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Abstract.

The glacial landscape of the Alps has fascinated generations of explorers, artists, mountaineers and scientists with its diversity, including erosional features of all scales from high-mountain cirques, to steep glacial valleys and large over-deepened basins. Using previous glacier modelling results, and empirical inferences of bedrock erosion under modern glaciers, we compute a distribution of potential glacier erosion in the Alps over the last glacial cycle from 120,000 years ago to the present. Despite large uncertainties pertaining to the climate history of the Alps and unconstrained glacier erosion processes, the resulting modelled patterns of glacier erosion include persistent features. The cumulative imprint of the last glacial cycle shows a very strong localization of glacier erosion with local maxima at the mouths of major Alpine valleys and some other upstream sections where glaciers are modelled to have flown with the highest velocity. The modelled erosion rates vary significantly through the glacial cycle, but show paradoxically little relation to the total glacier volume. Phases of glacier advance and maximum extension see a localization of rapid erosion rates at low elevation, while glacier erosion at higher elevation is modelled date from phases of less extensive glaciation. The modelled erosion rates peak during deglaciation phases, when frontal retreat results in steeper glacier surface slopes, implying that climatic conditions that result in rapid glacier erosion might be quite transient and specific. Our results depict the Alpine glacier erosion landscape as a time-transgressive patchwork, with different parts of the range corresponding to different glaciation stages and time periods.

1 Introduction

The glacial erosion landscape of the Alps has fascinated generations of explorers, artists, mountaineers and scientists for centuries. Its cultural impact is indeed so far-reaching, that in English, a non-Alpine language, the adjective “alpine” with non-capital “a” is now casually used to describe an Alpine-like, glacially modified mountain landscape outside the Alps, while the proper noun “Alps” has been applied to nick-name Alpine-like, glacier eroded mountain ranges in Norway (Lyngsalpene), New Zealand (Southern Alps), Japan (日本アルプス, Nihon Arupusu), and elsewhere. Some mountain ranges are predominantly characterised by cirque glaciation (e.g. Uinta Mountains), glacial valleys (e.g. Putorana Plateau), or large-scale overdeepenings (e.g. Patagonia). But other regions, including the Alps, present a higher variety of glacier erosional landforms (Ramsay, 1862; Ball, 1863; Gastaldi, 1873; Penck, 1905, Fig. 1) whose implications on glacial history is yet to be understood. The cosmogenic nuclide memory of bedrock erosion provides a more quantitative, yet equally varied picture of glacier erosion effectiveness both within and between glaciated regions (Jansen et al., 2019; Steinemann et al., 2020, 2021).
In absence of other landforms, glacially eroded topography has sometimes been used as a proxy for glacier extent, for instance in geomorphological mapping (e.g., Margold et al., 2011; Fu et al., 2012). Cold-based glaciers, however, have been observed to preserve landforms as fragile as sand beaches (Kleman, 1994), leading to the reinterpretation of complex glacial landscapes within a binary conceptual framework of cold and temperate basal thermal regimes (Kleman et al., 2008, 2010; Fabel et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2013). Nevertheless, it remains unclear how sharp the erosional transition to cold-based ice is, and why some glaciated regions show characteristic landform preservation and others, including the Alps, do not (Wirsig et al., 2016; Seguinot et al., 2018).

Glacier erosion also likely governs, at least in part, the height of mountains (Egholm et al., 2009; Thomson et al., 2010) through the “glacier buzz-saw”. In turn, evidence suggests that increased mountain erosion rates coincided with global cooling and Pleistocene glaciations from roughly 2.6 million years before present (Herman and Champagnac, 2016). Yet, oceanic isotopic proxies for global weathering rates remained constant over this time period (Willenbring and Von Blanckenburg, 2010). Examination of contemporary erosion rates across different climates suggest that increased temperatures in glaciated regions lead to higher erosion rates and that erosion rates may have increased towards present (Koppes and Montgomery, 2009; Koppes et al., 2015; Fernandez et al., 2016). However, understanding the link between climate and glacier erosion in the sedimentary record remains difficult due to time scale biases (Ganti et al., 2016) and the non-linear relationship between atmospheric temperature and erosion (e.g., Anderson et al., 2012; Mariotti et al., 2021).

