ were identified and account for 56.2 % of the total volume. The size distribution follows the pattern of rockfall volume distribution where smaller mass movements are frequent but represented only a small part of the overall volume and show an exponential decrease in number with increasing volume (Table S4). These types of mass movement were almost fully limited to KNW and KN. The two rockwalls are the least steep and permit accumulation of thin sediment veneers on intra-rockwall couloirs and ledges. Together, KNW and KN display 90.3 % of the total number and 99.3 % of the total volume of all loose sediment movements.
Further mass losses identified relate to ice-face degradation in four well-shaded locations at the lower part of KN adjacent to the glacier surface. The overall ice loss from 2011-2017 was 575.9 ± 0.04 m³. The single biggest recorded ice loss was 424.1 m³ between August 2012 and August 2015 (no data acquisition in 2013 and 2014 due to persistent snow cover), followed by mass losses of 66.8 m³ and 51.4 m³ between August 2016 and August 2017, and a mass loss of 33.6 m³ between August 2015 and August 2016. The two mass losses recorded between 2016 and 2017 are underestimated as late snow cover during the second scan obscured the rockwall.

4.3 Spatial Rockfall Distribution

The bulk of the registered rockfalls originated in N- and NW-oriented rockwall sections between 2,900 and 3,100 m a.s.l., mostly due to the dominant influence of KN and KNW which are both dissected by pronounced weakness zones. East-facing rockfall source areas between 2,800 and 3,000 m a.s.l. represent another distinct azimuth cluster (Fig. 5).
Figure 5: Slope azimuth angle and elevation above sea level for all rockfalls (> 0.1 m³). Most rockfalls originated in N- and NW-oriented rockwall sections reflecting the dominant influence of KN (Kitzsteinhorn north-face) and KNW (Kitzsteinhorn northwest-face).

To further explore rockfall distribution with elevation, we classified the investigated surfaces into vertical 50 m bins. Total rockwall surface area is almost normally distributed and shows the largest share between 2,900-2,950 m a.s.l. (~ 65,000 m²; 27.0 % of total surface area) (Fig. 6a and Table S5). Normalized and absolute rockfall volumes peak in the same elevation class. Over 37 m³ per 10,000 m² a-1 originated between 2,900-2,950 m a.s.l. (Fig. 6b), which is equivalent to more than half (54.4 %) of the total rockfall volume (Table S5).

The normalized number of rockfalls is highest between 2,950-3,000 m a.s.l. (4.6 rockfalls per 10,000 m² a-1). With increasing/decreasing elevation, normalized rockfall numbers decline significantly, similar to the altitudinal distribution of surface area (Fig. 6c). Absolute rockfall numbers peak between 2,900-2,950 m a.s.l. and 2,950-3,000 m a.s.l., where approximately two thirds (63.8 %) of the detected rockfalls originate (Table S5).

To detail the vertical distribution of rockfall source areas, the elevation differences between rockfall source areas and local glacier surface are calculated and grouped into 10 m bins (Fig. 7a and Table S1). Immediately above the glacier surface (0-10 m) rockfall volumes are by far the highest (75.6 m³ per 10,000 m² a-1) (Fig. 7b). 60 % of the total rockfall volume detached from this segment, which constitutes only 15 % of the total rockwall surface area. With increasing distance from the glacier surface, a sharp decrease in rockfall volume can be observed. In the next higher segment (10-20 m), normalized rockfall volume slightly exceeds 20 m³ per 10,000 m² a-1, while in all other height classes rates remain below 10 m³ per 10,000 m² a-1. Only in two segments (90-100 m, 170-180 m), this pattern is masked by the presence of comparatively large, singular rockfalls.
Figure 6: (a) Rockwall surface area, (b) normalized rockfall volume and (c) the normalized number of rockfalls, grouped by elevation above sea level. Between 2,900 and 2,950 m a.s.l. a distinct peak in rockfall volume is observed.

Analysed individually, a positive correlation between rockfall volume and proximity to glacier surface occurs for all rockwalls except KNW. The vast majority of the rockfall volume is detected within 10 m of the glacier surface at MGE (73 %), KN (79 %) and MKE (98 %). Considering the first 20 m above glacier surface the volume percentages exceed 90 % for all three rockwalls.

