

Reviewer #2 (R2)

The manuscript still contains the term "toma hill", which is a pleonasm similar to "Hügel hill". In most places the authors corrected this and simply used "hill". In some instances it would be more useful to use "tuma", which is the spelling as it appears on the national geographic maps which we adhere to strictly in naming formations etc. In some instances the authors do refer to tumas using this term correctly (e.g. Tuma Padrusa). It might be better to use these terms in other instances to avoid confusion.

Adrian Pfiffner

*We appreciated the comments and suggestions concerning the toma. As we know from other publications and discussions in the rock avalanche community, the terms “toma hill” or “Toma Hill” are commonly used, although these are not linguistically correct. We decided to use “toma hill” at the beginning of the manuscript, until we explain the use of the “toma” terms in lines 45-49. Hereafter, we consequently use “toma” or “hill”. Also, we now use capital letters only for local names, e.g. Tuma Padrusa and Bot Dagatg.*

*We hope that these changes help to clarify the toma naming and to make our publication easily readable for both the scientific and local communities.*