CLIMATE CHANGES AND THE FORMATION OF FLUVIAL TERRACES IN CENTRAL AMAZONIA

Ariel Henrique do Prado [1,2], Renato Paes de Almeida [2], Cristiano Padalino Galeazzi [2,4], Victor Sacek [3] & Fritz Schlunegger [1].

5 [1]Institute of Geological Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, 3012, Switzerland.
[2]Instituto de Geociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
[3]Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e Ciências Atmosféricas, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.
[4]School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 21990, Republic of Korea.

10 **Correspondence**: Ariel Henrique do Prado (ariel.doprado@geo.unibe.ch)

Abstract. Climate changes have been considered ~~as~~ an essential factor controlling the shaping of the recent alluvial landscapes in central Amazonia, with implications for explaining the biogeographic patterns in the region. This landscape is characterized by wide floodplains and various terrace levels at different elevations. A set of older terraces with ages

15 between 50,000 and >200,000 yr occupy the higher portions of central Amazonia, whereas multiple terraces next to floodplains occur at lower elevations and display ages of a few thousand~~s of~~ years. These lower terraces, referred to as mid-lower terraces, reveal what can be perceived as a stochastic pattern both in space and time. Despite the widespread occurrence of these geomorphic features, no process-oriented analysis has been conducted to

20 explain their formation. Here, we develop a landscape evolution model referred to as SPASE to explicitly account for fluvial erosion and deposition in combination with lateral channel migration to explore the controls on terrace development. The model results show that the higher terraces were deposited under the condition of a higher base-level for the basins upstream of the confluence between the Solimões and Negro Rivers. The subsequent

25 decrease in the base level initiated a phase of gradual incision, thereby resulting in the current fluvial configuration. The model also predicts that high-frequency climate changes ~~yielded~~ in the construction of mid-lower terraces at various elevations, which however, are all situated at lower elevation than the higher terrace levels. Our model shows that dry-to-wet shifts in climate, in relation to the modern situation, yield a landscape architecture where mid-lower

30 terrace levels are better preserved than wet-to-dry changes in climate, again if the current situation is considered as reference. Finally, our results show that fast and widespread landscape changes possibly occurred in response to high-frequency climate changes in central Amazonia, at least since the Late Pleistocene, with great implications for the distribution and connectivity of different biotic environments in the region. Because of this short time scale of

35 response to external perturbations, we suggest that the streams in Central Amazonia possibly also respond in rapid and sensitive ways to human perturbations.

1   Introduction

The lowlands of the central Amazonia host one of the largest systems of alluvial deposits on Earth, composed of extensive Quaternary fluvial terraces with wide incised valleys where modern rivers flow (Fig. 1) (Rossetti et al., 2005; Pupim et al., 2019; Sioli, 1984). These deposits are of great relevance for the reconstruction of both the evolution of the physical landscape and the patterns of biotic diversity in the region (Ribas et al., 2012; 2019; Pupim et al., 2019, Bicudo et al., 2019). The areas that currently display terraces were part of a large depositional system in which rivers in this region had high deposition and avulsion rates in an aggradational pattern (Hoorn et al., 2010; Pupim et al., 2019; Wilkinson et al., 2010). During this stage, flooded environments covered much larger areas, because they were not restricted to incised valleys as is currently the case. During the late Pleistocene, most likely because of an adjustment of the river's long profile to a lowering of the base level or a change in discharge, the main rivers started to incise to reach their modern position. This phase of incision was associated with a widening of the valleys, which was accomplished through channel migration and lateral bank erosion (Merritts et al., 1994). This long-term period of fluvial erosion was accompanied, at a shorter time scale, by multiple cycles of incision and aggradation, which resulted in the formation of both cut terraces and cut-and-fill sequences with various depositional ages and elevations (Pupim et al., 2019). Sea level changes have been proposed as the controlling mechanisms for the formation of these cycles (Irion & Kalliola, 2010), however, the depositional ages show that the cycles of aggradation and incision occurred at a different frequency or phase than the cycles of sea-level changes during the Pleistocene (Pupim et al., 2019). In fact, there is ample evidence for the occurrence of Cretaceous bedrock exposed at the bottom of the Amazon River next to the confluence with the Negro River (Ianniruberto et al., 2018; Gualtieri et al., 2020), implying no net aggradation since the last glacial maximum. Therefore, the Holocene sea-level rise cannot be used to explain the occurence of incised floodplains upstream of the confluence with the Negro River. Climate driven discharge variations is another mechanism that has been used to explain the multiple phases of aggradation and erosion because such controls have the potential to impose changes on the course of the main and tributary rivers through changes in the sediment supply and the rivers' capacity to evacuate the supplied material (e.g., Tucker and Slingerland, 1999). There is indeed ample evidence that rainfall rates have varied in central Amazonia during the last 200,000 yr (Cheng et al., 2013; Mertes and Dunne, 2007; Fritz et al., 2004). In addition, the pattern of terrace ages suggests that the related cyclicity of sediment accumulation and erosion has been quite similar to that of rainfall

70   variations (Pupim et al., 2019). ~~Yet,~~ no quantitative model has been employed to test the
hypothesis of a climate driven control on the formation of the various terraces in central
Amazonia.

Here, we develop a landscape evolution model (LEM), which is tailored to
reproduce alluvial processes at a 10^4 yr temporal scale and for a spatial extent of several 10^6
75   km^2. This new model also introduces a simplified approach to reproduce lateral erosion and
the formation of cut terraces. We start with a model that is calibrated with current field-based
data from central Amazonia including the relationships between water and sediment
discharge, and the modern topography along the Solimões River in a area between the
confluence with the Içá and Negro Rivers (Fig. 1). Using this calibrated model, we consider
80   the landscape response to different conditions of water discharge, sediment flux, and base
level changes. We focus on these parameters because of their high frequency variability,
which is compatible with the dynamics of the terrace formation in central Amazonia (Cheng
et al., 2013; Mertes and Dunne, 2007; Fritz et al., 2004 ; Pupim et al., 2019). We then
compare the model results with the modern topography. The ultimate scope is to
85   quantitatively explore possible controls on the formation of terrace levels in central
Amazonia, which has occurred at a frequency of c. 10^4 years.

