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10 Section S1 Equipment Specification.

Ultrasonic sensor
Model: Omron E4PA
Sampling frequency: 50 Hz
Note: The ultrasonic sensors measured the flow or initial bed surface at a point scale. The measurements are susceptible to local undulations in the flow surface and erodible bed. Accordingly, some spikes of the flow surface ranging from approximately 0.03 to 0.05 m were measured especially for the middle and lower locations, but these impacts were ephemeral (Fig. 2).

Erodible bed saturation was not completely controlled because it included voids. Saturated bed conditions were approximated by carefully supplying clear water across the entire erodible bed using watering cans just before we started the water supply from the upper end of the flume. However, by suppling this water before the debris flow was generated, we may have inadvertently caused the initial bed surface to undulate, as the water level may have increased at some places in the erodible bed and the bed surface may have been disturbed. Indeed, measurements from the displacement meter set in the middle location of the flume indicated that there was a local undulation of approximately 3 cm in multi-granular run 2 (Fig. 2E). However, following the descent of the flow front, the hydrographs for all the tests were similar, irrespective of measurement positions (Fig. 2), which suggests that any impact from local undulations in the initial bed surface were canceled by the steady entrainment of channel sediment as the flow descended.

Digital single-lens reflex cameras for SfM-MVS
Model: Nikon D5100
Sensor size: 23.6 × 15.6 mm
Image size: 4928 × 3264 pixels
**Pixel size**: 4.78 μm

**Release shutter of the digital cameras for SfM-MVS**

Model: Canon TC-80N3  
Shutter interval: 1 s

**Digital single-lens reflex camera for PIV (recording video)**

Model: PENTAX K-3 II

Sensor size: 23.6 × 15.6 mm  
Image size: 1920 × 1080 pixels  
Frame rate: 60 fps  
Pixel size: 14.44 μm

**Section S2 Software Specification.**

**Software for SfM-MVS**

Software: Agisoft Metashape Professional 1.5.1  
DEM resolution: 1 mm  
Orthophoto resolution: 1 mm

**Software for PIV**

Software: Image J  
Plugin for analysis: PIV (Particle Image Velocimetry) developed by Tseng et al. (2012).  
Using algorism: cross-correlation algorism

Figure S1: Final topographies of the debris-flow fans. (a–d) mono-granular flows. (e–h) multi-granular flows. The elevation is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area further downstream from the point where the slope changed from 3° to 6°) has an elevation of zero.
Figure S2: (a) Orthophoto for the debris-flow fan that formed from the multi-granular flow (run 3). The red points indicate the points where the images were taken. (b) image of the longitudinal profile 1 m downstream from the flume outlet (change point of the slope from 12° to 9°). (c) image of the longitudinal profile 1.4 m downstream from the flume outlet. (d) image of the longitudinal profile 1.8 m downstream from the flume outlet. (e) image of the longitudinal profile 2 m downstream from the flume outlet (change point of the slope from 9° to 6°). (f) image of the longitudinal profile 2.4 m downstream from the flume outlet.
Figure S3: (a) Orthophoto for the debris-flow fan that formed from a multi-granular flow (run 4). The red points indicate where the images were taken. (b) Image of the longitudinal profile 1 m downstream from the flume outlet (slope change point from 12° to 9°). (c) Image of the longitudinal profile 1.4 m downstream from the flume outlet. (d) Image of the longitudinal profile 1.8 m downstream from the flume outlet. (e) Image of the longitudinal profile 2 m downstream from the flume outlet (slope change point from 9° to 6°). (f) Image of the longitudinal profile 2.4 m downstream from the flume outlet.
Figure S4: Fan formation and distribution of the flow vectors 10 seconds after the start of the runout. (a–d) Mono-granular flows. (e–h) Multi-granular flows. The elevation is depicted assuming that the area with a 3° slope (i.e., the area further downstream from the point the slope angle changed from 3° to 6°) has an elevation of zero.
Figure S5: Orthophotos 20 seconds after the start of the runout. (a–d) Mono-granular flows. (e–h) Multi-granular flows.
Figure S6: Orthophoto 30 seconds after the start of runout. (a–d) Mono-granular flows. (e–h) Multi-granular flows.
Figure S7: Orthophoto 40 seconds after the start of the runout. (a–d) Mono-granular flows. (e–h) Multi-granular flows.
Figure S8: Orthophoto 50 seconds after the start of the runout. (a–d) Mono-granular flows. (e–h) Multi-granular flows.
Figure S9: Orthophotos for the final fan morphology. (a–d) Mono-granular flows. (e–h) Multi-granular flows. The fans that developed from the multi-granular flows were elongated to the right bank side (in runs 1 and 4; panels e and h) or the left bank side (in runs 2 and 3; panels f and g).