Observing and quantifying the long-term glacier erosion and sedimentation processes has been a challenge, and two general methods have been adopted to quantify erosion (Alley et al., 2019). Physically-based models describe quarrying process and abrasion of bedrock by debris laden ice (e.g., Alley et al., 1997; Iverson, 2012; Beaud et al., 2014). Describing the processes physically provides a basis for understanding erosion processes (Hallet, 1979; Ugelvig et al., 2018) and yields insight into the formation of glacial landforms, such as tunnel valleys and eskers (Beaud et al., 2018; Hewitt and Creyts, 2019). Yet, these models prove difficult to implement in many cases due to the large number of poorly constrained parameters and process, i.e. water pressure fluctuations and subglacial debris concentration (e.g., Hallet, 1979; Seguinot, 2008; Ugelvig et al., 2018).
Instead, empirical relationships between glacier sliding and erosion can represent erosional quantities well and can recreate important glacial landforms (e.g., Harbor et al., 1988; MacGregor et al., 2000). For instance, Humphrey and Raymond (1994) correlated temporal variations in ice velocity and suspended sediment load during a surge of Variegated Glacier to establish a linear erosion law. Koppes et al. (2015) quantified sediment yields in 15 Patagonian and Antarctic Peninsula fjords, concluding at a predominant control of surface air temperature on rapid glacier erosion and calibrating a near-square-law from glacier velocity to erosion rate. Herman et al. (2015) collected suspended sediment samples for 5 months in the outlet stream of Franz-Josef Glacier, mapped their chemical composition to geologic zones of distinctive glacier speed, and also concluded to a near-square relationship between basal sliding and glacier erosion. Cook et al. (2020) assembled a global compilation of erosion rates for 38 glaciers, showing a predominant role of glacier sliding velocity over climate variables, yet concluding at a sub-linear relationship to erosion.

Here, the non-linear erosion law by Koppes et al. (2015) is applied to previously published model results (Seguinot et al., 2018) and the patterns of modelled erosion rates and cumulative last glacial cycle erosion potential are analysed. We examine the glaciological conditions that cause erosion and discuss the implications of these conditions on understanding the relationship between climate and glacier erosion. Despite aggregated uncertainties on paleoclimate, glacier flow and glacier erosion processes, our results provide insights into the diversity of the Alpine glacial erosion landscape.

2 Methods

2.1 Ice sheet modelling

The ice-sheet model set-up was presented in an earlier publication (Seguinot et al., 2018) and is briefly summarized here. The simulations were conducted using the Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM, development version e9d2d1f), an open-source, finite-difference, shallow-ice and shallow-shelf model (the PISM authors, 2017). Our setup includes temperature and water-content dependent creep, pseudo-plastic basal sliding, bedrock deformation under the ice load, and a positive degree-day (PDD) surface mass balance model. The model is initialized with assumed present-day ice thickness and equilibrium ice and bedrock temperature at 120 ka (thousand years before the present), and ran to the present. The ice-sheet model physical parameters are listed in the earlier open-access publication (Seguinot et al., 2018), and the complete list of PISM parameters is stored in long-term archived model output metadata for the present study (Seguinot, 2021), and for the earlier publication (Seguinot, 2020a, b).

Climate forcing is provided by a monthly climatology from interpolated observational data (WorldClim; Hijmans et al., 2005) and the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts Reanalysis Interim (ERA-Interim; Dee et al., 2011), amended with temperature lapse-rate corrections from the European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica (EPICA; Jouzel et al., 2007), and time-dependent paleo-precipitation reductions (Huybrechts, 2002). Lower-resolution runs based on alternative paleoclimate scenarios (Seguinot et al., 2018) are analyzed in the discussion part (Sect. 4.1).
2.2 Erosion law

Modelled erosion rates, $\dot{e}$, are calculated from the modelled basal velocities, $u_b$, using an empirical erosion power-law,

$$\dot{e} = K_g u_b^l,$$

(1)

where $K_g = 5.2 \times 10^{-11} m^{1-l} a^{l-1}$ and $l = 2.34$ are empirical constants calibrated on quantified fjord sediment yields and velocities from 15 Patagonian and Antarctic Peninsula tidewater glaciers (Koppes et al., 2015). We discuss the role of alternative values of $K_g$ and $l$ in the discussion part (Sect. 4.2).

Our approach does not account for feedbacks of glacier erosion onto bedrock topography and, then onto, ice dynamics (e.g., Anderson et al., 2012). Furthermore, we assume that once material is eroded, it is transported out of the system. In turn, we do not account for the downstream advection of debris (e.g., Anderson and Anderson, 2016), or fluvial transport of subglacial sediment (Delaney et al., 2019). Instead, we compute the time-integrated glacier erosion rate,

$$e = K_g \int u_b^l dt,$$

(2)

and refer to it as the cumulative “erosion potential”. The integration is numerically approximated using a time-step of 10 a in the main simulation, and 100 a in the alternative climate scenarios runs. Note that in the above formula with an exponent, $l$, higher than one, the cumulative erosion potential has a greater dependency on the basal ice dynamics, $u_b$, than the duration of glacier cover.