2   Local Setting

The study area (Fig. 1) is situated in central Amazonia to the west of the city of
Manaus, which is near the confluence between the Negro and the Solimões Rivers. The study
90   region, which is c. 300'000 km^2 large, additionally hosts 4 major tributary streams of the
Solimões River, which are from the West to the East: the Jutaí River, the Juruá River, the
Japurá River, and the Purus River. While the Solimões and the Japurá Rivers are sourced
from the Andean orogen, the Negro River is sourced from the Guiana shield, and the other
streams have their headwaters in the Fitzcarrald arch (Filizola and Guyot, 2009; Regard et al.,
95   2009). At Manaus downstream of the confluence with the Negro River, the mean water
discharge of the Amazon River is c. 130'000 m^3/s and the mean annual flux of suspended
sediment amounts to c. 500 x 10^6 t yr^{-1} (Ianniruberto et al., 2018; Filizola and Guyot, 2011).
In the tributary rivers, mean water discharge values vary from c. 2000 m^3/s for the Jutaí River
to approximately 30'000 m^3/s for the Negro River. Except for the Jutaí and Japurá Rivers,
100   gauging records of suspended sediment loads also exist for the other major streams in the
study area. The values range from 25 x 10^6 t yr^{-1} for the Juruá River to c. 100 x 10^6 t yr^{-1} for
the Purus River (Filizola and Guyot, 2009).

Earth **Surface**
Dynamics
Discussions

Figure 1 Topography of central Amazonia with key geographical designations such as cities, rivers and geological and geomorphic features including terrace levels, floodplains and drainage network. This illustration is based on a Digital Elevation Model from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission. Red square represents the region that is reproduced in the model and that represents the model grid.

The morphology of the study region is characterized by the occurrence of multiple terrace levels and floodplains. Estimates of the elevation differences between the highest terrace level and the floodplain range from c. 10 to >30 m. This estimate is based on a SRTM digital elevation model, which, however, has not been corrected for the tree coverage. In addition, several low-altitude terrace levels, referred to as mid-lower terraces, have been mapped in the study area (Pupim et al., 2019). Estimates of the heights of the mid-lower terraces are associated with large uncertainties, but because they are at a lower elevation than the higher terraces their heights are less than 10 meters relative to the floodplain at flood stage. The floodplain widths vary between c. 15 km (Jutaí River) and 60 km (Solimões River). In addition, multiple local streams originate in the terrace levels and display a dendritic drainage pattern (Fig. 1).

Ages for some of these terrace levels have been established with optically luminescence dating techniques and measurements of ^{14}C concentrations in organic matter.

120   These analyses yielded ages between 50'000 and >200'000 yr for the highest terrace levels, and ages of <50'000 yr for the lower terrace levels adjacent to the floodplains (Fig. 2). The reported ages, the elevations and the spatial occurrences of the mid-lower terraces do ~~neither~~ show a distinct pattern nor a clustering or a trend in any direction. Although these ages reveal a large scatter and the sampling is still very sparse, we suggest that they record two distinct

125   phases of landscape evolution. The first one is recorded by the highest terrace levels, which formed when the streams in the study area accumulated material over a larger area as revealed by the data of Pupim et al. (2019) in Fig. 2. This accumulation of fluvial deposits is superseded by a phase of erosional recycling. This second period started sometime during the late Pleistocene, and was associated with a shift towards a period with short-term (10^4 years)

130   variations of climate (Cheng et al., 2013; Fritz et al., 2004; Mertes and Dunne, 2007). It has been proposed that this shift in paleoclimate eventually resulted in the formation of cut-and-fill terrace sequences and cut terraces in central Amazonia (Pupim et al., 2019).

3   Methods

    Following the scope of this paper, we developed a landscape evolution model which

135   allows us to simulate fluvial processes of erosion, transport and deposition of sediments in alluvial landscapes (e.g., Tucker and Slingerland, 1999; Braun, 2006; Sacek, 2014). This model, which is referred to as SPASE (Sedimentary Processes and Alluvial Systems Evolution) is implemented in Python. The source code is openly accessible and can be downloaded from the GitHub repository

140   (https://github.com/Ariel-H-Prado/SPASE_Model.git). In the context of the Late Pleistocene to Holocene terrace systems in central Amazonia, the search for the controls on the depositional and erosional cycles requires a conceptual model that considers short-term (10^4 years) variations of base level and climate changes (Cheng et al., 2013; Fritz et al., 2004; Mertes and Dunne, 2007), leading to the formation of cut-and-fill terrace sequences and cut

145   terraces in central Amazonia. This model also needs to be able to simulate the erosion of the valley margins in response to the lateral migration of channels. In fact, such a process has been considered as essential to understand the formation and the widening of the in-valley floodplains in central Amazonia (Merritts et al., 1994).

Earth **Surface**
Dynamics
Discussions

Figure 2 Chronology of sediment deposition representing the formation of terraces in Amazonian lowlands compared with Quaternary climate records from Pupim et al. (2019). (a) OSL ages of the studied sedimentary profiles across fluvial terraces in central Amazonia and published ^{14}C and OSL ages from late Quaternary deposits from other Amazonian sites. The ages are presented in groups (rows) related to river systems to highlight the regional variability of the sedimentary processes. Sample location, sedimentary and dating details, and references can be found in Pupim et al. (2019). The main domains are modern floodplains (green squares); mid-lower terraces (yellow squares); higher terraces (red squares). Subsurface sediments (grey squares) are remnants of older deposits at the base of a younger terrace. (b) Speleothem ^{18}O records from Cueva del Diamante cave (purple line) indicating precipitation changes in western Amazonia (Cheng et al., 2013).

3.1   Model setup and governing equations

To simulate fluvial erosion and mass transport, previously developed LEMs (e.g., Beaumont et al., 1992; Braun, 2006; Sacek, 2011; 2014) considered both fluvial processes, such as the long-distance advection of material by rivers and slope processes, such as local mass transport by hillslope diffusion for slopes flatter than a threshold, and advection for steep slopes (Tucker and Slingerland, 1999; Braun, 2006; Sacek, 2014). Since the Amazon alluvial system has very gentle slopes (< 1º) and because the area bordering the floodplains and terraces is occupied by a dense vegetation cover, we do not consider that overland flow

erosion on hillslopes ~~largely contributes~~ to the supply of sediment in the channel network. Therefore, our model only considers the effects of fluvial processes.

