Review for Struble and Roering, 2021, *Hilltop curvature as a proxy for erosion rates: wavelets enable rapid rapid computation and reveal systematic underestimation*

This is a review of a revised manuscript that I previously offered comments on. In general, I think that the authors have addressed my comments. I briefly reiterate one of my points though.

(1) I remain convinced that the calculation of curvature of a function using wavelets involves the *positive* second derivative of a Gaussian not the *negative* as defined by the Ricker Wavelet. Equation 8 in the revised manuscript and in *Lashermes et al., 2008* demonstrate that derivatives do not involve negative signs of the kernel. I understand that the Ricker Wavelet is formally defined as the negative of the 2nd DoG, but it inverts the sign.

This is the only substantial comment that I have that I thought warranted reiterating. I think this is a nice, clear presentation and good work.