3 Results

3.1 Cumulative erosion

The modelled cumulative (time-integrated) glacial erosion potential (Fig. 2a) varies by several orders of magnitude from insignificant to hundred-metres-scale erosion potential. Its spatial patterns show a very strong localization along the Alpine valleys, with local maxima occurring both at the Alpine gates where ice flow transited from valley to piedmont glaciers, and further upstream where the valley slopes increase. While mountain cirques are poorly captured by the model’s horizontal resolution, high cumulative erosion potential also occurs near the valley heads. There is a general tendency for higher cumulative erosion in the north-western Alps where the input winter precipitation is higher (WorldClim, Fig. 1h in Seguinot et al., 2018) and the glacial relief more pronounced in the topography.

3.2 Temporal evolution

The modelled annual (domain-integrated) glacial erosion volume does not systematically correlate with the modelled total ice volume (Fig. 2b). Except for the onset and termination of the glacial cycle where ice volume is low and sliding processes unlikely to be captured by the model resolution, a comparison between the modelled ice volume and the modelled annual erosion volume shows no single relation between these two quantities (Fig. 3). After significant ice volume has been reached,
there is a slight tendency for slower erosion during periods of extensive glaciation (Fig. 3). However, the domain-integrated erosion volume is modelled to be consistently higher (by a factor 2 to 10) during periods of decreasing ice volume, than during periods of increasing ice volume (Fig. 3).

### 3.3 Spatial migration

A closer look at the Rhine Glacier, one of the paleo-ice sheet’s largest outlets, reveals a spatial migration of the modelled rapid erosion areas. During stages of glacier advance and maximum extension, erosion is modelled to be under 1 mm a\(^{-1}\) and restricted the lower parts of the catchment, while much the intra-montane Alps (modelled to be largely cold-based, Fig. 6c in Seguinot et al., 2018), experience insignificant erosion (Fig. 4a and d). The modelled erosion rates both increase and propagate inwards during periods of glacier retreat (Fig. 4b, c and d).
Figure 3. Modelled annual (domain-integrated) erosion volume (light brown) and 1-ka running mean (dark brown) in relation to modelled total ice volume in centimetres of sea-level equivalent.

Figure 4. (a, b, c) Modelled instantaneous erosion rate of the Rhine Glacier for selected post-Last Glacial Maximum ages. (d) Interpolated instantaneous erosion rate along a Rhine Glacier transect for the entire last glacial cycle (upper panels dashed line).
The results found on the Rhine Glacier catchment can be generalized to the entire model domain by using (present-day) bedrock altitude as a proxy for along-flow distance (Fig. 5). Thus more generally, periods of modelled increasing and maximum ice volume correspond to lower values for elevation-aggregated erosion rates, with significant erosion restricted to lower elevations. On the opposite, periods of modelled decreased ice volume correspond to higher local modelled erosion rates and more extensive rapid erosion into higher-elevation areas (Fig. 5).

4 Discussion

4.1 Climate sensitivity

Different paleoclimate forcing scenarios lead to different modelled glaciation histories (cf. Seguinot et al., 2018) and thus different results in terms of erosion potential (Fig. 6). Scenarios including higher (constant, present-day) input precipitation rates yield generally higher modelled erosion potential (Fig. 6a, b, and c), while scenarios including paleo-precipitation reductions (Fig. 6d, e, and f), including our default high-resolution run (Fig. 2), yield a smaller erosion potential. The spatial pattern of modelled time-integrated erosion is nevertheless generally consistent across the paleoclimate scenarios tested, despite variations in the glaciation maximum extent (Fig. 3 in Seguinot et al., 2018; Fig. 6). Furthermore, these changes are minor considering the large uncertainties affecting the choice of erosion law.
4.2 Choice of erosion law

The choice of erosion law significantly impacts the results (Fig. 7). Our default, based on quantified sediment yields from Patagonian and Antarctic Peninsula tidewater glaciers ($\dot{e} = 5.2 \times 10^{-8} u_b^{2.34}$, Koppes et al., 2015) yields a moderate and strongly localized cumulative erosion potential (Figs 2 and 7a). The erosion law based on measured suspended sediment load from Franz-Joseph Glacier ($\dot{e} = 2.7 \times 10^{-7} u_b^{2.02}$, Herman et al., 2015) also yields a strongly localized, and yet much higher, modelled erosion potential (Fig. 7b). The erosion law based on measured suspended sediment load during a surge of Variegated Glacier ($\dot{e} = 1 \times 10^{-4} u_b^{1}$, Humphrey and Raymond, 1994) results in similarly high values, but a less localized pattern of cumulative erosion potential (Fig. 7c). Finally, The erosion law based on a global compilation of glacier velocities and erosion rates ($\dot{e} = 1.665 \times 10^{-1} u_b^{0.6459}$, Cook et al., 2020) yields an even flatter pattern of cumulative erosion potential (Fig. 7d).