At MKW rockfall volumes are small in the lowest segment (3 %) and 96 % of the rockfall volume occur in the segment above (10-20 m). Here, a rockfall event from the early 2000s, created a steep scarp around 15 m above the current glacier surface. The rockfall deposits, likely several thousand cubic meters of rock, accumulated at the foot of MKW and constituted a talus cone that decoupled parts of the rockwall from the glacier. The rockfall scarp remained a prominent source area for rockfall during the monitoring period, indicating continued stress release after the initial event. Rockfall from this scarp was the main reason why this rockwall displays a differing pattern and maximum retreat rate does not occur within the first ten vertical meters above glacier surface.

As mentioned no pronounced glacial proximity pattern was found for KNW, where only 12 % of the rockfall volume detached within the first 10 m. Here, a significant 272.7 ± 0.03 m³ rockfall occurred in summer 2016 which constituted around half of the total rockfall volume at this site. Its rockfall source area is located 97 m above the glacier surface and may coincide with the LGM trim line. Still, after excluding this event, only a rather weak proximity pattern is observed (23 % of the volume within the lowest 10 m) clearly deviating from the patterns observed at the other four rockwalls.

Analysis of rockfall numbers confirms the glacial proximity pattern even though the correlation is much less pronounced than for the elevation volume distribution. Highest normalized rockfall numbers (3.9 rockfalls per 10,000 m² a⁻¹) are once again
found in the lowest segment (0-10 m) (Fig. 7c). The mean value for all higher segments (i.e. 10-260 m) equals 2.5 rockfalls per 10,000 m² a⁻¹ with significant variations between the different height classes. Overall 21 % of all rockfalls occurred in the first 10 m above the glacier surface – a distinct contrast to the dominance of rockfall volumes in that segment.

Comparing rockfall numbers across the rockwalls yields diverse results: At KN particularly high rockfall numbers are found between 30 and 50 m above the glacier. KNW shows a more uniform pattern with a rather balanced distribution over the first 100 m and a slight decrease at higher elevations. At MKE, rockfall is restricted to the immediate adjacency to the glacier and above the 0-10m-segment only minimal rockfall activity is observed. At MKW and MGE, most rockfalls occurred within 20 m of the glacier surface (~ 70 % and 90 %, respectively).

Figure 7: (a) Rockwall surface area, (b) normalized rockfall volume and (c) the normalized number of rockfalls, classified by elevation above glacier surface. Areas exposed by recent glacier retreat are heavily susceptible to rockfall, during the observation period (2011-2017) 60 % of the total rockfall volume detached within 10 m of the current glacier surface.

4.4 Rockfall Failure Depths

To compare rockfall patterns to depth of permafrost thaw, depth of failure was analysed which ranges between 0.17 and 6.45 m. Near-surface failures are dominant as 69 % of all rockfalls failed within the top 0.5 m and another 22 % had failure depths between 0.5 and 1 m. Eleven rockfalls with failure depths of more than 2.0 m were recorded (2.9 %) and only five rockfalls failed in depths larger than 3.0 m (1.3 %) (Fig. 8, Table S6).
Figure 8: Depth of detachment plane for all registered rockfalls (> 0.1 m³). More than 90 % of all rockfalls failed within less than 1 m from the surface.

5 Discussion

The analysis of long-term terrestrial LiDAR data from two high-alpine cirques shows that rockfall source areas are grouped along heavily fractured, pre-existing structural weaknesses, which is consistent with former studies that found correlations between joint density and rockwall retreat (Sass, 2005; Moore et al., 2009). Further analysis reveals considerably increased rockfall activity in the immediate proximity (10-20 vertical meters) of the current glacier surface, which emerged from the ice only very recently. While some of the increase may be related to a slight steepening of rockwall gradients towards the glacier surface, a number of other processes are likely responsible for the observed glacier-proximal rockfall increase.

5.1 Antecedent Rockfall Preparation inside the Randkluft

Slope debuttressing following deglaciation is frequently considered to cause mass movements, particularly in case of larger slope failures. (e.g. Holm et al., 2004; Allen et al., 2010). At the base of the investigated rockwalls, however, seasonally air- or snow-filled voids between glacier and cirque wall ('Randkluft') prevent permanent physical contact between rock and ice and thus effectively hinder debuttressing. The existence of a Randkluft is not site-specific but rather common at alpine (cirque) glaciers (e.g. Gardner, 1987; Mair and Kuhn, 1994; Sanders et al., 2012). Among the rockwalls investigated here, Randkluft systems are most pronounced below KN, possibly due to the principal flow direction of the adjacent glacier perpendicularly
away from the slope. Randkluft development is rather limited at KNW, likely caused by substantial (avalanche) snow accumulation at the foot of the tall, low-gradient rockwall.

