### 1 **Response to reviewers**

- 2 We are grateful and thank Associate Editor for thorough assessment of our manuscript and for providing
- 3 us constructive comments and suggestions.
- 4 In the revised version, all the comments and suggestions have been taken into account and changes
- 5 have been made to improve the presentation.
- 6 We now add point-by-point reply (in italics, in red color fonts) to the comments and suggestions of the 7 Associate Editor and make clear where and what changes have been made in the revised version of the 8 manuscript.
- 9

## 10 Comment

- **Technical correction:** Thank you for adding the profile in Figure 4e. It is rather small, and the labels (both in the profile and in the main image) are illegible without significant zoom. Please resize the labels in the inset, and the profile labels in the main Fig 4e panel, to ensure they are readable. You could include
- 14 it below the main panels if this is easier.
- 15 **Response:** As suggested by the Associate Editor, we have revised the Figure 4e.
- 16 We have added both the versions of the Figure 4 below to have a comparison:
- 17
   18
   19
   20
   21
   22
   23
   24
   25
- 1

## 26 Figure 4 (previous version)







33 We hope now the labels in the inset, and the profile labels are readable.

# 35 Morphologic and Morphometric Differences between Gullies Formed

# 36 in Different Substrates on Mars: New Insights into the Gully

# **37 Formation Processes**

38 Rishitosh K. Sinha<sup>1,2</sup>, Dwijesh Ray<sup>1</sup>, Tjalling De Haas<sup>3</sup>, Susan J. Conway<sup>4</sup>, Axel Noblet<sup>4</sup>

39 <sup>1</sup> Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad 380009, Gujarat, India

- 41 <sup>3</sup> Faculty of Geoscience, Universiteit Utrecht, Princetonlaan 8a, 3584 CB Utrecht, the Netherlands
- 42 <sup>4</sup> Nantes Université, Université d'Angers, Le Mans Université, CNRS UMR 6112 Laboratoire de Planétologie et Géosciences,
- 43 France
- 44

45 Correspondence to: Rishitosh K. Sinha (rishitosh@prl.res.in)

Abstract. Martian gullies are kilometer-scale geologically young features with a source alcove, transportation channel, and 46 47 depositional fan. On the walls of impact craters, these gullies typically incise into bedrock or surfaces modified by latitude dependent mantle (LDM; inferred as consisting of ice and admixed dust) and glaciation. To better understand the differences 48 in the alcoves and fans of gullies formed in different substrates and infer the flow types that led to their formation, we have 49 50 analyzed the morphology and morphometry of 167 gully systems in 29 craters distributed between 30°S and 75°S. Specifically we measured length, width, gradient, area, relief, and relief ratio of the gully alcoves and fans, Melton ratio, relative concavity 51 52 index, and perimeter, form factor, elongation ratio and circularity ratio of the gully alcoves. Our study reveals that gully alcoves formed in LDM/glacial deposits are more elongated than the gully alcoves formed in bedrock, and possess a distinctive V-53 shaped cross section. We have found that the mean gradient of fans formed by gullies sourced in bedrock is steeper than the 54 55 mean gradient of fans of gullies sourced in LDM/glacial deposits. These differences between gullies were found to be 56 statistically significant and discriminant analysis has confirmed that alcove perimeter, alcove relief and fan gradient are the 57 most important variables for differentiating gullies according to their source substrates. The comparison between the Melton ratio, alcove length and fan gradient of Martian and terrestrial gullies reveals that Martian gully systems were likely formed 58 by terrestrial debris-flow like processes. Present-day sublimation of CO<sub>2</sub> ice on Mars may have provided the adequate flow 59 fluidization for the formation of deposits akin to terrestrial debris-flow like deposits. 60

#### 61 1 Introduction

62 Gullies are found on steep slopes polewards of about 30° latitude in both hemispheres on Mars and manifest as kilometer-

- 63 scale, geologically young features (formed within the last few million years) comprising an alcove, channel, and depositional
- 64 fan (Malin and Edgett, 2000; Dickson et al., 2007; Reiss et al., 2004; Schon et al., 2009). Gullies occur in a wide assortment
- of settings, varying from the walls and central peaks of craters to walls of valleys, and steep faces of dunes, hills and polar pits



<sup>40 &</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Indian Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar 382355, Gujarat, India

- 66 (e.g. Balme et al., 2006; Dickson et al., 2007; Dickson and Head, 2009; Conway et al., 2011, 2015; Harrison et al., 2015). On
- 67 the walls of craters, gullies are found to have incised into (1) surfaces covered by latitude dependent mantle (LDM; e.g. Mustard
- et al., 2001; Dickson et al., 2012, 2015), (2) surfaces modified by former episodes of glaciation (Conway et al., 2018; de Haas
- 69 2019a; Sinha and Vijayan, 2017), and (3) bedrock (e.g. Johnsson et al., 2014; de Haas et al., 2019a; Sinha et al., 2020). Detailed
- 70 investigation of the gullies formed over these different substrates is key to understanding the intricacies of past processes by
- 71 which these gullies have formed on Mars (Conway et al., 2015; de Haas et al., 2019a).
- 72 A variety of models have been proposed to explain the formation of gullies, which include: (1) dry flows triggered by
- r3 sublimation of CO<sub>2</sub> frost (e.g. Cedillo-Flores et al., 2011; Dundas et al., 2012, 2015; Pilorget and Forget, 2016; de Haas et al.,
- 74 2019b), (2) debris-flows of an aqueous nature (e.g. Costard et al., 2002; Levy et al., 2010; Conway et al., 2011; Johnsson et
- al., 2014; de Haas et al., 2019a; Sinha et al., 2020), and (3) fluvial flows (e.g. Heldmann and Mellon, 2004; Heldmann et al.,
- 76 2005; Dickson et al., 2007; Reiss et al., 2011). To better understand the gully formation processes, morphometric investigation
- of gullies formed over different substrates needs to be undertaken at a level of detail previously not attempted.

78 The global distribution of gullies shows a spatial correlation with the landforms indicative of glaciation and LDM deposition 79 on Mars (e.g. Levy et al., 2011; Dickson et al., 2015; Harrison et al., 2015; Conway et al., 2018; de Haas et al., 2019a; Sinha 80 et al., 2020). With respect to glacial landforms, many gullies have formed into viscous flow features (VFFs) and they are found 81 in the same latitude ranges between 30°-60° (e.g. Arfstrom and Hartmann, 2005; de Haas et al., 2019a). VFFs are defined as 82 an umbrella term for glacial-type formations covering a broad range of landforms that include lobate debris aprons (LDAs), 83 concentric crater fills (CCFs), and lineated valley fills (LVFs) (e.g. Squyres, 1978; Levy et al., 2009a; Baker et al., 2010; Hargitai, 2014). Together, they are inferred to be similar to terrestrial debris-covered glaciers (Plaut et al., 2009). With respect 84 85 to LDM, gullies are mostly found on the pole-facing slopes of crater walls at lower mid-latitudes (30-45°) (e.g. Balme et al. 2006; Kneissl et al. 2010; Harrison et al. 2015; Conway et al. 2017), wherein, LDM is found to be dissected (e.g. Mustard et 86 87 al., 2001; Milliken et al., 2003; Head et al., 2003). In the higher latitudes (>45°), LDM is found to be continuous (e.g. Kreslavsky and Head, 2000), and gullies are evident at both the pole and equator facing slopes (e.g. Balme et al. 2006; Kneissl 88 89 et al. 2010; Harrison et al. 2015; Conway et al. 2017). Gullies formed on the formerly glaciated walls of craters are fed from 90 alcoves that do not extend up to the crater rim, and appear elongated to V-shaped, implying gully-channel incision into ice-91 rich, unlithified sediments (e.g. Aston et al., 2011; de Haas et al., 2019a). The alcoves, channels and fan deposits of gullies formed within craters covered by a smooth drape of LDM, are usually found to have experienced multiple episodes of LDM 92 93 covering and subsequent reactivation of some of the pre-existing channels or formation of fresh channels within the draped LDM deposits (e.g. Dickson et al., 2015; de Haas et al., 2019a). Additionally, there are gullies that directly emanate from well-94 defined bedrock alcoves that cut into the crater rim in the absence of LDM and/or glacial deposits (e.g. Johnsson et al., 2014; 95 96 de Haas et al., 2019a; Sinha et al., 2020). Gullies formed in these craters have alcoves with sharply defined crests and spurs, 97 exposing the underlying bedrock, and meter-sized boulders are found throughout the gully system (e.g. Johnsson et al., 2014;

98 de Haas et al., 2019a; Sinha et al., 2020). Further, De Haas et al., 2015a found that the stratigraphy of the fans whose source

99 area was in bedrock were more boulder-rich than those fans fed by catchments in LDM. The findings in these studies suggest

100 that a more detailed investigation of the morphology and morphometry of the gullies formed over contrasting substrates is

101 important for improving our understanding of the formative mechanisms of gullies.