Upon modeling fluvial dynamics, we proceed following Beaumont et al. (1992) and employ a stream power law where, for a given channel, the carrying capacity of sediment, Q_{eqb}, which is equivalent to the maximum volume of sediment per unit time [L^3 T^{-1}] a stream can carry, is given by the equation:

$$Q_{eqb} = K_f Q_r^m S^n \qquad (1)$$

Here, Q_r is the water discharge in the channel [L^3 T^{-1}], and S is the slope [L L-1]. Note that in order to maintain the consistency of the equation, we set the dimension of the constant K_f to [T L^{-3}]$^{m-1}$. This constant K_f is the dimensional transport coefficient that adjusts the carrying capacity (Q_{eqb}). The constants m and n determine whether the discharge and slope have a linear ($m = n = 1$) or a power ($m \neq 1$ and n $\neq$ 1) relationship with Q_{eqb}. Here, we follow the experimental results and field observations of Whipple and Tucker (2002) and set $m \geq 1$ and fixed $n = 1$. We then estimate the values for the parameters K_f and m (Table 1) through an ordinary least squares fitting on Eq. (1). This is accomplished using modern water and suspended sediment discharge data for multiple Amazonian rivers obtained from Filizola and Guyot (2009), and using slope values that we obtained from the SRTM digital elevation model. In this context, we infer that the sediment discharge in the current Amazonia rivers is at capacity. A table with the used modern values is presented in the Supplementary Material (Table S3).

Similar to Braun (2006), the entrainment, deposition and transport of sediment in a channel (in suspension or as bedload) is computed by Eq. (2), where the erosion/deposition rate is considered proportional to the difference between the carrying capacity (Q_{eqb}) and sediment discharge, Q_{sed} [L^3 T^{-1}] :

$$\frac{\delta h}{\delta t} = -\frac{1}{W L_f}(Q_{eqb} - Q_{sed}) \qquad (2)$$

Here, W denotes the channel width [L] and L_f is the erosion/deposition length scale [L], which is a material property. From Eq. (2) it follows that if $Q_{sed} < Q_{eqb}$, sediment entrainment will occur. In this case, L_f takes the length-scale property of the river bed load,

L_a. Alternatively, the model will simulate the occurrence of deposition if $Q_{sed} > Q_{eqb}$. In this
case, L_f takes the length-scale property of the suspended load in the river, L_s, where $L_s < L_a$.

The volume of sediment B [L^3] that a channel can laterally erode at a particular site
is computed through:

$$\frac{\delta B}{\delta t} = A_{le}\left[\left(h_{terrace} - h_{channel}\right) + h_{depth}\right] \tag{3}$$

where $h_{terrace}$ is the height of the terrace adjacent to the channel [L], $h_{channel}$ is the height of the
channel surface [L], h_{depth} is the depth of the channel [L]. A_{le} is the rate at which an area is
laterally eroded per unit time [L^{2}T^{-1}]. This rate is defined as:

$$A_{le} = \alpha_1 Q_{eqb}{}^{\alpha_2} \tag{4}$$

Here, α_1 and α_2 are the lateral erosion coefficients, which depend on the erosional
resistance of the lateral margins of the channels. These coefficients are defined through an
iterative process where different values are assigned to the α_1 and α_2 parameters and where
the impact on the model floodplains are then analyzed. We finally accepted those values for
the α_1 and α_2 parameters where the simulated floodplain widths of the Solimões and Juruá
Rivers correspond to the current situation and where the lateral migration of the model
streams will not result in an obvious increase of the floodplains' widths. As another
constraint, we inferred that this development towards the modern nearly stable condition had
to occur within less than 20 kyr, mainly because this corresponds to the timescales recorded
by the terrace levels. An example of the model behavior with a different value for α_1 is
shown in the Supplementary Material (Figure S9 and S10).

Because one model cell can potentially contain both a part of a channel belt-
floodplain and a portion of a bordering terrace, it is necessary to record two elevation values
upon modelling lateral erosion (Eq. (3) and (4)). One elevation variable, referred to as $h_{channel}$
in Eq. 3, represents the elevation of the active channel and the floodplain, and the other
elevation parameter $h_{terrace}$ in Eq. (3) considers the elevation of a possibly older and higher
terrace within the same cell. All maps in this paper illustrate the elevation of terraces ($h_{terrace}$)
inside each cell. Additionally, the coefficient h_{depth} in Eq. (3) plays an important role upon
modelling the lateral migration of the channels since it simulates the recycling of sediments

that is associated with this process. In this context, accumulation of sediment (where $Q_{sed} > Q_{eqb}$) might raise the channel floor within a particular model cell. This can initiate a lateral shift of the active channel to a neighboring cell if its elevation is lower than the cell hosting

225   the active channel. For a detailed description of the numerical solutions for the equations, their implementation in the model and the way of how the drainage network and the lakes are calculated the reader is referred to the Supplementary Material (S1).

3.2   Setup of the initial scenario

We use the modern topography as the initial condition. This surface was reconstructed

230   over a regular mesh with 129 x 62 points, where each point corresponds to a center of a regular hexagonal cell. This input topography was obtained from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) digital elevation model (DEM) for the area of interest (Fig. 3 a). The DEM was smoothed and adjusted for the grid of the model through the QGIS openly accessible software. As mentioned before, a limitation in the use of the SRTM is that the tree

235   canopy heights are included in the elevation model.

Table 1 Fixed parameters in all simulated scenarios.

Parameter	Description	Value
k_f	Erosional coefficient due to fluvial processes	0.026
m	Stream power discharge exponent	1.425
n	Stream power slope exponent	1.0
L_a	Erosion length scale of the sediments	10.0 km
α_1	Lateral erosion coefficient 1	15.73
α_2	Lateral erosion coefficient 2	0.3
	Rainfall rate - Current	3000.0 mm
	Runoff coefficient	50 %
	Hexagonal cell side	4 km

The initial landscape displays six main rivers entering the grid. These are the Solimões, Japurá, Juruá, Jutaí, Purus and Negro Rivers (Fig. 1 and 3b). For these streams, water flux values were obtained by the HydroRivers model for the locations where they enter

240 the study area (Lehner and Grill, 2013). Also for these locations, the sediment discharge of these streams was then estimated by applying Eq. (1), thereby using the calibrated parameters of K_f and m and the slopes S that we measured along the corresponding reach (Table 2). Modern average rainfall rate in the region is 3000 mm/year (Espinoza et al., 2009), and the runoff coefficient (Effective proportion of rainfall) is set to 50% (Guimberteau et al., 2013).

