The effect of debris-flow sediment grain-size distribution on fan forming processes
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Abstract. Knowledge of how debris flows result in the fan-shaped morphology around a channel outlet is crucial for mitigation of debris-flow-related disasters and investigation of previous sediment transport from the upper channel. Therefore, using flume tests, this study conducted fan-morphology experiments to assess the effects of differences in grain-size distribution within debris flows on changes in fan morphology. Two types of debris-flow material, i.e., monogranular particles comprising monodispersed sediment particles and multigranular particles comprising polydispersed sediment particles, were used to generate monogranular and multigranular experimental debris flows, respectively. By adjusting the average grain size coincident between the monogranular and multigranular flows, we generated two types of debris flow with similar flow properties but different grain-size distributions. Although the flow depths were mostly similar between the monogranular and multigranular flows before the start of the debris-flow runout, the runout distances of the front of the multigranular flows were shorter than those of the monogranular flows. The difference in runout distance was responsible for the variations in the extent to and location in which the debris flows changed their direction of descent, resulting in the different shapes and morphologies of the fans in response to grain-size distribution. Although the direction of descent of the flows changed repeatedly, the extent of morphological symmetry of the debris-flow fans increased at a similar time during fan formation irrespective of the grain-size distribution. In contrast to this similarity in the rate of change in fan symmetry, the shift of the multigranular flow directions eventually increased the extent of asymmetry in fan morphology and expanded the scale of deviations in fan morphology between experimental test runs. Therefore, wide-ranging grain-size distributions within debris flows likely result in complex fan morphology with a high degree of asymmetry. Knowledge of the processes driving debris-flow fan evolution are critical in the support of efforts to mitigate related hazards, reduce risk to populations and infrastructure, and reconstruct the history of sediment dynamics in mountainous areas. Research on debris-flow fan development has focused on topographic controls, debris flow volume and rheology, and the sequence of occurrence of debris flows. While these items have explained a great deal about fan formation and specifically avulsion and runout mechanisms, there is a need to further investigate other properties as they relate to debris-flow fan formative process. Here, we examined the role of debris-flow grain-size distribution on fan formation. Flume experiments were employed to examine
the morphology of debris flow fans that resulted from flows with mono- or multi-granular sediment composition with the same average grain size. All other flow characteristics were held constant. The mono-granular flows formed a symmetric-like fan morphology because there was little avulsion during the formation process. The multi-granular flows produced fans with an asymmetric morphology. Avulsions occurred on both lateral extents of the fan during the early stages of fan development and caused the runout direction to shift produce the fan asymmetry. Grain size distribution was closely related to spatial diversity in fan morphology and stratigraphy.

1 Introduction

Debris flows often cause damage to downstream communities and infrastructure through their runout and associated sediment deposition (Dowling and Santi, 2014). Understanding how debris flows manifest around the channel outlet is important for mitigation of their impact on downstream areas and for prevention of related hazards. Debris flows often occur with various recurrence intervals and different magnitude in the same watershed (Jakob et al., 2005; Brayshaw and Hassan, 2009; Frank et al., 2019). Sediment deposition attributable to such debris flows leads to the formation of the fan-shaped morphology around the channel outlet, i.e., the so-called debris-flow fan, which is recognized as a geomorphological record of sedimentary sequences driven by past climatic and environmental conditions (Dühnforth et al., 2007; De Haas et al., 2015a, 2019; Schürch et al., 2016; D’Arcy et al., 2017; Kiefer et al., 2021). In this sense, studies on the morphology and the stratigraphy of debris-flow fans are fundamental to interpretation of previous sediment dynamics and their drivers. Assessing how debris flows result in fan morphology around a channel outlet is therefore crucial both for investigation of sediment transport episodes and for mitigation of debris-flow-related disasters.

The morphology of a debris-flow fan is governed mainly by three processes that are driven by the runout and deposition of debris flows. Debris-flow surges are stacked stepwise around the outlet of the channel while backfilling the existing channel on the fan (De Haas et al., 2016, 2018a). The backfilling process reduces the flow capacity of the existing channel by decreasing the surface gradient, which consequently results in avulsion that shifts the flow direction of subsequent debris-flow surges (De Haas et al., 2016, 2018a). The avulsion of a debris-flow surge involves erosion of the sediment of the fan that leads to channelization on the fan (De Haas et al., 2016, 2018a). The newly formed channel will then be backfilled when further debris-flow surges occur, thereby repeating the fan-forming cycle of the backfilling, avulsion, and channelization processes (De Haas et al., 2016, 2018a). Monitoring in situ debris-flow runout around a channel outlet is difficult because of the low frequency of occurrence of debris flows (e.g., De Haas et al., 2018a; Imaizumi et al., 2019). However, earlier experiments using a reduced-scale flume demonstrated that the composition (grain-size distribution) and sequence of debris-flow surges govern the formation of fan morphology and the tempo of the fan-forming cycle (De Haas et al., 2016, 2018b; Adams et al., 2019; Tsunetaka et al., 2019). Moreover, relationships derived between the sequence of natural debris-flow lobes and fan morphology indicate that the fan-forming cycle is driven by the backfilling, avulsion, and channelization processes, similar to the results obtained from flume tests (De Haas et al., 2018a, 2019).
The critical role of the grain-size distribution within debris flows on fan morphology is attributable to its influence on the characteristics of debris-flow runout. In a channel, a descending debris flow has a wide-ranging grain-size distribution because it erodes sediment particles from the channel bed and entrains them within the flow (Egashira et al., 2001; De Haas and Van Woerkom, 2016). Entrained small particles that can behave like fluid are likely to decrease frictional resistance (Kaitna et al., 2016; Sakai et al., 2019), whereas large particles that behave as solids can increase frictional resistance in the debris flow (von Boetticher et al., 2016; Pudasaini and Mergili, 2019; Nishiguchi and Uchida, 2022). Thus, differences in the grain-size distribution of debris flows can change the runout distance around the channel outlet (De Haas et al., 2015b; Hürlimann et al., 2015). Moreover, the velocity of the former process that erodes channel deposits is susceptible to the influence of both grain-size distribution and slope of the channel bed (Egashira et al., 2001; Takahashi, 2007), and it differs fundamentally from the velocity of the latter process that entrains the eroded sediment (Pudasaini and Krautblatter, 2021).

The discord between the velocities of erosion and entrainment potentially leads to variation in debris-flow mobility via fluctuation of inertia, which is responsible for the variation in runout distance of debris flows (Pudasaini and Krautblatter, 2021). Debris-flow surges result in the fan morphology via stacking and deposition processes that likely differ in accordance with the variation of runout distance (De Haas et al., 2016, 2018a).

The effects of differences in grain-size distribution on debris-flow mechanics might arise even during debris-flow runout. Debris flows consist of solid (i.e., sediment particles) and fluid (i.e., pore water and liquefied small sediment particles) phases (Pudasaini and Mergili, 2019; Nishiguchi and Uchida, 2022). When debris flows discharge around the channel outlet, the relative difference in velocity between the solid and fluid phases increases and leads to their separation (Pudasaini and Fischer, 2020; Baselt et al., 2022). Around the channel outlet, the solid phase eventually translates into sediment deposition, but the fluid phase continues with the progress of phase separation. The extent of the phase separation might vary in response to the grain-size distribution within a debris flow (Major and Iverson, 1999; Pudasaini and Fischer, 2020), potentially resulting in further difference in runout distance, in addition to the effects attributable to sediment erosion and entrainment processes in the channel. In practice, runout distance is also affected by the sediment volume of the debris flow (D’Agostino et al., 2010), which is also governed by the grain-size distribution. In other words, the grain-size distribution can influence the characteristics of both the debris-flow development in the channel and the runout around the channel outlet. Therefore, it is difficult to unravel how variation of the grain-size distribution within the debris flow might constrain fan morphology during runout and inundation, while discerning these differences of the debris flow in the channel. This complexity in the effects of the grain-size distribution within debris flows on flow properties hampers comprehensive interpretation of fan morphology based on the known mechanics of debris-flow runout and inundation.