102 In this work, we focus on addressing the following research questions:

(1) Do the morphologies and morphometries of gully systems formed in different substrates differ (i.e. LDM/glacial depositsand bedrock)?

(2) How do the morphometric characteristics of gullies formed on Mars compare to those formed by a range of processes onEarth, and what does that tell us about the formative processes of Martian gullies?

To parameterize the morphometry we will primarily study long profiles. Previously, only a few studies have analyzed the morphometric characteristics of the gullies by studying their long profiles (e.g. Yue et al., 2014; Conway et al., 2015; De Haas et al., 2015a; Hobbs et al., 2015). These studies have focused observations on a part of the gully system and suggested that the differences in the properties of substrate into which the gullies incise play a significant role in promoting the flows that led to gully formation. Hence, for a more detailed differentiation of the gully types and interpretation of the dominant flow type that led to gully formation on Mars, quantification of the morphometric characteristics of the entire gully system is crucial.

#### 113 2 Study sites and datasets

114 We characterize the morphologies and morphometries of gullies in 29 craters distributed over the southern hemisphere of Mars between 30° S and 75° S latitude (Fig. 1). These 29 craters are selected based on the availability of publicly released High 115 116 Resolution Imaging Science Experiment (HiRISE) stereo-pair based digital terrain models (DTMs) or the presence of suitable 117 HiRISE stereo-pair images to produce a DTM ourselves. The HiRISE stereo-pair images are usually ~0.25 - 0.5 m/pixel 118 (McEwen et al., 2007), so the DTM post spacing is ~1-2 m with vertical precision in the range of tens of centimeters (Kirk et 119 2008). Among the 29 gullied craters, publicly released DTMs are available for 25 al., craters 120 (https://www.uahirise.org/hiwish/maps/dtms.jsp - last accessed 18th September 2021) (Table 1). For the remaining 4 craters, 121 we produced DTMs with the software packages USGS ISIS and BAE Systems SocetSet (Table 1) (Kirk et al., 2008). We investigated HiRISE images of these 29 gullied craters for detailed morphological characterization of the substrate into which 122 123 the crater wall gullies incise (Table 1).

124

125

- 126 Table 1. Summary of the craters included in this study, their locations, number of gullies investigated from the crater, substrate
- 127 on the crater wall in which gullies have incised, key morphological attributes of the substrate, and IDs of HiRISE imagery and
- 128 DTM used for morphological and morphometric investigation of gullies in these craters.

Crater	Latitude	Longitude	No. of gullies	Substrate	Key morphological attributes	HiRISE ID	HiRISE DTM ID
Artik	34.8° S	131.02° E	2	LDM/glacial deposits	Polygons, V-shaped incisions, arcuate ridges, small-scale LDAs on the floor	ESP_020740_1450	DTEEC_012459_1450_0 12314_1450_A01
Asimov	47.53° S	4.41° E	4	LDM/glacial deposits	Polygons, V-shaped incisions, mantled alcoves/channels/fan s, arcuate ridges, small-scale LDAs inside valleys	ESP_012912_1320	DTEEC_012912_1320_0 12767_1320_A01
Bunnik	38.07° S	142.07° W	8	LDM/glacial deposits	Polygons, V-shaped incisions, mantled alcoves/channels/fan s, arcuate ridges	ESP_047044_1420	DTEEC_002659_1420_0 02514_1420_U01
Corozal	38.78° S	159.48° E	6	LDM/glacial deposits	Polygons, mantled alcoves/channels/fan s, arcuate ridges, small-scale LDAs on the floor	PSP_006261_1410	DTEEC_006261_1410_0 14093_1410_A01
Dechu	42.23° S	158° W	8	LDM/glacial deposits	Polygons, mantled alcoves/channels/fan s, arcuate ridges, small-scale LDAs on the floor	PSP_006866_1375	DTEED_023546_1375_0 23612_1375_A01
Dunkassa	37.46° S	137.06° W	5	LDM/glacial deposits	Polygons, V-shaped incisions, mantled alcoves/channels/fan s, arcuate ridges, small-scale LDAs on the floor	ESP_032011_1425	DTEEC_039488_1420_0 39343_1420_A01
Hale	35.7° S	36.4° W	8	LDM/glacial deposits	Polygons, V-shaped incisions, mantled alcoves/channels/fan s, talus slope deposits	PSP_003209_1445	DTEEC_002932_1445_0 03209_1445_A01
Langtang	38.13° S	135.95° W	5	LDM/glacial deposits	Polygons, V-shaped incisions, mantled alcoves/channels/fan s, arcuate ridges, small-scale LDAs on the floor	ESP_030099_1415	DTEEC_024099_1415_0 23809_1415_U01

Moni	46.97° S	18.79° E	5	LDM/glacial	Partly infilled	ESP 056862 1325	DTEEC 007110 1325 0
				deposits	alcoves, mantled fan		06820 1325 A01
				1	surfaces, arcuate		
					ridges		
Nybyen	37.03° S	16.66° W	8	LDM/glacial	Polygons, mantled	ESP 059448 1425	DTEEC 006663 1425 0
				deposits	alcoves/channels/fan		11436 1425 A01
					s, arcuate ridges		
Palikir	41.56° S	157.87° W	5	LDM/glacial	Polygons, V-shaped	ESP 057462 1380	DTEEC 005943 1380 0
				deposits	incisions, mantled		11428 1380 A01
					alcoves/channels/fan		
					s, arcuate ridges.		
					small-scale LDAs		
					on the floor		
Penticton	38.38° S	96.8° E	7	LDM/glacial	Polygons, V-shaped	ESP 029062 1415	DTEEC 001714 1415 0
				deposits	incisions, mantled		01846 1415 U01
					alcoves/channels/fan		
					s, arcuate ridges.		
					small-scale LDAs		
					on the floor		
Selevac	37.37° S	131.07° W	8	LDM/glacial	Polygons, mantled	ESP 045158 1425	DTEEC 003252 1425 0
				deposits	alcoves/channels/fan		03674 1425 A01
				1	s, small-scale flows		
					on the floor		
Raga	48.1° S	117.57° W	4	LDM	Polygons, mantled	ESP 041017 1315	DTEEC 014011 1315 0
					alcoves/channels/fan		14288 1315 A01
					S		
Roseau	41.7° S	150.6° E	1	LDM	Polygons, mantled	ESP 024115 1380	ESP 024115 1380 ESP
					alcoves/channels/fan		011509 1380*
					S	ESP_011509_1380	
Taltal	39.5° S	125.8° W	7	LDM/glacial	Polygons, V-shaped	ESP_037074_1400	ESP_037074_1400_ESP
				deposits	incisions, mantled		_031259_1400*
					alcoves/channels/fan	ESP_031259_1400	
					s, arcuate ridges,		
					small-scale LDAs		
					on the floor		
Talu	40.34° S	20.11° E	7	LDM/glacial	Polygons, V-shaped	ESP_011817_1395	DTEEC_011817_1395_0
				deposits	incisions, mantled		11672_1395_O01
					alcoves/channels/fan		
					s, arcuate ridges,		
					small-scale LDAs		
					on the floor		
Triolet	37.08° S	168.02° W	4	LDM/glacial	Polygons, V-shaped	ESP_047190_1425	DTEEC_023586_1425_0
				deposits	incisions, mantled		24008_1425_A01
					alcoves/channels/fan		
					s, arcuate ridges,		
					small-scale LDAs		
		110 550 5			on the floor		
Unnamed	32.31° S	118.55° E	4	LDM/glacial	Polygons, mantled	PSP_006869_1475	DTEEC_021914_1475_0
crater				deposits	alcoves/channels/fan		22336_1475_001