It may seem surprising that the erosion law calibrated on Patagonian and Antarctic Peninsula tidewater glaciers (Koppes et al., 2015) yields a more realistic glacial cycle erosion potential in the Alps than those based on terrestrial glaciers (Humphrey and Raymond, 1994; Herman et al., 2015; Cook et al., 2020), themselves resulting in kilometre-scale integrated erosion even higher than the Alpine relief itself. However, during the Last Glacial Maximum and much of the last glacial cycle, Alpine paleoglaciers were closer in size, slope, and climatic context to the present-day glaciers of Patagonia and the Antarctic Peninsula (Koppes et al., 2015) than to Franz-Joseph Glacier (Herman et al., 2015) and many of the glaciers included in the global compilation by Cook et al. (2020).
That said, the magnitude of the modelled erosion rates can hardly be used as a criteria to rule out this or that erosion law for the glacial Alps. While sliding is here modelled by a pseudo-plastic law based on till dilatation under high water pressure (Tulaczyk et al., 2000), empirical erosion rules are thought to be a proxy for glacier abrasion (cf. Hallet, 1979; and possibly plucking) of a glacier flowing over a hard bed. Current erosion rates in the Alps strongly vary depending on the substratum (Steinemann et al., 2020, 2021), and it arguable that a layer of till and proglacial sediments may have armored the glacier bed from erosion for at least parts of the glacial cycle. Given the lack of data to constrain the erosion rule, it can not be excluded that a linear or sub-linear erosion-law (e.g., Cook et al., 2020) would lead to a less localized pattern of erosion (Fig. 7c and d), and
4.3 Climate control on erosion

The modelled, domain-integrated glacier erosion volume do not increase together with ice volume. On the opposite, periods of ice advance and maximum glaciation correspond to comparatively slow erosion (Figs. 2 and 3). This result may seem paradoxical, and is modulated in a limited extent by the exponent, $l$, in the erosion rule (Fig. 7). During deglaciation periods, increased surface melt at low elevations results in a steepened topographic profile (Figs. 8a), as observed and further expected on currently retreating glaciers (Huss et al., 2010; Zekollari and Huybrechts, 2015). The buttress formed by the glacier foot against gravitational forces is reduced, causing an increase in the magnitude of the basal shear stress (basal drag) relative to the ice overburden pressure, and an increase in the area where sliding and thus, erosion, can occur (Figs. 8b).

This result is corroborated by a recent denudation record from the Mediterranean Alps, which includes an increase in glacier erosion in the Var Valley, roughly at 25 ka following an extended period of low denudation rates (Mariotti et al., 2021). While a stronger correlation between modelled ice volume and erosion (Fig. 7k and l). Even then, the intrinsically large variations in modelled glacier sliding velocities yield cumulative glacial-cycle erosion two to three orders of magnitude higher in the Alpine valleys than near the mountain tops (Fig. 7d). Yet this result is compatible with the order-of-magnitude variation of glacier erosion rates observed in the cosmogenic nuclide record (Jansen et al., 2019; Steinemann et al., 2020, 2021).
that increase in erosion predates the increase observed in our study (ca. 15 ka; Fig. 3), it demonstrates that climatic conditions over-which glacier erosion occurs are relatively specific and likely transient. Other field-based studies have evidenced increased glacier erosion and pro-glacial sedimentation during the current deglaciation period (e.g., Koppes and Montgomery, 2009; Micheletti and Lane, 2016; Lane et al., 2017; Bendixen et al., 2017). Yet this increase has often been attributed to higher meltwater availability, promoting sediment transport (Delaney and Adhikari, 2020), enhanced glacier sliding (Herman et al., 2011), and hydrofracturing, i.e. plucking (Hallet, 1996; Ugelvig et al., 2018; Hildes et al., 2004).