245 For simplification, all erosional and transport processes occur over the same material, here considered as sand. The bedrock at Manaus is considered as a rigid material that cannot be eroded.

In order to make the initial topography more stable upon modelling, the numerical model was run using the smoothed DEM as initial topography with the model parameters of

250 Table 1 and 2 during 10 kyr inside the model. The output topography for this scenario (Fig. 3b) was then used as initial topography for all the climatic and base-level tests in this work.

Table 2 Current discharge, slope and sediment flux of the rivers outside of the grid. The sediment flux was calculated using the Eq. (1) and the parameters on Table 1.

River	Discharge (m³ s⁻¹)	Slope (m m⁻¹)	Sediment flux (m³ s⁻¹)
Solimões	55522.754	0.000057	8.624734014
Juruá	4970.593	0.00015	0.7285283026
Japurá	14555.073	0.0001	2.245332418
Jutaí	2301.853	0.00014	0.2270145616
Purus	6650.166	0.00012	0.8824582715
Negro	29187.611	0.000022	1.331445249

Earth **Surface**
Dynamics
Discussions

Figure 3 (a) Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) digital elevation model of central Amazonia, with a resolution of 90 m at the Equator. The dimensions of the model domain are 894 km x 372 km. (b) Initial topography and configuration of the numerical simulations. The blue lines represent the channels and the thickness of the line is proportional to the discharge of the corresponding stream. The names of the rivers outside of the grid are indicated. The base level is controlled by the elevation of the sink cells locked at 0 meters and located on the last right column of the grid.

3.3   Boundary conditions for the modelled scenarios

For the model scenarios where different climate conditions are taken into account, it is necessary to consider the variation of the rainfall rate outside of the model grid, which induces a change of the water discharge of the six main rivers entering the model grid and consequently impacts the sediment flux. We used the following relationship in order to estimate the sediment flux, Q_{sed}. For the rivers entering the grid, sediment discharge Q_{sed} for a given water discharge Q_r is computed through:

$$Q_{sed} = \alpha \cdot Q_r^{\gamma} \tag{5}$$

The value for γ is obtained through a regression of Eq. (5) using data from Filizola and Guyot (2009) about water discharge and sediment flux of the main rivers in the Amazonian lowlands as constraints. This regression yields a value of c. 0.5 for the exponent γ on Eq. (5) (see also Figure S7 on Supplementary Material). The parameter α varies for each river and is defined as:

$$\alpha = Q_{sed_{current}} \cdot Q_{r_{current}}^{-\gamma} \tag{6}$$

in which $Q_{sed\_current}$ and $Q_{r\_current}$ are the current sediment and water flux of the rivers listed in Table 2 (Figure S8 on Supplementary Material shows this relation for the six main rivers). We note that this relationship between sediment and water flux, scaled through γ based on modern observations, is considered as a constant value during all model runs. Accordingly, we infer that sediment discharge in the major Amazonian rivers occurs at near capacity conditions, which we consider as a valid assumption.

Additionally, all cells on the right edge of the grid are considered as sink cells. They also control the elevation of the base-level of the grid. For the generation of the initial topography, the sink cells are kept at a fixed position. This corresponds to the elevation of the bedrock at Manaus, which we set to 0 m (see above).

4   Results

The controls of climatic variations on the formation of terraces are explored in the framework of two scenarios, the results of which are detailed in the following sections. In scenario one (Fig. 4), a dryer period is simulated by a 30% decrease of the current water input during the first 10 kyr, which is then followed, until 20 kyr, by an instantaneous increase in the water supply up to the same level as the current water input. Scenario two is similar but considers first a 30% increase of the water input during the first 10 kyr (Fig. 5), thereby simulating a wetter period, which is superseded by a 10 kyr-long model run during which the water supply is instantaneously reduced to the current level. The 30% increase or decrease in rainfall on central Amazonia during the Holocene is based on estimatives proposed by van Breukelen et al. (2008) and Cheng et al. (2013). In both scenarios, after shifting to a drier or wetter period, the model returns to the modern climate setting to evaluate what would be preserved in the landscape from such climatic shifts. In these models, a change in precipitation rates will affect the water input into the model, which is the sum of the water flux where the stream enters the grid and the runoff that is generated through rain on the grid itself. Both scenarios start with the same initial topography (Fig. 3b), and the grid base-level

300   is locked at a bedrock elevation of 0 m, thereby considering the occurrence of a bedrock in
the Amazon trunk stream at the downstream end of our model. The consequence of such a
fixed base level is that sediment does not get eroded or deposited after the confluence of the
Solimões and Negro Rivers although that the high water supply of the Negro River lowers the
sediment to water discharge ratio, which, in turn, would acutally promote a phase of erosion.

305   Following these model runs, we also explore a third scenario where we allow the base level
first to increase and then to decrease to the modern situation.

4.1   Scenario one: Shift to drier and then wetter conditions

The model results of scenario one, which commences with a dry period, show that
sediment starts to accumulate in the upstream part, and the wave of accumulation progresses

310   from upstream to downstream. As a consequence, the average slope of the Solimões River
and its main tributaries increases in pace with the accumulation of sediment. This change
towards steeper channel gradients occurs during the first 5 kyr, after which a nearly steady
state situation is established with constant topographic gradients. At this stage, a total of
approximately 13 meters of sediment has accumulated along the Solimões River upstream of

315   the Juruá River confluence (Fig. 4, at 2, 5 and 10 kyr). Also during the first 10 kyr with drier
conditions, the course of the Solimões trunk river is deflected to the North at the confluence
with the Purus River. The Purus River flows from the south and experiences, similar to the
other main tributaries in the model, a phase of sediment accumulation in the upstream part. In
the confluence area, this northward-directed shift of the Solimões River initiates a wave of

320   erosion along the northern banks of this stream (Fig. 4). The model simulates the occurrence
of lateral bank erosion also along those river segments where sediment accumulation occurs
(Fig. 4). This is mainly caused by the meandering of the channel's course to the lateral
floodplain boundaries, which then initiates a phase of lateral erosion as long as higher terrace
levels form cut banks. These results document that the SPASE model reproduces one of the

325   first order features of a meandering stream such as cut banks despite the simple model
architecture.