					s, arcuate ridges,		
					small-scale LDAs on the floor		
Unnamed	40.3° S	40.4° W	6	LDM/glacial	Polygons, mantled	ESP_032047_1395	DTEEC_012795_1395_0
crater in				deposits	alcoves/channels/fan		13507_1395_A01
the Argyre					s, arcuate ridges,		
basin					small-scale LDAs		
	20.00.0	1.5.6.00 111	-		on the floor		
Unnamed	38.8° S	156.8° W	5	LDM	Polygons, V-shaped	PSP_002686_1410	DTEEC_002620_1410_0
crater in					incisions, mantied		02686_1410_A01
Newton					alcoves/channels/tan		
basin					5		
Unnamed	38.53° S	159.44° E	5	LDM/glacial	Polygons, mantled	ESP 020884 1410	DTEEC 020884 1410 0
crater	50.55 5	10,5,111 12		deposits	alcoves/channels/fan		20950 1410 A01
north of				1	s, small-scale LDAs		
Corozal					on the floor		
crater							
Unnamed	32.55° S	154.11° W	2	LDM	Mantled	PSP_007380_1470	DTEEC_010597_1470_0
crater-1 in					alcoves/channels/fan		07380_1470_U01
the Terra					S		
Unnamed	38 880 5	136 36° W	6	I DM/glacial	Polygons V shaped	ESP 020407 1410	DTEEC 022108 1410 0
crater-2 in	50.00 5	150.50 W	0	deposits	incisions mantled		22385 1410 A01
the Terra				deposits	alcoves/channels/fan		22505_1110_1101
Sirenum					s, arcuate ridges,		
					small-scale LDAs		
					on the floor		
Istok	45.1° S	85.82° W	8	Bedrock	Alcove cut directly	ESP_056668_1345	DTEEC_040607_1345_0
					into the original		40251_1345_A01
					crater-wall material,		
					clasts embedded		
					on fan		
Galan	37.66° S	167.07° W	8	Bedrock	Alcove cut directly	ESP 059770 1420	DTEEC 048983 1420 0
Guiup	57.00 5	10,10, 11		Dearoon	into the original		48693 1420 U01
					crater-wall material,		
					clasts embedded		
					into fresh deposits		
					on fan		
Gasa	35.73° S	129.4° E	7	Bedrock	Alcove cut directly	ESP_057491_1440	DTEEC_021584_1440_0
					into the original		22217_1440_A01
					crater-wall material,		
					into fresh deposite		
					on fan		
Los	35.08° S	76.23° W	7	Bedrock	Alcove cut directly	ESP 020774 1445	ESP 020774 1445 ESP
					into the original	/	050127 1445*
					crater-wall material,	ESP 050127 1445	

					clasts embedded into fresh deposits on fan		
Unnamed crater-3 in the Terra Sirenum	34.27° S	165.71° E	7	Bedrock	Alcove cut directly into the original crater-wall material, clasts embedded into fresh deposits on fan	ESP_049261_1455 / ESP_049828_1455	ESP_049261_1455_ ESP_049828_1455*

- 130 (\*) DTMs are produced with the software packages USGS ISIS and BAE Systems SocetSet.
- 131



132 Figure 1: Locations of craters analyzed in this study (green circles). Background: Mars Orbiter Laser Altimeter gridded data, where 133 white is high elevation and black is low elevation, credit MOLA Science Team/NASA/JPL.

- 134
- 135 3 Approach

#### 136 **3.1 Identification of substrate**

- 137 The substrate into which the gullies have incised is identified based on the following criteria:
- 138 1. LDM/glacial deposits: Any crater whose gullies incise walls that appear to be softened by the drape of smooth mantling
- 139 material with polygonal cracks is inferred to have LDM as the substrate within which gullies have incised (e.g. Mustard et al.,

140 2001; Kreslavsky and Head, 2002; Levy et al., 2009a; Conway et al., 2018; de Haas et al., 2019a) (Fig. 2a-b). The gully alcoves 141 on the walls of these craters may be partially to completely filled by LDM, and in some cases, polygonized LDM materials 142 may be seen covering the alcove walls (e.g. Christensen, 2003; Conway et al., 2018; de Haas et al., 2019a). These infilled 143 alcoves on the crater walls are not the alcoves of gullies formed within the LDM substrate; instead, they represent the alcoves that were formed prior to the LDM emplacement epoch. Additionally, gullied craters that show evidence in the form of arcuate 144 145 ridges at the foot of the walls and VFFs that cover part or the entire crater floor are inferred to have been modified by one or 146 multiple episodes of glaciation (e.g. Arfstrom and Hartmann, 2005; Head et al., 2010; Milliken et al., 2003; Hubbard et al., 147 2011) (Fig. 2c). These craters host gullies that are often partially or fully covered by LDM deposits and are also inferred to 148 incise LDM deposits.

- 149 2. Bedrock: Craters where the features listed in criterion 1 (LDM/glacial deposits) are absent and where rocky material is
- 150 visible extending downwards from the crater rim (Fig. 2d). This rocky material usually outcrops as spurs and can be layered
- 151 or massive. The slopes can be smooth or covered with boulders, with concentrations of boulders at the slope toe.



152

153 Figure 2: Examples of morphological evidence used to identify LDM, glacial deposits, and bedrock. (a) Smooth mantling material 154 inferred as LDM draped on the wall of Talu crater on the basis of polygonal cracks formed in the material. The bigger box is an 155 expanded view of the polygons seen over the region outlined by the smaller box. (HiRISE image ESP 011817 1395). (b) An infilled 156 alcove on the wall of an unnamed crater-2 in Terra Sirenum. Polygons in the infilled material suggests presence of LDM deposits 157 draped on the wall. The region shown in smaller box is expanded in the bigger box to show evidence of the polygons. (HiRISE image 158 ESP 020407 1410). (c) Glaciation inferred in the Corozal crater on the basis of arcuate ridges formed at the foot of the crater wall 159 and small-scale LDAs on the crater floor. Arrows indicate the downslope flow of LDAs on the floor. (HiRISE image 160 PSP 006261 1410). (d) Exposed fractured bedrock identified on the walls of Istok crater within which gully alcoves have incised. 161 The dashed lines show the gully systems that were investigated in this study. (HiRISE image ESP 056668 1345). HiRISE image 162 credit: NASA/JPL /University of Arizona.

163

#### 164 **3.2 Morphometric variables**

The measurements we made of each gully system include alcove area, alcove perimeter, alcove length, alcove width, alcove gradient, fan area, fan length, fan width, and fan gradient (Fig. 3). In total, we derived 18 morphometric variables to characterize each gully fan and its alcove. The morphometric variables are classified into geometry, relief, gradient, and dimensionless variables (e.g. form factor, elongation ratio, and circularity ratio) and they are calculated with established mathematical equations shown in Table 2. For the gradient measurement using the DTM, the topographic profile from (1) crest

170 of the alcove to the apex of the fan was extracted for the alcove, and (2) apex to foot of the fan was extracted for the fan.



171

172 Figure 3: Examples of morphometric variables estimated in this work. Left panel: HiRISE DTM (Id: 173 DTEEC\_002659\_1420\_002514\_1420) based hillshade. HiRISE DTM credit: NASA/JPL /University of Arizona. Right panel:

Topographic profile: blue profile represents the topography of gully alcove from alcove top to fan apex and red profile represents the profile of gully fan from fan apex to fan toe.

176

177

178 Table 2. Set of morphometric variables extracted from the studied gully systems and their formulas and/or description of 179 method.

Morphometric variable	Formula and/or description of method	References
Alcove length and width	Measured in km	Tomczyk, 2021
Alcove area	Measured in km <sup>2</sup>	Tomczyk, 2021
Fan length and width	Measured in km	Tomczyk, 2021
Fan area	Measured in km <sup>2</sup>	Tomczyk, 2021
Melton ratio	(Alcove relief)/(Alcove area <sup>-0.5</sup> )	Melton, 1957
Relative concavity index (RCI)	Concavity Index/(maximum relief between the uppermost and lowermost points along the gully fan profile/2). Concavity Index is estimated as $\sum (H_i^* - H_i)$ / $N_i$ where $H_i^*$ is the elevation along the straight line, $H_i$	Langbein, 1964; Phillips and Lutz, 2008
	is the elevation along the gully fan profile, N is the total number of measurement points.	
Alcove gradient	Measured in (°)	Tomczyk, 2021
Fan gradient	Measured in (°)	Tomczyk, 2021
Alcove relief	Measured in km	Tomczyk, 2021
Fan relief	Measured in km	Tomczyk, 2021
Relief ratio (alcove and fan)	Alcove/fan relief divided by the length of the alcove/fan	Schumm, 1956a, b
Alcove Perimeter	Measured in km	Schumm, 1956a, b
Form factor	Alcove area divided by the square of the length of the alcove	Horton, 1932
Elongation ratio	Diameter of a circle of the same area as the alcove divided by the maximum alcove length	Schumm, 1956a, b
Circularity ratio	Alcove area divided by the area of the circle having the same perimeter as the alcove perimeter	Miller, 1953

180

#### 181 **3.3** Gully system selection for morphometric measurements

We have selected only those gully systems for morphometric measurements in which: (i) the depositional fan from an alcovechannel system is not superimposed by or interfingering with the fans from the neighboring channels, (ii) there is clear association between the primary channel emanating from the alcove that extends downslope and then deposit its respective fan, (iii) no evidence of extensive cross-cutting is seen with the neighboring channels on the walls, (iv) no evidence of extensive mantling by dust/aeolian deposits is apparent, and (v) no evidence of channel/fan superposition on any topographic obstacle on the walls or the floor of the crater is apparent, which may have influenced the morphometry. If in any case the fans

188 superimpose or channels cross-cut, we have carefully demarcated the alcove-channel-fan boundary, to minimize the

189 inaccuracies in the measurements. Note that the selection of the gully systems was also constrained by the coverage of HiRISE

190 DTMs used for morphometric analysis.

#### 191 3.4 Statistical analysis of morphometric variables

192 We have two groups of gullies in our study: (1) gullies whose source areas are incised into LDM/glacial deposits and (2) 193 gullies whose source areas are incised into the bedrock. First, for both the groups we have calculated descriptive statistics for 194 each of the morphometric variables shown in Table 2. The significance of the difference between the values of each of the 195 morphometric variables calculated for each group was tested using a Student's t-test. To apply t-tests, we have transformed 196 the morphometric variables to remove skewness by taking their natural logarithm. Pearson correlation analysis has been used 197 to investigate the correlation between the selected morphometric attributes of gully alcoves and fans. We infer strong positive 198 correlations between variables if the correlation coefficient value is more than 0.7 and strong negative correlations if the value 199 is less than -0.7. Very strong positive correlation between variables is inferred if the correlation coefficient is  $\geq 0.9$ . Further, 200 we used canonical discriminant analysis (CDA) to determine morphometric variables that provide the most discrimination 201 between the groups of gullies. In CDA, functions are generated according to the number of groups, until a number equal to n-202 1 functions is reached (n is the number of groups) (McLachlan, 2005). For the two groups of gullies in our study, there is going 203 to be a function for which there is a standardised canonical discriminant function coefficient associated with the morphometric 204 variable. The higher the magnitude of this coefficient for a particular morphometric variable, the higher the role of that variable 205 in separating the groups of gullies (Conway et al., 2015). Standardisation was done by dividing each value for a given variable 206 by the maximum value.