The primary objective of this study was to assess how the grain-size distribution within a debris flow influences fan morphology, especially during debris-flow runout and inundation. We conducted reduced-scale flume tests to compare fan morphologies that resulted from single debris-flow surges with different grain-size distributions but with similar flow properties. Using photogrammetry and video-image analysis, we investigated how differences in grain-size distribution within debris flows influence variations in runout characteristics and fan morphologies. The intention underlying this
comparison was to interpret the differences in fan morphology in terms of known debris-flow mechanics. The final objective was to elucidate whether differences in grain-size distribution within debris flows could change fan morphology solely by influencing the runout process without variation of the dynamic properties of the debris flow in the channel. Debris-flow fans are substantive sediment sinks persisting greater than $10^2$ years in many locations throughout the world (e.g., Dühnforth et al., 2007; Schürch et al., 2016). An understanding of debris-flow fan sedimentary sequences and the processes forming individual fan deposits make it possible to reconstruct the climate and sediment dynamics leading to fan development. The findings would be particularly useful in areas where little or no other geomorphological and hydrological evidence are present, such as arid regions or other planets (e.g., De Haas et al., 2015a, 2019; D’Arcy et al., 2017). Debris-flow fan forms have been determined to result in great part to autogenic behavior whereby the natural progression is one where debris-flow runout over time involves backfilling of the existing channel on the fan, a loss in channel capacity, subsequent avulsions, channelization processes, and a repeat of these same process. These cycles are derived from internal forcing and have a direct impact on the fan morphology based on the direction and distance of the runout of subsequent debris flows (e.g., De Haas et al., 2016, 2018a, b). These cycles highlight the importance of grasping the processes that drive the debris-flow fan formation and to use this information to further inform mitigation solutions to protect the populace and infrastructure located in these vicinities.

Debris-flow fan morphology and stratigraphy are linked to the spatiotemporal patterns of the autogenic fan-forming cycle and are susceptible to differences in the physical parameters of debris flow such as sediment density and grain-size distribution (e.g., Whipple and Dunne, 1992; Pederson et al., 2015). Changes in the physical parameters (e.g., flow rate, duration, and sediment concentration) may give rise to functional changes in debris flows released into the fan apex that may be related to the initial flow state, channel bed slope, and sediment entrainment rate (Egashira et al., 2001; De Haas and Van Woerkom, 2016). These changes may affect debris-flow fan development, and depending on the topographic complexity, could produce varying functional changes in subsequent debris flows. Consequently, both functional and structural changes in debris flows affect the autogenic cycle, which drives each of the debris-flow characteristics that contribute to the patterns of fan formation. By discriminating between the roles of these characteristics, it becomes easier to interpret fan stratigraphy, which will then help us develop more realistic forecasts of debris-flow inundation ranges.

Here, we investigated how the grain-size distribution of debris flows affected the fan-forming processes. We carried out reduced-scale flume tests to compare the debris-flow fan morphology under varying sediment source grain-size distributions. The results from the debris-flow runout and space-time changes in the fan morphology provide insights into how the grain-size distribution affects the fan formative processes.

2 Methods

This research consisted of two parts: (1) analysis of debris-flow runout and (2) analysis of debris-flow fan morphology. Analysis of debris-flow runout was performed to assess how differences in the grain-size distribution of the debris flow could affect the distance and velocity of debris-flow runout. Analysis of debris-flow fan morphology was performed to
investigate whether fan morphology changes in accordance with differences in the grain-size distribution. On the basis of the results, we discuss the mechanism of changes in fan morphology facilitated by differences in the grain-size distribution.

2.1 Flume test

2.1.1 Experiment setup and operation

We used a straight flume (8 m long and 0.1 m wide, with a uniform 15° bed slope, Fig. 1a) that imitates a channel to generate the experimental debris-flow surges. A deposition area was connected to the lower end of the flume to experimentally model the formation of a debris-flow fan by the runout and inundation associated with the generated debris-flow surge, connected to a deposition area. A 5-m long section at the lower end of the flume was filled with 0.08 m³ of sediment particles that were horizontally flattened to the flume bed to achieve analogous erodible bed conditions for all the experimental tests runs (Fig. 1a). The erodible bed was mostly remained constant at 0.2 m deep but it sometimes ranged from 0–0.2 m as it was smoothly leveled down the upper and lower ends of the erodible bed (Fig. 1a). Sediment particles (~1 mm in diameter) were glued onto the surface of the deposition area to represent the roughness, and we drew square grid lines (0.2 × 0.2 m) to aid measurement of the runout distance and arrival time at which the flow front first arrived at the deposition area (Fig. 1b).

By suddenly supplying water from the upper end of the flume, we generated a granular–water mixture flow that imitated a debris flow, similar to Lanzoni et al. (2017). We could not control the erodible bed saturation completely because the bed materials included voids. Fully saturated bed conditions were approximated by carefully supplying clear water across the entire erodible bed using watering cans just before we initiated the water supply from the upper end of the flume. Following this operation, a steady flow of clear water (fluid density: ~1000 kg m⁻³) was supplied at a rate of 0.003 m³/s⁻¹ for 60 s from the upper end of the flume. The supplied water plunged over the erodible bed and flowed downstream, generating a runoff front over the bed sediment particles. The runoff front scour ed the sediment particles of the erodible bed and entrained the eroded material, dispersing the entrained particles throughout the flow depth, and eventually transforming the flow into a granular–water mixture flow that imitated a single debris-flow surge (Lanzoni et al., 2017) and then entrained the erodible bed to the deposition area that was connected to the lower end of the flume. The generated granular–water mixture flow descended to the deposition area, causing runout and inundation, which formed the fan morphology. The slope of the deposition area decreased from 12° to 3° at a rate of 3° per meter (Fig. 1a, b), and so the fan morphology gradually formed in accordance with the runout and inundation of the released granular flow.

2.1.2 Sediment material
In this study, we generated granular–water mixture flows with similar flow properties but different grain-size distributions to compare the effects of debris-flow grain-size distribution on fan morphology during the debris-flow runout and inundation processes. To accomplish this, two types of sediment particles were used to generate two types of granular–water mixture flows: monogranular particles comprising quasi-mono-dispersed sediment particles with size of 2.02–3.24 mm (average grain size, $D_{50}$: 2.6 mm) and multigranular particles comprising polydispersed sediment particles with size of 0.6–7.5 mm (Fig. 1c, Table 1). The density and the internal friction angle of both particles were 2640 kg m$^{-3}$ and 34.0°, respectively (Hotta et al., 2021). Hereafter, the granular–water mixture flows generated by the monogranular particles and by the multigranular particles are referred to as monogranular flow and multigranular flow, respectively. We conducted four separate experimental test runs for both the monogranular flow and the multigranular flow.

Importantly, the sediment volume entrained by the debris flow decreases when the grain size of the sediment particles of the channel bed is sufficiently larger than that within the debris flow (Egashira et al., 2001). Small sediment particles such as cohesive materials might behave like pore fluid in a debris flow, leading to changes in flow resistance (Kaitna et al., 2016; Sakai et al., 2019; Nishiguchi and Uchida, 2022). These effects attributable to the relatively large and small particles are responsible for changes in debris-flow properties (e.g., flow depth and velocity) during the descent of a debris flow in an experimental flume (Sakai et al., 2019; Hotta et al., 2021), likely leading to changes in fan morphology. In other words, if the experimental debris flows were not constrained with similar flow properties in the flume test runs, it would have been even more difficult to interpret how debris-flow grain-size distribution might influence fan morphology via flow runout and inundation.