During the subsequent 10 kyr-long period of scenario one, the modelled increase in
water input causes a wave of erosional recycling of the previously deposited sediments. This
phase of downcutting is associated with a phase of valley widening mainly along the main

330   rivers, thus forming cut-terraces (Fig. 4, at 15 and 20 kyr). At the end of the model run, just a
fraction of the previously deposited sedimentary material is preserved (red cells on Fig. 4 at

20 kyr). The model results predict that such terrace fragments mainly occur in the upstream part of the Solimões River and next to the Japurá, Juruá, Jutaí and Purus Rivers.

4.2   Scenario two: Shift to wetter and then drier conditions

335   In model run two, which corresponds to a scenario where water supply is increased during the first 10 kyr and then decreased to modern conditions, the landscape response is different. In particular, the increase in water runoff initiates a wave of erosion from upstream to downstream, which is also associated to a widening of the floodplain through lateral bank erosion. Erosion and valley lowering results in a decrease of the energy

340   gradient, and in the formation of new floodplains at a lower elevation (Fig. 5, at 2, 5 and 10 kyr) of c. 10 meters. During the last 10 kyr when the model water input is the same as the modern one, the model rivers adapt an aggradational pattern, but the rivers' thalwegs do not reach the same initial elevations. At the end, no new terrace levels are formed (Fig. 5, at 15 and 20 kyr). Finally, during the evolution of this model scenario, the main rivers do not show

345   any preferential direction of lateral bank erosion. Similar to the previous model run, the topography response to each climate change occurs mainly in the first 5 kyr, after which nearly steady state conditions are reached.

Figure 4 Results of the landscape evolution of scenario 1. The model water input is 30% less than the current water input (dryer period) during the first 10 kyr. Subsequently, we employed a model water input that corresponds to the modern one until 20 kyr. The left figures show the difference of the model topography in relation to the initial topography, with colors saturated in red for values in elevation differences higher than 10 m, and in blue for values below - 10 m. The right column shows the topography itself. The occasional blue stains on the map represent lakes (local minima).

Earth **Surface**
Dynamics
Discussions

Figure 5 Results of the landscape evolution of scenario 2. The model water input is 30% higher than the current water input (wet period) during the first 10 kyr. Subsequently, we employed a model water input that corresponds to the modern one until 20 kyr. The left figures show the difference of the model topography in relation to the initial topography, with colors saturated in red for values in elevation differences higher than 10 m, and in blue for values below - 10 m. The right column shows the topography itself. The occasional blue stains on the map represent lakes (local minima).

4.3 Scenario three: Topography response due to base-level variations

360

To simulate the consequences of a hypothetical base-level variation on the topography of Central Amazonia, we consider a scenario where the base level gradually increases until 35 m during the first 10 kyr, which is followed by a rapid base level drop to the elevation of the bedrock at 0 m (Fig. 6). The water input is equal to the current water input during the entire scenario.

365

During the first 10 kyr, the main rivers turn to an aggradational pattern and thus adjust their long-stream profile to the increasing base level, even accumulating new sedimentary material above older terraces near the interfluve area of the Solimões and Negro Rivers (Red cells on Fig. 6 at 2, 5 and 10 kyr). The smaller tributaries, with a lower sediment discharge,

370

are not able to accumulate sediment in response to the base-level rise at the same pace as the main rivers, thus forming shallow lakes within their own valleys (inundated cells on Fig. 6 at 2, 5 and 10 kyr). During the second period of the model run the main rivers initiate a phase of erosion, which occurs in response to the drop in the base level. This downwearing is associated with a period of valley widening. In addition, the model predicts that streams can

375

adapt to a new course. This is exemplified by a northward shift of the Solimões River that takes the course of the Negro River towards the end of the model grid (Fig. 6 at 15 and 20 kyr). Such shifts in the course of the major streams is due to the random position of the avulsive rivers during the aggradational stage and the preservation of their last configuration during the incision stage.

5 Discussion

380

The numerical model (SPASE) presented in this work simulates the evolution of alluvial landscapes. It is capable of reproducing the formation of floodplains and terraces at conditions similar to central Amazonia. The tested scenarios allow us to quantitatively explore the responses of the central Amazonia topography to climatic and base-level changes.

Earth **Surface** Dynamics
Discussions

Figure 6 Model results showing the landscape evolution of scenario 3. The base level of the grid gradually increases until 35 m during the first 10 kyr, which was followed by a rapid base level drop to the situation of a bedrock elevation at 0 m, which was maintained up to 20 kyr. The model water input is constant and equal to the current water input. The left figures show the difference of the model topography in relation to the initial topography, with colors saturated in red for values in elevation differences higher than 10 m, and in blue for values below - 10 m. The right column shows the topography itself. The occasional blue stains on the map represent lakes (local minima).

5.1 Model behavior

On the floodplains, the occurrence of erosion or sediment accumulation in the modelled scenarios are inherently related to the difference between the sediment transport capacity, which depends on water discharge and slope (Eq. (1) in the Methods), and the sediment discharge (Eq. (2) in the Methods). Accordingly, as we start our calculations with the conditions where sediment discharge in the model streams is at capacity, then any changes in either water or sediment discharge will initiate a phase of channel steepening or flattening in order to re-establish the at-capacity conditions. In particular, if we maintain sediment discharge at a constant value and only change water supply, then an increase or a decrease in water discharge will respond in a flatter or steeper channel gradient, respectively, in order to reach a condition where sediment discharge equals the sediment transport capacity. In addition, because data on modern sediment and water discharge in the Amazonian streams (Filizola and Guyot, 2009) suggests that sediment discharge relates to water discharge with an exponential factor of $\gamma \sim 0.5$ (Eq. (5) in the *Methods*, Figure S7 Supplementary Material), then changes in the sediment transport capacity are less than shifts in water discharge. Therefore, provided that sediment discharge in the current Amazonian rivers is at capacity, as has been inferred upon setting up the initial conditions (Fig. 3), then a 30% drop in water supply will decrease the sediment transport capacity by c. 16%. In this case and according to Eq. (1) the channel and thus the entire floodplain will steepen, depending on the combined effect of the deposition rate of sediment (Eq. (2)) and the width of the floodplain. Sediment accumulation will then proceed from upstream to downstream because the modelled change in the water budget is considered as downstream directed forcing. In the same sense, a 30% increase in water discharge will increase the sediment supply by the same amount, i.e. 16%. Because an increase in water runoff shifts the model streams to a condition where sediment flux is at under capacity, the model rivers will start to entrain sediment, thereby flattening the valley floor, as revealed by the model results (Fig. 5). Because in either scenario, erosion will continue on the higher elevated plateaus adjacent to the trunk streams, the sediment contribution in the tributary streams will first be high and then decrease with time. As a consequence, the elevation of the main valley floor will not be the same as the initial situation after several model cycles (Fig. 5). The model response to changes in water discharge and precipitation thus ultimately reflects the effect of the sediment rating curve, which yields a non-linear relationship ($\gamma = 0.5$) between water and sediment discharge.