#### 207 4 Results

#### 208 4.1 Morphology of gully systems

209 Out of the 29 gullied craters analysed in this work, we have found that there are 24 craters influenced by LDM and/or VFFs.

210 The remaining 5 craters have gullies incised into the exposed underlying bedrock on the wall of the crater. Below we describe

211 the substrates identified in the studied craters and then compare the morphology of the gullies formed into those substrates.

4 craters out of 24 craters (i.e. Raga, Roseau, unnamed crater in Newton basin and unnamed crater-1 in Terra Sirenum) have gullies that are only influenced by LDM. In these craters, we have found morphological evidence of LDM in the form of polygonized, smooth textured material on the pole-facing walls of the craters. Morphological evidence of VFF is not evident in these craters. In these craters, the gully-alcoves and gully channels appear to have been incised into the polygonized LDM material, and the gully-fan deposits are mantled. A typical example of this can be found in the unnamed crater formed inside the Newton basin (Fig. 4a). Roseau crater, in particular, contains a large number of gully systems whose alcoves and fans are

- 218 extensively mantled (Fig. 4b). The remaining 20 out of 24 craters contain evidence for gullies that are influenced by both LDM
- and glacial deposits (Table 1). The base of the pole-facing walls and the floor of the craters within which the gully systems
- 220 have formed host linear-to-sinuous arcuate ridges and VFFs, respectively. Typical examples of VFFs can be found in Corozal,
- 221 Talu, unnamed craters in Terra Sirenum and Argyre basin, Langtang, Dechu and Dunkassa craters (Fig. 4c). In majority of the
- 222 gullied craters (except Raga, Roseau and unnamed crater-1 in Terra Sirenum) influenced by LDM and glacial deposits, gully
- 223 alcoves are found to have a distinctive V-shaped cross section in their mid-section (Figures 4d and 4e), they do not extend up

224 to the crater rim, and gully systems often show multiple episodes of activity, inferred by the presence of fresh channel incision

on the gully-fan surfaces (Fig. 4d-e).

Istok, Galap, Gasa, Los, and an unnamed crater in the Terra Sirenum contain gully systems on the pole-facing walls that are not associated with LDM and VFFs (Table 1). The gully alcoves inside these craters have a crenulated shape and appear to have formed by headward erosion into the bedrock of the crater rim (Fig. 4f). These craters have formed large gully systems on their pole-facing walls, with brecciated alcoves, comprising of multiple sub-alcoves and hosting many clasts/boulders (Fig. 4f).





Figure 4: (a) LDM draped on the wall of an unnamed crater in the Newton basin. The inset shows details of the polygonal texture of the LDM. (HiRISE image PSP\_002686\_1410). (b) Infilled gully alcoves (arrows) and mantled fan surfaces (marked by letter 'f') on the wall of Roseau crater. (HiRISE image ESP\_024115\_1380). (c) Arcuate ridges at the foot of the crater wall and small-scale LDAs on the floor in Langtang crater. (HiRISE image ESP\_030099\_1415). (d) V-shaped incisions on the LDM draped walls of Taltal (HiRISE image ESP\_037074\_1400) and (e) Langtang crater (HiRISE image ESP\_030099\_1415). Note the topographic profile (A-A`) that illustrates V-shaped incision of the gully channel. (f) Gully alcoves formed in Los crater by headward erosion into the crater rim. Individual gully alcoves formed in bedrock have multiple sub-alcoves. (HiRISE image ESP\_020774\_1445).

255

#### 256 4.2 Morphometry of gully systems

- 257 Based on the criteria summarized in section 3.3, we have studied 167 gullies across 29 craters for calculation of morphometric
- variables. 130 gullies are formed within LDM/glacial deposits, and 37 gullies are formed within the bedrock. The results of
- 259 morphometric calculations are summarized for visual comparison as a boxplot (Fig. 5).
- 260 The results of the Student's t-test indicates that all of the morphometric variables in Table 2, except fan width, fan area, Melton
- 261 ratio, form factor, elongation ratio, and circularity ratio, differ significantly between LDM/glacial deposits and bedrock (Fig.
- 262 5). Compared to the mean gradient of gully-fans formed in LDM/glacial deposits, bedrock gully-fans are steeper and possess
- 263 a higher relief ratio. The interquartile range of length, relief, and perimeter of gully alcoves formed in bedrock are also higher
- than the interquartile range of similar variables in LDM/glacial deposits, but the gully alcoves in LDM/glacial deposits possess
- 265 much higher values of length, relief, and perimeter (Fig. 5).

266



Figure 5: The boxplot presented here shows interquartile range, central horizontal bar shows median, and whiskers show range of values of alcove/fan geometry, relief, gradient, and dimensionless variables of gullies incised into LDM/glacial deposits (pink) and bedrock (green). P-values on the plots represent the results of the student's t-tests for testing the significance of difference in means of the morphometric variables between gully systems formed on LDM/Glacial deposits and bedrock. P-values in blue correspond to significant difference (with respect to a p-value of 0.05) and those in red are non-significant.

- 300
- 301 Pearson correlations between morphometric attributes of gully alcoves and fans formed in bedrock and LDM/glacial deposits
- 302 are summarized in Fig. 6. For bedrock, there are strong positive correlations between 12 pairs of morphometric variables and
- 303 strong negative correlations between 3 pairs of morphometric variables. For LDM/glacial deposits, there are strong positive
- 304 correlations between 18 pairs of morphometric variables and strong negative correlations between 3 pairs of morphometric
- 305 variables. Very strong positive correlations (>0.9) are found between 9 pairs of morphometric variables for bedrock and
- 306 between 4 pairs of morphometric variables for LDM/glacial deposits.



Figure 6: Pearson correlations between morphometric attributes of gully alcoves and fans formed in (a) bedrock and (b) LDM/glacial deposits. Values approaching either 1 or -1 have stronger correlations. Zero indicates no correlation.

The canonical discriminant analysis reveals that the following morphometric variables best distinguish between the gully systems formed in LDM/glacial deposits and bedrock, in descending order of importance: alcove perimeter, alcove relief, fan gradient, fan relief, fan length, relief ratio (alcove), alcove width, relief ratio (fan), alcove gradient, alcove area, alcove length, and relative concavity index (Table 3). The alcove perimeter is most important in discriminating among the gully systems formed within LDM/glacial deposits and bedrock, and the next two most important variables are alcove relief and fan gradient. Alcove relief and fan gradient have 4/5 and 1/3 the weight of alcove perimeter, respectively. Here, the weight values indicate

- 338 the discriminator power in separating between the gullies formed in LDM/glacial deposits and bedrock. The remaining
- 339 variables such as fan relief, fan length, relief ratio (alcove), alcove width, and relief ratio (fan) have nearly 1/5 or greater (but
- 340 less than 1/3) of the weight of alcove perimeter discriminatory power in separating between the gullies formed in LDM/glacial
- 341 deposits and bedrock. The variables with the smallest magnitude, alcove gradient, alcove area, alcove length and relative
- 342 concavity index, have less than 1/10 the weight of the most important variable in separating the gully systems.
- 343 Table 3. Standardised canonical discriminant function coefficients (F1) that best separate gully systems formed on
- 344 LDM/Glacial deposits and bedrock.