When the multigranular particles comprise cohesionless material without extremely large particles, the generated multigranular flows might exhibit flow depth and velocity analogous to those of monogranular flows with similar $D_{50}$ (Takahashi, 2007). Thus, to avoid occurrences of changes in flow depth and velocity by the small and large particles of the multigranular flows, while using cohesionless particles (>0.6 mm) and removing large particles with size >7.5 mm, we adjusted the mixing ratio of the multigranular particles to maintain the same $D_{50}$ between the monogranular and multigranular flows (Fig. 1c, Table 1). In doing so, we assumed that the generated multigranular flows were complete two-phase flows consisting of a coarse–solid fraction (sediment particles) phase and a fluid (clear water) phase. The objective was to generate multigranular flows that did not involve the fine–solid fraction phase behaving like pore fluid and to entrain the eroded sediment at a rate similar to that of the monogranular flows.

Two types of granular flow, namely mono-granular and multi-granular, were used to determine the impact of grain-size distribution within a debris flow on the fan-forming processes. The experiment maintained similar flow states, but different grain-size distributions. The grain-size distribution has been shown to affect the properties of the released granular flows, such as the flow depth and velocity (Egashira et al., 2001; Kaitna et al., 2016; Sakai et al., 2019). The experimental conditions were designed to purposely avoid unexpected changes in the flow state caused by very large and small sediment
particles by adjusting the mixing ratio of sediment particles used in the flume tests but maintaining the same average grain size \((D_{50})\) between the mono-granular and multi-granular flows (Fig. 1c and Table 1).

### 2.1.2.3 Measurements and analysis

We measured the flow depths of the generated debris flows in the flume and investigated the properties of runout and fan morphology at the deposition area. By comparing the changes in the flow depths between the monogranular and multigranular flows, we assessed whether the multigranular flows exhibited hydrograph and velocity characteristics analogous to those of the monogranular flows. The flow depths and arrival times of the granular flows were monitored and compared as sediment was released to the deposition area in the various simulations. Note that we could not directly measure the flow depths of the generated granular debris flows because the thickness of the erodible bed decreased sequentially in response to the sediment erosion by the debris flow entrainment. The continuous sediment erosion in response to debris-flow descent made it impossible to define the boundary between the debris-flow bottom and the bed surface (e.g., Lanzoni et al., 2017), which hampered quantitative measurement of debris-flow depth. Instead of flow depth, we measured changes in the displacement of the flow surface using at three positions in the flume (upper, middle, and lower, Fig. 1a) was measured to account for this shortcoming, using three ultrasonic displacement meters (Omron, E4PA) at a sampling rate of 50 Hz (described in Sect. S1 in the Supplement). The ultrasonic displacement meters were installed at three positions separated by a distance of 1 m above the sediment bed from upstream to downstream in the flume; hereafter, referred to as the upper, middle, and lower measurement positions, respectively (Fig. 1a). Because the initial depth of the erodible bed was adjusted to 0.2 m, the flow depths of the debris flows were calculated by subtracting this initial depth from the measured displacement. We compared the flow rate in the flume among the test runs on the basis of differences in the timing at which the debris-flow front reached the lower position.

The measurements of runout and fan morphology relied on image analyses. To observe the runout and fan-forming processes, four digital cameras were installed above the deposition area (Fig. 1a), observed the fan-formation processes similar to Tsunetaka et al. (2019). One of these cameras (PENTAX, K-3 II) recorded video of the fan-forming processes at a frame rate of 60 fps. The images extracted from the recorded video were used to analyze the debris-flow runout distance and velocity, and the changes in flow direction during inundation at the deposition area. The other three cameras (Nikon, D5100) were automatically synchronized using the external shutter (Canon, TC-80N3) and captured images at 1-s intervals. These sets of three synchronized images were processed to generate topographic data of the formed fan morphology using a photogrammetry method. The fourth camera recorded a video of the fans as they formed at a frame rate of 60 fps.
2.2 Image-based analysis

2.2.1 Runout and inundation analysis

We measured changes in the runout distance of the fronts of the generated debris flows with temporal resolution of 0.1 s using the captured video and the grid lines drawn in the deposition area. During the early stage of debris-flow runout, the solid phase (sediment particles) and fluid phase (clear water) descended synchronously as a complete granular–water mixture flow, but then they flowed separately with different velocities in accordance with the deceleration of the solid phase. We measured the fronts of both the solid and the fluid phases after separation to compare the extent of phase separation between the monogranular and multigranular flows.

To investigate the characteristics of debris-flow inundation in the deposition area, we performed particle-image-velocimetry (PIV) analysis. First, we prepared paired image sets consisting of extracted images at a 1/60-s time resolution from the video. The paired image sets were processed by Using a particle-image-velocimetry (PIV) algorithm analysis plugin for image-based analysis software based on a cross-correlation algorithm (Tseng et al., 2012), the paired image sets were processed to estimate the vectors of the flow velocity at the surface of the deposition area. Because the video was acquired from an almost-vertical direction above the area with a 9° slope, the camera was not strictly vertical to the whole of the deposition area and spatiotemporal changes in fan morphology, which means that the velocity projected by the PIV analysis was not strictly accurate. The measurements of the flow-velocity vectors were useful to investigate changes in the flow direction that occurred during the fan development, rather than measure flow velocity.

2.2.2 Topographic analysis

We measured the process of fan-morphology formation in response to debris-flow runout and inundation using structure motion multi-view stereo (SfM-MVS) photogrammetry (Westoby et al., 2012; Fonstad et al., 2013). Using the SfM-MVS photogrammetry software (Agisoft, Metashape Professional version 1.5.1), we produced digital elevation models (DEMs) and orthophotos with 1 mm resolution that were georeferenced by the coordinates of visible (exposed) intersections of the grid lines on the deposition area (i.e., at the intersections of the grid lines that were not concealed by deposited sediment).
from respective sets of three synchronized images (Sect. S2). Each DEM and orthophoto were georeferenced using the coordinates of the visible (exposed) intersections of the grid lines in the deposition area (i.e., at the intersections of the grid lines that were not concealed by deposited sediment). To assess the DEM accuracy, we used a ruler to directly measure the deposition depths of the fan morphology at the intersections of the grid lines, when the fans formed after each respective experimental run, and we compared the measurements with the deposition depths extracted from the generated DEM. The measured elevations corresponded to the DEM-extracted elevations, thereby indicating that the DEMs represented reasonable approximations of the fan morphology (Fig. S1). During debris-flow inundation in the deposition area, the SfM-MVS photogrammetry could not perform measurements for locations in which flows descended (i.e., moving zones), which resulted in holes in the DEMs due to missing topographic data. Conversely, the vectors of flow velocity projected by PIV analysis could only be observed in moving zones. Consideration of both the DEMs and the vectors projected by PIV analysis allowed assessment of the relationships between changes in flow direction and fan development.

To investigate the differences in the shape of fans derived from both the monogranular and the multigranular flows, we proposed an index that focuses on fan-shape symmetry. The proposed symmetric index (SI) is defined as follows:

\[ SI = \frac{LR}{LL} \]  

where LR and RR represent the length of the fan from the midline to the edge of the left-bank side and to the edge of the right-bank side of the fan shape, respectively. When fan width is close to symmetry, the SI value is approximately one. We calculated the SI values for the width of the fan at cross sections at 0.2 m intervals from the outlet of the flume using orthophotos and DEMs acquired 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 s after the start of debris-flow runout.