Local Randkluft systems at the Kitzsteinhorn are usually open during late summer/early fall (Fig. 9), even though Randkluft width and depth exhibit considerable interannual variations. It is evident from our observations that the debuttressing effect, if relevant at all (McColl, 2012; McColl and Davies, 2012), can occur subglacially only, in the lowermost parts of the Randkluft. Sporadically, the collapse of ice bridges may cause small-scale debuttressing locally, but in general this mode of failure seems not too effective. Debuttressing can also not explain the increased rockfall activity several meters above the glacier surface, i.e. in areas already ice-free for years or decades.

Figure 9: Inside the Randkluft below KN (Kitzsteinhorn north-face). Pictured person is standing approximately 8 m below the glacier surface. Continuous ice coating (verglas) on the cirque wall (left half of the photo) indicates permanently frozen conditions inside the Randkluft (Photo: Ingo Hartmeyer, 09.10.2015).

Previous modelling approaches assume unfrozen conditions for currently glacier-covered, north-facing headwall sections and aggradation of new permafrost after deglaciation (Wegmann et al., 1998). Other studies report distinct permafrost conditions inside Randkluft systems based on in-situ temperature measurements (Sanders et al., 2012). Our observations match with the latter findings, as we encountered rockwalls coated with refrozen meltwater (‘verglas’) as deep as 15 m below the glacier surface during late summer (Fig. 9). The existence of perennially frozen conditions inside the Randkluft combined with
observed extensive meltwater supply from the rockwall above may significantly contribute to the efficacy of frost weathering in subglacial cirque wall sections. One of the few quantitative studies indicates particularly effective rock-fracturing driven by ice segregation within the Randkluft of a temperate glacier in British Columbia, Canada (Sanders et al., 2012). This observation has recently been substantiated by numerous field and lab experiments demonstrating intense frost cracking at temperatures just below 0 °C (Girard et al., 2013; Duca et al., 2014; Murton et al., 2016) and thermo-cryogenic rock fatigue due to damage accumulation over longer time scales (Jia et al., 2015). Subcritical stress propagation driven by sustained freezing and sufficient water supply (Jia et al., 2017; Draebing and Krautblatter, 2019), and high plucking-related tensile stresses caused by refreezing meltwater at the bottom of the Randkluft (Lewis, 1938; Hooke, 1991). We hypothesize, therefore, that they are the dominant antecedent processes of rockfall preparation. The special weathering conditions may prepare the high fragmentation of near-Randkluft bedrock which efficiently predisposes cirque walls to shallow failures and ultimately controls the high post-glacial rockfall activity. This idea is further underpinned by a possible positive correlation between Randkluft size and glacier-proximal rockfall volume. Highest proximal rockfall volumes are found at KN and MKE which also host the largest Randkluft systems of all rockwalls monitored. Lowest proximal rockfall volumes are recorded at KNW where Randkluft formation is suppressed due to massive local snow accumulation at the glacier fringe.

5.2 Deglaciation-Induced Thermomechanical Forcing and Active Layer Formation

As glaciers are wasting down, freshly exposed rockwall sections are shifted from subglacial to subaerial boundary conditions. The quantitative effects of this transition are elusive, as direct measurements from subglacial cirque wall sections are rare (Gardner, 1987; Sanders et al., 2012). Yet it is expected that thermal conditions in cirque walls are modified significantly as they emerge from isothermal, subglacial conditions – a transition that has recently been named ‘paraglacial thermal shock’ (Grämiger et al., 2018). Once ice-free, strong diurnal and seasonal variations are likely to induce pronounced thermal stress leading to deformation (Hasler et al., 2012; Weber et al., 2017) and potentially to failure along critically-stressed discontinuities (Hall, 1999; Gischig et al., 2011). Additionally, cyclic freeze-thaw action will cause rock fatigue (Jia et al., 2015), hydrofracture (Davidson and Nye, 1985; Sass, 2004) and the expansion of water-filled joints (Matsuoka and Murton, 2008), all of which promote destabilization in recently deglaciated rockwall sections (Draebing et al., 2017).