Variable	F1
Alcove Perimeter	3.552
Alcove relief	-2.828
Fan gradient	1.278
Fan length	-1.06
Fan relief	1.06
Relief ratio (alcove)	0.971
Alcove width	-0.692
Relief ratio (fan)	-0.665
Alcove gradient	-0.331
Alcove area	-0.319
Alcove length	0.23
Relative concavity index	-0.182

#### 346 **5 Discussion**

#### 347 5.1 Unique morphology and morphometry of gully systems in different substrates

We have found that the gully systems formed in LDM/glacial deposits and bedrock can, using discriminatory analysis, be distinguished from one another in terms of perimeter and relief of gully alcoves (Table 3). Additionally, we have found statistically significant difference between the perimeters and reliefs of gully alcoves formed in LDM/glacial deposits and bedrock (Fig. 5). It is likely that these differences in the perimeters and reliefs of gully alcoves formed within morphologically distinct substrates could be due to the integral nature of the surface material within which the gully alcoves have formed. In other words, it is possible that the differences in the physical properties of the sediments (namely grain size, compactness etc.) within which gully alcoves have formed played a key role in erosion of the substrate leading to differences in their

355 morphometric variables. Below we elaborate on the uniqueness of the substrates within which gully alcoves have formed, and

356 discuss further the relationships between the morphometric variables of the morphologically distinct gully systems.

357 On Mars, VFFs contain high purity glacial ice with a debris cover (Sharp, 1973; Squyres, 1978, 1979; Squyres and Carr, 1986; 358 Holt et al 2008, Plaut et al 2009, Petersen et al. 2018). Their surfaces have been interpreted to comprise of finer, reworked 359 debris derived from sublimation of the underlying ice (Baker et al., 2010; Plaut et al., 2009). The smooth, meters thick draping unit on the walls of formerly glaciated craters has been suggested to be derived from the atmosphere as a layer of dust-rich ice 360 primarily constituting of fine-grained materials (Kreslavsky and Head, 2000; Mustard et al., 2001). The fine-grained materials 361 362 are loosely-packed, unconsolidated materials exhibiting low thermal inertia values (Mellon et al., 2000; Putzig et al., 2005). Typically, gullies formed within this substrate display a smooth surface texture, wherein, evidence of individual clasts or 363 meter-scale boulders is not resolvable in HiRISE images, substantiating the dominant component of fine-grained materials 364 365 within the LDM (e.g., Levy et al., 2010; de Haas et al., 2015a). Additionally, it has been found that gully alcoves incised into 366 the LDM always have a distinctive V-shaped cross section in their mid-section (Figures 4d and 4e), which when compared 367 with similar-scaled systems on Earth also corresponds to the presence of loose sediments constituting the LDM (Conway et 368 al., 2018). The gully alcoves with V-shaped cross sections are found to be elongated, likely indicating incision within ice-rich 369 unlithified sediments (Aston et al., 2011). In the studied craters, we have found that gullies incised into LDM/glacial deposits 370 do have an elongated, V-shaped cross section in their mid-sections (Fig. 4). We propose that the presence of fine-grained, 371 loosely packed, unconsolidated materials within LDM/glacial deposits has facilitated formation of elongated gully alcoves 372 with perimeters and reliefs relatively higher than that of gully alcoves formed in coarse-grained bedrock substrate. This is 373 consistent with the previous studies suggesting that gullies eroding into LDM/glacial deposits have elongated catchments 374 (Aston et al., 2011), whereas gullies eroding into the bedrock have more amphitheater-shaped catchments (Levy et al., 2009b). 375 For this reason, the estimated length of gully alcoves formed in LDM/glacial deposits is found to be relative higher than that 376 of gully alcoves formed in bedrock (Fig. 5). Furthermore, statistical analysis has revealed a significant difference between the 377 length of gully alcoves formed in LDM/glacial deposits and bedrock (Fig. 5). Additionally, the presence of finer-grained 378 sediments in LDM/glacial deposits is the likely cause of the V-shape of the incision of gully alcoves investigated in this study 379 (Aston et al., 2011). On Earth, V-shaped incisions through glacial ice-rich moraines have been observed to have occurred 380 during the paraglacial phase of glacial retreat (Bennett et al., 2000; Ewertowski and Tomczyk, 2015) (Fig. 7). The paraglacial 381 phase refers to a terrestrial post-glacial period that represents the response of changing environment to deglaciation (Bennett 382 et al., 2000; Ewertowski and Tomczyk, 2015).

383









The next most important difference between these two types of gullies is the mean gradient of gully fans. At the foot of the fans, mean gradient of the fans influenced by LDM/glacial deposits is  $<15^{\circ}$  for 61% of the studied fans. For bedrock, 84% of the studied fans have a mean gradient  $>15^{\circ}$  at the foot of the fans. Hence, gully-fans formed in bedrock are emplaced at a relatively steeper gradient than the fans formed from gullies in LDM/glacial deposits. We propose that the nature of the material mobilized can explain this difference, with the finer-grained sediments characteristic of the LDM/glacial type gullies being easier to mobilise and being entrained to lower slope angles, than the coarser sediments found within the bedrock type gullies.

#### 396 5.2 Evaluation of the gully formation process

- On Earth, alcove-fan systems can roughly be subdivided in flood-dominated, debris-flow dominated, and colluvial systems.
  Following the terminology of De Haas et al., (2015b) and Tomczyk (2021), we define these systems as follows:
  - 23

1) Flood-dominated systems: These are systems dominated by fluid-gravity flows, i.e., water floods, hyperconcentrated floods,

400 and debris floods. The fans of such systems are commonly referred to as fluvial or alluvial fans (e.g., Ryder, 1971; Blair and

401 McPherson, 1994; Hartley et al., 2005).

2) Debris-flow dominated systems: These are systems dominated by sediment-gravity flows, i.e., debris flows, mud flows.
Irrespective of their radial extent and depositional gradients, the fans aggraded by these systems can be commonly called

404 debris-flow fans or debris fans (Blikra and Nemec, 1998; de Scally et al., 2010).

405 3) Colluvial systems: These are systems dominated by rock-gravity and sediment-gravity flows, with their dominant activity

406 relating to rockfalls, grain flows, and snow avalanches (in periglacial and alpine settings). Debris flows typically constitute

407 only a relatively minor component of geomorphic processes in such systems. The fans of these systems are also commonly

- 408 known as colluvial cones or talus cones (Siewert et al., 2012; De Haas et al., 2015b).
- 409 Although these systems may be dominated by one type of geomorphic process, it is important to stress that other processes
- 410 may also occur. For example, on Earth water floods are not uncommon on many debris-flow dominated systems, while debris-
- 411 flow deposits are commonly recognized on colluvial cones.
- 412

413

414





Figure 8: Comparison of combinations of Melton ratio with Alcove length and Fan gradient. The probability heat maps are based on previously published data – see text for references. The Martian gully systems formed in LDM/glacial deposits and bedrock are found to be in the debris-flow regime on Earth. The gray area shows the realm of the colluvial, debris-flow, and fluvial fans together.

422 To compare the morphometric characteristics of the Martian gully systems to terrestrial systems, we have compiled 423 morphometric data of gully alcoves and fans across several continents, mountain ranges, climate zones, and process types on 424 Earth. This dataset includes published data from the Himalayas, Ladakh, India (Stolle et al., 2013), the tropical Andes, 425 Columbia (Arango et al., 2021), Spitsbergen, Svalbard (Tomczyk, 2021), British Columbia, Canada (Kostaschuk, 1986; 426 Jackson et al., 1987; and newly presented data), the southern Carpathians, Romania (Ilinca et al., 2021), the Southern Alps, 427 New Zealand (De Scally and Owens, 2004; De Scally et al., 2010), the North Cascade Foothills, USA, the European Alps 428 (including Switzerland, Italy, France, and Austria), and the Pyrenees (from multiple authors compiled by Bertrand et al., 2013). 429 The dataset comprises information from colluvial, debris-flow, and flood (also including debris flood) dominated systems. In

430 total, it contains 231 colluvial systems, 749 debris-flow dominated systems, and 369 flood-dominated systems. In total, data 431 were compiled for 1349 systems, although not all information was available for all systems, with data availability ranging from 432 729 sites for alcove length to all 1349 systems for Melton index and process type. Based on this data we have made a heatmap 433 of the probability of flood, debris-flow, or colluvially-dominated conditions for combinations of Melton ratio with alcove length and fan gradient, to which we compare the Martian gullies (Fig. 8). We have specifically chosen the combinations of 434 435 Melton ratio with alcove length and fan gradient to infer the Martian gully formative mechanism because they have been 436 widely used in discriminating terrestrial drainage basins and fans prone to flooding from those subject to debris flows, debris 437 floods and floods (e.g. De Scally and Owens, 2004; Wilford et al., 2004). We have found that the Martian gullies are indeed 438 in the debris-flow regime on Earth. Moreover, they are closer to the transition to the smaller and steeper colluvial cones than 439 to transition to flood-dominated fans. As expected, bedrock systems in Fig. 8d-e are closer to the colluvial systems than the 440 LDM systems.