The fourth camera recorded a video of the fans as they formed at a frame rate of 60 fps. Paired image sets were extracted from two images at a 1/60 s time resolution from the video. The paired image sets were processed by a particle image velocimetry (PIV) algorithm to show the vectors of the flow velocity at the surface of the deposition area (Sect. S2). During the inundation of sediment at the deposition area, the SfM-MVS photogrammetry could not measure locations where granular flows descended, which resulted in holes of DEMs due to missing topographic data (e.g., Fig. 5). The vectors of the flow velocity projected by the PIV analysis could compensate for the holes of DEMs, allowing for the investigation of changes in flow direction caused by avulsions. The used videos were acquired from an almost vertical direction against the area with a 9° slope. It is worth noting that the shooting depth varied spatially in the angle of view because the camera was not strictly vertical to the whole of the deposition area and spatiotemporal changes in fan morphology, which means that the velocity projected by the PIV analysis was not strictly accurate. The measurements of the flow velocity vectors were useful to investigate changes in the flow direction that occurred during the fan development, rather than measure flow velocity.
3 Results

3.1 Flow state properties in the channel flume

Changes in surface height at the upper measurement position indicate that the erodible bed was gradually eroded after the arrival of the flow front irrespective of the grain-size distribution (Fig. 2a, b). After ~22–23 s, the surface heights of the multigranular test runs decreased to below 0.15 m (Fig. 2b), whereas those of the monogranular test runs were >0.15 m at the same time (Fig. 2a), indicating that bed material was eroded at a slightly faster rate by the multigranular flows than by the monogranular flows. In relation to this difference, the fronts of the multigranular flows reached the middle measurement position somewhat faster than those of the monogranular flows, although the differences in arrival time were <1 s between the monogranular and multigranular test runs (Fig. 2c, d). Focusing on the flow fronts, both the monogranular flows and the multi-granular flows descended to the lower position in the flume from the upper to the lower measurement positions in ~6–7 s upon leaving the arrival point at the upper position—(Fig. 2e, f). Given an initial erodible bed thickness of approximately 0.2 m, the peaks of the mono-granular and multigranular flows ranged developed from ~0.03 to 0.07 m before reaching the flume outlet for the test runs, while, apart from run 1, those of the multi-granular flows were around ~0.03 m (Fig. 2).

Because the ultrasonic sensors measured the flow or initial bed surface at a point scale, the measurements were susceptible to local undulations in the flow surface and erodible bed. Indeed, some spikes of the flow surface ranging from approximately 0.03–0.05 m were measured, especially at the middle and lower measurement positions, but these impacts were ephemeral (Fig. 2c–f). Before the arrival of the flow, measurements of the surface height were not completely uniform at 0.2 m, especially at the middle measurement position (Fig. 2c, d), reflecting that the initial bed surface was somewhat disturbed and undulated probably because of the need to supply water to meet the saturated bed conditions. These inevitable limitations arising from our operation and measurement settings possibly affected the variation in the peak of flow depth. Importantly, differences in the surface height of the main body of the flows were minimized between the test runs after the descent of the flow front (Fig 2), indicating that local undulations in the initial bed surface scarcely impacted the flow properties excluding the flow front.

Following the descent of the flow front, the rate of decrease in surface height was found increasingly similar between the test runs irrespective of the grain-size distribution within the debris flows (Fig. 2). It indicates that the thickness of the erodible bed decreased monotonically with time in accordance with the erosion and entrainment of sediment by the flow body and tail, probably because the entrainment rate was the same in all the test runs, irrespective of the grain-size distribution of the granular flows (Fig. 2), which confirms that the released granular flows had reached a steady state. Overall, the results derived from the flume experiments showed revealed that the differences in the grain-size distribution did not lead to substantial changes in the hydrograph and arrival time of the generated granular flows in the flume, with the exception of the peak of flow depth.
Exact replication of the dynamic conditions of natural debris flows was not possible in our reduced-scale flume tests, as reported in other similar flume experiments (e.g., De Haas et al., 2015b). The ratios between the flow depth of the front in the channel to the average grain size (i.e., 2.6 mm; Table 1) were between ~10–30, which confirms that the released granular flows that were experimentally modelled as so-called boulder debris flow could be explained as steady laminar flows in terms of their dynamic similarity (Hotta and Miyamoto, 2008).

### 3.2 Runout of surge-debris-flow front

Characteristics of debris-flow runout were clearly different between the monogranular and multigranular flows. Before the runout distance exceeded 1 m, flow velocities (i.e., the slope of the graphs) differed somewhat between the test runs irrespective of the grain-size distribution within the debris flows (Fig. 3), which was likely attributable to variation in the peak of flow depth (Fig. 2e, f). At this stage, the solid and fluid phases of both types of flow descended together as a single complete mixture flow, and their velocities were synchronized with each other.

After the runout distance of the flow fronts exceeded ~1.0 m, the velocities of the monogranular flows decreased gradually with increase in runout distance, but the velocities of the solid and fluid phases remained analogous (Fig. 3a). However, the trend of the multigranular flows differed. The velocity of the solid phase of the multigranular flows decreased rapidly, which increased the relative difference in the velocities of the flow fronts between the solid and fluid phases of the multigranular flows (Fig. 3b). The separation between the solid and fluid phases of the multigranular flows thus occurred at an earlier stage of the runout process in comparison with that of the monogranular flows (Fig. 3).

Following the start of phase separation of the multigranular flows, the solid phase continued its runout with further increase in the relative difference in the velocities between both phases, especially after the runout distance of the fluid phase exceeded 2 m (Fig. 3a). Before the runout distance exceeded 2 m, the velocities of the monogranular flows were similar to those of the fluid phase of the multigranular flows (Fig. 3). Subsequently, the monogranular flows decelerated, whereas the fluid phase of the multigranular flows maintained its velocity and descended at ~0.5 m s⁻¹. Consequently, the fluid phase of the multigranular flows traveled slightly faster and progressed further downstream. Phase separation of the monogranular flows occurred after the runout distance of the flow fronts exceeded ~2.7–2.8 m. Therefore, the runout distance and velocity differed not only between the monogranular and multigranular flows but also between the respective solid and fluid phases of these flows.

In this context, the locations at which the front of the solid phase stopped (i.e., deposited sediment particles) differed between the monogranular and multigranular flows. Thus, in the early stage of formation of fan morphology, in contrast to the monogranular flows, lobe-like morphologies were formed on the upstream side by the multigranular flows (Fig. S2). The eventual runout distance of the fronts of the solid phase of the monogranular flows was ~2.7–2.8 m (Fig. 4), which corresponded to the runout distance at the start of phase separation of the monogranular flows (Fig. 3a). The eventual runout distance of the fronts of the solid phase of the multigranular flows was shorter (~2.2 m) in comparison with that of the monogranular flows (Fig. 4). However, considering the measurements of the changes in runout distance, the solid phase of
the multigranular flows descended over 1 m after the start of phase separation (Figs. 3b and 4). Phase separation of the monogranoal flows was triggered by termination of the fronts of the solid phase, whereas this relationship was not clear for the multigranular flows.

While the mono- and multi-granular flows in the flume were similar, their runout characteristics differed. The fronts of the monogranoal flows travelled faster and further downstream than those of the multigranular flows (Fig. 3a, b). Consequently, the velocities of the multigranular flows from the start to the end of the runout of the front were about ~0.1 m/s less than those of the monogranoal flows (Fig. 3c). Analysis of the grain-size distribution from the center of Following multigranular test runs 2 and 3 the multigranular fans, the grain size of the sediment particles around the midline of the fans was observed (Figs. S2–5 and S3f) shows that At all observation points, relatively large particles were deposited from the surface of the deposition area (i.e., zero on the ruler) to depth of ~1–2 cm (Figs. 5b–f and 6b–f). More small particles were deposited above the relatively large particles at observation points b–e (Figs. 5b–e and 6b–e), indicating that transported sediment particles were stacked above the lobe-like morphology following the halting of the front of the solid phase. Around the front of the solid phase (i.e., the downstream edge of the fans), only relatively large particles were observed (Figs. 5f and 6f). The sediment particles were thus segregated by grain sizes, and consequently relatively large particles accumulated at the downstream edge of the flow fronts of the multigranular flows (Figs. S2f and S3f). The difference in the grain-size distribution of the released flows did not affect the flow in the flume but may have changed the flow velocity and frictional resistance in the deposition area where the grains were segregated by size.