But importantly, these hydrological processes are not included in our model, so that deglaciation surface meltwater is assumed to instantly exit the glacier with no effect on glacier dynamics (cf. Werder et al., 2013; Iverson, 2012; Ugelvig et al., 2018, for comparison). The enhanced glacier velocity during periods of ice retreat is here modelled to result from changes in glacier geometry alone (Figs. 8b). Observed feedbacks between surface meltwater and basal sliding, not included in the model, presumably further accelerated erosion during deglaciation (Herman et al., 2011). Furthermore, we omit the fluvial transport of sediment, which could well increase sediment discharge and erosion with increased melt, so long as additional sediment remains available (Delaney and Adhikari, 2020). Rapid glacier erosion can be expected to accompany the ongoing 21st-century deglaciation, especially for the ice sheets of Greenland and Antarctica where polythermal and cold-based glaciers are warming and sliding may increase (e.g., Moon et al., 2012; Mouginit et al., 2014; Overeem et al., 2017).

4.4 Age of the glacial landscape

In many places throughout the Alps, significant modelled cumulative erosion occurs far inside the Alpine valleys (Fig. 2). Yet instantaneous erosion rates show a time-transgressive radial pattern (Fig. 4), so that high-altitude rapid erosion is not modelled to date from expansive glaciation stages, but instead to stages of intermediate glacier cover (Fig. 5; Barr et al., 2019).

For instance, low-elevation piedmont over-deepened basins such as Lake Constance (Fig. 1), Lake Geneva and Lake Maggiore, are here modelled to be the product of extensive, Last Glacial Maximum-like glaciations. Other modeling work suggests that features such as these likely form when water can access the glacier bed and encourage glacier sliding and, thus, erosion (Herman et al., 2011). The model herein does not include subglacial hydrology, yet the role of climate warming to increasing glacier erosion to the lower elevations remains evident (Fig. 5). Alpine terrain with elevations above 1000 m are modelled to experience virtually no erosion from 35 to 18 ka BP (Fig. 5), so that many of the most spectacular, intermediate-elevation glacial valleys of the Alps as Lauterbrunnenalp (Fig. 1), Haslital (Upper Aare) or Bout du Monde (Upper Giffre) would date from periods of intermediate glacier extension. While the validity of the model results at high elevation are limited by the 1-km resolution and the shallow-ice physics (Imhof et al., 2019), the lack of rapid erosion at high-elevations for much of the modelled glacial cycle implies that the numerous Alpine mountain cirques such as Chüebodensee (Fig. 1) would have formed over interglacial periods, when glaciers are confined to their cirques (Barr et al., 2017, 2019). Similar processes also occur on Himalayan glaciers and may limit erosion for the high elevation portion of that mountain range during cold periods as well, failing to offset uplift rates (Harper and Humphrey, 2003).

Finally, the time-transgressive nature of the modelled glacial erosion rates hint at a new explanation for the Alpine glacier trim-line and lack of cold-based glaciation evidence. Due to their geographic location in the mid-latitudes, and their steep
topographic gradient, the Alps appear as a mountain range that hosted glaciers of various sizes throughout the varying climate of the Quaternary, resulting in a transgressive localization of glacier erosion throughout their elevational range, from the piedmont during glacial maxima, to the highest cirques during interglacial periods. The Alpine trim-line, in this case, would neither correspond to the upper reach of the Last Glacial Maximum glaciers (e.g., Kelly et al., 2004), nor to an englacial temperate-ice boundary (Coutterand, 2010; Seguinot et al., 2018), but to a time-transgressive upper limit of erosion from retreating glaciers on steep terrain.

5 Conclusions

Due to compounded uncertainties regarding paleoclimate, glacier sliding and erosion processes, our quantitative results are very likely inaccurate. Yet, we draw the following qualitative conclusions:

– The non-linear physics of glacier deformation and sliding, combined with non-linear empirical erosion laws, results in a very strong localization of rapid glacier erosion in regions of fast flow.

– This high spatio-temporal variability hints at a complex relationship between climate and glacier erosion, so that a highly variable response of glacier erosion to climate should be expected.

– Increased gravitational drag due to surface profile steepening provide a mechanism for accelerated erosion during deglaciation periods, irrespective of surface meltwater penetration to the glacier bed.

– If a non-linear glacier erosion law is used, the climate-induced slowdown of erosion counterbalances glacier expansion, so that Alpine-wide glacier erosion volumes do not correlate with the ice volume.

– Rapid glacier erosion is restricted to low elevation during stages of glacier advance and maximum glaciation, but propagates up-valley to higher elevations during periods of glacier retreat.

– The diversity of the Alpine glacier erosion landscape appears to be the time-transgressive signature of a variety of glacial stages ranging from pan-Alpine ice sheets to modern-style mountain glaciers.
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