441

442 According to the previous reports of debris-flow like deposits found in Martian gullies (e.g. Johnsson et al., 2014; Sinha et al., 443 2019, 2020), the morphological attributes of debris-flow like deposits typically include overlapping tongue-shaped lobes with 444 embedded clasts, channels with medial deposits, and channels with clearly defined lateral levees. Although it is still not clear 445 whether the formation of these deposits in gullies are from sublimation of  $CO_2$  ice or due to meltwater generation. De Haas et 446 al., (2019b) showed that CO<sub>2</sub> sublimation may lead to flow fluidization on Mars in a manner similar to fluidization by water 447 in terrestrial debris flows; a concept supported by the recent finding of lobate deposits and boulder-rich levee formation during 448 the present-day in Istok crater (Table 1) (Dundas et al., 2019). The formation of these morphologically similar deposits during 449 the present-day is attributed to sublimating CO<sub>2</sub> frost, which likely produces the necessary fluidization likely by gas generated 450 from entrained CO<sub>2</sub> frost (Dundas et al., 2019). On the basis of these recent reports (De Haas et al., 2019b; Dundas et al., 2019) 451 and based on our own findings in this study, we argue that a debris-flow like process similar to those operated in the terrestrial 452 gully systems has likely dominated the flow types that lead to gully formation on Mars. Present-day sublimation of  $CO_2$  ice 453 on Mars may have provided the necessary flow fluidization for the emplacement of deposits similar to terrestrial debris-flow 454 like deposits (De Haas et al., 2019b).

#### 455 6 Conclusions

This paper compares morphological and morphometric characteristics of gully alcoves and associated fans formed in LDM/glacial deposits and bedrock over walls of 29 craters between 30° S and 75° S latitudes on Mars. 5 craters out of 29 have alcoves-fans formed within the bedrock and remaining 24 craters have alcoves-fans formed within LDM/glacial deposits. From our analysis of 167 gullies, we posit that gully systems formed in LDM/glacial deposits and bedrock differ from one another using the following lines of evidence: Gully alcoves formed in LDM/glacial deposits are more elongated than the gully alcoves formed in bedrock, and possess a
 distinctive V-shaped cross section.

463 • The mean gradient of gully-fans formed in bedrock is steeper than the mean gradient of fans formed from gullies in
 464 LDM/glacial deposits.

The morphological distinction reported between gullies formed in the bedrock and LDM/glacial deposits signifies that Martian gullies may have multiple formative environments. We infer that the presence of mantling material could be one of the key factors in constraining the mechanisms forming Martian gully systems and that presence of LDM would promote formation of elongated gully alcoves with perimeters and reliefs relatively higher than that of gully alcoves formed in coarse-grained bedrock substrate.

- 470 Based on the combinations of Melton ratio with alcove length and fan gradient, we suggest that the gully systems studied in 471 this work were likely dominated by terrestrial debris-flow like processes during their formation. This is consistent with the
- 472 findings reported in previous studies that showed evidence of formation of deposits morphologically similar to terrestrial
- 473 debris-flow like deposits, both in the past and during the present-day (e.g., Johnsson et al., 2014; Dundas et al., 2019). The
- 474 present-day sublimation of CO<sub>2</sub> ice on Mars is envisaged to provide the necessary flow fluidization for the emplacement of
- 475 deposits similar to debris-flow like deposits on Earth (De Haas et al., 2019b).

#### 476 **7 Author contribution**

RKS, TDH and SJC conceptualized this work. The methodology was developed by RKS, TDH and SJC. Data curation and
formal analyses were performed by RKS. TDH and AN also contributed in collection of datasets used in this work. RKS, DR,

- 479 TDH and SJC contributed to the interpretation of the data and results. RKS wrote the original draft of this paper, which was
- 480 reviewed and edited by all authors.

#### 481 8 Conflict of interest

482 SJC is a Guest Editor of this special issue (Planetary landscapes, landforms, and their analogues) of ESurf and on the editorial

board for ESurf. The peer-review process was guided by an independent editor, and the authors have also no other competinginterests to declare.

#### 485 9 Acknowledgements

486 We are grateful and thank both the anonymous reviewers for thorough assessment of our manuscript and for providing us

487 constructive comments and suggestions. Thanks to the Editor (Heather Viles) and Associate Editor (Frances E. G. Butcher) at

488 Earth Surface Dynamics for the editorial handling of the manuscript. We would like to thank the HiRISE team for their work

to produce the images and digital elevation models used in this study, it would have been impossible without them. RKS and

- 490 DR acknowledge the financial support by the Indian Space Research Organisation, Department of Space, Government of India. 491 SJC and AN are grateful for the financial support from Région Pays de la Loire, project étoiles montantes METAFLOWS 492 (convention N° 2019-14294) and also the financial support of CNES in support of their HiRISE work. TdH was supported by 493 the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) (grant 016.Veni.192.001). We acknowledge the efforts of team 494 MUTED to develop an online tool (http://muted.wwu.de/) for quick identification of the spatial and multi-temporal coverage 495 of planetary image data from Mars. All the planetary datasets used in this work are available for free download at the PDS 496 Geosciences Node Mars Orbital Data Explorer (ODE) (https://ode.rsl.wustl.edu/mars/) and https://www.uahirise.org/. The 497 newly-generated DTMs can be downloaded from https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Self generated DEMs/21717164. 498 The datasets be downloaded from measurement can 499 https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Measurement data of gully systems in the southern mid latitudes of Mars/ 500 21717182. This work is a part of the PhD work of Rishitosh K. Sinha. Director PRL, Head of Planetary Science Division, 501 PRL, Head of Planetary Remote Sensing Section, PRL, and Director IIT Gandhinagar are gratefully acknowledged for constant
- 502 encouragement during the work.

#### 503 References

Arango, M. I., Aristizábal, E., & Gómez, F.: Morphometrical analysis of torrential flows-prone catchments in tropical and mountainous terrain of the Colombian Andes by machine learning techniques, Natural Hazards, 105(1), 983-1012, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-04346-5, 2021.

- 507 Arfstrom, J. & Hartmann, W.K.: Martian flow features, moraine-like ridges, and gullies: terrestrial analogs and 508 interrelationships, Icarus, 174, 321–335, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2004.05.026, 2005.
- 509 Aston, A., Conway, S. & Balme, M.: Identifying Martian Gully Evolution. In: Balme, M.R., Bargery, A.S., Gallagher, C.J. &
- 510 Gupta, S. (eds) Martian Geomorphology, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 356, 151–169, doi: 511 https://doi.org/10.1144/SP356.9, 2011.
- Baker, D. M. H, James W. H., and David R. M.: Flow patterns of lobate debris aprons and lineated valley fill north of Ismeniae
  Fossae, Mars: Evidence for extensive mid-latitude glaciation in the Late Amazonian, Icarus 207, 186-209, 2010, doi:
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2009.11.017.
- 515 Balme, M., Mangold, N. Et Al.: Orientation and distribution of recent gullies in the southern hemisphere of Mars: observations
- 516 from High Resolution Stereo Camera/Mars Express (HRSC/MEX) and Mars Orbiter Camera/Mars Global Surveyor
- 517 (MOC/MGS) data, J. Geophys. Res.: Planets, 111, E05001, doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JE002607, 2006.
- 518 Bertrand, M., Liébault, F., & Piégay, H.: Debris-flow susceptibility of upland catchments, Natural Hazards, 67(2), 497-511,
- 519 doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-013-0575-4, 2013.
- 28

520 Blair, T.C. & Mcpherson, J.G.: Processes and forms of alluvial fans. In: PARSONS, A. & ABRAHAMS, A. (eds)

521 Geomorphology of Desert Environments, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 413–467, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-

522 4020-5719-9 14, 2009.

- Blair, T.C.: Sedimentology of the debris-flow-dominated Warm Spring Canyon alluvial fan, Death Valley, California,
  Sedimentology 46 (5), 941–965, doi: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3091.1999.00260.x, 1999.
- 525 Blikra, L.H., Nemec, W.: Postglacial colluvium in western Norway: depositional processes, facies and palaeoclimatic record.
- 526 Sedimentology 45 (5), 909–960, doi: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3091.1998.00200.x, 1998.
- 527 Cedillo-Flores, Y., Treiman, A.H., Lasue, J. & Clifford, S.M.: CO2 gas fluidization in the initiation and formation of Martian
- 528 polar gullies, Geophys. Res. Letters, 38, L21202 doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL049403, 2011.
- 529 Christensen, P.R.: Formation of recent Martian gullies through melting of extensive water-rich snow deposits, Nature, 422,
  530 45–48, doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01436, 2003.
- 531 Conway, S. J., Butcher, F. E., de Haas, T., Deijns, A. A., Grindrod, P. M., & Davis, J. M.: Glacial and gully erosion on Mars:
- 532 A terrestrial perspective, Geomorphology, 318, 26-57, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2018.05.019, 2018.
- Conway, S.J. & Balme, M.R.: Decameter thick remnant glacial ice deposits on Mars, Geophys. Res. Letters, 41, 5402–5409,
  doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL060314, 2014.
- 535 Conway, S.J., Balme, M.R., Kreslavsky, M.A., Murray, J.B. & Towner, M.C.: The comparison of topographic long profiles 536 of gullies on Earth to gullies on Mars: a signal of water on Mars. Icarus, 253, 189–204, doi: 537 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2015.03.009, 2015.
- 538 Conway, S.J., Balme, M.R., Murray, J.B., Towner, M.C., Okubo, C.H. & Grindrod, P.M.: The indication of Martian gully
- 539 formation processes by slope-area analysis, In: Balme, M.R., Bargery, A.S., Gallagher, C.J. & Gupta, S. (eds) Martian
- 540 Geomorphology, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 356, 171–201, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1144/SP356.10</u>,
  541 2011.
- 542 Conway, S.J., Harrison, T.N., Soare, R.J., Britton, A.W. & Steele, L.J.: New slope-normalized global gully density and orientation maps for Mars, In: Conway, S.J., Carrivick, J.L., Carling, P.A., De Haas, T. & Harrison, T.N. (eds) Martian Gullies and their Earth Analogues, Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ. 467. First published online November 27, 2017, doi: https://doi.org/10.1144/SP467.3, 2017.
- 546 Costard, F., Forget, F., Mangold, N. & Peulvast, J.P.: Formation of recent Martian debris flows by melting of near-surface
- 547 ground ice at high obliquity, Science, 295, 110–113, doi: <u>10.1126/science.1066</u>, 2002.