Active layer deepening – a key element of permafrost degradation (Ravanel et al., 2017) – significantly alters rock- and ice-mechanical properties (Davies et al., 2001; Krautblatter et al., 2013) and is frequently considered in high-alpine rockfall analyses (e.g. Gruber and Haeberli, 2007; Weber et al., 2019). Failure depth of rockfalls related to permafrost degradation is expected to equal or exceed maximum active layer thickness. At a local borehole monitoring site at KN the active layer depth varies between 3-4 m inter-annually. Based on these values, only 0.5 % (below 4 m) to 1.3 % (below 3 m) of all rockfalls failed at a depth below the maximum seasonal active layer. Volume shares are significantly higher due to the large size of the deeper-seated events: Rockfalls with failure depths larger than 3 m (4 m) constitute 44 % (60 %) of the total rockfall volume, suggesting that permafrost degradation could indeed have a substantial impact on total rockfall volume.
Active layer thickness is expected to vary strongly across the investigated rockwalls (Schrott et al., 2012), mainly due to topography effects (Gruber et al., 2004) and snow cover variations (Haberkorn et al., 2015). Active layer depth monitored at KN is therefore unlikely to be representative for the entire study area. Particularly for recently deglaciated rockwall sections, permafrost dynamics are poorly understood due to the complex local interplay of glaciological, meteorological and geological controls (Draebing et al., 2014). Observations point at the complete absence of an active layer in glacier-covered rockwall sections (Fig. 9). Glacial downwasting would thus uncover permanently frozen rockwalls and cause the formation of an incipient active layer. This process is expected to have a significant destabilizing effect (Davies et al., 2001; Krautblatter et al., 2013) and may therefore contribute considerably to the increased rockfall activity near the current glacier surface.

Further influences that potentially contribute to high glacier-proximal rockfall activity, include late-spring ground avalanches and channelized rainwater runoff after heavy precipitation. Visual observation suggests strong erosive effects for these processes in the freshly deglaciated sections where blocks at failure stability limit are abundant (Fig. 10). More precise quantification of such processes would require significantly shorter survey return periods.

Figure 10: Magnetkoepfl east-face (MKE) and adjacent glacier separated by Randkluft. Recently deglaciated, unstable blocks are visible in the first meters above the glacier surface. Occasionally rockfall deposits are wedged between rockwall and Randkluft lip (bottom left) (Photo: Robert Delleske, 04.09.2015).
6 Conclusions

We present a unique rockfall inventory from a six-year terrestrial LiDAR campaign (2011-2017) for permafrost-affected rockwalls of two glaciated cirques in the Central Alps of Austria (Kitzsteinhorn). The five rockwalls studied are all influenced by significant glacial downwasting and ice-face degradation. We draw the following conclusions:

- The inventory represents the most extensive dataset of high-alpine rockfall to date and the first quantitative documentation of a cirque-wide erosional response of glaciated rockwalls to recent climate warming.

- During the monitoring period 632 rockfalls with an overall volume of 2,564.3 ± 1.5 m³ were recorded. In addition, 113 rockfall source areas with a total volume of 292.0 ± 0.4 m³ were detected in unconsolidated sediments. Mass loss from ice-face degradation accounted for an overall volume of 575.9 ± 0.04 m³.

- Rockfall activity concentrates along pre-existing structural weaknesses and was highest in recently deglaciated areas: 60 % of the rockfall volume originated from source areas located fewer than ten vertical meters above the current glacier surface; 75 % detached within 20 vertical meters of the glacier surface.

- Increased mass wasting activity in recently deglaciated areas, such as discovered in the present study, is typical of paraglacial environments, where slope systems gravitationally adjust to new, non-glacial boundary conditions.

- Previous studies on the paraglacial adjustment of bedrock slopes mostly focused on high-magnitude events such as rock avalanches and rockslides, which commonly respond to deglaciation on centennial to millennial time scales. The lower end of the paraglacial magnitude-frequency spectrum is currently poorly characterized. The present study bridges this gap and for the first time provides field evidence of an immediate, low-magnitude paraglacial response in a currently deglaciating rock slope system.

- Distinct Randklufts, which separate the investigated cirque walls from the adjacent glacial ice, effectively prevent debuttressing. Inside the Randkluft we observed perennially frozen conditions and extensive refreezing of meltwater supplied from the rockwall above.

- Sustained freezing along with sufficient water availability in the Randkluft likely drive subcritical stress propagation and cause high plucking-related tensile stresses, which contribute to antecedent rockfall preparation when the rockwall is still ice-covered.

- As the glacier is wasting down strong diurnal and seasonal temperature variations induce pronounced thermal stress, cause rock fatigue and lead to the first-time formation of an active layer, which is expected to exert a significant destabilizing effect on glacier-proximal areas.

Data availability. The rockfall inventory can be downloaded from the mediatUM data repository under the following weblink: https://mediatum.ub.tum.de/1540134.

Supplement. The supplement is provided in a separate file.
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