425   The model shows that terrace levels form not only where the sediment transport capacity outpaces the sediment discharge but also where the meanders' cut banks approach the lateral margin of a floodplain. A terrace level might thus even form during a period when sediment accumulation occurs, particularly where the model streams start to erode the lateral banks of a floodplain (Fig. 4). The combination of cut bank erosion and sediment

430   accumulation and/or erosion on the floodplains result in a pattern where the terrace levels appear as randomly distributed within the Amazonian landscape, and at various elevations. As outlined in the following section, the model results thus offer an explanation for why published ages and elevation of terrace levels record a pattern which is rather difficult to correlate with specific changes in external forcings.

435   **5.2   Spatial distribution of mid-lower terrace levels**

The model outputs predict what appears to be a random distribution of mid-lower terrace levels, particularly if the dry-to-wet climate change scenario is considered. This pattern is caused by lateral shifts of the main rivers during both the aggradation and incision phases. These lateral shifts occur where the sediment flux exceeds the sediment transport

440   capacity, which forces the streams to accumulate a fraction of the transported sediment. The models show that such conditions occur randomly along most of the main streams. Exceptions are observed at the confluence of streams where the tributary stream is in an aggradational state. Such aggradation locally increases the elevation in the tributary floodplain relative to that of the trunk stream, with the consequence that it reduces the

445   possibility and thus the probability of the trunk stream to laterally shift towards the tributary river. In this sense, the trunk river is pushed towards the opposite floodplain margin where erosion can form a cut terrace.

The model results also show that climatic variations that occur at the scale of thousands of years in central Amazonia (Cheng et al., 2013; Fritz et al., 2004; Mertes and

450   Dunne, 2007) can have a large influence on the cycles of sediment aggradation and incision. The main differences in the topographic responses between the two climatic conditions are mainly observed within the floodplains, because the channels steepen during dryer periods in response to sediment accumulation, and they flatten during wetter periods as sediment is entrained in the floodplains. Both scenarios result in the formation of terrace levels at

455   different locations and elevations. Whereas the drier-to-wetter climate change scenario results in the formation of new mid-lower terrace levels that have quite a large preservation potential and that could eventually be mapped over a larger area, the wetter-to-drier climate change

scenario returns model results where new terrace levels are poorly preserved. Following these model results, we thus propose that the mid-lower terrace systems in Central Amazonia

460   mainly reflect the response to climate cycles from drier to wetter in comparison to modern conditions. These results could offer a solution of why published terrace ages neither show at distinct clustering of ages nor a particular spatial pattern. We explain this by the short response times in combination with the high frequency of climate change and the rather stochastic pattern at which channels migrate laterally.

465   It is important to note that the initial topography that we used for our model was based on the SRTM digital elevation model (DEM) where the elevation of trees was maintained upon calculating the DEM. This introduces a bias in the sense that the difference in elevations between the terraces and the floodplains are larger in the DEM than they actually should be. This implies that the spatial extents where sediment accumulations during

470   the drier periods could be even larger than our model suggests. This implies that our modeling results can be considered as conservative scenarios of landscape change.

5.3   Response of low-gradient landscapes to climate changes and contrasts to mountainous areas

The model results show that climatic variations that occur at the scale of thousands of

475   years in central Amazonia (Cheng et al., 2013; Fritz et al., 2004; Mertes and Dunne, 2007) can have a large influence on the cycles of sediment aggradation and incision, and that the mid-lower terrace systems in Central Amazonia mainly reflect the response to climate cycles from drier to wetter in comparison to modern conditions. This is different to the cyclicity recorded by terrace systems e.g., in the Andean mountain belt valleys where terrace levels

480   have been related to wetter-to-drier climate cycles, also relative to the modern climate (e.g., Steffen et al., 2009; Veit et al., 2016). The main difference between these environments is that in mountain belts, hillslopes have been considered as an additional landscape component where sediment can be stored during dry periods, whereas the material gets mobilized and supplied to the drainage network during wet climates, resulting in a temporary accumulation

485   of material in the valley floor. During dry conditions, the hillslopes are considered as stable, which allows the trunk streams to recycle the previously deposited sediment in the valley floor, thereby forming a terrace level. Such a mechanism at work in mountainous areas has also been reproduced with numerical models (e.g., Tucker and Slingerland, 1999; Norton et al., 2016). This is, however, different to our case where the buffering effect of hillslopes is

490   missing, since the central Amazonian landscape is mostly flat and far from the Andean

mountain belt. Therefore, we mainly see the channel's responses to climate change, which thus have an aggradation-incision cyclicity and which thus contrasts to that in mountain valleys.

5.4 Controls of base level changes on the formation of the highest terrace levels

495   The scenario where we model the landscape response to an increase and then a decrease in the local base-level (Fig. 6) provides an explanation why the topography of central Amazonia has preserved terrace levels at a high elevation (Fig. 5 at 10 kyr). Such a scenario has the potential to form wide floodplains at higher elevations. As the base-level drops, the rivers start to recycle previously deposited material. In addition, the rivers can take
500   new courses. The model results thus predict that major changes in the channel network can preferentially be initiated following a phase of major sediment accumulation, possibly controlled by a higher base level at the downstream end of the model. Published ages of the higher terraces suggest that these geomorphic features are also made up of multiple terrace sequences with a stochastic pattern of ages and elevations, which is a feature that is similar to
505   the mid-lower terrace levels but at a higher elevation (Fig. 2). Accordingly, using the model results of scenarios 1 and 2, we suggest that the formation of the higher terrace levels, possibly conditioned by a higher local base level, was superimposed by high-frequency climate changes.