- 548 Crosta, G.B., Frattini, P.: Controls on modern alluvial fan processes in the central Alps, northern Italy, Earth Surf. Proc. Land.
- 549 29 (3), 267–293, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1009</u>, 2004.
- 550 de Haas, T., Conway, S.J., Butcher, F.E.G., Levy, J.S., Grindrod, P.M., Balme, M.R., Goudge, T.A.: Time will tell: temporal
- 551 evolution of Martian gullies and paleoclimatic implications, Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ. 467, doi: 552 https://doi.org/10.1144/SP467.1, 2019a.
- de Haas, T., McArdell, B. W., Conway, S. J., McElwaine, J. N., Kleinhans, M. G., Salese, F., & Grindrod, P. M.: Initiation and flow conditions of contemporary flows in Martian gullies, J. Geophys. Res.: Planets, 124(8), 2246-2271, doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JE005899, 2019b.
- 556 de Haas, T., Hauber, E. & Kleinhans, M.G. 2013. Local late Amazonian boulder breakdown and denudation rate on Mars,
- 557 Geophys. Res. Letters, 40, 3527–3531, doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50726, 2013.
- de Haas, T., Ventra, D., Hauber, E., Conway, S.J. & Kleinhans, M.G.: Sedimentological analyses of Martian gullies: the subsurface as the key to the surface, Icarus, 258, 92–108, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2015.06.017, 2015a.
- 560 de Haas, T., Kleinhans, M. G., Carbonneau, P. E., Rubensdotter, L., & Hauber, E.: Surface morphology of fans in the high-
- 561 Arctic periglacial environment of Svalbard: Controls and processes, Earth-Science Reviews, 146, 163-182, doi: 562 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.04.004, 2015b.
- 563 de Scally, F. A., & Owens, I. F.: Morphometric controls and geomorphic responses on fans in the Southern Alps, New Zealand,
- Earth Surface Processes and Landforms: The Journal of the British Geomorphological Research Group, 29(3), 311-322, doi:
  https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1022, 2004.
- 566 De Scally, F.A., Owens, I.F., Louis, J.: Controls on fan depositional processes in the schist ranges of the Southern Alps, New
- 567 Zealand, and implications for debris-flow hazard assessment, Geomorphology 122 (1–2), 99–116, doi: 568 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.06.002">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.06.002</a>, 2010.
- Dickson, J.L. & Head, J.W.: The formation and evolution of youthful gullies on Mars: gullies as the latestage phase of Mars
  most recent ice age, Icarus, 204, 63–86, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2009.06.018, 2009.
- 571 Dickson, J.L. et al.: Recent climate cycles on Mars: Stratigraphic relationships between multiple generations of gullies and the
- 572 latitude dependent mantle, Icarus 252, 83–94, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2014.12.035, 2015.
- 573 Dickson, J.L., Head, J.W., Fassett, C.I.: Patterns of accumulation and flow of ice in the mid-latitudes of Mars during the
- 574 Amazonian, Icarus 219, 723–732, doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2012.03.010</u>, 2012.

- 575 Dickson, J.L., Head, J.W., Kreslavsky, M.: Martian gullies in the southern midlatitudes of Mars: Evidence for climate-576 controlled formation of young fluvial features based upon local and global topography, Icarus 188, 315–323, doi: 577 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2006.11.020, 2007.
- 578 Dundas, C. M., McEwen, A. S., Diniega, S., Hansen, C. J., Byrne, S., & McElwaine, J. N.: The formation of gullies on Mars
- 579 today, Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ. 467, 67-94, doi: https://doi.org/10.1144/SP46, 2019.
- 580 Dundas, C.M., Diniega, S., Hansen, C.J., Byrne, S., McEwen, A.S.: Seasonal activity and morphological changes in martian
- 581 gullies, Icarus 220:124–143, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2012.04.005</u>, 2012.
- 582 Dundas, C.M., Diniega, S., McEwen, A.S.: Long-term monitoring of Martian gully formation and evolution with 583 MRO/HiRISE, Icarus 251:244–263, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2014.05.013, 2015.
- Hargitai, H. (2014). Viscous Flow Features (Mars). In: Encyclopedia of Planetary Landforms. Springer, New York, NY.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-9213-9 596-1
- 586 Harrison, T.N., Osinski, G.R., Tornabene, L.L., Jones, E.: Global documentation of gullies with the Mars reconnaissance 587 orbiter implications for their formation, 252:236-254, context camera and Icarus doi: 588 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2015.01.022, 2015.
- 589 Hartley, A.J., Mather, A.E., Jolley, E., Turner, P.: Climatic controls on alluvial-fan activity, Coastal Cordillera, northern Chile.
- 590 In: Harvey, A.M., Mather, A.E., Stokes, M. (Eds.), Alluvial Fans: Geomorphology, Sedimentology, Dynamics. Geol. Soc.
- 591 Lond. Spec. Publ. 251, 95-115, doi: https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2005.251.01., 2005.
- 592 Head, J.W., Marchant, D.R., Dickson, J.L., Kress, A.M., Baker, D.M.: Northern midlatitude glaciation in the Late Amazonian
- 593 period of Mars: criteria for the recognition of debris-covered glacier and valley glacier landsystem deposits, Earth Planet. Sci.
- 594 Lett. 294:306–320, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.06.041, 2010.
- HELDMANN, J.L. & MELLON, M.T.: Observations of Martian gullies and constraints on potential formation mechanisms,
  Icarus, 168, 285–304, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2003.11.024, 2004.
- Heldmann, J.L. et al.: Formation of martian gullies by the action of liquid water flowing under current martian environmental
   conditions, J. Geophys. Res. Planets 110, doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004JE002261</u>, 2005.
- 599 Hobbs, S.W., Paull, D.J., Clark, J.D.A.: A comparison of semiarid and subhumid terrestrial gullies with gullies on Mars:
- Implications for martian gully erosion, Geomorphology 204, 344–365, doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.08.018</u>,
  2014.
- 602 Hobbs, S.W., Paull, D.J. and Clarke, J.D.A.: Analysis of regional gullies within Noachis Terra, Mars: A complex relationship
- between slope, surface material and aspect, Icarus, 250, 308-331, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2014.12.011, 2015.
  - 31

604 Hubbard, B., Milliken, R.E., Kargel, J.S., Limaye, A. & Souness, C.: Geomorphological characterisation and interpretation of

a mid-latitude glacier-like form: Hellas Planitia, Mars, Icarus, 211, 330–346, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2010.10.021,
2011.