3.3 Formed fan morphology

The extent of the changes in flow direction and deposition range of sediment particles differed between the monogranular and multigranular flows. In the first 10 s of the flow runout, both types of granular flow descended in an approximately straight flow direction, but the locations of the lobe-like deposits generated by the flow fronts differed (Figs. 4S2 and 4S4). After 20 s from the start of flow runout, Between 20 and 50 s after the flow was released, the monogranular flows descended in a straight line through the zone with a 9°–12° slope (i.e., from the flume outlet to 2 m downstream) without substantial avulsion, but then showed some the flow direction shifted somewhat toward the left-bank side owing to avulsion in the zone with a 6° slope (i.e., over 2 m downstream from the flume outlet) (Figs. 5–8 and S5–S87). The multigranular flows, after 20 s after being released, changed their flow direction further in the upper zone (i.e., at approximately 1.8 m downstream from the outlet of the flume) in comparison with the monogranular flows (Fig. 8). In multigranular test runs 1 and 4, the flow direction shifted to the left- and right-bank sides, respectively, whereas in multigranular test runs 2 and 3, the flow bifurcated (Fig. 8).

After 30 s from the start of flow runout, the monogranular flows descended continuously further toward the left-bank side, but in test run 4, the flow became slightly bifurcated (Fig. 9). At this stage, in multigranular test run 1, the flow descent direction shifted somewhat from toward the left-bank side to toward the right-bank side (Fig. 10a). In test runs 2–4, the flow direction was mostly maintained but the location at which the flow direction changed moved ~0.2 m upstream (i.e., to
approximately 1.6 m downstream from the outlet of the flume) (Fig. 10b–d). After 40 s from the start of flow runout, at ~2 m lower from the outlet of the flume, the flow bifurcated in monogranular test run 1 (Fig. 11a), continuously descended toward
the left-bank side in monogranular test runs 2 and 3 (Fig. 11b, c), and mainly descended toward the right-bank side in
monogranular test run 4 (Fig. 11d). In the test runs of the multimodal flows, the point of drifting of flow direction occurred
further upstream of the deposition area, i.e., ~1.4 m downstream of the outlet of the flume (Fig. 12). The descent direction of
the multimodal flow inclined toward the right-bank side in test runs 1 and 4 (Fig. 12a, d), but inclined toward the left-bank
side in test runs 2 and 3 (Fig. 12b, c). Subsequently, there was no substantial change in descent direction of any of the flows
(Figs. S3 and S4). avulsed obviously as the deposition around the downstream edge of the fan had impeded the descent of
the flow that followed (Figs. S5 and S6). More than ~30 s after the multimodal flows were released (Figs. 6–8 and 6–8),
the flow directions migrated to the left or right side of the deposition area near a slope angle of 9°.

The final deposition ranges of the mono-granular fans were similar (Figs. 9a–d and S9a–d), whereas the multimodal flows
formed bilaterally asymmetric deposits that varied between test runs (Figs. 9e–h and S9e–h). For example, the fans generated
by the multimodal test runs 2 and 3 were elongated to the left-bank side, while those of runs 1 and 4 were elongated to the
right-bank side (Figs. 9e–h and S9e–h). The eventual range of sediment deposition differed in response to grain-size
distribution (Figs. S5 and S6), and also varied substantially between the multimodal test runs (Fig. S6).

Focusing on the final fan morphology, The mono-granular flows had exhibited similar longitudinal profiles and bilaterally
symmetrical fan morphologies among the various test runs (Fig. 13a, d, g). Similarly, the multimodal flows had also
exhibited similar longitudinal profiles, irrespective of the direction of shifting of the flow elongated direction (Fig. 10b13b).
However, The deposition depths of the multimodal flows were less-deeper than those of the monogranular runs in the area 2 m downstream the area more than 2 m downstream from the flume outlet (Fig. 10e13c), in response
to the differences in the runout distances of the flow fronts between the monogranular and multimodal flows (Fig. 4).

Although the peaks of the deposition depth of the longitudinal profiles were similar between the multimodal and mono-
granular flows (Fig. 10a–c), the flows were laterally wider and larger 1 m downstream from the flume outlet (Figs. 10d–f).
There were noticeable differences in the deposition depths of the monogranular and multimodal flows at the cross
section 12.2 m downstream from the flume outlet (Fig. 10g–i). The monogranular flows exhibited relatively symmetric
cross-sectional profiles in all test runs (Fig. 13d), whereas The deposition depths of the multimodal flows varied between
test runs (Fig. 13e) by more than 0.03 m. The variation in the cross-sectional profiles of the multimodal flows was
attributable to whether the peak of sediment deposition was located on the left- or right-bank side, depending on the direction
of the elongated fanshift in flow runout (Fig. 13g1f). This difference was emphasized further downstream. At the cross
section 2.2 m downstream from the flume outlet, the fan width and depth were similar between the monogranular test runs,
and the peak of deposition depth was located almost at the center of the fan (Fig. 13g). In contrast, the cross-sectional range
and peak of sediment deposition differed between the multimodal test runs, indicating asymmetric cross-sectional profiles
(Fig. 13h). Consequently, the deposition depth of the multimodal fans varied by more than 0.03 m at some locations owing
to differences in the shift in flow direction (Fig. 13i).
Changes in the $SI$ values revealed the relevance of the shifting flow direction with regard to the formed fan morphology. After 10 s from the start of flow runout, the $SI$ values differed between the test runs, especially at over 1.6 m downstream from the flume outlet, irrespective of the grain-size distribution (Fig. 14a, b). After 20 s from the start of runout of the monogranular flows, $SI$ values of >1 were observed in test run 3 (Fig. 14c), whereas such values were observed in another test run (run 4) after 10 s (Fig. 14a). This reflects the avulsion of the monogranular flows that somewhat shifted the flow direction in the zone with a 6° bed slope (Figs. 7 and 14c). After 20 s from the start of runout of the multigranular flows, the range of the $SI$ values differed substantially between the various test runs (Fig. 14d). At this stage, depending on differences in the extent of avulsion between the multigranular test runs (Fig. 8), the $SI$ values of the monogranular flows were close to 1.0 in test runs 2 and 4, but differed substantially from ~0.3 to 2.0 at 2.2 m downstream from the flume outlet between test runs 1 and 3 (Fig. 14d). Therefore, the cross-sectional asymmetry of the fans became increasingly conspicuous owing to the avulsion process of the multigranular flows. The fan widths of the multigranular flows were notably larger again at 2.2 m (Fig. 10g–i). A larger fan width is an expected consequence of the avulsion observation and PIV support information from the multigranular flow modelled scenarios.