6 Conclusions

510   According to the scenarios described herein, the current configuration of the terraces and floodplains in central Amazonia could be explained by aggradational and incisional processes controlled by high-frequency climatic variations and local base level changes, which however occurred at a lower frequency. In particular, the higher terraces were deposited in a condition of a higher base-level for the basins upstream of the confluence
515   between the Solimões and Negro Rivers. The subsequent decrease in the base level initiated a phase of gradual incision, thereby resulting in the current fluvial architecture. The high-frequency climate changes then yielded in the construction of mid-low terraces at various elevations, which however, are all situated at a lower elevation than the higher terrace levels. Our model shows that dry-to-wet shifts in climate, in relation to the modern situation, returns
520   a landscape architecture where mid-lower terrace levels are better preserved than wet-to-dry changes in climate, again if the current situation is considered as reference.

Our results also show that fast and widespread landscape changes possibly occurred in response to high-frequency climate changes in central Amazonia, at least since the Late Pleistocene, with great implications for the distribution and the connectivity of different

525 biotic environments in the region. Because of this short time scale of response to external perturbations, we suggest that the Central Amazonian streams in the study area, and also at a broader scale, possibly respond in a rapid and sensitive way to human perturbations. This could be, for instance, the ongoing deforestation with implications for sediment flux and hydrology, and particularly the planned construction of multiple hydropower dams

530 (Latrubesse et al., 2017; Best, 2018) with major implications on water discharge and local base levels as we have modeled in our contribution.

7   Code avaiability

The open-source landscape evolution model SPASE is freely available at https://github.com/Ariel-H-Prado/SPASE_Model.git.

535 ## 8   Author contribution

RPA designed the study, together with AHP. AHP developed the model with support by VS and RPA. CPG prepared the geological framework and synthesized data on water discharge, channel widths and sediment flux. AHP analyzed the model results together with RPA, CPG, VS and FS. AHP wrote the manuscript with support by FS and RPA. All authors

540 approved the current version.

9   Competing Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

10   Acknowledgements

The authors were funded by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) through
545 research grants 2016/03091-5 and 2018/23899-2, and FAPESP student scholarships 2017/06874-3 and 2018/02197-0, as well as by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) through research grant 2019R1A6A1A10073437. Part of the contribution of do Prado has been supported by various sources from the Institute of Geological Sciences of the University of Bern, and by the Innovative Training Network S2S project 860383. We also
550 thank CNPq (#305218/2009-3 and #426654/2018-8), The Royal Society - Newton Advanced

Fellowship (NAF\R2\192188), and CAPES-PPGG (demanda social) for research support and student scholarships. SRTM data provided by **http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org**.

12   References

Beaumont, C., Fullsack and P. Hamilton, J.: Erosional control of active compressional
555   orogens, in : Thrust Tectonics: London, edited by: McClay, K.R., Springer-
Science+Business Media, B.V., 1–18., https://doi:10.1007/978-94-011-3066-0, 1992.

Best, J.: Anthropogenic stresses on the world's big rivers, Nature Geoscience 12, 7–21,
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0262-x, 2019.

Bicudo, T. C., Sacek, V., Almeida, R. P., Bates, J. M. and Ribas, C. C.: Andean Tectonics
560   and Mantle Dynamics as a Pervasive Influence on Amazonian Ecosystem, Sci. Rep. 9,
16879, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-53465-y, 2019.

Braun, J.: Recent advances and current problems in modelling surface processes and their
interaction with crustal deformation, Geological Society of London Special
Publications, 253, 307–325, https://doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2006.253.01.16, 2006.

565   Cheng, H., Sinha, A., Cruz, F.W., Wang, X.F., Edwards, R.L., d'Horta, F.M., Ribas,
C.C.,Vuille, M., Stott, L.D. and Auler, A.S.: Climate change patterns in Amazonia and
biodiversity, Nat. Commun. 4, 1411, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2415, 2013.

Espinoza J.C., Ronchail J., Guyot J.L., Cochonneau G., Naziano F., Lavado W., de Oliveira
E., Pombosa R. and Vauchel P.: Spatio-temporal rain-fall variability in the Amazon
570   basin countries (Brazil, Peru, Bolivia,Colombia, and Ecuador), Int. J. Climatol. 29(11):
1574–1594, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1791, 2009.

Filizola, N. and Guyot, J. L.: Suspended sediment yields in the Amazon basin: an assessment
using the Brazilian national data set, Hydrol. Processes, 23, 3207–3215, https://doi.org/
10.1002/hyp.7394, 2009.

575   Filizola, N. and Guyot, J. L.: Fluxo de sedimentos em suspensão nos rios da Amazônia, Rev.
Bras. Geosci., 41 (4), 566–576, https://doi.org/10.25249/0375-7536.2011414566576,
2011.

Fritz, S. C., Baker, P. A., Lowenstein, T. K., Seltzer, G. O., Rigsby, C. A., Dwyer, G., Tapia, P. M., Arnold, K. K., Ku, T. and Luo, S.: Hydrologic variation during the last 170,000 years in the Southern Hemisphere tropics of South America. Quat. Res. 61:95–104, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2003.08.007, 2004.

Gualtieri, C., Martone, I., Filizola Junior, N.P. and Ianniruberto, M.: Bedform Morphology in the Area of the Confluence of the Negro and Solimões-Amazon Rivers, Brazil, Water, 12, 1630, https://doi.org/10.3390/w12061630, 2020.

Guimberteau, M., Ronchail, J., Espinoza, J.C., Lengaigne, M., Sultan, B., Polcher, J., Drapeau, G., Guyot, J.L., Ducharne, A. and Cialis, P.: Future changes in precipitation and impacts on extreme streamflow over Amazonian sub basins, Environmental Research Letters, 8, 1-13, https://doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/014035, 2013.