- 607 Ilinca, V.: Using morphometrics to distinguish between debris flow, debris flood and flood (Southern Carpathians, Romania),
- 608 Catena, 197, 104982, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.104982, 2021.
- 609 Jackson LE, Kostaschuk RA, MacDonald GM: Identification of debris flow hazard on alluvial fans in the Canadian Rocky
- 610 Mountains, In: Costa JE, Wieczorek GF (eds) Debris flows/avalanches: process, recognition, and mitigation. Rev Eng Geol
- 611 vol. VII. Geol. Soc. Am, doi: https://doi.org/10.1130/REG7-p115, 1987.
- 612 Johnsson, A. et al.: Evidence for very recent melt-water and debris flow activity in gullies in a young mid-latitude crater on
- 613 Mars, Icarus 235, 37–54, doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2014.03.005</u>, 2014.
- 614 Kirk, R.L., Howington-Kraus, E., Rosiek, M.R., Anderson, J.A., Archinal, B.A., Becker, K.J., Cook, D.A., Galuszka, D.M.,
- 615 Geissler, P.E., Hare, T.M., Holmberg, I.M., Keszthelyi, L.P., Redding, B.L., Delamere, W.A., Gallagher, D., Chapel, J.D.,
- 616 Eliason, E.M., King, R., McEwen, A.S.: Ultrahigh resolution topographic mapping of Mars with MRO HiRISE stereo images:
- 617 meter-scale slopes of candidate Phoenix landing sites, J. Geophys. Res. Planets 113, doi:
- 618 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JE003000</u>, 2008.
- 619 Kostaschuk, R.A., Macdonald, G.M., Putnam, P.E.: Depositional process and alluvial fan-drainage basin morphometric
- 620 relationships near banff, Alberta, Canada, Earth Surf. Proc. Land. 11 (5), 471-484, doi:
- 621 https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3290110502, 1986.
- Kreslavsky, M.A.: Slope steepness of channels and aprons: Implications for origin of martian gullies. Workshop Martian
  Gullies, Workshop on Martian Gullies 2008. Abs.#1301, 2008.
- Kreslavsky, M.A., Head, J.W.: Mars: nature and evolution of young latitudedependent water-ice-rich mantle, Geophys. Res.
  Lett. 29, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL015392</u>, 2002.
- Langbein, W. B.: Profiles of rivers of uniform discharge, U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap., 501-B, 119–122, doi:
  https://doi.org/10.1086/627653, 1964.
- 628 Lanza, N. L., Meyer, G. A., Okubo, C. H., Newsom, H. E., & Wiens, R. C.: Evidence for debris flow gully formation initiated
- by shallow subsurface water on Mars, Icarus, 205(1), 103-112, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2009.04.014, 2010.
- 630 Levy, J.S. et al.: Identification of gully debris flow deposits in Protonilus Mensae, Mars: Characterization of a water-bearing,
- 631 energetic gully-forming process, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. Mars Express after 6 Years in Orbit: Mars Geology from Three-
- 632 Dimensional Mapping by the High Resolution Stereo Camera (HRSC) Experiment 294, 368–377, doi:
  633 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2009.08.002, 2010b.
  - 32

- Levy, J.S., Head, J., Marchant, D.: Thermal contraction crack polygons on Mars: classification, distribution, and climate
  implications from HiRISE observations, J. Geophys.Res. Planets 114, 01007, doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JE003273,
  2009a.
- 637 Levy, J. S., Head, J. W., Marchant, D. R., Dickson, J. L., & Morgan, G. A.: Geologically recent gully–polygon relationships
  638 on Mars: Insights from the Antarctic Dry Valleys on the roles of permafrost, microclimates, and water sources for surface
- 639 flow, Icarus, 201(1), 113-126, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2008.12.043, 2009b.
- 640 Levy, J.S., Head, J.W., Marchant, D.R.: Gullies, polygons and mantles in Martian permafrost environments: cold desert
- 641 landforms and sedimentary processes during recent Martian geological history, Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ. 354, 167–182,
- 642 doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1144/SP354.10</u>, 2011.
- Malin, M.C., Edgett, K.S.: Evidence for recent groundwater seepage and surface runoff on Mars. Science 288:2330–2335, doi:
  https://doi.org/10.1126/science.288.5475.2330, 2000.
- 645 Mcewen, A.S., Eliason, E.M. et al.: Mars reconnaissance orbiter's High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment (HiRISE), J.
- 646 Geophys. Res.: Planets, 112, E05S02, doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JE002605, 2007.
- 647 McLachlan, G. J.: Discriminant analysis and statistical pattern recognition, John Wiley & Sons, 2005.
- 648 Melton, M.A.: An analysis of the relation among elements of climate, surface properties and geomorphology, Office of Nav.
- 649 Res. Dept. Geol. Columbia Univ, NY. Tech. Rep. 11, 1975.
- 650 Milliken, R.E., Mustard, J.F., Goldsby, D.L.: Viscous flow features on the surface of Mars: observations from high-resolution
- 651 Mars Orbiter Camera (MOC) images, J. Geophys. Res. 108, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JE002005</u>, 2003.
- Mustard, J.F., Cooper, C.D., Rifkin, M.K.: Evidence for recent climate change on Mars from the identification of youthful
   near-surface ground ice, Nature 412:411–414, doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/35086515, 2001.
- Phillips, J.D., Lutz, J.D.: Profile convexities in bedrock and alluvial streams, Geomorphology 102, 554–566, doi:
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.05.042, 2008.
- Pilorget, C. & Forget: Formation of gullies on mars by debris flows triggered by CO2 sublimation, Nature Geoscience, 9, 65–
  657 69, doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2619, 2016.
- 658 Plaut, Jeffrey J., Ali Safaeinili, John W. Holt, Roger J. Phillips, James W. Head III, Roberto Seu, Nathaniel E. Putzig, and
- 659 Alessandro Frigeri: Radar evidence for ice in lobate debris aprons in the mid-northern latitudes of Mars, Geophysical research
- 660 letters 36, no. 2, doi: https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL036379.
- Reiss, D. et al.: Absolute dune ages and implications for the time of formation of gullies in Nirgal Vallis, Mars. J. Geophys.
- 662 Res.-Planets 109, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004JE002251, 2004.
  - 33

- 663 Reiss, D., Hauber, E. et al.: Terrestrial gullies and debris-flow tracks on Svalbard as planetary analogs for Mars, In: Garry,
- 664 W.B. & Bleacher, J.E. (eds) Analogs for Planetary Exploration, Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Papers 483, 165–175, doi: 665 https://doi.org/10.1130/2011.2483(11), 2011.
- 666 Rodine, J.D., Johnson, A.M.: The ability of debris, heavily freighted with coarse clastic materials, to flow on gentle slopes,
- 667 Sedimentology 23, 213–234, doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.1976.tb00047.x, 1976.
- 668 Ryder, J.: Some aspects of the morphometry of paraglacial alluvial fans in South-central British Columbia, Canadian Journal
- 669 of Earth Sciences 8: 1252-1264, doi: https://doi.org/10.1139/e71-11, 1971.
- 670 Schon, S.C., Head, J.W., Fassett, C.I.: Unique chronostratigraphic marker in depositional fan stratigraphy on Mars: Evidence
- for ca. 1.25 Ma gully activity and surficial meltwater origin, Geology 37, 207–210, doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/g25398a.1</u>,
  2009.
- 673 Siewert, M. B., Krautblatter, M., Christiansen, H. H., & Eckerstorfer, M.: Arctic rockwall retreat rates estimated using
- 674 laboratory-calibrated ERT measurements of talus cones in Longyeardalen, Svalbard, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms,
- 675 37(14), 1542-1555, doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3297, 2012.
- 676 Sinha, R. K., Ray, D., De Haas, T., & Conway, S. J.: Global documentation of overlapping lobate deposits in Martian gullies.
- 677 Icarus, 352, 113979, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2020.113979, 2020.
- Sinha, R. K., Vijayan, S., Shukla, A. D., Das, P., & Bhattacharya, F.: Gullies and debris-flows in Ladakh Himalaya, India: a
  potential Martian analogue, Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ. 467, 315-342, doi: https://doi.org/10.1144/SP46, 2019.
- Sinha, R.K., Vijayan, S.: Geomorphic investigation of craters in Alba Mons, Mars: implications for Late Amazonian glacial
  activity in the region, Planet. Space Sci. 144:32–48, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2017.05.014, 2017.
- Souness, C., & Hubbard, B.: Mid-latitude glaciation on Mars, Progress in Physical Geography, 36(2), 238-261, doi:
  https://doi.org/10.1177/030913331243, 2012.
- Souness, C., Hubbard, B., Milliken, R. E., & Quincey, D.: An inventory and population-scale analysis of martian glacier-like
  forms, Icarus, 217(1), 243-255, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2011.10.020, 2012.
- Stock, J.D., Dietrich, W.E.: Erosion of steepland valleys by debris flow, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull. 118 (9/10), 1125–1148.
  doi:10.1130/B25902.1, 2006.
- Stolle, A., Langer, M., Blöthe, J. H., & Korup, O.: On predicting debris flows in arid mountain belts, Global and Planetary
  Change, 126, 1-13, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.12.005, 2015.
- 690 Welsh, A., Davies, T.: Identification of alluvial fans susceptible to debris-flow hazards. Landslides 8 (2), 183-194, doi:
- 691 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-010-0238-4, 2011.
- 34

- 692 Wilford, D. J., Sakals, M. E., Innes, J. L., Sidle, R. C., & Bergerud, W. A.: Recognition of debris flow, debris flood and flood
- hazard through watershed morphometrics, Landslides, 1(1), 61-66, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-003-0002-0, 2004.
- 694 Yue, Z., Hu, W., Liu, B., Liu, Y., Sun, X., Zhao, Q. and Di, K.: Quantitative analysis of the morphology of martian gullies and
- 695 insights into their formation, Icarus, 243, pp.208-221, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2014.08.028, 2014.

697