Although the asymmetry of the fan shape became increased by avulsion in the early stage of the formation of fan morphology, after 30 s from the start of flow runout, the range of $SI$ values became narrow and close to 1.0 irrespective of the measurement location and grain-size distribution (Fig. 14e, f). With the exception of multigranular test run 3 (Fig. 14e), the $SI$ values were in the range of ~0.8–1.3, indicating that both the monogranular and the multigranular flows produced symmetric fan shapes when the flows descended for 30 s (Fig. 14e, f). Because of the variation in flow direction after 40 s from the start of flow runout (Figs. 11 and 12), the range of $SI$ values widened among both the monogranular and the multigranular test runs (Fig. 14g, h). The $SI$ values of the monogranular flows were approximately 1.0 at all measurement locations in test run 4, but were greater than 1.0 in test runs 1–3, especially at distal locations from the flume outlet (Fig. 14g). At this stage, the $SI$ values of the multigranular flows differed substantially between test runs, ranging between ~0.5 and 1.4 at the maxima, indicating notable avulsion (Fig. 14h). Because of the absence of notable changes in flow direction during the period 40–50 s from the start of flow runout (Figs. S3 and S4), the $SI$ values after 50 s were analogous to those after 40 s irrespective of measurement location and grain-size distribution (Fig. 14g–j).

The sequence of the variation in the symmetry of fan shape is reflected in the standard deviation (SD) of the $SI$ values between test runs (Fig. 15). For almost all measurement locations and timings, the SD values for the multigranular flows were mostly greater than those of the monogranular flows (Fig. 15). The differences in the SD values between the monogranular and multigranular flows became notable with increase in the distance from the flume outlet, especially in the measurements after 20, 40, and 50 s from the start of flow runout (Fig. 15b, d, e). Importantly, after 30 s from the start of flow runout, the SD values for both the monogranular and the multigranular flows were mostly <0.2 irrespective of the grain-size distribution (Fig. 15c). It indicates that irrespective of the wide-ranging variations in the direction of flow descent (Figs. 7 and 8) and in the symmetry of the fan shape (Fig. 14c, d), both the monogranular and the multigranular fan morphologies gained analogous fan shapes between test runs (Fig. 15c). Therefore, even if the rate of change in the
symmetry of the fan shape was similar, the wide-ranging grain-size distribution within debris flows potentially leads to the formation of complex fan morphology via increase in the extent of avulsion that shifts the flow direction.

4 Discussion

4.1 Effects of grain-size distribution on formation of fan morphology

Avulsion occurred in both the monogranular and the multigranular flows but its extent and occurrence location differed owing to differences in grain-size distribution (Figs. 7–12). The runout distance of the fronts of the multigranular flows was shorter than that of the monogranular flows (Fig. 4), which led to avulsion of the multigranular flows at locations closer to the outlet of the flume (Figs. 11 and 12). The differences in the extent and location of debris-flow avulsion resulted in different fan morphologies between the monogranular and multigranular flows (Figs. 13 and 14). Thus, changes in the runout distance attributable to differences in the grain-size distribution of the debris flows were responsible for the variation in fan morphology.

Relatively small and large particles within a debris flow can both influence changes in the runout distance of multigranular flow fronts (De Haas et al., 2015b; Hürlimann et al., 2015). In this study, the decrease in flow resistance due to small sediment particles was intentionally avoided by adjusting the composition of the multigranular flows. Indeed, the arrival time of the flow fronts in the flume was similar between the monogranular and multigranular flows (Fig. 2), suggesting that the effects of small particles on flow resistance were negligible. Unlike the unrelated small sediment particles, large sediment particles were accumulated in the multigranular flow fronts, at least during their runout (Figs. 5 and 6), and potentially caused the decrease in the runout distance (Fig. 4). Large sediment particles increase flow resistance and decrease flow velocity as bed slope decreases (e.g., Egashira et al., 1997; Takahashi, 2007). The velocity of the fronts of the solid phase of the multigranular flows decreased substantially when runout distance exceeded 1 m (i.e., when the front reached the point at which the bed slope decreased from 12° to 9°) in comparison with that of the monogranular flows (Fig. 3), suggesting that large particles caused a decrease in flow velocity. Thus, even when debris flows have hydrographs that are similar at the outlet of the channel, differences in the extent of accumulation of large particles in the flow front can lead to changes in runout distance and consequently form fans with different morphology.

Separation between the solid and fluid phases might be one of the principal mechanisms that alter runout distance. The fluid phase consisting of pore fluid in a multiphase-mixture flow generally acts to reduce flow resistance and drive flow descent (Takahashi, 2007; von Boetticher et al., 2016; Pudasaini and Mergili, 2019). The substantial decrease in the velocity of the front of the solid phase of the multigranular flows progressed phase separation during flow runout in the early stage (Fig. 3b), which increasingly can reduce the velocity of the solid phase owing to the absence of pore fluid. Numerical simulations that considered phase separation demonstrated that a strong front structure attributable to accumulation of solids in the flow
front can lead to rapid phase separation (Pudasaini and Fischer, 2020). Thus, the large sediment particles that accumulated at the flow front of the multigranular flows potentially advanced phase separation during flow runout. Therefore, the increase in flow resistance of the multigranular flow fronts could have arisen owing to synergistic effects between the increase in the representative grain size of the solid phase and the decrease in the pore fluid by phase separation.

It is noteworthy that the fronts of the solid phase of the multigranular flows continued their runout after the start of phase separation (Fig. 3b), which is different from the coincidental start of phase separation and halting of the front of the solid phase in the monogranular flows (Figs. 3a and 4). The solid-phase runout after the start of phase separation in the multigranular flows implies that the solid phase retained sufficient momentum to entrain and transport sediment particles. In this sense, deposition of the solid phase of the monogranular flow fronts was caused by the complete and sudden stop of the solid phase (i.e., sediment particles), which might be physically different from that of the multigranular flow fronts.

Theoretical analysis of debris-flow mechanics that carefully divides the effects between the erosion velocity (i.e., the velocity of sediment erosion from the bed by the flow) and the entrainment velocity (i.e., the velocity of the transportation of eroded sediment by the flow) demonstrated that the contribution to flow momentum is different between the erosion and the entrainment velocities; consequently, their differences are responsible for the variation in the fluidity of debris flows (Pudasaini and Krautblatter, 2021). Detailed analysis of the difference between the erosion (deposition) and entrainment velocities is difficult owing to limitations of the experimental setup. However, the different trends in runout between the monogranular and multigranular flows highlight that further understanding of the erosion/deposition mechanisms is crucial for accurate estimation of debris-flow deposition range.

### 4.2 Variations in fan morphology

In comparison with the monogranular flows, the multigranular flows formed fans with reasonably asymmetric morphology (Fig. 14), which resulted from the avulsion process that caused marked shifts in flow direction (Fig. 12). Despite differences in the extent of avulsion between the monogranular and multigranular flows, the extent of symmetry in fan morphology increased at the same timings (Figs. 14 and 15), suggesting that the pace at which avulsion occurred was similar irrespective of the grain-size distribution of the debris flow. The wide-ranging grain-size distribution within debris flows thus leads to marked shifts of flow direction by avulsion rather than to changes in the pace of avulsion, and likely expands the horizontal deposition range of the sediment.

Importantly, in comparison with monogranular fans, the extent of asymmetry of the multigranular fans differed substantially between test runs (Figs. 14 and 15). The variations in the asymmetry of the multigranular fans suggest that debris flows can randomly shift their descent direction. Some models assume that multigranular debris flows can be approximated to monogranular debris flows with the same average grain size, which allows estimation of debris-flow properties such as flow velocity and depth (e.g., Egashira et al., 1997; Takahashi, 2007). Indeed, our flume-based experimental results exhibited similar flow velocity and depth as debris flows with the same average grain size but with different grain-size distributions (Fig. 2). However, given that the differences in runout characteristics resulted in different fan morphologies between the
monogranular and multigranular flows, the use of debris-flow models that involve grain-size approximation could be responsible for inevitable uncertainty in the estimation of fan morphology formed by debris-flow runout.