Hoorn, C. , Wesselingh, F. P., Ter Steege, H., Bermudez, M. A., Mora, A. , Sevink, J., Sanmartín, I., Sanchez-meseguerA., Anderson, C. L., Figueiredo, J. P., Jaramillo, C., Riff, D., Negri, F. R., Hooghiemstra, H., Lundberg, J., Stadler, T., Särkinen, T. and Antonelli, A.: Amazonia Through Time: Andean uplift, climate change, landscape evolution, and biodiversity, Science, 330, 927–931, https://doi:10.1126/science.1194585, 2010.

Ianniruberto, M., Trevethan, M., Pinheiro, A., Andrade, J. F., Dantas, E., Filizola, N., Santos, A. and Gualtieri, C.: A field study of the confluence between Negro and Solimões Rivers. Part 2: bed morphology and stratigraphy, Compt. Rendus Geosci. 350 (1), 43–54, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2017.10.005, 2018.

Irion, G., Kalliola, R.: Long-term landscape development processes in Amazonia, in: Amazonia: Landscape and Species Evolution: A Look into the Past, edited by: Hoorn, C., Wesselingh, F., Oxford, Wiley-Blackwell, 185–197, https://doi:10.1002/9781444306408.ch22, 2010.

Latrubesse, E., Arima, E., Dunne, T., Park, E., Baker, V. R., d'Horta, F. M., Wight, C., Wittmann, F., Zuanon, J., Baker, P. A., Ribas, C. C., Norgaard, R. B., Filizola, N., Ansar, A., Flyvbjerg, B. and Stevaux J. C.: Damming the rivers of the Amazon basin. Nature, 546, 363–369, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22333, 2017.

Lehner, B. and Grill G.: Global river hydrography and network routing: baseline data and new approaches to study the world's large river systems, Hydrological Processes, 27(15): 2171–2186. Data is available at www.hydrosheds.org, 2013.

610   Merritts, D. J., Vincent, K.R. and Wohl, E. E.: Long river profiles, tectonism, and eustasy: a guide to interpreting fluvial terraces, Journal of Geophysical Research, 99, 14031-14050, https://doi.org/10.1029/94JB00857, 1994.

Mertes, L.A.K. and Dunne, T.: Effects of tectonism, climatic change, and sea-level change on the form and behaviour of the modern Amazon River and its floodplain, in: Large 615   Rivers: Geomorphology and Management, edited by: Gupta, A., Wiley, Chichester, 115–144, 2007.

Norton, K. P., Schlunegger, F., and Litty, C.: On the potential for regolith control of fluvial terrace formation in semi-arid escarpments, Earth Surf. Dynam., 4, 147–157, https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-4-147-2016, 2016.

620   Pupim, F. N., Sawakuchi, A. O., Almeida, R. P., Ribas, C. C., Kern, A. K., Hartmann, G. A., Chiessi, C. M., Tamura, L. N., Mineli, T. D., Savian, J. F., Grohmann, C. H., Bertassoli Jr., D. J., Stern, A. G., Cruz, F. W. and Cracraft, J.: Chronology of terra firme formation in Amazonian lowlands reveals a dynamic Quaternary landscape. Quaternary Science Reviews, 210, 154– 163, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.03.008, 625   2019.

Regard, V., Lagnous, R., Espurt, N., Darrozez, J., Baby, P., Roddaz, M., Calderón, Y. and Hermoza, W.: Geomorphic evidence for recent uplift of the Fitzcarrald Arch (Peru):a response to the Nazca Ridge subduction, Geomorphology, 107, 107–117, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.12.003, 2009.

630   Ribas, C. C., Aleixo, A., Nogueira, A. C. R., Miyaki, C. Y. and Cracraft, J.: A paleobiogeographic model for biotic diversification within Amazonia over the past three million years, Proceedings of the Royal Society B, Biological Sciences, 279, 681-689, https://doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.1120, 2012.

Ribas, C. C. and Aleixo, A.: Diversity and evolution of Amazonian birds: implications for 635   conservation and biogeography, Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências, 91, 3, 1-9, https://doi:10.1590/0001-3765201920190218, 2019.

Rossetti, D. F., Toledo, P. M. and Góes, A. M.: New geological framework for Western Amazonia (Brazil) and implications for biogeography and evolution, Quat. Res. 63, 78–89, https://doi:10.1016/j.yqres.2004.10.001, 2005.

640 Sacek, V.: Modelagem numérica conjunta de processos sedimentares e tectônicos em bacias sedimentares, Ph.D. thesis, São Paulo, Universidade de São Paulo, https://doi:10.11606/T.14.2011.tde-21062013-151844, 2011.

Sacek, V.: Drainage reversal of the amazon river due to the coupling of surface and lithospheric processes, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 401, Supplement C, 301–645   312, https://doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.06.022, 2014.

Sioli, H.: The Amazon and its main afluents: hydrography, morphology of the river courses, and river types, in: The Amazon, edited by: Sioli, H., Springer, Dordrecht, 127-165, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-6542-3_5, 1984.

Steffen, D., Schlunegger, F. and Preusser, F.: Drainage basin response to climate change in 650   the Pisco valley, Peru, Geology 37, 491–494, https://doi.org/10.1130/G25475A.1, 2009.

Tucker, G.E. and Slingerland, R.: Drainage basin responses to climate change, Water Resources Research 33, 2031–2047, https://doi.org/10.1029/97WR00409, 1997.

van Breukelen, M. R., Vonhof, H. B., Hellstrom, J. C., Wester, W. C. G. and Kroon, D.: Fossil dripwater in stalagmites reveals Holocene temperature and rainfall variation in 655   Amazonia, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 275, 54–60, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.07.060, 2008.

Veit, H., May, J.-H., Madella, A., Delunel, R., Schlunegger, F., Szidat, S. and Capriles, J. M.: Palaeo-geoecological significance of Pleistocene trees in the Lluta Valley, Atacama Desert, J. Quat. Sci. 31 (3), 203–213, https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.2857, 2016.

660 Whipple, K. and Tucker, G.: Implications of sediment-flux-dependent river incision models for landscape evolution, Journal of Geophysical Research, 107, 1-20, https://doi:10.1029/2000JB000044, 2002.

Wilkinson, M. J., Marshall, L. G., Lundberg, J. G. and Kreslavsky, M. H.: Megafan environments in northern South America and their impact on Amazon Neogene aquatic 665   ecosystems, in: Amazonia, Landscape and Species Evolution: A Look into the Past, edited by: Hoorn, C. and Wesselingh, F. P., Blackewell, 162–184, 2010.