Even in the early stage of flow runout, i.e., after 20 s from the start of runout, the shape of the multigranular fans exhibited asymmetry in comparison with that of the monogranular fans (Fig. 14c, d), which was likely responsible for greater final asymmetry in multigranular fan morphology (Fig. 14i, j). It is likely that the short runout distance of the multigranular flows resulted in thick sediment deposition close to the flume outlet, and the swift phase separation accelerated the inundation of the fluid phase to the distal downstream area. In this sense, phase separation facilitated the increase in the extent of unsaturation of the fan deposits. A bed consisting of unsaturated sediment particles potentially decreases the pore-fluid pressure at the bottom of a debris flow and increases the resistance of the flow body (Major and Iverson, 1999; Staley et al., 2011), resulting in complex patterns of flow direction and sediment deposition (Tsunetaka et al., 2019). Thus, the variations in the extent of the saturation of the fan sediment materials facilitated by phase separation might have triggered the differences in the fan morphology between the multigranular test runs.

In this context, the extent of phase separation broadly constrains fan morphology. The advance in the multiphase model describing a granular–fluid mixture flow allows us to reflect on the effects of separation between the granular (solid) and fluid phases in numerical simulations (Pudasaini and Mergili, 2019), and to progress the theoretical interpretation of debris-flow mechanics. Our results demonstrate that further investigation of the relationships between the grain-size distribution within debris flows and the extent of phase separation and related changes in runout distance could lead to accurate forecasting of the range of debris flow deposition and inundation.

The fan-forming processes and the sediment deposition and stratigraphy changed in response to changes in grain-size distribution of the released granular flows, while holding all other conditions constant. Some equations that describe debris flows assume that multi-granular debris flows can be approximated to mono-granular debris flows with the same average grain-size (e.g., Egashira et al., 1997; Takahashi, 2007). However, the mono-granular and multi-granular flows with the same average grain-size produced fans with different morphologies, and the fans that resulted from the multi-granular flows also varied between the test runs (Figs. 9 and 10), which indicates that existing models that assume a mono-granular approximation may provide ambiguous simulations of the debris-flow deposition and inundation ranges.

The fronts of the multi-granular flows were comprised of relatively large sediment particles (Figs. S2 and S3), reflecting grain-size segregation often discussed in the literature (e.g., Johnson et al., 2012) and evidenced in the field. These large particles may increase the flow frictional resistance (e.g., De Haas et al., 2015b; Hürlimann et al., 2015), which may help explain why the runout distance and velocity of the released multi-granular flow fronts were shorter and lower than those of the mono-granular flows, respectively (Fig. 3). The flow rates and arrival times of the mono- and multi-granular runs were almost the same in the flume (Fig. 2). This finding suggests that the thick and short lobe-like deposits of the multi-granular flows reflected the shorter runout distances (Figs. 4 and 10c), which may in turn have triggered the pronounced avulsion further upstream of the deposition area that did not form for the mono-granular flows (Figs. 5–8 and S5–S8).
The thick and short deposits with surfaces comprised of large particles identified in the early stage of the fan-forming processes might facilitate the dispersion and seepage of the pore fluid through the fan because of its high permeability, and lead to unsaturated conditions at the fan surface. Other researchers, through field measurements and flume experiments, have shown that deposition of debris flows may be triggered when the surfaces of channel beds are highly permeable and unsaturated (e.g., Major and Iverson, 1999; Staley et al., 2011; Tsunetaka et al., 2019). As there was little difference between the runout characteristics of the multi-granular test runs (Figs. 3b and 4e–h), the variations in the fan morphology may reflect spatial and temporal variations in the degree of saturation throughout the fan. If this is the case, even processes that drive mono-granular fan formation may vary among test runs, particularly when mono-granular flows comprise large particles that can facilitate the dispersion and seepage of pore-fluid in a formed fan.

This examination of the grain-size distribution of debris flows shows that fan-forming processes are complex and reflect the interactions between their functional and structural characteristics. The differences in the experimental fan morphometries highlight how varying orders of grain-size distribution strongly impact debris-flow fan development and produced varying stratigraphic layers. The findings also considered the moisture regime of the experimental fan evolution, which improves the accuracy and reliability of forecasts of the deposition and inundation ranges of debris flows around channel outlets.

5 Conclusions

While it is accepted that the morphology of debris-flow fan depends on the characteristics of the debris-flow that is released to the fan apex (e.g., flow stage and sediment concentration), there is still considerable debate about how changes in these characteristics impact fan-forming processes. In this study, changes in fan morphology were investigated, with a particular focus on the grain-size distribution of the released debris flow. We carried out reduced-scale flume experiments to model the morphology of debris-flow fans that resulted from flows that were mono- or multi-granular with the same average grain size, but with all other flow characteristics the same. The mono-granular flows formed a symmetric-like fan morphology because there was little avulsion during the formation process. The multi-granular flows produced fans with asymmetric morphology, and had avulsions on both sides during the early stages of the inundation, which caused the runout direction to shift as the topography evolved. Our results show that the grain-size distribution was closely related to spatial diversity in fan morphology and stratigraphy.

In this study, we conducted flume-based experiments to investigate how differences in the grain-size distribution within debris flows change the morphology of debris-flow fans. Two types of sediment particles were used to generate two types of granular–water mixture flows that imitated a single debris-flow surge: monogranular particles comprising quasi-monodispersed sediment particles and multigranular particles comprising polidispersed sediment particles.

The granular–water mixture flows generated using the monogranular particles and the multigranular particles were referred to as monogranular flows and multigranular flows, respectively. The average grain size was adjusted to coincide between the monogranular and multigranular flows, which allowed us to compare the fan morphologies formed by debris flows that had similar flow properties but different grain-size distributions.
Despite similarities in the flow properties before the start of debris-flow runout, the runout distance of the fronts of the multigranular flows was less than that of the monogranular flows, which was likely attributable both to accumulation of relatively large sediment particles, and to the swift separation between the solid and fluid phases of the multigranular flows during runout. The short runout distances of the multigranular flows were responsible for sediment deposition closer to the flume outlet, which led to avulsion that markedly shifted the flow direction during fan formation. Consequently, in comparison with the monogranular fans, the fans of the multigranular flows formed with horizontally asymmetric shapes, highlighting that fan morphology can vary in response to grain-size distribution within a debris flow. The extent of the symmetry of debris-flow fan morphology increased at a similar time during debris-flow runout irrespective of grain-size distribution and test runs. However, avulsion that shifted the flow direction increased the extent of asymmetry of fan morphology, and also increased the morphological deviations between test runs, especially for the multigranular flows. Therefore, wide-ranging grain-size distribution within a debris flow rather than change in the rate of fan formation likely results in complex fan morphology with high asymmetry. Our results suggest that further understanding of the relationships between differences in grain-size distribution and runout of debris flows could reduce uncertainty in the estimation and interpretation of debris-flow fan morphology.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of grain size (mm)</th>
<th>Average grain size (mm)</th>
<th>Mixing ratio of mono-granular particles (%)</th>
<th>Mixing ratio of multi-granular particles (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.6–0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8–1.36</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.36–2.02</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.02–3.24</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.24–4.57</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.57–5.85</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.85–7.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Test flume setup. (a) Dimensions of the test flume and equipment. (b) View of the channel flume and the deposition area. (c) Grain-size distribution of the sediment materials used in the experiments. Figure modified from Tsunetaka et al. (2019).
Figure 2: Changes in the debris-flow surfaces in the flume. The left and right panels show results of the monogrannular and multigranular flows, respectively. The different colors of the lines correspond to respective experimental runs: (a)–(c): monogranular flows measurements at the upper measurement position, (d–f): multigranular flows measurements at the middle measurement position, and (e) and (f) measurements at the lower measurement position. The time (x-axis) was set to assume that the flow front arrived at the upper measurement position at time zero. Any change in the initial thickness of the bed surface (e.g., Fig. 1e) due to local undulation was probably cancelled out by the debris-flow descent (see Sect. S1 in the Supplement).
Figure 3: Change in runout distances of the flow fronts with time: (a) monogranular flows and (b) multigranular flows. Continuous and broken lines indicate runout distances for the fluid and solid phases, respectively. Black dotted lines are assumed graphs for velocities of 0.5 and 1 m s\(^{-1}\).
Figure 3: Runout characteristics of the flow fronts at the deposition area. (a) orthophoto for a multi-granular flow (run 3) approximately 10 s after the start of the runout. The white arrow and line indicate the runout distance and the location of the front, respectively. (b) comparison of the total runout distance of the flow fronts. (c) comparison of the arrival time of the flow fronts at the deposition area. (d) comparison of the velocity (the ratio of the runout distance to the arrival time).
Figure 4: Orthophoto 10 seconds after the start of the runout. (a–d) Mono-granular flows. (e–h) Multi-granular flows. The white line indicates the flow front.

Figure 4: Comparison of the total runout distance of the fronts of the solid phase of monogranular and multigranular flows. The locations of the fronts of the solid phase are depicted by white lines in Fig. S2.
**Figure 5:** (a) Orthophoto of the debris-flow fan formed by the multigranular flow in test run 3. The red circles indicate the points at which the images were taken. Images of the longitudinal profile: (b) 1 m downstream from the flume outlet (slope change point from 12° to 9°), (c) 1.4 m downstream from the flume outlet, (d) 1.8 m downstream from the flume outlet, (e) 2 m downstream from the flume outlet (slope change point from 9° to 6°), and (f) 2.4 m downstream from the flume outlet.
Figure 6: (a) Orthophoto of the debris-flow fan formed by the multigranular flow in test run 4. The red circles indicate the points at which the images were taken. Images of the longitudinal profile: (b) 1 m downstream from the flume outlet (slope change point from 12° to 9°), (c) 1.4 m downstream from the flume outlet, (d) 1.8 m downstream from the flume outlet, (e) 2 m downstream from the flume outlet (slope change point from 9° to 6°), and (f) 2.4 m downstream from the flume outlet.
Figure 7: Fan morphology 20 s after the start of runout of the monogranular flows. The upper and lower panels show orthophotos and digital elevation models (DEMs) with flow vectors, respectively. Respective sets of the upper orthophoto and lower DEM represent corresponding results of each experimental test run. The white arrows on the orthophotos indicate the assumed principal direction of flow descent. The elevation of the DEMs is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area furthest downstream from where the slope angle changed from a 6° to 3° slope) has elevation of zero.
Figure 8: Fan morphology 20 s after the start of runout of the multigranular flows. The upper and lower panels show orthophotos and digital elevation models (DEMs) with flow vectors, respectively. Respective sets of the upper orthophoto and lower DEM represent corresponding results of each experimental test run. The white arrows on the orthophotos indicate the assumed principal direction of flow descent. The elevation of the DEMs is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area furthest downstream from where the slope angle changed from a 6° to 3° slope) has elevation of zero.
Figure 9: Fan morphology 30 s after the start of runout of the monogranular flows. The upper and lower panels show orthophotos and digital elevation models (DEMs) with flow vectors, respectively. Respective sets of the upper orthophoto and lower DEM represent corresponding results of each experimental test run. The white arrows on the orthophotos indicate the assumed principal direction of flow descent. The elevation of the DEMs is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area furthest downstream from where the slope angle changed from a 6° to 3° slope) has elevation of zero.
**Figure 10**: Fan morphology 30 s after the start of runout of the multigranular flows. The upper and lower panels show orthophotos and digital elevation models (DEM)s with flow vectors, respectively. Respective sets of the upper orthophoto and lower DEM represent corresponding results of each experimental test run. The white arrows on the orthophotos indicate the assumed principal direction of flow descent. The elevation of the DEMs is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area furthest downstream from where the slope angle changed from a 6° to 3° slope) has elevation of zero.
Figure 11: Fan morphology 40 s after the start of runout of the monogranular flows. The upper and lower panels show orthophotos and digital elevation models (DEMs) with flow vectors, respectively. Respective sets of the upper orthophoto and lower DEM represent corresponding results of each experimental test run. The white arrows on the orthophotos indicate the assumed principal direction of flow descent. The elevation of the DEMs is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area furthest downstream from where the slope angle changed from a 6° to 3° slope) has elevation of zero.
Figure 12: Fan morphology 40 s after the start of runout of the multigranular flows. The upper and lower panels show orthophotos and digital elevation models (DEMs) with flow vectors, respectively. Respective sets of the upper orthophoto and lower DEM represent corresponding results of each experimental test run. The white arrows on the orthophotos indicate the assumed principal direction of flow descent. The elevation of the DEMs is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area furthest downstream from where the slope angle changed from a 6° to 3° slope) has elevation of zero.
Figure 5: Fan formation and distribution of the flow vectors 20 seconds after the start of the runout. (a–d) Mono-granular flows. (e–h) Multi-granular flows. The elevation is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area further downstream from where the slope angle changed from 3° to 6° slope) has an elevation of zero.
Figure 6: Fan formation and distribution of the flow vectors 30 seconds after the start of the runout. (a–d) Mono-granular flows. (e–h) Multi-granular flows. The elevation is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area further downstream from the point where the slope changed from 3° to 6°) has an elevation of zero.
Figure 7: Fan formation and distribution of the flow vectors 40 seconds after the start of the runout. (a–d) Mono-granular flows. (e–h) Multi-granular flows. The elevation is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area further downstream from the point where the slope changed from 3° to 6°) has an elevation of zero.
Figure 8: Fan formation and distribution of the flow vectors 50 seconds after the start of the runout. (a–d) Mono-granular flows. (e–h) Multi-granular flows. The elevation is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area further downstream from the point where the slope changed from 3° to 6°) has an elevation of zero.
Figure 9: Final topographies of the debris-flow fans. (a–d) mono-granular flows. (e–h) multi-granular flows. The elevation is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area further downstream from the point where the slope changed from 3° to 6°) has an elevation of zero.
Figure 103: Profiles of the final fans. The left, center, and right panels indicate mono-granular flows, multi-granular flows, and their averages, respectively. (a)–(c) Longitudinal profiles at the center of the fan. Vertical broken lines indicate the boundaries of the bed slope (i.e., the change points from 12° to 9° and from 9° to 6°). (d)–(f) Cross section (transverse profile) located 1 m downstream from the flume outlet. (g)–(i) Cross section (transverse profile) located 2.2 m downstream from the flume outlet. In panels d–i, the x-axis indicates the distance from the left-bank side end of the cross sections (the fan was centered at approximately 0.8 m). In panels c, f, and i, the broken gray line indicates the average value of all the mono-granular flows. The red and black lines indicate the average values of the flows that produced fans that were elongated on the left-bank side (i.e., test runs 2 and 3) and on the right-bank side (test runs 1 and 4), respectively.
Figure 14: Changes in symmetric index (SI) values in response to fan-morphology formation. The left and right panels show results for monogrannular flows and multigranular flows, respectively: (a) and (b) after 10 s from the start of flow runout, (c) and (d) after 20 s from the start of flow runout, (e) and (f) after 30 s from the start of flow runout, (g) and (h) after 40 s from the start of flow runout, and (i) and (j) after 50 s from the start of flow runout.
Figure 15: Standard deviation of symmetric index (SI) values: (a) after 10 s from the start of flow runout, (b) after 20 s from the start of flow runout, (c) after 30 s from the start of flow runout, (d) after 40 s from the start of flow runout, and (e) after 50 s from the start of flow runout.